# DATA PACKET for 2011-12 planning



Dallas Independent School District

Evaluation & Accountability
Data Analysis, Reporting, and Research Services
https://mydata.dallasisd.org
OIR@dallasisd.org

**School Number 59** 

L. V. STOCKARD

Published July 27, 2011

2011-12 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2. Contents Table of Contents	3. Notes Notes and Data Descriptions
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
5. Summary Summary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6. Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7. Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8. Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
9. Teachers Teacher Statistics	
TAKS / TAKS (ACCOMMODATED)	
10. TAKS Read TAKS/TAKS(Acc) Reading	14. TAKS Math TAKS/TAKS(Acc) Mathematics
18. TAKS Writing TAKS/TAKS(Acc) Writing	22. TAKS SS TAKS/TAKS(Acc) Social Studies
26. TAKS Science TAKS/TAKS(Acc) Science	
TAKS-MODIFIED	
30. TAKS-M Read TAKS-M Reading/ELA	34. TAKS-M Math TAKS-M Mathematics
38. TAKS-M Writing TAKS-M Writing	42. TAKS-M SS TAKS-M Social Studies
46. TAKS-M Science TAKS-M Science	
ITBS / LOGRAMOS	
51. ITBS Read ITBS Reading	53. ITBS Math ITBS Mathematics
ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
57. WMLSWoodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	58. TELPASTexas English Language Proficiency Assessment System
LOCAL ASSESSMENT  59. ACP Assessments of Course Performance	
co. //ci//coccomonic of Codisc i chomidile	

L. V. STOCKARD (59)

# 2010-11 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- Additional statistics are available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- Race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethinicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes. Students reporting their ethinicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those who qualify for free or reduced-price lunch through the federal government's program.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

# **ENROLLMENT**

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- For 2010-11, race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethinicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes; students reporting their ethinicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category. For prior years, race/ethnicity is based on the previous categories.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2010-11. They are counted as new if not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2009-10 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2009-10 and 2010-11.

#### **ATTENDANCE**

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

# **TEACHERS**

- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Teacher absences counted towards the average DO NOT include vacation days (coded as VAC in personnel database).
- Retention rate for a school year is computed with numerator "# teachers assigned to the school both that year and the year prior" and denominator "# of teachers assigned to the school for the prior year". That is, the retention rate is the percentage of the prior year's teachers who continued at the school.

L. V. STOCKARD (59)

#### TAKS and TAKS-Modified

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- TAKS statistics are based on both TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated results. TAKS-M statistics are based exclusively on TAKS-M results.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2012, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, 8) in any year are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

# SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Mathematics Total results used for data packets are combinations of the Mathematics Concepts, Problems, and Computation subtest results. For schools who did not administer Mathematics Concepts or Mathematics Problems to their students, Mathematics Computation statistics are provided separately.

# **TELPAS**

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2010 to 2011, the TEA did not report the 2010 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2011, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2010.

# **ACP**

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- The ACPs are given as final course exams in grades 7-12. They account for a portion of students' final semester grades: 15 percent for high school and 10 percent for middle school. "Average course mark (no ACP)" is computed from semester grades before factoring in ACP scores.
- Raw scores on the ACPs (percentage of items correct) are scaled each year to maintain similar annual passing rates for the district.
- A scale score of at least 70 percent is required to pass an ACP. A course mark of at least 70 percent is required to pass a course.

# STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
7	424
8	439
ALL	863

# STUDENT AND TEACHER RACE/ETHNICITY

Ethnicity/Page	Stud	lents	Tead	hers
Ethnicity/Race	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Black/African American	34	3.9	21	35.0
American Indian/Alaska Native	2	0.2	*	*
Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	1	0.1	*	*
Hispanic	817	94.7	13	21.7
White	5	0.6	22	36.7
Multiple	4	0.5	2	3.3
Other* (teachers only)	_	_	2	3.3
Not reported (students only)	0	0.0	_	_

<sup>\*</sup>For teachers, "Other" category includes American Indican/Alaska Native and Asian/Haw aiian/Pacific Islander.

#### SELECT STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Group	Number	Percent
At-Risk	469	54.3
Economically disadvantaged	796	92.2
Limited English proficient (LEP)	229	26.5
Special education	77	8.9
Talented and Gifted (TAG)	119	13.8

		African American		American Indian		Asian		Hispanic		White		Multiple category		
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2009	433	14	3.2	2	0.5	0	0.0	413	95.4	4	0.9	_	_
7	2010	414	21	5.1	0	0.0	2	0.5	386	93.2	5	1.2	_	_
	2011	424	17	4.0	1	0.2	0	0.0	403	95.0	2	0.5	1	0.2
	2009	431	11	2.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	411	95.4	9	2.1	_	_
8	2010	419	9	2.1	1	0.2	0	0.0	406	96.9	3	0.7	_	_
	2011	439	17	3.9	1	0.2	1	0.2	414	94.3	3	0.7	3	0.7
	2009	864	25	2.9	2	0.2	0	0.0	824	95.4	13	1.5	_	_
7-8	2010	833	30	3.6	1	0.1	2	0.2	792	95.1	8	1.0	_	_
	2011	863	34	3.9	2	0.2	1	0.1	817	94.7	5	0.6	4	0.5

			Economically Disadvantaged		LEP		Special E	Special Education		At Rlsk		TAG		New (to District)	
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2009	433	397	91.7	118	27.3	38	8.8	275	63.5	46	10.6	20	4.6	0.0
7	2010	414	378	91.3	118	28.5	32	7.7	233	56.3	51	12.3	21	5.1	0.7
	2011	424	395	93.2	138	32.5	45	10.6	238	56.1	61	14.4	28	6.6	0.0
	2009	431	377	87.5	121	28.1	24	5.6	304	70.5	36	8.4	26	6.0	4.9
8	2010	419	384	91.6	114	27.2	29	6.9	263	62.8	42	10.0	24	5.7	1.2
	2011	439	401	91.3	91	20.7	32	7.3	231	52.6	58	13.2	21	4.8	1.1
	2009	864	774	89.6	239	27.7	62	7.2	579	67.0	82	9.5	46	5.3	2.4
7-8	2010	833	762	91.5	232	27.9	61	7.3	496	59.5	93	11.2	45	5.4	1.0
	2011	863	796	92.2	229	26.5	77	8.9	469	54.3	119	13.8	49	5.7	0.6

Attendance Student Attendance Statistics

Grade	Year	Average Daily Membership (N)		Average Daily Attendance				Yearly Transactions			Continuously Enrolled (N)		Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	District	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	District (N)	District (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	District (%)	Campus	District	Campus	District
	2009	434.2	10,135.8	417.5	96.2	9,601.8	94.7	63	14.5	26.0	394	8,389	90.7	82.8
7	2010	408.8	10,258.0	387.2	94.7	9,636.4	93.9	57	13.9	22.4	350	8,310	85.6	81.0
	2011	409.4	10,058.0	393.4	96.1	9,588.9	95.3	75	18.3	22.8	368	8,791	89.9	87.4
	2009	436.9	10,551.1	413.8	94.7	9,945.8	94.3	77	17.6	28.2	378	8,477	86.5	80.3
8	2010	413.7	9,995.5	391.9	94.7	9,325.9	93.3	37	8.9	15.6	363	7,894	87.8	79.0
	2011	430.5	9,906.7	409.8	95.2	9,388.4	94.8	15	3.5	17.7	385	8,465	89.4	85.4
	2009	871.2	20,686.9	831.3	95.4	19,547.6	94.5	140	16.1	27.1	772	16,866	88.6	81.5
7-8	2010	822.4	20,253.5	779.1	94.7	18,962.4	93.6	94	11.4	19.1	713	16,204	86.7	80.0
	2011	839.9	19,964.7	803.2	95.6	18,977.3	95.1	90	10.7	20.3	753	17,256	89.6	86.4

Teachers Teacher Statistics

# Teachers: 60

# **DISTRIBUTIONS**

Ethnicity/Race	Number	Percentage			
African American	21	35.0			
Hispanic	13	21.7			
White	22	36.7			
Multiple categories	2	3.3			
Other	2	3.3			

Gender	Number	Percentage			
Female	40	66.7			
Male	20	33.3			

# **ATTENDANCE / RETENTION**

	Average Absences	Retention Rate
2008-09	6.6	NA
2009-10	9.1	NA
2010-11	8.1	96.7

# YEARS EXPERIENCE

Years	Number	Percentage				
Beginning (0)	0	0.0				
1	6	10.0				
2	7	11.7				
3	6	10.0				
4	5	8.3				
5	1	1.7				
1-3	19	31.7				
More than 3	41	68.3				
1 - 5	25	41.7				
6 - 10	14	23.3				
11 - 20	15	25.0				
More than 20	6	10.0				

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	60.0	80.1	81.0	42.9	61.2	70.7		83.1	75.9	79.7	77.1	408
7	2010	*	85.7	83.0	82.1	30.0	66.3	73.4	*	82.6	83.2	82.9	77.1	380
	2011	*	75.0	79.9	79.6	34.5	66.9	68.1		76.5	82.5	79.5	77.2	404
	2009	87.5	100.0	88.1	87.6	30.0	70.6	84.3		86.0	91.4	88.4	91.2	415
8	2010	*	66.7	90.3	89.5	65.0	76.2	85.5		90.4	89.2	89.9	88.9	404
	2011	*	86.7	93.1	92.9	60.0	76.9	86.5	*	90.1	95.5	93.0	88.2	427
	2009	91.7	81.8	84.1	84.3	36.6	65.9	77.9		84.6	83.5	84.1	84.3	823
7-8	2010	87.5	78.3	86.8	85.9	53.3	71.4	80.1	*	86.9	86.0	86.5	82.9	784
	2011	*	80.6	86.7	86.3	43.2	70.8	77.0	*	83.3	89.4	86.4	82.7	831

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 7-8				
2009	12	22	786	732	41	205	552	0	441	382	823	18,508
2010	8	23	750	717	30	199	462	1	397	387	784	18,655
2011	5	31	788	776	44	202	460	1	407	424	831	19,024

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	4	78	70	12	40	76		36	47	83	2,065	408
7	2010	*	2	61	62	7	33	55	*	31	34	65	2,164	380
	2011	*	4	77	78	19	41	76		48	35	83	2,173	404
	2009	1	0	47	45	14	30	46		32	16	48	834	415
8	2010	*	3	38	39	7	24	37		21	20	41	1,028	404
	2011	*	2	28	28	6	18	30	*	20	10	30	1,116	427
	2009	1	4	125	115	26	70	122		68	63	131	2,899	823
7-8	2010	1	5	99	101	14	57	92	*	52	54	106	3,192	784
	2011	*	6	105	106	25	59	106	*	68	45	113	3,289	831

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	O IN GRAD	DES 7-8				
2009	12	22	786	732	41	205	552	0	441	382	823	18,508
2010	8	23	750	717	30	199	462	1	397	387	784	18,655
2011	5	31	788	776	44	202	460	1	407	424	831	19,024

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2009	80.2	83.4	78.1	76.6
7	2010	81.1	74.4	76.7	72.8
	2011	80.8	71.5	71.7	73.7
	2009	85.9	82.7	82.0	84.5
8	2010	87.7	82.8	86.2	80.6
	2011	86.3	84.5	85.5	83.7
	2009	83.1	83.0	80.0	80.6
7-8	2010	84.5	78.7	81.6	76.8
	2011	83.6	78.2	78.8	78.8

# PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	0.0	19.1	19.3	4.8	10.7	10.4		19.2	18.5	18.9	19.6	408
7	2010	*	21.4	14.5	13.0	0.0	4.1	5.3	*	14.6	15.3	15.0	17.2	380
	2011	*	18.8	10.7	10.2	0.0	4.0	3.8		9.3	12.5	10.9	17.9	404
	2009	50.0	16.7	32.0	31.9	0.0	9.8	17.1		29.4	34.8	31.8	36.6	415
8	2010	*	0.0	27.9	27.8	5.0	11.9	13.7		24.7	30.3	27.2	31.9	404
	2011	*	40.0	26.7	27.5	13.3	7.7	13.5	*	22.7	31.7	27.4	31.2	427
	2009	41.7	9.1	25.6	25.5	2.4	10.2	13.9		24.5	26.4	25.4	28.3	823
7-8	2010	37.5	13.0	21.5	20.6	3.3	8.0	10.0	*	20.2	22.5	21.3	24.5	784
	2011	*	29.0	18.9	18.9	4.5	5.4	8.5	*	16.0	22.6	19.4	24.6	831

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 7-8				
2009	12	22	786	732	41	205	552	0	441	382	823	18,508
2010	8	23	750	717	30	199	462	1	397	387	784	18,655
2011	5	31	788	776	44	202	460	1	407	424	831	19,024

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010-11.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	36.4	76.6	75.7	47.6	66.0	66.3		80.0	71.3	75.9	67.2	410
7	2010	*	71.4	78.9	77.6	44.4	69.4	67.2	*	80.6	77.2	78.8	68.5	377
	2011	*	75.0	79.5	79.7	53.3	68.5	67.4		78.5	79.1	78.8	69.7	406
	2009	75.0	61.5	80.6	79.1	83.3	70.6	73.4		81.7	77.9	80.0	72.3	420
8	2010	*	77.8	85.2	85.8	75.0	70.9	79.0	*	87.3	82.8	85.2	76.4	406
	2011	*	86.7	89.1	89.5	86.7	80.0	81.4	*	86.6	91.5	89.2	78.3	425
	2009	83.3	50.0	78.6	77.4	66.7	68.3	70.1		80.9	74.5	78.0	69.8	830
7-8	2010	100.0	73.9	82.2	81.8	65.5	70.1	73.8	*	84.3	79.9	82.1	72.4	783
	2011	*	80.6	84.4	84.6	64.4	72.9	74.1	*	82.6	85.6	84.1	74.0	831

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 7-8				
2009	12	24	790	740	45	205	558	0	445	385	830	18,178
2010	8	23	749	716	29	201	461	2	395	388	783	18,634
2011	5	31	787	774	45	199	459	1	407	424	831	19,053

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 65 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	7	92	90	11	35	88		43	56	99	2,966	410
7	2010	*	4	75	77	5	30	67	*	34	46	80	2,975	377
	2011	*	4	79	78	14	39	78		44	42	86	2,895	406
	2009	2	5	77	77	4	30	79		42	42	84	2,530	420
8	2010	*	2	58	53	5	30	54	*	28	32	60	2,173	406
	2011	*	2	44	41	2	15	41	*	27	19	46	2,056	425
	2009	2	12	169	167	15	65	167		85	98	183	5,496	830
7-8	2010	0	6	133	130	10	60	121	*	62	78	140	5,148	783
	2011	*	6	123	119	16	54	119	*	71	61	132	4,951	831

					NUM BE	ER TESTE	O IN GRAD	DES 7-8				
2009	12	24	790	740	45	205	558	0	445	385	830	18,178
2010	8	23	749	716	29	201	461	2	395	388	783	18,634
2011	5	31	787	774	45	199	459	1	407	424	831	19,053

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2009	76.0	68.6	77.7	66.8	62.3	72.5
7	2010	70.4	69.2	76.7	59.7	71.0	72.1
	2011	72.6	68.0	77.5	57.0	69.0	73.1
	2009	69.1	74.1	73.3	57.0	69.3	70.8
8	2010	74.0	74.7	73.5	59.9	77.1	70.9
	2011	72.9	74.5	76.0	60.8	78.6	71.0
	2009	72.5	71.4	75.5	61.9	65.8	71.6
7-8	2010	72.3	72.0	75.1	59.8	74.2	71.4
	2011	72.8	71.3	76.7	58.9	73.9	72.0

#### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	0.0	15.3	14.8	9.5	7.8	8.4		18.1	11.8	15.1	11.3	410
7	2010	*	0.0	16.3	14.6	11.1	9.2	8.3	*	18.3	13.4	15.6	14.7	377
	2011	*	6.3	19.7	18.8	6.7	12.1	11.7		18.0	20.4	19.2	15.1	406
	2009	12.5	7.7	13.6	14.1	0.0	3.9	5.4		13.9	12.6	13.3	15.4	420
8	2010	*	11.1	17.0	16.6	5.0	7.8	7.4	*	18.6	15.1	17.0	14.1	406
	2011	*	0.0	15.9	14.6	0.0	4.0	5.5	*	16.8	13.9	15.3	15.3	425
	2009	25.0	4.2	14.4	14.5	4.4	5.9	6.8		16.0	12.2	14.2	13.4	830
7-8	2010	12.5	4.3	16.7	15.6	6.9	8.5	7.8	*	18.5	14.2	16.3	14.4	783
	2011	*	3.2	17.8	16.7	4.4	9.0	8.7	*	17.4	17.0	17.2	15.2	831

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	ES 7-8				
2009	12	24	790	740	45	205	558	0	445	385	830	18,178
2010	8	23	749	716	29	201	461	2	395	388	783	18,634
2011	5	31	787	774	45	199	459	1	407	424	831	19,053

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010-11.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	72.7	88.5	88.5	66.7	75.5	81.9		87.4	89.1	88.2	88.6	406
7	2010	*	93.3	94.2	94.0	77.8	88.4	91.8	*	91.7	96.5	94.2	90.6	381
	2011	*	87.5	94.7	94.2	75.9	90.2	90.7		93.5	95.5	94.5	89.9	401

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2009	3	11	390	366	18	102	259	0	214	192	406	8,988
2010	4	15	360	348	9	95	208	1	181	200	381	9,369
2011	2	16	380	381	29	122	236	0	200	201	401	9,507

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	3	45	42	6	25	47		27	21	48	1,023	406
7	2010	*	1	21	21	2	11	17	*	15	7	22	878	381
	2011	*	2	20	22	7	12	22		13	9	22	964	401

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2009	3	11	390	366	18	102	259	0	214	192	406	8,988
2010	4	15	360	348	9	95	208	1	181	200	381	9,369
2011	2	16	380	381	29	122	236	0	200	201	401	9,507

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions <sup>1</sup>	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2009	2.3	76.8	77.1	80.0	78.9
7	2010	2.4	79.3	72.0	80.8	83.4
	2011	2.4	80.2	74.5	81.2	84.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

#### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	9.1	15.4	15.8	5.6	2.9	6.2		8.9	22.4	15.3	22.3	406
7	2010	*	6.7	25.0	23.0	11.1	7.4	11.1	*	20.4	27.5	24.1	26.3	381
	2011	*	50.0	21.3	22.3	3.4	9.8	11.0		16.5	28.9	22.7	24.8	401

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2009	3	11	390	366	18	102	259	0	214	192	406	8,988
2010	4	15	360	348	9	95	208	1	181	200	381	9,369
2011	2	16	380	381	29	122	236	0	200	201	401	9,507

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010-11.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	100.0	91.7	86.8	86.1	60.0	73.1	82.1		85.5	89.4	87.3	88.3	401
8	2010	*	75.0	94.3	93.7	80.0	87.9	91.1		93.9	94.1	94.0	92.1	399
	2011	*	93.8	96.2	96.1	70.6	90.8	93.0	*	94.4	97.7	96.2	93.4	420

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8				
2009	8	12	379	353	20	93	279	0	221	180	401	9,233
2010	3	8	387	367	20	99	257	0	213	186	399	9,084
2011	3	16	396	384	17	76	215	1	198	222	420	9,324

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	0	1	50	49	8	25	50		32	19	51	1,078	401
8	2010	*	2	22	23	4	12	23		13	11	24	719	399
	2011	*	1	15	15	5	7	15	*	11	5	16	615	420

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8						
2009	8	12         379         353         20         93         279         0         221         180         401         9,233												
2010	3	8	387	367	20	99	257	0	213	186	399	9,084		
2011	3	16	396	384	17	76	215	1	198	222	420	9,324		

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	lssues and Events in U.S. History	Geographic Influences on History	Economic and Social Influences on History	Political Influences on History	Critical-Thinking Skills
	2009	73.1	76.8	74.7	69.0	81.7
8	2010	68.7	75.3	75.0	69.7	70.6
	2011	70.5	77.8	77.3	70.0	73.0

#### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	25.0	25.0	28.5	29.2	10.0	12.9	14.0		30.8	25.0	28.2	31.7	401
8	2010	*	12.5	29.5	29.2	20.0	13.1	17.1		33.8	24.2	29.3	32.3	399
	2011	*	37.5	27.5	27.3	23.5	13.2	14.9	*	33.8	22.5	27.9	34.9	420

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2009	8	12	379	353	20	93	279	0	221	180	401	9,233
2010	3	8	387	367	20	99	257	0	213	186	399	9,084
2011	3	16	396	384	17	76	215	1	198	222	420	9,324

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010-11.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	75.0	50.0	54.7	54.7	30.0	38.7	41.5		55.8	54.4	55.2	59.2	406
8	2010	*	55.6	67.6	67.3	60.0	47.0	55.2		73.6	60.8	67.7	64.2	402
	2011	*	62.5	75.8	75.0	16.7	53.2	58.7	*	76.2	73.9	75.0	67.6	424

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2009	8	12	384	358	20	93	282	0	224	182	406	9,292
2010	3	9	389	370	20	100	259	0	216	186	402	9,112
2011	3	16	400	388	18	77	218	1	202	222	424	9,368

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 60 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	2	6	174	162	14	57	165		99	83	182	3,794	406
8	2010	*	4	126	121	8	53	116		57	73	130	3,261	402
	2011	*	6	97	97	15	36	90	*	48	58	106	3,032	424

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2009	8	12	384	358	20	93	282	0	224	182	406	9,292
2010	3	9	389	370	20	100	259	0	216	186	402	9,112
2011	3	16	400	388	18	77	218	1	202	222	424	9,368

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Living Systems and the Environment	Structures and Properties of Matter	Motion, Forces, and Energy	Earth and Space Systems
	2009	71.3	65.7	61.5	71.2	59.0
8	2010	75.7	73.7	63.5	65.5	69.3
	2011	77.3	77.6	69.5	67.3	68.0

#### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	0.0	8.3	7.8	8.7	0.0	2.2	1.8		8.5	6.6	7.6	13.3	406
8	2010	*	11.1	13.4	12.7	5.0	5.0	5.4		16.2	9.7	13.2	17.0	402
	2011	*	0.0	16.5	15.7	5.6	2.6	5.0	*	20.3	12.2	16.0	18.9	424

					NUM E	BER TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 8				
2009	8	12	384	358	20	93	282	0	224	182	406	9,292
2010	3	9	389	370	20	100	259	0	216	186	402	9,112
2011	3	16	400	388	18	77	218	1	202	222	424	9,368

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknow ledgment Standard for 2010-11.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009		*	91.7	84.6	86.7	*	84.6		100.0	66.7	86.7	72.6	15
7	2010	*	*	83.3	83.3	85.7	83.3	84.6		100.0	66.7	85.7	66.6	14
	2011			84.6	90.0	84.6	83.3	80.0		80.0	*	84.6	68.7	13
	2009			92.3	90.0	92.9	88.9	92.9		90.0	*	92.9	77.8	14
8	2010			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	78.3	5
	2011			100.0	100.0	100.0	*	100.0		100.0	*	100.0	85.2	8
	2009		*	92.0	87.0	89.7	92.9	88.9		94.7	80.0	89.7	75.2	29
7-8	2010	*	*	88.2	88.2	89.5	88.9	88.9	·	100.0	75.0	89.5	72.5	19
	2011	·		90.0	93.8	90.5	90.9	88.9		87.5	*	90.5	76.6	21

					NUM BE	R TESTE	D IN GRAD	ES 7-8				
2009	0	3	25	23	29	14	27	0	19	10	29	1,306
2010	1	1	17	17	19	9	18	0	11	8	19	968
2011	0	0	20	16	21	11	18	0	16	5	21	787

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009		*	1	2	2	*	2		0	2	2	181	15
7	2010	*	*	2	2	2	1	2		0	2	2	160	14
	2011			2	1	2	1	2		2	*	2	128	13
	2009			1	1	1	1	1		1	*	1	143	14
8	2010			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	106	5
	2011			0	0	0	*	0		0	*	0	56	8
	2009		*	2	3	3	1	3		1	2	3	324	29
7-8	2010	*	*	2	2	2	1	2		0	2	2	266	19
	2011	·		2	1	2	1	2	·	2	*	2	184	21

					NUM BE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 7-8				
2009	0	3	25	23	29	14	27	0	19	10	29	1,306
2010	1	1	17	17	19	9	18	0	11	8	19	968
2011	0	0	20	16	21	11	18	0	16	5	21	787

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2009	82.0	75.8	80.0	75.6
7	2010	58.6	63.4	58.0	67.3
	2011	64.6	56.7	52.9	54.5
	2009	80.7	72.3	77.7	61.3
8	2010	*	*	*	*
	2011	80.0	70.3	67.2	65.6
	2009	81.4	74.1	78.9	68.7
7-8	2010	60.0	67.1	61.2	68.9
	2011	70.5	61.9	58.3	58.7

#### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009		*	41.7	30.8	33.3	*	30.8		33.3	33.3	33.3	8.9	15
7	2010	*	*	16.7	16.7	14.3	16.7	7.7		12.5	16.7	14.3	9.8	14
	2011			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	8.3	13
	2009			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	7.1	14
8	2010			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	8.6	5
	2011			0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	14.8	8
	2009		*	20.0	17.4	17.2	14.3	14.8		15.8	20.0	17.2	8.0	29
7-8	2010	*	*	11.8	11.8	10.5	11.1	5.6		9.1	12.5	10.5	9.2	19
	2011			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	11.4	21

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 7-8											
2009	0	3	25	23	29	14	27	0	19	10	29	1,306	
2010	1	1	17	17	19	9	18	0	11	8	19	968	
2011	0	0	20	16	21	11	18	0	16	5	21	787	

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010-11.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009		*	81.8	72.7	78.6	*	75.0		85.7	71.4	78.6	62.0	14
7	2010	*	*	75.0	75.0	78.6	66.7	84.6		62.5	100.0	78.6	59.5	14
	2011			66.7	70.0	66.7	83.3	55.6		55.6	*	66.7	60.2	12
	2009			80.0	71.4	80.0	100.0	80.0		71.4	*	80.0	67.1	10
8	2010			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	63.0	5
	2011			100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	*	100.0	74.8	8
	2009		*	81.0	72.2	79.2	100.0	77.3		78.6	80.0	79.2	64.5	24
7-8	2010	*	*	82.4	82.4	84.2	71.4	88.9		70.0	100.0	84.2	61.3	19
	2011			80.0	82.4	80.0	91.7	76.5		73.3	*	80.0	67.5	20

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 7-8				
2009	0	3	21	18	24	12	22	0	14	10	24	1,290
2010	1	1	17	17	19	7	18	0	10	9	19	1,015
2011	0	0	20	17	20	12	17	0	15	5	20	802

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 65 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009		*	2	3	3	*	3		1	2	3	248	14
7	2010	*	*	3	3	3	2	2		3	0	3	200	14
	2011			4	3	4	1	4		4	*	4	160	12
	2009			2	2	2	0	2		2	*	2	210	10
8	2010			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	193	5
	2011			0	0	0	0	0		0	*	0	101	8
	2009		*	4	5	5	0	5		3	2	5	458	24
7-8	2010	*	*	3	3	3	2	2		3	0	3	393	19
	2011			4	3	4	1	4		4	*	4	261	20

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 7-8				
2009	0	3	21	18	24	12	22	0	14	10	24	1,290
2010	1	1	17	17	19	7	18	0	10	9	19	1,015
2011	0	0	20	17	20	12	17	0	15	5	20	802

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2009	45.5	58.9	63.1	64.3	45.2	70.2
7	2010	51.8	52.7	48.8	66.1	63.1	66.7
	2011	45.8	50.0	62.5	47.9	44.4	56.9
	2009	60.0	70.0	60.0	57.5	60.0	55.0
8	2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2011	62.5	70.3	41.7	56.3	68.8	60.9
	2009	51.6	63.5	61.8	61.5	51.4	63.9
7-8	2010	53.9	53.9	52.6	56.6	60.5	64.9
	2011	52.5	58.1	54.2	51.3	54.2	58.5

## PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009		*	18.2	9.1	14.3	*	16.7		14.3	14.3	14.3	8.0	14
7	2010	*	*	0.0	8.3	7.1	0.0	7.7		0.0	16.7	7.1	5.3	14
	2011			8.3	10.0	8.3	0.0	0.0		11.1	*	8.3	9.5	12
	2009			30.0	14.3	30.0	28.6	30.0		42.9	*	30.0	5.8	10
8	2010			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	3.5	5
	2011			12.5	14.3	12.5	0.0	12.5		16.7	*	12.5	6.0	8
	2009		*	23.8	11.1	20.8	33.3	22.7		28.6	10.0	20.8	6.9	24
7-8	2010	*	*	0.0	5.9	5.3	0.0	5.6		0.0	11.1	5.3	4.3	19
	2011	·		10.0	11.8	10.0	0.0	5.9		13.3	*	10.0	7.7	20

					NUM BE	R TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 7-8				
2009	0	3	21	18	24	12	22	0	14	10	24	1,290
2010	1	1	17	17	19	7	18	0	10	9	19	1,015
2011	0	0	20	17	20	12	17	0	15	5	20	802

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010-11.

#### PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009		*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16
7	2010	*	*	50.0	50.0	50.0	42.9	46.2		33.3	*	50.0	65.2	14
	2011			66.7	66.7	66.7	71.4	70.0		55.6	*	66.7	57.9	12

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2009	0	3	13	13	16	7	14	0	8	8	16	691
2010	1	1	12	12	14	7	13	0	9	5	14	517
2011	0	0	12	9	12	7	10	0	9	3	12	401

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

## NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009		*	13	13	16	7	14		8	8	16	691	16
7	2010	*	*	6	6	7	4	7		6	*	7	180	14
	2011			4	3	4	2	3		4	*	4	169	12

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2009	0	3	13	13	16	7	14	0	8	8	16	691
2010	1	1	12	12	14	7	13	0	9	5	14	517
2011	0	0	12	9	12	7	10	0	9	3	12	401

## AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions <sup>1</sup>	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2009	1.6	75.0	52.3	71.3	60.6
7	2010	1.4	64.3	51.8	60.0	46.4
	2011	1.6	70.8	56.3	50.8	52.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

#### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009		*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16
7	2010	*	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	3.1	14
	2011			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	3.0	12

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2009	0	3	13	13	16	7	14	0	8	8	16	691
2010	1	1	12	12	14	7	13	0	9	5	14	517
2011	0	0	12	9	12	7	10	0	9	3	12	401

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010-11.

## PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	14
8	2010			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	62.0	5
	2011			87.5	85.7	87.5	*	87.5		83.3	*	87.5	62.1	8

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2009	0	0	13	10	14	9	14	0	10	4	14	618
2010	0	0	5	5	5	3	5	0	3	2	5	492
2011	0	0	8	7	8	5	8	0	6	2	8	356

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

## NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009			13	10	14	9	14		10	*	14	618	14
8	2010			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	187	5
	2011			1	1	1	*	1		1	*	1	135	8

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8					
2009	0	0	13	10	14	9	14	0	10	4	14	618	
2010	0	0	5	5	5	3	5	0	3	2	5	492	
2011	0	0 8 7 8 5 8 0 6 2 8 356											

## AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	lssues and Events in U.S. History	Geographic Influences on History	Economic and Social Influences on History	Political Influences on History	Critical-Thinking Skills
	2009	62.9	61.4	73.5	68.6	75.0
8	2010	*	*	*	*	*
	2011	77.5	85.0	64.3	63.8	79.2

## PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	14
8	2010			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	4.9	5
	2011			0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	9.0	8

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2009	0	0	13	10	14	9	14	0	10	4	14	618
2010	0	0	5	5	5	3	5	0	3	2	5	492
2011	0	0	8	7	8	5	8	0	6	2	8	356

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknow ledgment Standard for 2010-11.

# PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009			76.9	70.0	78.6	66.7	78.6		90.0	*	78.6	40.1	14
8	2010			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	49.6	5
	2011			25.0	14.3	25.0	*	25.0		0.0	*	25.0	55.6	8

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2009	0	0	13	10	14	9	14	0	10	4	14	631
2010	0	0	5	5	5	3	5	0	3	2	5	502
2011	0	0	8	7	8	5	8	0	6	2	8	369

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 60 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

## NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009			3	3	3	3	3		1	*	3	378	14
8	2010			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	253	5
	2011			6	6	6	*	6		6	*	6	164	8

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2009	0	0	13	10	14	9	14	0	10	4	14	631
2010	0	0	5	5	5	3	5	0	3	2	5	502
2011	0	0	8	7	8	5	8	0	6	2	8	369

## AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Living Systems and the Environment	Structures and Properties of Matter	Motion, Forces, and Energy	Earth and Space Systems
	2009	74.7	72.1	74.3	65.7	64.3
8	2010	*	*	*	*	*
	2011	44.3	52.5	35.0	62.5	54.2

#### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009			7.7	0.0	7.1	11.1	7.1		10.0	*	7.1	2.1	14
8	2010			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	2.2	5
	2011			0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	5.1	8

		NUM BER TESTED IN GRADE 8													
2009	0	0	13	10	14	9	14	0	10	4	14	631			
2010	0	0	5	5	5	3	5	0	3	2	5	502			
2011	0	0	8	7	8	5	8	0	6	2	8	369			

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknow ledgment Standard for 2010-11.

# PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the ITBS

				READING T	OTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	15.4	61.9	28.6	33.6	398
8	2010	16.3	61.5	32.1	33.9	386
	2011	13.3	43.2	27.7	33.3	393

	2009	285	113	398	9,813	
Number Tested	2010	251	135	386	9,416	
	2011	203	190	393	9,423	

			M	ATHEM ATIC	S TOTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	32.5	84.1	47.2	48.3	396
8	2010	61.5	90.7	72.0	45.5	300
	2011	26.9	68.6	47.3	42.1	385

	2009	283	113	396	9,737	
Number Tested	2010	192	108	300	9,135	
Tested	2011	197	188	385	9,262	

ITBS Read (1) ITBS: READING TOTAL

# PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	27.3	28.7	29.1	0.0	8.5	15.4	*	28.1	29.3	28.6	33.6	398
8	2010	*	20.0	32.1	32.0	4.3	8.1	16.3	28.6	33.7	30.5	32.1	33.9	386
	2011	*	28.6	27.7	28.0	7.7	10.0	13.3	*	30.4	25.4	27.7	33.3	393

	2009	4	11	383	347	23	106	285	1	224	174	398	9,813
Number Tested	2010	4	10	371	344	23	99	251	7	199	187	386	9,416
	2011	3	14	372	357	13	70	203	1	184	209	393	9,423

ITBS Read (2) ITBS: READING TOTAL

# NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	0	7	6	0	0	0	*	4	3	7	575	398
8	2010	*	0	6	5	0	0	1	0	4	2	6	590	386
	2011	*	0	10	10	0	0	1	*	6	4	10	608	393

	2009	4	11	383	347	23	106	285	1	224	174	398	9,813
Number Tested	2010	4	10	371	344	23	99	251	7	199	187	386	9,416
	2011	3	14	372	357	13	70	203	1	184	209	393	9,423

ITBS Math (1) ITBS: MATHEMATICS TOTAL

# PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	45.5	47.2	48.1	4.5	21.9	32.5	*	47.1	47.4	47.2	48.3	396
8	2010	*	50.0	72.5	73.3	5.9	52.7	61.5	50.0	73.4	70.4	72.0	45.5	300
	2011	*	35.7	47.3	47.0	25.0	25.0	26.9	*	48.4	46.3	47.3	42.1	385

	2009	4	11	381	345	22	105	283	1	223	173	396	9,737
Number Tested	2010	2	10	287	270	17	74	192	6	158	142	300	9,135
restea	2011	3	14	364	349	12	68	197	1	182	203	385	9,262

ITBS Math (2) ITBS: MATHEMATICS TOTAL

# NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	1	30	29	0	1	3	*	16	16	32	1,219	396
8	2010	*	2	73	68	0	6	23	1	48	30	78	984	300
	2011	*	1	33	32	0	1	5	*	23	12	35	943	385

	2009	4	11	381	345	22	105	283	1	223	173	396	9,737
Number Tested	2010	2	10	287	270	17	74	192	6	158	142	300	9,135
	2011	3	14	364	349	12	68	197	1	182	203	385	9,262

ITBS Math (3) ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

# PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	45.5	43.3	44.0	40.9	27.7	34.4	*	42.7	44.4	43.5	44.6	405
8	2010	*	70.0	64.3	65.8	24.0	48.6	56.0	33.3	63.3	66.5	64.8	37.3	401
	2011	*	26.7	36.9	36.8	21.4	31.4	25.7	*	35.1	38.8	37.1	34.4	394

	2009	4	11	390	352	22	112	291	1	227	178	405	10,012
Number Tested	2010	3	10	387	360	25	105	259	6	207	194	401	9,519
restea	2011	4	15	371	356	14	70	202	1	185	209	394	9,530

ITBS Math (4) ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

# NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	0	28	26	0	2	7	*	13	16	29	1,288	405
8	2010	*	3	110	104	1	18	51	1	58	57	115	860	401
	2011	*	1	38	36	0	1	10	*	20	20	40	755	394

	2009	4	11	390	352	22	112	291	1	227	178	405	10,012
Number Tested	2010	3	10	387	360	25	105	259	6	207	194	401	9,519
Tostoa	2011	4	15	371	356	14	70	202	1	185	209	394	9,530

## PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1	in 2010	Level 2	in 2010	Level 3	in 2010	Levels 1-3 in 2010			
Grade	Number Percentage Tested Improved Both Years in 2011		Number Percentage Tested Improved Both Years in 2011		Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2011	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2011		
7	2	50.0	3 66.7		0	-	5	60.0		
8	4	25.0	5	60.0	0	-	9	44.4		
ALL	6 33.3		8	62.5	0	-	14	50.0		

# **PERFORMANCE IN 2011**

Grade	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Composite		
(N Rated)	2011 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
	Beginning	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	2.1	1	0.7	1	0.7	
7	Intermediate	9	6.4	17	12.1	20	14.2	11	7.8	11	7.8	
(141)	Advanced	61	43.3	56	39.7	73	51.8	38	27.0	39	27.7	
	Advanced High	71	50.4	68	48.2	45	31.9	91	64.5	90	63.8	
	Beginning	2	2.3	3	3.4	5	5.7	2	2.2	1	1.1	
8	Intermediate	17	19.3	21	23.9	28	31.8	9	10.1	10	11.4	
(89)	Advanced	46	52.3	39	44.3	32	36.4	33	37.1	36	40.9	
	Advanced High	23	26.1	25	28.4	23	26.1	45	50.6	41	46.6	
	Beginning	2	0.9	3	1.3	8	3.5	3	1.3	2	0.9	
ALL	Intermediate	26	11.4	38	16.6	48	21.0	20	8.7	21	9.2	
(230)	Advanced	107	46.7	95	41.5	105	45.9	71	30.9	75	32.8	
	Advanced High	94	41.0	93	40.6	68	29.7	136	59.1	131	57.2	

# PROGRESSION FROM 2010 TO 2011

Number Rated Both Years			2010	Level	
N (%) Progressed	2011 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High
	Beginning		,	1	
126	Intermediate	2		7	
93 (73.8%)	Advanced	0	9	2	5
	Advanced High	0	1	8	1
	Beginning		,	1	
82	Intermediate	0		9	
44 (53.7%)	Advanced	0	7	2	8
	Advanced High	0	0	3	7
	Beginning		2	2	
208	Intermediate	2		16	
137 (65.9%)	Advanced	0	16	5	3
	Advanced High	0	1	1′	18

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2010 to 2011.

					SEMEST	ER 1									SEMEST	ER 2			
_			Ave	erages		% Pa	ssing	District %	6 Passing				Ave	rages		% Pa	ssing	District %	Passing
	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course		Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course
AL	GEBRA	I PRE-AP								AL	GEBRA I	PRE-AP							
	176	64.4	78.6	83.2	83.7	81.8	98.9	80.3	95.9		175	70.7	80.5	82.1	82.3	91.4	94.9	85.0	96.2
СО	MPUTE	R APPLICA	ATIONS							СО	MPUTER	R APPLICA	ATIONS						
	174	66.8	77.9	87.9	89.0	81.0	99.4	71.0	94.6		198	66.5	77.7	86.3	87.3	80.3	97.5	70.6	94.1
HE	ALTH E	DUCATION	I							HE	ALTH E	DUCATION	I						
	96	67.2	79.5	87.4	88.2	92.7	100.0	77.3	96.0		93	73.1	82.1	88.9	89.6	91.4	98.9	79.2	92.7
LA	NGUAG	E ARTS 7								LAI	NGUAGE	ARTS 7							
	297	59.3	75.6	82.5	83.3	76.8	97.6	63.5	88.7		295	65.9	77.3	81.3	81.8	80.7	95.3	78.9	89.4
LA	NGUAG	E ARTS 7 F	PRE-AP							LAI	NGUAGE	E ARTS 7 F	PRE-AP						
	92	69.3	79.6	87.0	87.8	88.0	100.0	91.1	96.9		93	66.0	77.3	85.7	86.6	86.0	98.9	88.1	96.1
LA	NGUAG	E ARTS 8								LAI	NGUAGE	ARTS 8							
	284	62.7	75.1	79.0	79.4	79.9	96.1	72.2	86.0		276	57.5	75.0	81.5	82.2	78.3	96.0	75.3	86.9
LA	NGUAG	E ARTS 8 F	PRE-AP							LAI	NGUAGE	ARTS 8 F	PRE-AP						
	131	53.6	72.7	76.7	77.2	70.2	87.8	75.7	95.1		131	53.9	71.8	81.6	82.7	60.3	100.0	75.6	95.0
MA	THEMA	TICS 7								MA	THEMA	TICS 7							
	287	62.1	76.3	80.2	80.7	76.7	91.3	54.0	85.1		287	60.3	75.2	79.1	79.6	71.4	84.3	61.9	86.0
MA	THEMA	TICS 7 PRI	E-AP							MA	THEMA	TICS 7 PRI	E-AP						
	113	70.2	80.1	83.6	84.0	88.5	98.2	81.4	97.1		89	69.8	81.9	83.3	83.4	94.4	97.8	76.5	96.8
MA	THEMA	TICS 8								MA	THEMA	TICS 8							
	238	56.9	75.1	76.8	76.9	71.4	88.2	56.7	83.2		245	59.0	72.7	77.5	78.1	60.8	89.4	57.2	85.0

					SEMEST	ER 1								SEMEST	ER 2			
			Ave	erages		% Pa	essing	District %	% Passing			Ave	rages		% Pa	assing	District %	% Passing
	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course
MS	ADV RE	EADING-W	RITING I	FOR ESL	-					MS ADV RE	ADING-W	RITING F	OR ESL					_
	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	59.9	89.0	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	60.4	87.9
MS	ADVAN	ICED LA F	OR ESL							MS ADVAN	CED LA F	OR ESL						
	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	57.8	86.7	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	68.8	88.1
MS	INTERN	MEDIATE L	A FOR E	ESL						MS INTERN	IEDIATE L	A FOR E	SL					
	6	44.7	69.3	82.3	83.8	33.3	100.0	60.8	97.3	5	*	*	*	*	*	*	62.2	98.4
MS	INTERN	MEDIATE F	READING	FOR ES	SL					MS INTERN	IEDIATE R	READING	FOR ES	SL.				
	6	48.3	68.3	82.2	83.7	66.7	100.0	55.7	96.9	5	*	*	*	*	*	*	63.4	97.3
RE	ADING 7	7								READING 7								
	238	69.4	79.6	81.5	81.7	84.0	97.5	80.4	94.2	234	67.3	78.2	81.7	82.1	77.4	96.2	79.6	95.1
RE	ADING 8	3								READING 8								
	326	62.2	75.4	83.3	84.2	79.1	99.1	77.2	93.9	323	70.5	80.3	82.4	82.6	89.5	98.5	90.3	94.9
RE	ADING I	MASTERY	7							READING I	MASTERY	7						
	154	65.1	77.7	78.4	78.5	78.6	97.4	69.0	89.6	159	65.1	77.2	81.8	82.3	83.0	98.7	71.4	90.7
RE	ADING I	MASTERY	8							READING I	MASTERY	8						
	84	61.3	75.8	78.4	78.7	76.2	97.6	72.3	87.1	88	65.1	76.7	78.1	78.3	75.0	94.3	80.4	89.3
SCI	ENCE 7	& SCIENC	CE 7 PRE	-AP						SCIENCE 7	& SCIENC	E 7 PRE	-AP					
	402	53.2	71.9	79.4	80.2	64.2	93.5	71.0	88.8	400	63.8	75.9	79.3	79.7	77.0	87.5	76.4	89.0
SCI	ENCE 8	& SCIENC	CE 8 PRE	E-AP						SCIENCE 8	& SCIENC	E 8 PRE	-AP					
	417	53.7	73.3	77.7	78.2	67.4	91.6	65.7	89.3	414	61.8	74.5	79.2	79.8	75.4	92.5	74.9	91.6

**ACP** 

					SEMEST	ER 1					_	SEMESTER 2							
_			Ave	erages		% Pa	ssing	District %	6 Passing	_		Averages				% Pa	ssing	District % Passing	
	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course		Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course
TE	XAS STU	JDIES 7								TE	XAS STU	JDIES 7							
	337	7 55.6 72.2 79.9 80.7 60.8 92.9 55.3 87.7									332	50.4	71.4	82.9	84.1	56.0	95.8	63.4	87.1
TE	XAS STU	JDIES 7 PF	RE-AP							TE	XAS STU	JDIES 7 PF	RE-AP						
	62	61.7	74.4	91.0	92.8	74.2	100.0	74.2	96.2		65	66.0	77.4	94.0	95.9	87.7	100.0	81.4	96.9
UN	ITED ST	ATES STU	IDIES 8							UN	ITED ST	ATES STU	DIES 8						
	295	58.7	72.5	76.1	76.5	58.6	85.4	54.9	86.5		291	59.6	75.3	77.3	77.5	69.8	85.9	71.7	89.7
UN	ITED ST	ATES STU	IDIES 8 F	PRE-AP						UN	ITED ST	ATES STU	DIES 8 F	PRE-AP					
	123	3 72.1 81.4 80.3 80.2 91.1 93.5 90.4 96.6						96.6		121	79.6	86.4	84.2	83.9	95.0	96.7	95.5	96.8	
										-									