DATA PACKET for 2011-12 planning



Dallas Independent School District

Evaluation & Accountability
Data Analysis, Reporting, and Research Services
https://mydata.dallasisd.org
OIR@dallasisd.org

School Number 802

HOLMES ACADEMY

Published July 27, 2011

2011-12 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2. Contents Table of Contents 3. Notes Notes and Data Descriptions **STUDENTS AND STAFF** 5. Summary Summary of Student and Teacher Statistics 6. Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity 7. Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group 8. Attendance Student Attendance Statistics TAKS / TAKS (ACCOMMODATED) 9. TAKS Read TAKS/TAKS(Acc) Reading 13. TAKS Math TAKS/TAKS(Acc) Mathematics 17. TAKS Writing TAKS/TAKS(Acc) Writing 21. TAKS SS TAKS/TAKS(Acc) Social Studies 25. TAKS Science TAKS/TAKS(Acc) Science **ITBS / LOGRAMOS** 30. ITBS Read ITBS Reading 32. ITBS Math ITBS Mathematics **ENGLISH PROFICIENCY** 36. TELPASTexas English Language Proficiency Assessment System LOCAL ASSESSMENT

37. ACP Assessments of Course Performance

2010-11 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- Additional statistics are available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- Race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethinicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes. Students reporting their ethinicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those who qualify for free or reduced-price lunch through the federal government's program.
- MAGNET PROGRAMS: Teacher statistics are not available for magnets, academies, or vanguards. See statistics for the whole campus.

ENROLLMENT

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- For 2010-11, race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethinicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes; students reporting their ethinicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category. For prior years, race/ethnicity is based on the previous categories.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2010-11. They are counted as new if not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2009-10 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2009-10 and 2010-11.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TAKS and TAKS-Modified

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- TAKS statistics are based on both TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated results. TAKS-M statistics are based exclusively on TAKS-M results.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2012, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, 8) in any year are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Mathematics Total results used for data packets are combinations of the Mathematics Concepts, Problems, and Computation subtest results. For schools who did not administer Mathematics Concepts or Mathematics Problems to their students, Mathematics Computation statistics are provided separately.

TELPAS

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2010 to 2011, the TEA did not report the 2010 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2011, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2010.

ACP

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- The ACPs are given as final course exams in grades 7-12. They account for a portion of students' final semester grades: 15 percent for high school and 10 percent for middle school. "Average course mark (no ACP)" is computed from semester grades before factoring in ACP scores.
- Raw scores on the ACPs (percentage of items correct) are scaled each year to maintain similar annual passing rates for the district.
- A scale score of at least 70 percent is required to pass an ACP. A course mark of at least 70 percent is required to pass a course.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
6	20
7	53
8	41
ALL	114

STUDENT AND TEACHER RACE/ETHNICITY

Ethnicity/Page	Stud	lents	Teac	hers*
Ethnicity/Race	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Black/African American	76	66.7		
American Indian/Alaska Native	0	0.0		
Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0.0		
Hispanic	37	32.5		
White	0	0.0		
Multiple	1	0.9		
Other* (teachers only)	1	_		
Not reported (students only)	0	0.0		_

^{*}Teacher statistics not available separately for magnets, academies, or vanguards.

SELECT STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Group	Number	Percent
At-Risk	28	24.6
Economically disadvantaged	105	92.1
Limited English proficient (LEP)	8	7.0
Special education	1	0.9
Talented and Gifted (TAG)	43	37.7

			African A	American	America	an Indian	As	ian	Hisp	anic	Wh	nite	Multiple (category
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2009	36	29	80.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	19.4	0	0.0	_	_
6	2010	27	16	59.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	40.7	0	0.0	_	_
	2011	20	11	55.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	45.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2009	58	38	65.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	19	32.8	1	1.7	_	_
7	2010	48	40	83.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	8	16.7	0	0.0	_	_
	2011	53	31	58.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	21	39.6	0	0.0	1	1.9
	2009	73	49	67.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	23	31.5	1	1.4		_
8	2010	58	39	67.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	18	31.0	1	1.7	_	_
	2011	41	34	82.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	17.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2009	167	116	69.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	49	29.3	2	1.2	_	_
6-8	2010	133	95	71.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	37	27.8	1	0.8	1	_
	2011	114	76	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	37	32.5	0	0.0	1	0.9

			Econoi Disadva	mically antaged	LE	ĒP	Special E	Education	Atl	Rlsk	TA	\G	New (to	District)	Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2009	36	29	80.6	4	11.1	2	5.6	6	16.7	14	38.9	0	0.0	0.0
6	2010	27	23	85.2	3	11.1	1	3.7	4	14.8	16	59.3	0	0.0	0.0
	2011	20	20	100.0	1	5.0	0	0.0	4	20.0	7	35.0	1	5.0	0.0
	2009	58	47	81.0	0	0.0	1	1.7	10	17.2	22	37.9	0	0.0	0.0
7	2010	48	35	72.9	3	6.3	1	2.1	9	18.8	18	37.5	0	0.0	0.0
	2011	53	52	98.1	6	11.3	1	1.9	11	20.8	17	32.1	1	1.9	0.0
	2009	73	60	82.2	1	1.4	0	0.0	11	15.1	19	26.0	0	0.0	0.0
8	2010	58	48	82.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	14	24.1	21	36.2	1	1.7	0.0
	2011	41	33	80.5	1	2.4	0	0.0	13	31.7	19	46.3	0	0.0	0.0
	2009	167	136	81.4	5	3.0	3	1.8	27	16.2	55	32.9	0	0.0	0.0
6-8	2010	133	106	79.7	6	4.5	2	1.5	27	20.3	55	41.4	1	0.8	0.0
	2011	114	105	92.1	8	7.0	1	0.9	28	24.6	43	37.7	2	1.8	0.0

Grade	Year	_	ge Daily ship (N)	P	Verage Daily	Attendance		Yea	rly Transactio	ns		uously ed (N)	Stability	Rate (%)
		Campus	District	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	District (N)	District (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	District (%)	Campus	District	Campus	District
	2009	35.8	10,588.3	34.8	97.2	10,165.3	96.0	1	2.8	19.9	34	9,131	94.9	86.2
6	2010	26.8	10,602.4	26.1	97.1	10,110.8	95.4	0	0.0	18.6	26	9,083	96.9	85.7
	2011	19.2	10,126.2	18.5	96.5	9,742.1	96.2	1	5.2	17.3	18	9,074	93.8	89.6
	2009	57.7	10,135.8	55.6	96.3	9,601.8	94.7	1	1.7	26.0	55	8,389	95.2	82.8
7	2010	47.5	10,258.0	45.7	96.2	9,636.4	93.9	3	6.3	22.4	46	8,310	96.7	81.0
	2011	51.4	10,058.0	50.1	97.3	9,588.9	95.3	0	0.0	22.8	51	8,791	99.1	87.4
	2009	72.9	10,551.1	69.9	95.9	9,945.8	94.3	2	2.7	28.2	69	8,477	94.6	80.3
8	2010	57.7	9,995.5	54.5	94.6	9,325.9	93.3	1	1.7	15.6	51	7,894	88.4	79.0
	2011	39.8	9,906.7	38.3	96.1	9,388.4	94.8	0	0.0	17.7	41	8,465	100.0	85.4
	2009	166.5	31,275.2	160.3	96.3	29,712.9	95.0	4	2.4	24.7	158	25,997	94.9	83.1
6-8	2010	132.0	30,855.9	126.3	95.7	29,073.2	94.2	4	3.0	18.9	123	25,287	93.2	82.0
	2011	110.5	30,090.9	106.9	96.7	28,719.4	95.4	1	0.9	19.3	110	26,330	99.6	87.5

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009		100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	86.4	36
6	2010		100.0	100.0	100.0		*	*		100.0	100.0	100.0	78.3	26
	2011		90.9	100.0	95.0		*	*		100.0	92.9	95.0	76.4	20
	2009	*	100.0	94.7	97.9	*		90.0		96.3	100.0	98.3	77.1	58
7	2010		92.3	75.0	88.6	*	*	55.6		77.8	96.6	89.4	77.1	47
	2011		93.5	100.0	96.1		*	90.0	*	93.8	97.2	96.2	77.2	52
	2009	*	100.0	100.0	100.0		*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	91.2	73
8	2010	*	100.0	100.0	100.0			100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	88.9	59
	2011		100.0	85.7	97.0		*	92.3		92.3	100.0	97.6	88.2	41
	2009	*	100.0	98.0	99.3	*	*	96.3		98.6	100.0	99.4	85.0	167
6-8	2010	*	96.8	94.6	96.2	*	*	85.2		93.2	98.6	96.2	81.3	132
	2011		96.1	97.2	96.2		85.7	88.9	*	94.3	97.4	96.5	80.6	113

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 6-8				
2009	2	116	49	135	3	5	27	0	72	95	167	28,010
2010	1	94	37	106	1	5	27	0	59	73	132	28,435
2011	0	76	36	104	0	7	27	1	35	78	113	28,584

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009		0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	1,295	36
6	2010		0	0	0		*	*		0	0	0	2,123	26
	2011		1	0	1		*	*		0	1	1	2,258	20
	2009	*	0	1	1	*		1		1	0	1	2,065	58
7	2010		3	2	4	*	*	4		4	1	5	2,164	47
	2011		2	0	2		*	1	*	1	1	2	2,173	52
	2009	*	0	0	0		*	0		0	0	0	834	73
8	2010	*	0	0	0			0		0	0	0	1,028	59
	2011		0	1	1		*	1		1	0	1	1,116	41
	2009	*	0	1	1	*	*	1		1	0	1	4,194	167
6-8	2010	*	3	2	4	*	*	4		4	1	5	5,315	132
	2011		3	1	4		1	3	*	2	2	4	5,547	113

					NUM BE	R TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 6-8				
2009	2	116	49	135	3	5	27	0	72	95	167	28,010
2010	1	94	37	106	1	5	27	0	59	73	132	28,435
2011	0	76	36	104	0	7	27	1	35	78	113	28,584

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2009	87.4	80.9	85.1	84.0
6	2010	87.3	94.2	83.7	82.0
	2011	87.7	87.5	92.5	87.7
	2009	89.7	90.2	84.7	85.7
7	2010	86.5	83.2	80.6	77.3
	2011	88.3	81.7	81.7	83.2
	2009	93.9	93.6	91.1	92.7
8	2010	93.9	88.3	91.4	88.0
	2011	90.7	88.0	87.6	84.6
	2009	91.0	89.7	87.6	88.4
6-8	2010	90.0	87.7	86.0	83.0
	2011	89.0	85.0	85.8	84.5

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009		34.5	28.6	27.6	*	*	33.3		33.3	33.3	33.3	30.2	36
6	2010		18.8	20.0	18.2		*	*		15.4	23.1	19.2	22.1	26
	2011		36.4	66.7	50.0		*	*		83.3	35.7	50.0	26.0	20
	2009	*	31.6	26.3	29.8	*		10.0		29.6	29.0	29.3	19.6	58
7	2010		23.1	25.0	22.9	*	*	11.1		16.7	27.6	23.4	17.2	47
	2011		19.4	35.0	25.5		*	10.0	*	31.3	22.2	25.0	17.9	52
	2009	*	51.0	73.9	55.9		*	18.2		60.0	58.1	58.9	36.6	73
8	2010	*	41.0	47.4	46.9			20.0		46.4	41.9	44.1	31.9	59
	2011		26.5	28.6	24.2		*	0.0		15.4	32.1	26.8	31.2	41
	2009	*	40.5	49.0	40.7	*	*	18.5		43.1	43.2	43.1	29.0	167
6-8	2010	*	29.8	35.1	33.0	*	*	18.5	·	30.5	32.9	31.8	23.7	132
	2011		25.0	41.7	29.8		14.3	3.7	*	34.3	28.2	30.1	25.0	113

					NUM BE	R TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 6-8				
2009	2	116	49	135	3	5	27	0	72	95	167	28,010
2010	1	94	37	106	1	5	27	0	59	73	132	28,435
2011	0	76	36	104	0	7	27	1	35	78	113	28,584

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010-11.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009		93.1	71.4	86.2	*	*	83.3		86.7	90.5	88.9	73.3	36
6	2010		93.8	100.0	95.5		*	*		100.0	92.3	96.2	72.7	26
	2011		81.8	88.9	85.0		*	*		100.0	78.6	85.0	74.5	20
	2009	*	84.2	94.7	87.2	*		60.0		92.6	83.9	87.9	67.2	58
7	2010		82.1	62.5	80.0	*	*	55.6		66.7	86.2	78.7	68.5	47
	2011		87.1	95.0	90.2		*	80.0	*	100.0	86.1	90.4	69.7	52
	2009	*	93.8	95.7	93.1		*	72.7		96.6	93.0	94.4	72.3	72
8	2010	*	87.2	94.7	87.8			73.3		89.3	90.3	89.8	76.4	59
	2011		91.2	71.4	87.9		*	61.5		76.9	92.9	87.8	78.3	41
	2009	*	90.4	91.8	89.6	*	*	70.4		93.0	89.5	91.0	71.0	166
6-8	2010	*	86.2	89.2	86.8	*	*	70.4		84.7	89.0	87.1	72.5	132
	2011		88.2	88.9	88.5		85.7	70.4	*	91.4	87.2	88.5	74.2	113

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 6-8				
2009	2	115	49	134	3	5	27	0	71	95	166	27,738
2010	1	94	37	106	1	5	27	0	59	73	132	28,433
2011	0	76	36	104	0	7	27	1	35	78	113	28,637

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 65 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009		2	2	4	*	*	1		2	2	4	2,552	36
6	2010		1	0	1		*	*		0	1	1	2,673	26
	2011		2	1	3		*	*		0	3	3	2,444	20
	2009	*	6	1	6	*		4		2	5	7	2,966	58
7	2010		7	3	7	*	*	4		6	4	10	2,975	47
	2011		4	1	5		*	2	*	0	5	5	2,895	52
	2009	*	3	1	4		*	3		1	3	4	2,530	72
8	2010	*	5	1	6			4		3	3	6	2,173	59
	2011		3	2	4		*	5		3	2	5	2,056	41
	2009	*	11	4	14	*	*	8		5	10	15	8,048	166
6-8	2010	*	13	4	14	*	*	8		9	8	17	7,821	132
	2011		9	4	12		1	8	*	3	10	13	7,395	113

					NUM BE	R TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 6-8				
2009	2	115	49	134	3	5	27	0	71	95	166	27,738
2010	1	94	37	106	1	5	27	0	59	73	132	28,433
2011	0	76	36	104	0	7	27	1	35	78	113	28,637

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2009	75.0	81.8	81.7	73.3	79.2	80.6
6	2010	82.3	84.2	87.9	73.1	78.2	76.9
	2011	80.0	82.2	78.6	63.0	86.7	76.7
	2009	80.2	74.1	74.4	61.7	66.5	81.0
7	2010	71.3	64.3	66.0	51.1	69.9	75.4
	2011	81.2	72.5	80.8	57.7	74.7	85.0
	2009	73.6	77.6	71.0	57.8	79.5	81.3
8	2010	73.6	74.4	71.4	54.6	72.7	76.9
	2011	71.2	69.0	72.5	45.9	71.6	69.0
	2009	76.2	77.3	74.5	62.5	74.9	81.0
6-8	2010	74.5	72.7	72.7	57.0	72.8	76.4
	2011	77.3	73.0	77.4	54.3	75.7	77.7

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009		27.6	14.3	20.7	*	*	16.7		33.3	19.0	25.0	29.0	36
6	2010		25.0	40.0	27.3		*	*		38.5	23.1	30.8	22.4	26
	2011		18.2	44.4	30.0		*	*		50.0	21.4	30.0	24.5	20
	2009	*	10.5	10.5	10.6	*		0.0		11.1	9.7	10.3	11.3	58
7	2010		5.1	0.0	2.9	*	*	0.0		5.6	3.4	4.3	14.7	47
	2011		29.0	35.0	33.3		*	20.0	*	37.5	30.6	32.7	15.1	52
	2009	*	6.3	17.4	10.3		*	0.0		17.2	4.7	9.7	15.4	72
8	2010	*	12.8	10.5	12.2			0.0		17.9	6.5	11.9	14.1	59
	2011		0.0	0.0	0.0		*	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	15.3	41
	2009	*	13.0	14.3	12.7	*	*	3.7		18.3	9.5	13.3	18.7	166
6-8	2010	*	11.7	16.2	12.3	*	*	0.0		18.6	8.2	12.9	17.2	132
	2011		14.5	30.6	22.1		28.6	11.1	*	25.7	17.9	20.4	18.3	113

					NUM BE	R TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 6-8				
2009	2	115	49	134	3	5	27	0	71	95	166	27,738
2010	1	94	37	106	1	5	27	0	59	73	132	28,433
2011	0	76	36	104	0	7	27	1	35	78	113	28,637

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010-11.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	97.4	100.0	97.9	*		100.0		100.0	96.8	98.3	88.6	58
7	2010		100.0	87.5	97.1	*	*	88.9		94.4	100.0	97.9	90.6	47
	2011		100.0	100.0	100.0		*	100.0	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	89.9	52

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2009	1	38	19	47	1	0	10	0	27	31	58	8,988
2010	0	39	8	35	1	3	9	0	18	29	47	9,369
2011	0	31	20	51	0	5	10	1	16	36	52	9,507

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	1	0	1	*		0		0	1	1	1,023	58
7	2010		0	1	1	*	*	1		1	0	1	878	47
	2011		0	0	0		*	0	*	0	0	0	964	52

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	DE 7				
2009	1	38	19	47	1	0	10	0	27	31	58	8,988
2010	0	39	8	35	1	3	9	0	18	29	47	9,369
2011	0	31	20	51	0	5	10	1	16	36	52	9,507

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2009	2.6	86.5	85.3	85.3	88.9
7	2010	2.6	86.9	79.8	82.6	87.6
	2011	2.8	88.5	81.2	88.3	87.2

¹Average rating on w ritten composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	36.8	36.8	42.6	*		20.0		29.6	45.2	37.9	22.3	58
7	2010		38.5	37.5	34.3	*	*	11.1		16.7	51.7	38.3	26.3	47
	2011		45.2	50.0	49.0		*	40.0	*	37.5	52.8	48.1	24.8	52

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7							
2009	1	1 38 19 47 1 0 10 0 27 31 58 8,988													
2010	0	39	8	35	1	3	9	0	18	29	47	9,369			
2011	0	31	20	51	0	5	10	1	16	36	52	9,507			

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknow ledgment Standard for 2010-11.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	100.0	100.0	100.0		*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	88.3	73
8	2010	*	100.0	100.0	100.0			100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	92.1	58
	2011		100.0	100.0	100.0		*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	93.4	41

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8				
2009	1	49	23	59	0	1	11	0	29	44	73	9,233
2010	1	38	19	47	0	0	14	0	28	30	58	9,084
2011	0	34	7	33	0	1	13	0	13	28	41	9,324

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	0	0	0		*	0		0	0	0	1,078	73
8	2010	*	0	0	0			0		0	0	0	719	58
	2011		0	0	0		*	0		0	0	0	615	41

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8							
2009	1	1 49 23 59 0 1 11 0 29 44 73 9,233													
2010	1	38	19	47	0	0	14	0	28	30	58	9,084			
2011	0	34	7	33	0	1	13	0	13	28	41	9,324			

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	lssues and Events in U.S. History	Geographic Influences on History	Economic and Social Influences on History	Political Influences on History	Critical-Thinking Skills
	2009	90.1	90.4	88.1	87.7	91.1
8	2010	84.0	79.9	88.1	78.2	86.0
	2011	76.7	83.3	81.8	72.8	76.8

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	55.1	91.3	64.4		*	36.4		82.8	56.8	67.1	31.7	73
8	2010	*	55.3	78.9	66.0			21.4		71.4	56.7	63.8	32.3	58
	2011		41.2	42.9	42.4		*	15.4		53.8	35.7	41.5	34.9	41

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2009	1	49	23	59	0	1	11	0	29	44	73	9,233
2010	1	38	19	47	0	0	14	0	28	30	58	9,084
2011	0	34	7	33	0	1	13	0	13	28	41	9,324

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010-11.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	73.5	91.3	79.7		*	63.6		89.7	72.7	79.5	59.2	73
8	2010	*	71.8	84.2	77.1			46.7		85.7	67.7	76.3	64.2	59
	2011		73.5	71.4	72.7		*	30.8		69.2	75.0	73.2	67.6	41

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2009	1	49	23	59	0	1	11	0	29	44	73	9,292
2010	1	39	19	48	0	0	15	0	28	31	59	9,112
2011	0	34	7	33	0	1	13	0	13	28	41	9,368

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 60 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	13	2	12		*	4		3	12	15	3,794	73
8	2010	*	11	3	11			8		4	10	14	3,261	59
	2011		9	2	9		*	9		4	7	11	3,032	41

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8					
2009	1	49	23	59	0	1	11	0	29	44	73	9,292	
2010	1	39	19	48	0	0	15	0	28	31	59	9,112	
2011	0	0 34 7 33 0 1 13 0 13 28 41 9,368											

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Living Systems and the Environment	Structures and Properties of Matter	Motion, Forces, and Energy	Earth and Space Systems
	2009	81.6	73.3	71.2	81.5	66.1
8	2010	81.1	79.2	69.2	68.9	73.3
	2011	73.3	71.1	70.7	65.0	66.1

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	8.2	13.0	8.5		*	9.1		10.3	11.4	11.0	13.3	73
8	2010	*	23.1	42.1	31.3			13.3		39.3	19.4	28.8	17.0	59
	2011		8.8	0.0	3.0		*	7.7		23.1	0.0	7.3	18.9	41

					NUM E	BER TESTI	D IN GRA	DES 8				
2009	1	49	23	59	0	1	11	0	29	44	73	9,292
2010	1	39	19	48	0	0	15	0	28	31	59	9,112
2011	0	34	7	33	0	1	13	0	13	28	41	9,368

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010-11.

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the ITBS

				READING T	OTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	45.5	72.1	68.1	33.6	72
8	2010	28.6	72.7	62.1	33.9	58
	2011	7.7	60.7	43.9	33.3	41

	2009	11	61	72	9,813	
Number Tested	2010	14	44	58	9,416	
100100	2011	13	28	41	9,423	

			M	ATHEM ATIC	S TOTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	18.2	77.0	68.1	48.3	72
8	2010	61.5	70.5	68.4	45.5	57
	2011	0.0	63.0	42.5	42.1	40

	2009	11	61	72	9,737	
Number Tested	2010	13	44	57	9,135	
100100	2011	13	27	40	9,262	

ITBS Read (1) ITBS: READING TOTAL

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	58.3	87.0	68.3		*	45.5		86.7	54.8	68.1	33.6	72
8	2010	*	61.5	61.1	66.7			28.6		66.7	58.1	62.1	33.9	58
	2011		47.1	28.6	45.5		*	7.7		15.4	57.1	43.9	33.3	41

	2009	1	48	23	60	0	1	11	0	30	42	72	9,813
Number Tested	2010	1	39	18	48	0	0	14	0	27	31	58	9,416
Toolog	2011	0	34	7	33	0	1	13	0	13	28	41	9,423

ITBS Read (2) ITBS: READING TOTAL

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	3	1	3		*	0		3	2	5	575	72
8	2010	*	1	0	1			0		1	0	1	590	58
	2011		1	1	1		*	0		1	1	2	608	41

	2009	1	48	23	60	0	1	11	0	30	42	72	9,813
Number Tested	2010	1	39	18	48	0	0	14	0	27	31	58	9,416
Toolog	2011	0	34	7	33	0	1	13	0	13	28	41	9,423

ITBS Math (1) ITBS: MATHEMATICS TOTAL

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	60.4	82.6	70.0		*	18.2		70.0	66.7	68.1	48.3	72
8	2010	*	63.2	77.8	72.3			61.5		66.7	70.0	68.4	45.5	57
	2011		39.4	57.1	40.6		*	0.0		23.1	51.9	42.5	42.1	40

	2009	1	48	23	60	0	1	11	0	30	42	72	9,737
Number Tested	2010	1	38	18	47	0	0	13	0	27	30	57	9,135
Toolog	2011	0	33	7	32	0	1	13	0	13	27	40	9,262

ITBS Math (2) ITBS: MATHEMATICS TOTAL

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	3	8	10		*	1		6	6	12	1,219	72
8	2010	*	7	1	7			0		1	7	8	984	57
	2011		0	0	0		*	0		0	0	0	943	40

	2009	1	48	23	60	0	1	11	0	30	42	72	9,737
Number Tested	2010	1	38	18	47	0	0	13	0	27	30	57	9,135
restea	2011	0	33	7	32	0	1	13	0	13	27	40	9,262

ITBS Math (3) ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	50.0	69.6	56.7		*	45.5		50.0	61.9	56.9	44.6	72
8	2010	*	47.4	50.0	55.3			23.1		44.4	50.0	47.4	37.3	57
	2011		26.5	14.3	21.2		*	7.7		30.8	21.4	24.4	34.4	41

	2009	1	48	23	60	0	1	11	0	30	42	72	10,012
Number Tested	2010	1	38	18	47	0	0	13	0	27	30	57	9,519
Tostoa	2011	0	34	7	33	0	1	13	0	13	28	41	9,530

34

ITBS Math (4) ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	4	8	12		*	1		6	7	13	1,288	72
8	2010	*	3	0	3			0		1	2	3	860	57
	2011		0	0	0		*	0		0	0	0	755	41

	2009	1	48	23	60	0	1	11	0	30	42	72	10,012
Number Tested	2010	1	38	18	47	0	0	13	0	27	30	57	9,519
restea	2011	0	34	7	33	0	1	13	0	13	28	41	9,530

PERFORMANCE IN 2011

Grade	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Comp	posite
(N Rated)	2011 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
7	Intermediate	3	50.0	1	16.7	3	50.0	1	16.7	1	16.7
(6)	Advanced	2	33.3	4	66.7	1	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	Advanced High	1	16.7	1	16.7	2	33.3	5	83.3	5	83.3
	Beginning	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
ALL	Intermediate	3	37.5	1	12.5	4	50.0	1	12.5	1	12.5
(8)	Advanced	4	50.0	6	75.0	1	12.5	1	12.5	1	12.5
	Advanced High	1	12.5	1	12.5	3	37.5	6	75.0	6	75.0

PROGRESSION FROM 2010 TO 2011

Number Rated Both Years			2010	Level	
N (%) Progressed	2011 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High
	Beginning		()	
6	Intermediate	1		0	
6 (100.0%)	Advanced	0	0	()
	Advanced High	0	0	Į.	5
	Beginning		()	
7	Intermediate	1		0	
6 (85.7%)	Advanced	0	0	,	1
	Advanced High	0	0	į	5

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2010 to 2011.

					SEMEST	ER 1					_				SEMEST	ER 2			
			Ave	erages		% Pa	ssing	District %	6 Passing				Ave	rages		% Pa	ssing	District %	6 Passing
	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course		Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course
AL	GEBRA	I PRE-AP								AL	GEBRA I	PRE-AP							
	26	47.8	68.7	80.7	82.1	50.0	96.2	80.3	95.9		25	58.5	72.3	81.9	82.9	68.0	100.0	85.0	96.2
СО	MPUTE	R APPLICA	ATIONS							СО	MPUTER	R APPLICA	TIONS						
	6	73.3	82.2	85.8	86.2	100.0	100.0	71.0	94.6		27	67.5	78.3	82.5	83.0	85.2	100.0	70.6	94.1
HE	ALTH EI	DUCATION	I							HE	ALTH E	DUCATION	I						
	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	77.3	96.0		5	*	*	*	*	*	*	79.2	92.7
LAI	NGUAGI	E ARTS 7								LA	NGUAGE	E ARTS 7							
	10	62.8	77.7	78.5	78.6	90.0	100.0	63.5	88.7		28	73.5	82.3	79.1	78.7	96.4	100.0	78.9	89.4
LAI	NGUAGI	E ARTS 7 I	PRE-AP							LA	NGUAGE	E ARTS 7 F	PRE-AP						
	10	68.8	79.2	82.4	82.8	100.0	100.0	91.1	96.9		24	66.8	77.8	83.6	84.3	83.3	100.0	88.1	96.1
LAI	NGUAGI	E ARTS 8								LA	NGUAGE	E ARTS 8							
	10	66.3	77.5	72.0	71.4	90.0	80.0	72.2	86.0		10	58.2	75.4	71.8	71.4	70.0	90.0	75.3	86.9
LAI	NGUAGI	E ARTS 8 I	PRE-AP							LA	NGUAGE	E ARTS 8 F	PRE-AP						
	31	47.1	68.9	74.6	75.3	54.8	61.3	75.7	95.1		30	47.4	67.8	74.4	75.2	43.3	83.3	75.6	95.0
MA	THEMA [.]	TICS 7								MA	THEMA	TICS 7							
	11	60.9	75.6	82.2	82.9	72.7	100.0	54.0	85.1		25	54.7	71.7	84.2	85.6	64.0	100.0	61.9	86.0
MA	THEMA [.]	TICS 7 PR	E-AP							MA	THEMA	TICS 7 PRE	E-AP						
	9	64.4	76.2	85.2	86.2	100.0	100.0	81.4	97.1		27	49.1	69.5	89.4	91.6	66.7	100.0	76.5	96.8
MA	THEMA	TICS 8								MA	THEMA	TICS 8							_
	15	42.7	67.0	75.2	76.1	40.0	86.7	56.7	83.2		14	46.6	64.4	76.3	77.6	35.7	71.4	57.2	85.0

		SEMESTER 1										SEMESTER 2							
_			Ave	erages		% Passing District % Passing		6 Passing				Ave	erages		% Pa	ssing	District %	% Passing	
	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course		Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course
RE	READING 7						RE	ADING 7											
	6	79.6	86.4	82.7	82.2	100.0	100.0	80.4	94.2		23	76.1	84.1	84.4	84.5	95.7	100.0	79.6	95.1
RE	ADING 8	3								RE	ADING 8								
	9	64.1	76.6	80.7	81.1	77.8	88.9	77.2	93.9		8	70.3	80.2	80.1	80.1	87.5	87.5	90.3	94.9
RE	ADING I	MASTERY	7							RE	ADING N	MASTERY '	7						
	6	72.9	82.7	84.7	84.9	100.0	100.0	69.0	89.6		17	75.2	83.9	82.4	82.2	88.2	100.0	71.4	90.7
RE	ADING I	MASTERY	8							READING MASTERY 8									
	7	62.6	76.6	73.9	73.5	71.4	85.7	72.3	87.1		7	70.7	80.5	73.7	73.0	85.7	57.1	80.4	89.3
SC	ENCE 7	& SCIENC	E 7 PRE	E-AP						SCIENCE 7 & SCIENCE 7 PRE-AP									
	20	66.4	79.8	82.1	82.3	100.0	100.0	71.0	88.8		52	72.1	81.4	83.6	83.9	96.2	100.0	76.4	89.0
SC	ENCE 8	& SCIENC	E 8 PRE	E-AP						SCIENCE 8 & SCIENCE 8 PRE-AP									
	41	55.1	74.1	82.1	83.0	75.6	90.2	65.7	89.3		40	63.8	75.9	85.6	86.7	82.5	97.5	74.9	91.6
SP	ANISH I	7								SP	ANISH I	7							
	9	67.4	78.2	83.0	83.5	77.8	100.0	83.6	96.0		16	74.1	82.7	86.2	86.6	81.3	93.8	81.9	93.9
SP	ANISH I	8								SP	ANISH I	8							
	19	44.4	63.0	82.4	84.5	21.1	94.7	77.3	98.0		19	48.8	68.7	81.9	83.4	47.4	100.0	79.0	96.1
TE	TEXAS STUDIES 7					TEX	XAS STU	JDIES 7											
	9	58.7	74.2	78.9	79.4	77.8	100.0	55.3	87.7		26	58.9	76.3	82.8	83.6	84.6	100.0	63.4	87.1
TEX	(AS ST	JDIES 7 PF	RE-AP							TEXAS STUDIES 7 PRE-AP									
	10	65.2	76.8	86.4	87.5	50.0	100.0	74.2	96.2		25	66.9	78.0	85.0	85.8	84.0	96.0	81.4	96.9
	10	65.2	76.8	86.4	87.5	50.0	100.0	74.2	96.2		25	66.9	78.0	85.0	85.8	84.0	96.0	81.4	96.9

ACP

Assessment of Course Performance

SFI	1FS	TFR 1	
JLI	\mathbf{H}		

		Ave	rages		% Pa	ssing	District % Passing		
Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	

UNITED STATES STUDIES 8

9	56.9	71.2	74.4	74.8	44.4	77.8	54.9	86.5

UNITED STATES STUDIES 8 PRE-AP

32 63.1 75.4 80.5 81.1 75.0 90.6 90.	96.6
--------------------------------------	------

SEMESTER 2

		Ave	rages	ges % Passing				District % Passing		
Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course		

UNITED STATES STUDIES 8

8 61.7 76.6 72.6 72.2 62.5 75.0 71.7

UNITED STATES STUDIES 8 PRE-AP

32	70.5	80.4	83.5	83.9	93.8	96.9	95.5	96.8
----	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------