DATA PACKET for 2011-12 planning



Dallas Independent School District

Evaluation & Accountability
Data Analysis, Reporting, and Research Services
https://mydata.dallasisd.org
OIR@dallasisd.org

School Number 66

HARRY STONE MONTESSORI

Published July 27, 2011

2011-12 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2.	Contents Table of Contents	3. Notes Notes and Data Descriptions
STU	DENTS AND STAFF	
5.	Summary Summary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6. Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicit
7.	Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8. Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
9.	Teachers Teacher Statistics	
TAK	S / TAKS (ACCOMMODATED)	
10.	TAKS Read TAKS/TAKS(Acc) Reading	14. TAKS Math TAKS/TAKS(Acc) Mathematics
18.	TAKS Writing TAKS/TAKS(Acc) Writing	22. TAKS SS TAKS/TAKS(Acc) Social Studie
26.	TAKS Science TAKS/TAKS(Acc) Science	
ITBS	/ LOGRAMOS	
31.	ITBS ReadITBS Reading	33. ITBS Math ITBS Mathematics
LOC	AL ASSESSMENT	

37. ACP Assessments of Course Performance

2010-11 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- Additional statistics are available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- Race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethinicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes. Students reporting their ethinicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those who qualify for free or reduced-price lunch through the federal government's program.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- For 2010-11, race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethinicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes; students reporting their ethinicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category. For prior years, race/ethnicity is based on the previous categories.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2010-11. They are counted as new if not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2009-10 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2009-10 and 2010-11.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS

- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Teacher absences counted towards the average DO NOT include vacation days (coded as VAC in personnel database).
- Retention rate for a school year is computed with numerator "# teachers assigned to the school both that year and the year prior" and denominator "# of teachers assigned to the school for the prior year". That is, the retention rate is the percentage of the prior year's teachers who continued at the school.

TAKS and TAKS-Modified

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- TAKS statistics are based on both TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated results. TAKS-M statistics are based exclusively on TAKS-M results.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2012, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, 8) in any year are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Mathematics Total results used for data packets are combinations of the Mathematics Concepts, Problems, and Computation subtest results. For schools who did not administer Mathematics Concepts or Mathematics Problems to their students, Mathematics Computation statistics are provided separately.

ACP

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- The ACPs are given as final course exams in grades 7-12. They account for a portion of students' final semester grades: 15 percent for high school and 10 percent for middle school. "Average course mark (no ACP)" is computed from semester grades before factoring in ACP scores.
- Raw scores on the ACPs (percentage of items correct) are scaled each year to maintain similar annual passing rates for the district.
- A scale score of at least 70 percent is required to pass an ACP. A course mark of at least 70 percent is required to pass a course.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
7	68
8	61
ALL	129

STUDENT AND TEACHER RACE/ETHNICITY

Ethnicity/Page	Stud	lents	Tead	hers
Ethnicity/Race	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Black/African American	41	31.8	8	47.1
American Indian/Alaska Native	0	0.0	*	*
Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	4	3.1	*	*
Hispanic	72	55.8	3	17.6
White	9	7.0	5	29.4
Multiple	3	2.3	1	5.9
Other* (teachers only)		_	0	0.0
Not reported (students only)	0	0.0	_	_

^{*}For teachers, "Other" category includes American Indican/Alaska Native and Asian/Haw aiian/Pacific Islander.

SELECT STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Group	Number	Percent
At-Risk	21	16.3
Economically disadvantaged	90	69.8
Limited English proficient (LEP)	4	3.1
Special education	2	1.6
Talented and Gifted (TAG)	60	46.5

				African American		American Indian		Asian		Hispanic		White		category
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2009	64	22	34.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	32	50.0	10	15.6	_	_
7	2010	62	19	30.6	2	3.2	3	4.8	32	51.6	6	9.7	_	_
	2011	68	24	35.3	0	0.0	1	1.5	39	57.4	3	4.4	1	1.5
	2009	54	25	46.3	0	0.0	1	1.9	26	48.1	2	3.7	_	_
8	2010	70	25	35.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	35	50.0	10	14.3	_	_
	2011	61	17	27.9	0	0.0	3	4.9	33	54.1	6	9.8	2	3.3
	2009	118	47	39.8	0	0.0	1	0.8	58	49.2	12	10.2	_	_
7-8	2010	132	44	33.3	2	1.5	3	2.3	67	50.8	16	12.1	_	_
	2011	129	41	31.8	0	0.0	4	3.1	72	55.8	9	7.0	3	2.3

			Economically Disadvantaged		LEP		Special E	Special Education		At Rlsk		TAG		New (to District)	
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2009	64	34	53.1	1	1.6	1	1.6	8	12.5	33	51.6	1	1.6	0.0
7	2010	62	44	71.0	1	1.6	1	1.6	6	9.7	26	41.9	3	4.8	0.0
	2011	68	44	64.7	1	1.5	1	1.5	9	13.2	31	45.6	6	8.8	0.0
	2009	54	30	55.6	1	1.9	2	3.7	11	20.4	24	44.4	1	1.9	0.0
8	2010	70	38	54.3	2	2.9	1	1.4	10	14.3	37	52.9	3	4.3	0.0
	2011	61	46	75.4	3	4.9	1	1.6	12	19.7	29	47.5	2	3.3	0.0
	2009	118	64	54.2	2	1.7	3	2.5	19	16.1	57	48.3	2	1.7	0.0
7-8	2010	132	82	62.1	3	2.3	2	1.5	16	12.1	63	47.7	6	4.5	0.0
	2011	129	90	69.8	4	3.1	2	1.6	21	16.3	60	46.5	8	6.2	0.0

Grade	Year	Average Daily Membership (N)		Average Daily Attendance				Yearly Transactions			Continuously Enrolled (N)		Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	District	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	District (N)	District (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	District (%)	Campus	District	Campus	District
	2009	64.0	10,135.8	63.7	99.5	9,601.8	94.7	0	0.0	26.0	64	8,389	100.0	82.8
7	2010	61.4	10,258.0	59.7	97.1	9,636.4	93.9	1	1.6	22.4	62	8,310	100.0	81.0
	2011	66.8	10,058.0	65.3	97.8	9,588.9	95.3	0	0.0	22.8	66	8,791	98.9	87.4
	2009	53.9	10,551.1	53.7	99.6	9,945.8	94.3	0	0.0	28.2	54	8,477	100.0	80.3
8	2010	69.8	9,995.5	67.7	97.0	9,325.9	93.3	1	1.4	15.6	69	7,894	98.9	79.0
	2011	59.3	9,906.7	58.3	98.4	9,388.4	94.8	0	0.0	17.7	61	8,465	100.0	85.4
	2009	118.0	20,686.9	117.4	99.5	19,547.6	94.5	0	0.0	27.1	118	16,866	100.0	81.5
7-8	2010	131.2	20,253.5	127.4	97.1	18,962.4	93.6	2	1.5	19.1	131	16,204	99.8	80.0
	2011	126.1	19,964.7	123.7	98.1	18,977.3	95.1	0	0.0	20.3	127	17,256	100.0	86.4

Teachers Teacher Statistics

Teachers: 17

DISTRIBUTIONS

Ethnicity/Race	Number	Percentage			
African American	8	47.1			
Hispanic	3	17.6			
White	5	29.4			
Multiple categories	1	5.9			
Other	0	0.0			

Gender	Number	Percentage			
Female	11	64.7			
Male	6	35.3			

ATTENDANCE / RETENTION

	Average Absences	Retention Rate
2008-09	5.9	NA
2009-10	5.5	NA
2010-11	6.1	94.1

YEARS EXPERIENCE

Years	Number	Percentage				
Beginning (0)	0	0.0				
1	0	0.0				
2	0	0.0				
3	2	11.8				
4	0	0.0				
5	0	0.0				
1-3	2	11.8				
More than 3	15	88.2				
1 - 5	2	11.8				
6 - 10	2	11.8				
11 - 20	5	29.4				
More than 20	8	47.1				

NOTE: Absence statistics for 2008-09 and 2009-10 include all teachers at both middle and elementary schools.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)	
	2009	100.0	90.9	96.9	100.0	*	*	62.5		93.3	97.1	95.3	77.1	64	
7	2010	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	77.1	62	
	2011	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	77.2	68	
	2009	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	*		100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	91.2	53	
8	2010	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	88.9	70	
	2011	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	88.2	61	
	2009	100.0	95.7	98.2	100.0	*	*	83.3		96.3	98.4	97.4	84.3	117	
7-8	2010	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	82.9	132	
	2011	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	82.7	129	

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 7-8				
2009	12	47	57	63	2	1	18	0	54	63	117	18,508
2010	16	44	67	82	2	3	16	0	60	72	132	18,655
2011	9	41	72	90	2	4	20	0	54	75	129	19,024

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	0	2	1	0	*	*	3		2	1	3	2,065	64
7	2010	0	0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	2,164	62
	2011	*	0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	2,173	68
	2009	*	0	0	0	*		0		0	0	0	834	53
8	2010	0	0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	1,028	70
	2011	0	0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	1,116	61
	2009	0	2	1	0	*	*	3		2	1	3	2,899	117
7-8	2010	0	0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	3,192	132
	2011	0	0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	3,289	129

					NUM BE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 7-8				
2009	12	47	57	63	2	1	18	0	54	63	117	18,508
2010	16	44	67	82	2	3	16	0	60	72	132	18,655
2011	9	41	72	90	2	4	20	0	54	75	129	19,024

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2009	92.1	91.9	88.1	87.5
7	2010	93.3	88.2	90.6	88.1
	2011	94.5	86.3	87.8	87.7
	2009	94.3	96.6	91.9	94.9
8	2010	96.0	93.6	93.3	89.6
	2011	95.1	96.1	96.7	93.9
	2009	93.1	94.0	89.8	90.9
7-8	2010	94.7	91.1	92.0	88.9
	2011	94.8	90.9	92.0	90.6

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	70.0	40.9	37.5	35.3	*	*	12.5		46.7	41.2	43.8	19.6	64
7	2010	83.3	73.7	43.8	50.0	*	*	16.7		48.3	63.6	56.5	17.2	62
	2011	*	58.3	59.0	63.6	*	*	44.4		51.9	63.4	58.8	17.9	68
	2009	*	80.0	64.0	79.3	*		30.0		75.0	72.4	73.6	36.6	53
8	2010	80.0	60.0	57.1	63.2	*	*	10.0		71.0	53.8	61.4	31.9	70
	2011	83.3	76.5	69.7	69.6	*	*	72.7		70.4	76.5	73.8	31.2	61
	2009	75.0	61.7	49.1	55.6	*	*	22.2		59.3	55.6	57.3	28.3	117
7-8	2010	81.3	65.9	50.7	56.1	*	*	12.5	·	60.0	58.3	59.1	24.5	132
	2011	77.8	65.9	63.9	66.7	*	*	60.0		61.1	69.3	65.9	24.6	129

					NUM BE	R TESTE	D IN GRAD	ES 7-8				
2009	12	47	57	63	2	1	18	0	54	63	117	18,508
2010	16	44	67	82	2	3	16	0	60	72	132	18,655
2011	9	41	72	90	2	4	20	0	54	75	129	19,024

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010-11.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	100.0	81.8	100.0	100.0	*	*	50.0		96.7	91.2	93.8	67.2	64
7	2010	100.0	94.7	100.0	97.7	*	*	83.3		100.0	97.0	98.4	68.5	62
	2011	*	95.8	92.3	90.9	*	*	88.9		92.6	95.1	94.1	69.7	68
	2009	*	96.0	100.0	96.6			88.9		95.8	100.0	98.1	72.3	52
8	2010	100.0	80.0	100.0	97.4	*	*	60.0		96.8	89.7	92.9	76.4	70
	2011	100.0	94.1	100.0	97.8	*	*	100.0		100.0	97.1	98.4	78.3	61
	2009	100.0	89.4	100.0	98.4	*	*	70.6		96.3	95.2	95.7	69.8	116
7-8	2010	100.0	86.4	100.0	97.6	*	*	68.8		98.3	93.1	95.5	72.4	132
	2011	100.0	95.1	95.8	94.4	*	*	95.0		96.3	96.0	96.1	74.0	129

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 7-8				
2009	12	47	56	63	1	1	17	0	54	62	116	18,178
2010	16	44	67	82	2	3	16	0	60	72	132	18,634
2011	9	41	72	90	2	4	20	0	54	75	129	19,053

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 65 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	0	4	0	0	*	*	4		1	3	4	2,966	64
7	2010	0	1	0	1	*	*	1		0	1	1	2,975	62
	2011	*	1	3	4	*	*	1		2	2	4	2,895	68
	2009	*	1	0	1			1		1	0	1	2,530	52
8	2010	0	5	0	1	*	*	4		1	4	5	2,173	70
	2011	0	1	0	1	*	*	0		0	1	1	2,056	61
	2009	0	5	0	1	*	*	5		2	3	5	5,496	116
7-8	2010	0	6	0	2	*	*	5	·	1	5	6	5,148	132
	2011	0	2	3	5	*	*	1		2	3	5	4,951	129

					NUM BE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 7-8				
2009	12	47	56	63	1	1	17	0	54	62	116	18,178
2010	16	44	67	82	2	3	16	0	60	72	132	18,634
2011	9	41	72	90	2	4	20	0	54	75	129	19,053

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2009	87.3	78.9	81.3	72.2	75.0	84.9
7	2010	84.7	82.7	81.3	77.1	86.6	86.0
	2011	80.0	78.4	81.9	65.0	81.5	84.6
	2009	81.5	88.5	85.4	76.2	87.5	84.0
8	2010	79.7	79.3	77.8	61.7	84.8	79.9
	2011	87.0	89.0	86.7	72.5	87.5	85.1
	2009	84.7	83.2	83.1	74.0	80.6	84.5
7-8	2010	82.0	80.9	79.4	68.9	85.7	82.8
	2011	83.3	83.4	84.2	68.5	84.3	84.8

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	70.0	22.7	18.8	17.6	*	*	0.0		30.0	26.5	28.1	11.3	64
7	2010	100.0	31.6	43.8	47.7	*	*	16.7		44.8	45.5	45.2	14.7	62
	2011	*	37.5	25.6	25.0	*	*	22.2		44.4	22.0	30.9	15.1	68
	2009	*	28.0	41.7	48.3			0.0		41.7	35.7	38.5	15.4	52
8	2010	50.0	16.0	25.7	21.1	*	*	0.0		32.3	20.5	25.7	14.1	70
	2011	83.3	35.3	48.5	45.7	*	*	36.4		48.1	50.0	49.2	15.3	61
	2009	75.0	25.5	28.6	31.7	*	*	0.0		35.2	30.6	32.8	13.4	116
7-8	2010	68.8	22.7	34.3	35.4	*	*	6.3	·	38.3	31.9	34.8	14.4	132
	2011	66.7	36.6	36.1	35.6	*	*	30.0		46.3	34.7	39.5	15.2	129

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 7-8				
2009	12	47	56	63	1	1	17	0	54	62	116	18,178
2010	16	44	67	82	2	3	16	0	60	72	132	18,634
2011	9	41	72	90	2	4	20	0	54	75	129	19,053

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010-11.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	88.6	64
7	2010	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	90.6	62
	2011	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	89.9	68

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2009	10	22	32	34	1	1	8	0	30	34	64	8,988
2010	6	19	32	44	1	1	6	0	29	33	62	9,369
2011	3	24	39	44	1	1	9	0	27	41	68	9,507

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	0	0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	1,023	64
7	2010	0	0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	878	62
	2011	*	0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	964	68

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2009	10	22	32	34	1	1	8	0	30	34	64	8,988
2010	6	19	32	44	1	1	6	0	29	33	62	9,369
2011	3	24	39	44	1	1	9	0	27	41	68	9,507

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2009	2.7	92.2	91.6	90.9	89.2
7	2010	3.0	93.8	90.2	93.1	92.7
	2011	2.9	93.9	90.1	93.4	91.8

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	70.0	45.5	34.4	41.2	*	*	12.5		33.3	52.9	43.8	22.3	64
7	2010	83.3	63.2	75.0	65.9	*	*	50.0		62.1	78.8	71.0	26.3	62
	2011	*	75.0	64.1	68.2	*	*	55.6		59.3	78.0	70.6	24.8	68

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2009	10	22	32	34	1	1	8	0	30	34	64	8,988
2010	6	19	32	44	1	1	6	0	29	33	62	9,369
2011	3	24	39	44	1	1	9	0	27	41	68	9,507

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010-11.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	*		100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	88.3	52
8	2010	100.0	96.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	90.0		100.0	97.4	98.6	92.1	70
	2011	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	93.4	61

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8				
2009	2	25	24	28	1	0	10	0	24	28	52	9,233
2010	10	25	35	38	2	2	10	0	31	39	70	9,084
2011	6	17	33	46	1	3	11	0	27	34	61	9,324

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	0	0	0	*		0		0	0	0	1,078	52
8	2010	0	1	0	0	*	*	1		0	1	1	719	70
	2011	0	0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	615	61

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2009	2	25	24	28	1	0	10	0	24	28	52	9,233
2010	10	25	35	38	2	2	10	0	31	39	70	9,084
2011	6	17	33	46	1	3	11	0	27	34	61	9,324

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	lssues and Events in U.S. History	Geographic Influences on History	Economic and Social Influences on History	Political Influences on History	Critical-Thinking Skills
	2009	76.9	85.3	88.5	76.6	92.5
8	2010	70.8	82.4	87.0	72.7	83.0
	2011	72.8	83.6	83.6	73.8	82.8

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	28.0	33.3	42.9	*		20.0		41.7	25.0	32.7	31.7	52
8	2010	70.0	48.0	34.3	36.8	*	*	0.0		64.5	28.2	44.3	32.3	70
	2011	50.0	41.2	33.3	39.1	*	*	18.2		33.3	41.2	37.7	34.9	61

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2009	2	25	24	28	1	0	10	0	24	28	52	9,233
2010	10	0 25 35 38 2 2 10 0 31 39 70 9,084										
2011	6	6 17 33 46 1 3 11 0 27 34 61 9,324										

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010-11.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	88.0	92.0	100.0	*		70.0		91.7	89.7	90.6	59.2	53
8	2010	100.0	88.0	91.4	94.7	*	*	50.0		100.0	84.6	91.4	64.2	70
	2011	100.0	88.2	93.9	87.0	*	*	90.9		88.9	91.2	90.2	67.6	61

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2009	2	25	25	29	1	0	10	0	24	29	53	9,292
2010	10	0 25 35 38 2 2 10 0 31 39 70 9,112										
2011	6	6 17 33 46 1 3 11 0 27 34 61 9,368										

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 60 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	3	2	0	*		3		2	3	5	3,794	53
8	2010	0	3	3	2	*	*	5		0	6	6	3,261	70
	2011	0	2	2	6	*	*	1		3	3	6	3,032	61

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2009	2	25	25	29	1	0	10	0	24	29	53	9,292
2010	10	25 35 38 2 2 10 0 31 39 70 9,112										
2011	6	6 17 33 46 1 3 11 0 27 34 61 9,368										

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Living Systems and the Environment	Structures and Properties of Matter	Motion, Forces, and Energy	Earth and Space Systems
	2009	86.3	78.8	82.7	87.4	75.5
8	2010	87.7	86.7	81.9	79.3	80.0
	2011	89.3	85.5	88.0	85.5	77.0

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	32.0	32.0	31.0	*		0.0		29.2	34.5	32.1	13.3	53
8	2010	60.0	40.0	40.0	39.5	*	*	0.0		71.0	20.5	42.9	17.0	70
	2011	100.0	29.4	51.5	47.8	*	*	36.4		59.3	41.2	49.2	18.9	61

					NUM E	BER TESTI	D IN GRA	DES 8				
2009	2	25	25	29	1	0	10	0	24	29	53	9,292
2010	10	0 25 35 38 2 2 10 0 31 39 70 9,112										
2011	6	6 17 33 46 1 3 11 0 27 34 61 9,368										

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010-11.

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the ITBS

				READING T	OTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	45.5	88.4	79.6	33.6	54
8	2010	30.0	96.7	87.3	33.9	71
	2011	83.3	89.8	88.5	33.3	61

	2009	11	43	54	9,813	
Number Tested	2010	10	61	71	9,416	
100100	2011	12	49	61	9,423	

			M	ATHEM ATIC	S TOTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	81.8	100.0	96.3	48.3	54
8	2010	50.0	95.1	88.7	45.5	71
	2011	91.7	91.8	91.8	42.1	61

	2009	11	43	54	9,737	
Number Tested	2010	10	61	71	9,135	
100100	2011	12	49	61	9,262	

ITBS Read (1)

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	80.0	76.9	86.7	*	*	45.5		75.0	83.3	79.6	33.6	54
8	2010	100.0	84.6	85.7	89.5	*	*	30.0		96.8	80.0	87.3	33.9	71
	2011	100.0	94.1	81.8	84.8	*	*	83.3		85.2	91.2	88.5	33.3	61

	2009	2	25	26	30	2	1	11	0	24	30	54	9,813
Number Tested	2010	10	26	35	38	1	2	10	0	31	40	71	9,416
Tostoa	2011	6	17	33	46	1	3	12	0	27	34	61	9,423

ITBS Read (2)

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	5	3	5	*	*	0		3	6	9	575	54
8	2010	9	10	11	13	*	*	0		19	11	30	590	71
	2011	5	8	9	16	*	*	3		13	11	24	608	61

	2009	2	25	26	30	2	1	11	0	24	30	54	9,813
Number Tested	2010	10	26	35	38	1	2	10	0	31	40	71	9,416
Tostoa	2011	6	17	33	46	1	3	12	0	27	34	61	9,423

ITBS Math (1) ITBS: MATHEMATICS TOTAL

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	100.0	92.3	96.7	*	*	81.8		100.0	93.3	96.3	48.3	54
8	2010	100.0	84.6	88.6	84.2	*	*	50.0		93.5	85.0	88.7	45.5	71
	2011	100.0	88.2	90.9	91.3	*	*	91.7		92.6	91.2	91.8	42.1	61

	2009	2	25	26	30	2	1	11	0	24	30	54	9,737
Number Tested	2010	10	26	35	38	1	2	10	0	31	40	71	9,135
Toolog	2011	6	17	33	46	1	3	12	0	27	34	61	9,262

ITBS Math (2) ITBS: MATHEMATICS TOTAL

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	ΑII	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	9	13	13	*	*	2		12	12	24	1,219	54
8	2010	8	6	11	13	*	*	1		14	11	25	984	71
	2011	6	7	11	18	*	*	2		13	12	25	943	61

	2009	2	25	26	30	2	1	11	0	24	30	54	9,737
Number Tested	2010	10	26	35	38	1	2	10	0	31	40	71	9,135
Tested	2011	6	17	33	46	1	3	12	0	27	34	61	9,262

ITBS Math (3) ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	80.0	84.6	90.0	*	*	72.7		83.3	83.3	83.3	44.6	54
8	2010	90.0	57.7	45.7	42.1	*	*	50.0		64.5	50.0	56.3	37.3	71
	2011	83.3	58.8	54.5	56.5	*	*	58.3		55.6	55.9	55.7	34.4	61

	2009	2	25	26	30	2	1	11	0	24	30	54	10,012
Number Tested	2010	10	26	35	38	1	2	10	0	31	40	71	9,519
restea	2011	6	17	33	46	1	3	12	0	27	34	61	9,530

ITBS Math (4) ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	2	8	7	*	*	1		4	7	11	1,288	54
8	2010	6	4	4	6	*	*	2		5	9	14	860	71
	2011	2	1	3	5	*	*	0		2	4	6	755	61

	2009	2	25	26	30	2	1	11	0	24	30	54	10,012
Number Tested	2010	10	26	35	38	1	2	10	0	31	40	71	9,519
Toolog	2011	6	17	33	46	1	3	12	0	27	34	61	9,530

					SEMEST	ER 1						SEMESTER 2											
_		Averages					% Passing		District % Passing				Ave	rages		% Pa	ssing	District %	Passing				
	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course		Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course				
ALGEBRA I PRE-AP										ALGEBRA I PRE-AP													
	61	66.8	80.1	92.0	93.4	83.6	100.0	80.3	95.9		61	77.7	85.2	92.2	93.0	93.4	100.0	85.0	96.2				
СО	MPUTER APPLICATIONS										COMPUTER APPLICATIONS												
	40	73.2	82.1	90.1	90.9	92.5	100.0	71.0	94.6		40	72.6	81.7	88.8	89.5	95.0	100.0	70.6	94.1				
ENGLISH I											ENGLISH I												
	61	81.2	87.5	91.7	92.1	96.7	100.0	75.8	86.8		61	85.1	90.1	92.0	92.2	98.4	100.0	83.5	87.0				
HE	HEALTH EDUCATION											HEALTH EDUCATION											
	25	80.8	88.0	87.2	87.1	100.0	100.0	77.3	96.0		23	83.1	88.8	85.4	85.1	100.0	95.7	79.2	92.7				
LA	NGUAG	E ARTS 7 F	PRE-AP							LANGUAGE ARTS 7 PRE-AP													
	69	73.7	82.5	80.6	80.4	98.6	92.8	91.1	96.9		66	71.4	81.0	78.7	78.4	95.5	84.8	88.1	96.1				
MA	THEMA	TICS 7 PRI	E-AP							MATHEMATICS 7 PRE-AP													
	69	64.2	76.1	80.2	80.6	71.0	91.3	81.4	97.1		67	56.1	73.6	77.9	78.3	59.7	97.0	76.5	96.8				
sc	IENCE 7	& SCIENC	E 7 PRE	E-AP						SCIENCE 7 & SCIENCE 7 PRE-AP													
	69	76.7	86.0	79.3	78.6	100.0	85.5	71.0	88.8		67	80.9	87.3	78.8	77.9	100.0	89.6	76.4	89.0				
sc	SCIENCE 8 & SCIENCE 8 PRE-AP											SCIENCE 8 & SCIENCE 8 PRE-AP											
	61	71.9	83.8	82.3	82.1	96.7	98.4	65.7	89.3		61	81.6	87.7	82.7	82.2	98.4	96.7	74.9	91.6				
SP	SPANISH FOR NATIVE SPEAKERS I										SPANISH FOR NATIVE SPEAKERS I												
	16	50.8	72.7	82.6	83.7	68.8	100.0	73.3	87.2		16	54.6	72.2	83.8	85.0	56.3	100.0	70.5	87.8				
SP	SPANISH FOR NATIVE SPEAKERS II										SPANISH FOR NATIVE SPEAKERS II												
	16	59.6	75.7	86.5	87.7	87.5	100.0	75.9	91.1		16	58.5	73.5	85.4	86.8	75.0	100.0	76.4	92.5				
															,								

	_				SEMEST	ER 1				_	SEMESTER 2										
				% Pa	ssing	District % Passing				Ave	erages		% Pa	assing	District %	% Passing					
	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course			
SP	ANISH I	7							SPANISH I 7												
	11	69.8	79.8	86.9	87.7	90.9	100.0	83.6	96.0	11	58.9	72.6	86.7	88.3	36.4	100.0	81.9	93.9			
SP	SPANISH I 8										SPANISH I 8										
	5	*	*	*	*	*	*	77.3	98.0	5	*	*	*	*	*	*	79.0	96.1			
TE	XAS STU	JDIES 7 PI	RE-AP						TEXAS STUDIES 7 PRE-AP												
	69	56.8	71.2	85.0	86.5	49.3	98.6	74.2	96.2	67	64.8	76.5	82.1	82.7	80.6	98.5	81.4	96.9			
UN	ITED ST	ATES STU	JDIES 8 I	PRE-AP					UNITED STATES STUDIES 8 PRE-AP												
	61	72.1	81.4	89.3	90.2	85.2	98.4	90.4	96.6	61	75.4	83.6	87.4	87.9	90.2	96.7	95.5	96.8			