



Dallas Independent School District

Evaluation & Accountability
Data Analysis, Reporting, and Research Services
https://mydata.dallasisd.org
OIR@dallasisd.org

School Number 44

E. H. CARY

Published July 27, 2011

2011-12 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2. Contents	Table of Contents	3.	Notes	Notes and Data Descriptions
STUDENTS AND STAI	<u>FF</u>			
5. Summary	Summary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6.	Enrollment (1)	Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7. Enrollment (2)	Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8. /	Attendance	Student Attendance Statistics
9. Teachers	Teacher Statistics			
TAKS / TAKS (ACCON	MMODATED)			
10. TAKS Read	TAKS/TAKS(Acc) Reading	14.	TAKS Math	TAKS/TAKS(Acc) Mathematics
18. TAKS Writing	TAKS/TAKS(Acc) Writing	22.	TAKS SS	TAKS/TAKS(Acc) Social Studies
26. TAKS Science	TAKS/TAKS(Acc) Science			
TAKS-MODIFIED				
30. TAKS-M Read	TAKS-M Reading/ELA	34.	TAKS-M Math	TAKS-M Mathematics
38. TAKS-M Writing	TAKS-M Writing			
ITBS / LOGRAMOS				
43. ITBS Read	ITBS Reading	45.	ITBS Math	ITBS Mathematics
ENGLISH PROFICIEN	CY			
49. WMLS	Woodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	50.	TELPAS	Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System
LOCAL ASSESSMENT	<u> </u>			

51. ACP Assessments of Course Performance

2010-11 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- Additional statistics are available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- Race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethinicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes. Students reporting their ethinicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those who qualify for free or reduced-price lunch through the federal government's program.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- For 2010-11, race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethinicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes; students reporting their ethinicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category. For prior years, race/ethnicity is based on the previous categories.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2010-11. They are counted as new if not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2009-10 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2009-10 and 2010-11.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS

- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Teacher absences counted towards the average DO NOT include vacation days (coded as VAC in personnel database).
- Retention rate for a school year is computed with numerator "# teachers assigned to the school both that year and the year prior" and denominator "# of teachers assigned to the school for the prior year". That is, the retention rate is the percentage of the prior year's teachers who continued at the school.

TAKS and TAKS-Modified

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- TAKS statistics are based on both TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated results. TAKS-M statistics are based exclusively on TAKS-M results.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2012, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, 8) in any year are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Mathematics Total results used for data packets are combinations of the Mathematics Concepts, Problems, and Computation subtest results. For schools who did not administer Mathematics Concepts or Mathematics Problems to their students, Mathematics Computation statistics are provided separately.

TELPAS

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2010 to 2011, the TEA did not report the 2010 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2011, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2010.

ACP

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- The ACPs are given as final course exams in grades 7-12. They account for a portion of students' final semester grades: 15 percent for high school and 10 percent for middle school. "Average course mark (no ACP)" is computed from semester grades before factoring in ACP scores.
- Raw scores on the ACPs (percentage of items correct) are scaled each year to maintain similar annual passing rates for the district.
- A scale score of at least 70 percent is required to pass an ACP. A course mark of at least 70 percent is required to pass a course.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
6	150
7	158
8	139
ALL	447

STUDENT AND TEACHER RACE/ETHNICITY

Ethnicity/Page	Stud	lents	Teachers			
Ethnicity/Race	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
Black/African American	40	8.9	9	25.0		
American Indian/Alaska Native	3	0.7	*	*		
Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	1	0.2	*	*		
Hispanic	400	89.5	7	19.4		
White	2	0.4	18	50.0		
Multiple	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Other* (teachers only)	_	_	2	5.6		
Not reported (students only)	1	0.2	_	_		

^{*}For teachers, "Other" category includes American Indican/Alaska Native and Asian/Haw aiian/Pacific Islander.

SELECT STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Group	Number	Percent			
At-Risk	305	68.2			
Economically disadvantaged	415	92.8			
Limited English proficient (LEP)	196	43.8			
Special education	32	7.2			
Talented and Gifted (TAG)	67	15.0			

		African American		American Indian		As	Asian		Hispanic		nite	Multiple	category	
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2009	105	3	2.9	2	1.9	0	0.0	100	95.2	0	0.0	_	_
6	2010	183	13	7.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	170	92.9	0	0.0	_	_
	2011	150	12	8.0	2	1.3	1	0.7	133	88.7	1	0.7	0	0.0
	2009	138	5	3.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	133	96.4	0	0.0	_	_
7	2010	138	15	10.9	2	1.4	0	0.0	119	86.2	2	1.4	_	_
	2011	158	14	8.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	144	91.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2009	191	4	2.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	183	95.8	4	2.1	_	_
8	2010	178	17	9.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	159	89.3	2	1.1	_	_
	2011	139	14	10.1	1	0.7	0	0.0	123	88.5	1	0.7	0	0.0
	2009	434	12	2.8	2	0.5	0	0.0	416	95.9	4	0.9	_	_
6-8	2010	499	45	9.0	2	0.4	0	0.0	448	89.8	4	0.8		_
	2011	447	40	8.9	3	0.7	1	0.2	400	89.5	2	0.4	0	0.0

			Economically Disadvantaged		LEP		Special E	Special Education		At Rlsk		TAG		District)	Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2009	105	102	97.1	46	43.8	5	4.8	67	63.8	0	0.0	11	10.5	1.9
6	2010	183	174	95.1	92	50.3	24	13.1	129	70.5	15	8.2	13	7.1	3.3
	2011	150	142	94.7	74	49.3	10	6.7	101	67.3	24	16.0	11	7.3	3.3
	2009	138	129	93.5	69	50.0	17	12.3	101	73.2	11	8.0	8	5.8	5.1
7	2010	138	125	90.6	54	39.1	10	7.2	91	65.9	29	21.0	16	11.6	1.4
	2011	158	148	93.7	69	43.7	15	9.5	106	67.1	19	12.0	11	7.0	1.9
	2009	191	173	90.6	67	35.1	16	8.4	136	71.2	28	14.7	7	3.7	5.2
8	2010	178	165	92.7	78	43.8	23	12.9	132	74.2	31	17.4	17	9.6	3.9
	2011	139	125	89.9	53	38.1	7	5.0	98	70.5	24	17.3	12	8.6	6.5
	2009	434	404	93.1	182	41.9	38	8.8	304	70.0	39	9.0	26	6.0	4.4
6-8	2010	499	464	93.0	224	44.9	57	11.4	352	70.5	75	15.0	46	9.2	3.0
	2011	447	415	92.8	196	43.8	32	7.2	305	68.2	67	15.0	34	7.6	3.8

Attendance Student Attendance Statistics

Grade	Year	Average Daily Membership (N)		Average Daily Attendance				Yearly Transactions			Continuously Enrolled (N)		Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	District	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	District (N)	District (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	District (%)	Campus	District	Campus	District
	2009	102.1	10,588.3	97.1	95.0	10,165.3	96.0	33	32.3	19.9	85	9,131	83.2	86.2
6	2010	181.7	10,602.4	173.5	95.5	10,110.8	95.4	33	18.2	18.6	158	9,083	86.9	85.7
	2011	145.9	10,126.2	140.8	96.5	9,742.1	96.2	26	17.8	17.3	133	9,074	91.2	89.6
	2009	134.6	10,135.8	127.4	94.6	9,601.8	94.7	40	29.7	26.0	115	8,389	85.4	82.8
7	2010	137.6	10,258.0	128.8	93.6	9,636.4	93.9	30	21.8	22.4	108	8,310	78.5	81.0
	2011	155.1	10,058.0	147.5	95.1	9,588.9	95.3	30	19.3	22.8	132	8,791	85.1	87.4
	2009	194.9	10,551.1	183.8	94.3	9,945.8	94.3	42	21.5	28.2	161	8,477	82.6	80.3
8	2010	178.3	9,995.5	165.8	93.0	9,325.9	93.3	13	7.3	15.6	138	7,894	77.4	79.0
	2011	136.7	9,906.7	127.6	93.4	9,388.4	94.8	35	25.6	17.7	106	8,465	77.6	85.4
	2009	431.7	31,275.2	408.3	94.6	29,712.9	95.0	115	26.6	24.7	361	25,997	83.6	83.1
6-8	2010	497.7	30,855.9	468.1	94.1	29,073.2	94.2	76	15.3	18.9	404	25,287	81.2	82.0
	2011	437.6	30,090.9	416.0	95.1	28,719.4	95.4	91	20.8	19.3	371	26,330	84.8	87.5

Teachers Teacher Statistics

Teachers: 36

DISTRIBUTIONS

Ethnicity/Race	Number	Percentage			
African American	9	25.0			
Hispanic	7	19.4			
White	18	50.0			
Multiple categories	0	0.0			
Other	2	5.6			

Gender	Number	Percentage				
Female	25	69.4				
Male	11	30.6				

ATTENDANCE / RETENTION

	Average Absences	Retention Rate
2008-09	10.1	NA
2009-10	9.3	NA
2010-11	9.1	82.5

YEARS EXPERIENCE

Years	Number	Percentage				
Beginning (0)	0	0.0				
1	1	2.8				
2	1	2.8				
3	4	11.1				
4	1	2.8 2.8				
5	1					
1-3	6	16.7				
More than 3	30	83.3				
1 - 5	8	22.2				
6 - 10	1	2.8				
11 - 20	11	30.6				
More than 20	16	44.4				

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009		*	77.8	77.4	*	63.9	73.8	*	66.7	89.4	77.9	86.4	95
6	2010		61.5	74.1	72.7	37.5	64.1	66.1		68.6	78.8	73.0	78.3	152
	2011	*	91.7	72.6	73.4	50.0	58.3	63.7		71.4	79.1	74.8	76.4	151
	2009		*	65.5	70.1	16.7	45.7	57.7		67.2	66.7	66.9	77.1	118
7	2010	*	71.4	67.0	69.2	28.6	56.1	59.0		66.2	69.8	67.8	77.1	121
	2011		92.9	69.1	73.4	25.0	52.5	59.0	*	70.7	72.1	71.3	77.2	150
	2009	*	*	86.6	86.8	45.5	58.3	81.9		81.3	91.9	85.9	91.2	170
8	2010	*	75.0	81.0	81.7	23.1	71.2	75.9		78.2	82.9	80.5	88.9	154
	2011	*	72.7	80.2	78.4	*	64.7	74.7	*	74.6	85.3	79.9	88.2	139
	2009	*	81.8	77.9	79.3	31.8	55.4	72.5	*	73.7	83.1	78.1	85.0	383
6-8	2010	*	69.8	74.7	75.0	28.6	64.6	67.8		71.1	77.9	74.2	81.3	427
	2011	*	86.5	73.8	74.9	38.1	58.2	65.8	*	72.2	78.8	75.2	80.6	440

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 6-8				
2009	3	11	367	352	22	130	255	1	205	178	383	28,010
2010	3	43	379	392	28	164	304	0	232	195	427	28,435
2011	2	37	397	407	21	182	301	3	237	203	440	28,584

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009		*	20	21	*	13	16	*	16	5	21	1,295	95
6	2010		5	36	39	5	23	37		27	14	41	2,123	152
	2011	*	1	37	38	4	30	37		24	14	38	2,258	151
	2009		*	39	32	5	25	33		20	19	39	2,065	118
7	2010	*	4	34	33	5	18	34		23	16	39	2,164	121
_	2011		1	42	37	6	28	41	*	24	19	43	2,173	150
	2009	*	*	22	20	6	20	21		18	6	24	834	170
8	2010	*	4	26	26	10	17	27		17	13	30	1,028	154
	2011	*	3	25	27	*	18	25	*	18	10	28	1,116	139
	2009	*	2	81	73	15	58	70	*	54	30	84	4,194	383
6-8	2010	*	13	96	98	20	58	98		67	43	110	5,315	427
	2011	*	5	104	102	13	76	103	*	66	43	109	5,547	440

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 6-8				
2009	3	11	367	352	22	130	255	1	205	178	383	28,010
2010	3	43	379	392	28	164	304	0	232	195	427	28,435
2011	2	37	397	407	21	182	301	3	237	203	440	28,584

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2009	76.7	65.8	73.4	77.3
6	2010	75.8	76.6	68.2	74.7
	2011	80.9	79.8	76.2	76.7
	2009	75.1	78.4	70.8	70.0
7	2010	73.8	66.7	68.4	66.4
	2011	79.2	69.4	68.0	69.8
	2009	86.6	80.0	81.2	81.9
8	2010	84.4	80.5	79.7	76.6
	2011	81.5	78.4	77.9	78.5
	2009	80.6	76.0	76.1	77.1
6-8	2010	78.3	75.2	72.4	73.1
	2011	80.5	75.8	74.0	74.9

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009		*	11.1	11.8	*	2.8	8.2	*	14.6	8.5	11.6	30.2	95
6	2010		15.4	10.8	11.9	0.0	3.1	6.4		7.0	16.7	11.2	22.1	152
	2011	*	41.7	16.3	18.2	12.5	11.1	9.8		15.5	22.4	18.5	26.0	151
	2009		*	6.2	7.5	0.0	2.2	1.3		6.6	7.0	6.8	19.6	118
7	2010	*	7.1	6.8	7.5	14.3	4.9	4.8		10.3	3.8	7.4	17.2	121
	2011		14.3	9.6	10.8	0.0	0.0	4.0	*	7.3	13.2	10.0	17.9	150
	2009	*	*	34.8	37.5	0.0	10.4	20.7		26.0	44.6	34.1	36.6	170
8	2010	*	31.3	18.2	19.0	0.0	8.5	11.6		24.4	15.8	20.1	31.9	154
	2011	*	27.3	17.5	20.8	*	7.8	11.1	*	15.5	23.5	19.4	31.2	139
	2009	*	27.3	20.2	21.6	4.5	5.4	11.8	*	17.6	23.0	20.1	29.0	383
6-8	2010	*	18.6	12.4	13.3	3.6	5.5	7.9		13.8	12.8	13.3	23.7	427
	2011	*	27.0	14.4	16.5	9.5	6.6	8.3	*	12.7	19.7	15.9	25.0	440

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 6-8				
2009	3	11	367	352	22	130	255	1	205	178	383	28,010
2010	3	43	379	392	28	164	304	0	232	195	427	28,435
2011	2	37	397	407	21	182	301	3	237	203	440	28,584

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010-11.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009		*	75.6	75.3	*	66.7	75.4	*	68.8	83.0	75.8	73.3	95
6	2010		50.0	67.6	64.8	20.0	56.7	58.6		62.5	71.2	66.2	72.7	154
	2011	*	81.8	77.8	76.8	42.9	73.6	69.3		80.7	73.1	77.3	74.5	150
	2009		*	69.4	71.4	*	59.1	59.2		77.0	61.8	69.8	67.2	116
7	2010	*	69.2	59.6	60.7	33.3	47.6	51.8		58.8	60.4	59.5	68.5	121
	2011		46.2	66.7	65.2	16.7	51.7	52.0	*	71.3	57.4	64.9	69.7	148
	2009	*	*	62.8	66.0	27.3	36.2	51.7		62.5	62.7	62.6	72.3	171
8	2010	*	43.8	67.6	66.0	12.5	57.4	58.8		63.8	67.1	65.4	76.4	156
	2011	*	72.7	71.0	71.0	*	60.0	66.0	*	64.8	78.8	71.5	78.3	137
	2009	*	75.0	67.9	70.1	35.0	52.8	59.6	*	68.3	67.8	68.1	71.0	382
6-8	2010	*	53.7	65.5	64.1	18.8	54.7	56.8		61.9	66.7	64.0	72.5	431
	2011	*	65.7	71.8	71.0	31.3	62.8	62.5	*	72.6	69.7	71.3	74.2	435

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 6-8				
2009	3	12	365	351	20	127	255	1	205	177	382	27,738
2010	3	41	385	396	32	170	308	0	236	195	431	28,433
2011	2	35	394	404	16	180	296	3	234	201	435	28,637

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 65 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009		*	22	23	*	12	15	*	15	8	23	2,552	95
6	2010		6	46	51	8	29	46		33	19	52	2,673	154
	2011	*	2	30	33	4	19	31		16	18	34	2,444	150
	2009		*	34	30	*	18	31		14	21	35	2,966	116
7	2010	*	4	42	42	4	22	40		28	21	49	2,975	121
	2011		7	45	48	5	28	47	*	23	29	52	2,895	148
	2009	*	*	61	52	8	30	57		36	28	64	2,530	171
8	2010	*	9	45	49	14	26	47		29	25	54	2,173	156
	2011	*	3	36	36	*	20	33	*	25	14	39	2,056	137
	2009	*	3	117	105	13	60	103	*	65	57	122	8,048	382
6-8	2010	*	19	133	142	26	77	133		90	65	155	7,821	431
	2011	*	12	111	117	11	67	111	*	64	61	125	7,395	435

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2009	3	12	365	351	20	127	255	1	205	177	382	27,738
2010	3	41	385	396	32	170	308	0	236	195	431	28,433
2011	2	35	394	404	16	180	296	3	234	201	435	28,637

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2009	67.6	75.3	83.6	73.7	73.0	69.1
6	2010	68.4	65.8	76.1	61.9	71.1	66.3
	2011	71.5	73.6	82.1	69.2	78.2	69.6
	2009	70.3	62.5	61.7	61.7	62.9	71.4
7	2010	63.8	60.3	65.9	46.6	57.1	66.2
	2011	62.3	59.7	70.5	49.5	65.9	67.1
	2009	61.3	65.3	66.8	49.8	66.2	62.9
8	2010	62.8	61.2	64.7	50.8	66.3	58.9
	2011	62.7	63.4	66.9	50.5	67.7	65.5
	2009	65.6	67.0	69.4	59.4	66.9	67.0
6-8	2010	65.1	62.6	69.1	53.6	65.5	63.6
	2011	65.6	65.6	73.4	56.6	70.7	67.4

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009		*	24.4	24.7	*	19.4	19.7	*	18.8	29.8	24.2	29.0	95
6	2010		0.0	15.5	13.8	0.0	6.0	9.0		14.8	13.6	14.3	22.4	154
	2011	*	0.0	17.0	15.5	14.3	8.3	9.9		14.5	17.9	16.0	24.5	150
	2009		*	8.1	8.6	*	6.8	3.9		6.6	9.1	7.8	11.3	116
7	2010	*	7.7	7.7	8.4	0.0	0.0	2.4		8.8	5.7	7.4	14.7	121
_	2011		0.0	11.1	10.1	0.0	5.2	5.1	*	10.0	10.3	10.1	15.1	148
	2009	*	*	6.7	7.8	0.0	0.0	1.7		9.4	5.3	7.6	15.4	171
8	2010	*	0.0	6.5	6.3	0.0	6.6	3.5		7.5	5.3	6.4	14.1	156
	2011	*	0.0	9.7	7.3	*	4.0	3.1	*	8.5	9.1	8.8	15.3	137
	2009	*	16.7	11.5	12.5	0.0	7.9	6.7	*	10.7	13.0	11.8	18.7	382
6-8	2010	*	2.4	10.1	9.6	0.0	4.7	5.2	·	10.6	8.2	9.5	17.2	431
	2011	*	0.0	12.7	11.1	6.3	6.1	6.1	*	11.1	12.4	11.7	18.3	435

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	O IN GRAD	DES 6-8				
2009	3	12	365	351	20	127	255	1	205	177	382	27,738
2010	3	41	385	396	32	170	308	0	236	195	431	28,433
2011	2	35	394	404	16	180	296	3	234	201	435	28,637

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010-11.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009		*	83.6	86.1	*	70.2	79.7		80.6	87.7	84.0	88.6	119
7	2010	*	100.0	90.3	91.6	*	82.9	87.8		86.6	96.3	90.9	90.6	121
	2011	·	75.0	90.3	89.7	50.0	91.5	84.7	*	83.3	95.6	89.0	89.9	146

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2009	0	3	116	108	5	47	79	0	62	57	119	8,988
2010	2	14	103	107	5	41	82	0	67	54	121	9,369
2011	0	12	134	136	6	59	98	2	78	68	146	9,507

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009		*	19	15	*	14	16		12	7	19	1,023	119
7	2010	*	0	10	9	*	7	10		9	2	11	878	121
	2011		3	13	14	3	5	15	*	13	3	16	964	146

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7							
2009	0	0 3 116 108 5 47 79 0 62 57 119 8,988													
2010	2	14	103	107	5	41	82	0	67	54	121	9,369			
2011	0	12	134	136	6	59	98	2	78	68	146	9,507			

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2009	2.2	74.9	75.6	79.3	80.0
7	2010	2.4	76.2	68.8	74.3	79.8
	2011	2.3	75.5	68.6	77.7	79.1

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009		*	14.7	13.9	*	2.1	7.6		11.3	21.1	16.0	22.3	119
7	2010	*	28.6	21.4	21.5	*	9.8	13.4		14.9	33.3	23.1	26.3	121
	2011		8.3	17.9	18.4	0.0	8.5	9.2	*	9.0	26.5	17.1	24.8	146

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2009	0	3	116	108	5	47	79	0	62	57	119	8,988
2010	2	14	103	107	5	41	82	0	67	54	121	9,369
2011	0	12	134	136	6	59	98	2	78	68	146	9,507

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010-11.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	*	83.3	83.3	75.0	70.2	77.8		78.9	87.8	82.8	88.3	169
8	2010	*	87.5	88.1	87.2	50.0	86.0	86.2		88.3	88.0	88.2	92.1	152
	2011	*	91.7	88.5	90.2	*	87.8	87.8		85.1	92.8	89.0	93.4	136

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8				
2009	3	3	162	150	12	47	117	0	95	74	169	9,233
2010	1	16	135	141	14	57	109	0	77	75	152	9,084
2011	1	12	122	122	5	49	98	0	67	69	136	9,324

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	*	27	25	3	14	26		20	9	29	1,078	169
8	2010	*	2	16	18	7	8	15		9	9	18	719	152
	2011	*	1	14	12	*	6	12		10	5	15	615	136

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8							
2009	3	3 3 162 150 12 47 117 0 95 74 169 9,233													
2010	1	16	135	141	14	57	109	0	77	75	152	9,084			
2011	1	12	122	122	5	49	98	0	67	69	136	9,324			

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	lssues and Events in U.S. History	Geographic Influences on History	Economic and Social Influences on History	Political Influences on History	Critical-Thinking Skills
	2009	66.5	69.8	70.3	65.8	74.7
8	2010	69.7	73.4	70.0	68.3	70.7
	2011	63.2	73.5	70.4	60.3	68.3

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	*	19.1	18.7	0.0	2.1	8.5		20.0	18.9	19.5	31.7	169
8	2010	*	31.3	28.9	28.4	0.0	14.0	17.4		37.7	21.3	29.6	32.3	152
	2011	*	16.7	19.7	21.3	*	16.3	13.3		25.4	15.9	20.6	34.9	136

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8							
2009	3														
2010	1	16	135	141	14	57	109	0	77	75	152	9,084			
2011	1	12	122	122	5	49	98	0	67	69	136	9,324			

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010-11.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	*	54.0	55.0	25.0	27.7	40.2		59.4	45.9	53.5	59.2	170
8	2010	*	50.0	47.1	47.9	7.1	30.5	38.4		57.5	37.3	47.7	64.2	155
	2011	*	45.5	51.2	50.8	*	38.0	40.8	*	52.2	50.7	51.5	67.6	136

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8							
2009	3	3 3 163 151 12 47 117 0 96 74 170 9,292													
2010	1	16	138	144	14	59	112	0	80	75	155	9,112			
2011	1	11	123	122	4	50	98	1	67	69	136	9,368			

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 60 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	*	75	68	9	34	70		39	40	79	3,794	170
8	2010	*	8	73	75	13	41	69		34	47	81	3,261	155
	2011	*	6	60	60	*	31	58	*	32	34	66	3,032	136

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8								
2009	3															
2010	1	16	138	144	14	59	112	0	80	75	155	9,112				
2011	1	11	123	122	4	50	98	1	67	69	136	9,368				

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Living Systems and the Environment	Structures and Properties of Matter	Motion, Forces, and Energy	Earth and Space Systems
	2009	71.7	64.6	63.1	71.4	58.4
8	2010	66.2	66.0	58.7	58.6	55.9
	2011	65.6	66.9	59.6	55.9	64.3

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	*	7.4	7.9	0.0	0.0	0.0		11.5	2.7	7.6	13.3	170
8	2010	*	0.0	9.4	9.0	0.0	1.7	4.5		12.5	5.3	9.0	17.0	155
	2011	*	0.0	6.5	5.7	*	4.0	3.1	*	9.0	2.9	5.9	18.9	136

					NUM E	BER TESTI	D IN GRA	DES 8							
2009	3														
2010	1	16	138	144	14	59	112	0	80	75	155	9,112			
2011	1	11	123	122	4	50	98	1	67	69	136	9,368			

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010-11.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009												70.9	0
6	2010			42.9	42.9	42.9	38.5	38.5		46.2	*	42.9	72.7	14
	2011		*		*	*		*			*	*	75.1	1
	2009			40.0	40.0	40.0	33.3	40.0		42.9	*	40.0	72.6	10
7	2010			*	*	*		*			*	*	66.6	1
	2011			37.5	37.5	37.5	28.6	37.5		33.3	*	37.5	68.7	8
	2009	*		33.3	33.3	28.6	*	28.6		28.6		28.6	77.8	7
8	2010			66.7	66.7	66.7	71.4	66.7		*	*	66.7	78.3	9
	2011		*		*	*		*		*		*	85.2	2
	2009	*		37.5	37.5	35.3	30.8	35.3		35.7	*	35.3	73.8	17
6-8	2010	·		54.2	54.2	54.2	50.0	52.2	·	52.9	57.1	54.2	72.6	24
	2011	·	*	37.5	50.0	54.5	28.6	54.5	·	50.0	*	54.5	76.0	11

					NUM BE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 6-8							
2009	1	1 0 16 16 17 13 17 0 14 3 17 1,932													
2010	0	0	24	24	24	20	23	0	17	7	24	1,492			
2011	0	3	8	10	11	7	11	0	8	3	11	1,293			

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009												182	0
6	2010			8	8	8	8	8		7	*	8	143	14
	2011		*		*	*		*			*	*	126	1
	2009			6	6	6	6	6		4	*	6	181	10
7	2010			*	*	*		*			*	*	160	1
	2011			5	5	5	5	5		4	*	5	128	8
	2009	*		4	4	5	*	5		5		5	143	7
8	2010			3	3	3	2	3		*	*	3	106	9
	2011		*		*	*		*		*		*	56	2
	2009	*		10	10	11	9	11		9	*	11	506	17
6-8	2010	·		11	11	11	10	11		8	3	11	409	24
	2011		*	5	5	5	5	5		4	*	5	310	11

		NUM BER TESTED IN GRADES 6-8												
2009	1	0	16	16	17	13	17	0	14	3	17	1,932		
2010	0	0	24	24	24	20	23	0	17	7	24	1,492		
2011	0	3	8	10	11	7	11	0	8	3	11	1,293		

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2009				
6	2010	47.4	40.5	44.0	39.0
	2011	*	*	*	*
	2009	47.0	45.0	37.5	40.8
7	2010	*	*	*	*
	2011	37.5	37.5	40.6	44.8
	2009	47.1	44.6	44.6	41.7
8	2010	52.2	56.9	51.4	53.7
	2011	*	*	*	*
	2009	47.1	44.9	40.4	41.2
6-8	2010	50.6	48.6	48.1	47.0
	2011	51.9	45.1	53.4	55.1

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009												7.5	0
6	2010			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	11.8	14
	2011		*		*	*		*			*	*	9.3	1
	2009			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	8.9	10
7	2010			*	*	*		*			*	*	9.8	1
	2011			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	8.3	8
	2009	*		0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0		0.0	7.1	7
8	2010			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		*	*	0.0	8.6	9
	2011		*		*	*		*		*		*	14.8	2
	2009	*		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	7.9	17
6-8	2010			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	10.1	24
	2011		*	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	9.1		12.5	*	9.1	10.6	11

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2009	1	0	16	16	17	13	17	0	14	3	17	1,932
2010	0	0	24	24	24	20	23	0	17	7	24	1,492
2011	0	3	8	10	11	7	11	0	8	3	11	1,293

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010-11.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009												64.0	0
6	2010		*	36.4	33.3	33.3	30.0	27.3		36.4	*	33.3	60.0	12
	2011		*		*	*		*		*	*	*	61.6	2
	2009			50.0	50.0	50.0	54.5	50.0		57.1	*	50.0	62.0	12
7	2010		*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*	59.5	2
	2011		*	33.3	33.3	40.0	37.5	40.0		25.0	*	40.0	60.2	10
	2009	*		*	*	50.0	*	50.0		50.0		50.0	67.1	6
8	2010			66.7	66.7	66.7	*	66.7		*	*	66.7	63.0	6
	2011		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	74.8	4
	2009	*		52.9	52.9	50.0	57.1	50.0		53.8	40.0	50.0	64.4	18
6-8	2010		*	50.0	50.0	50.0	35.7	47.4		42.9	66.7	50.0	60.8	20
	2011		83.3	40.0	50.0	56.3	44.4	56.3		36.4	*	56.3	65.2	16

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 6-8				
2009	1	0	17	17	18	14	18	0	13	5	18	1,860
2010	0	2	18	20	20	14	19	0	14	6	20	1,522
2011	0	6	10	14	16	9	16	0	11	5	16	1,289

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 65 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009												205	0
6	2010		*	7	8	8	7	8		7	*	8	203	12
	2011		*		*	*		*		*	*	*	187	2
	2009			6	6	6	5	6		3	*	6	248	12
7	2010		*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*	200	2
	2011		*	6	6	6	5	6		6	*	6	160	10
	2009	*		*	*	3	*	3		3		3	210	6
8	2010			2	2	2	*	2		*	*	2	193	6
	2011		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	101	4
	2009	*		8	8	9	6	9		6	*	9	663	18
6-8	2010		*	9	10	10	9	10		8	2	10	596	20
	2011		1	6	7	7	5	7		7	*	7	448	16

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 6-8				
2009	1	0	17	17	18	14	18	0	13	5	18	1,860
2010	0	2	18	20	20	14	19	0	14	6	20	1,522
2011	0	6	10	14	16	9	16	0	11	5	16	1,289

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2009						
6	2010	42.7	41.7	52.8	50.0	38.3	38.1
	2011	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2009	49.0	43.8	50.0	35.4	45.8	45.8
7	2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2011	42.5	52.5	53.3	47.5	33.3	41.7
	2009	29.2	43.8	41.7	41.7	44.4	50.0
8	2010	56.3	43.8	47.2	37.5	47.2	41.7
	2011	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2009	42.4	43.8	47.2	37.5	45.4	47.2
6-8	2010	49.4	42.5	50.0	50.0	42.2	41.2
	2011	43.8	48.8	55.2	53.1	49.6	52.3

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009												8.4	0
6	2010		*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	10.5	12
	2011		*		*	*		*		*	*	*	12.1	2
	2009			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	8.0	12
7	2010		*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*	5.3	2
	2011		*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	9.5	10
	2009	*		*	*	0.0	*	0.0		0.0		0.0	5.8	6
8	2010			0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		*	*	0.0	3.5	6
	2011		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	6.0	4
	2009	*		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	7.4	18
6-8	2010		*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	6.4	20
	2011	·	16.7	0.0	7.1	6.3	0.0	6.3		9.1	*	6.3	9.4	16

					NUM BE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 6-8				
2009	1	0	17	17	18	14	18	0	13	5	18	1,860
2010	0	2	18	20	20	14	19	0	14	6	20	1,522
2011	0	6	10	14	16	9	16	0	11	5	16	1,289

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010-11.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	11
7	2010		*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*	65.2	4
	2011		*	25.0	25.0	33.3	14.3	33.3		37.5	*	33.3	57.9	9

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7					
2009	0	0	11	11	11	10	11	0	7	4	11	691	
2010	0	0 2 2 4 4 0 3 0 2 2 4 517											
2011	0	1	8	8	9	7	9	0	8	1	9	401	

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010-11 AEIS minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009			11	11	11	10	11		7	*	11	691	11
7	2010		*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*	180	4
	2011		*	6	6	6	6	6		5	*	6	169	9

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7					
2009	0	0	11	11	11	10	11	0	7	4	11	691	
2010	0	2 2 4 4 0 3 0 2 2 4 517											
2011	0	1	8	8	9	7	9	0	8	1	9	401	

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2009	1.2	50.0	39.8	49.1	41.8
7	2010	*	*	*	*	*
	2011	1.0	47.2	27.8	44.4	36.7

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	11
7	2010		*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*	3.1	4
	2011		*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	3.0	9

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7					
2009	0	0	11	11	11	10	11	0	7	4	11	691	
2010	0	0 2 2 4 4 0 3 0 2 2 4 517											
2011	0	1	8	8	9	7	9	0	8	1	9	401	

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknow ledgment Standard for 2010-11.

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the ITBS

				READING T	OTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	15.3	61.2	26.9	33.6	193
8	2010	13.8	46.3	22.0	33.9	164
	2011	4.6	45.5	14.1	33.3	142

	2009	144	49	193	9,813	
Number Tested	2010	123	41	164	9,416	
100100	2011	109	33	142	9,423	

			M	ATHEM ATIC	S TOTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	26.6	73.5	38.5	48.3	192
8	2010	22.8	56.4	30.9	45.5	162
	2011	17.1	52.9	25.9	42.1	139

	2009	143	49	192	9,737	
Number Tested	2010	123	39	162	9,135	
100100	2011	105	34	139	9,262	

ITBS Read (1) ITBS: READING TOTAL

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	ΑII	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	*	26.9	27.2	0.0	7.4	15.3		26.4	27.7	26.9	33.6	193
8	2010	*	46.2	19.3	22.4	0.0	6.5	13.8	*	27.4	16.3	22.0	33.9	164
	2011	*	7.7	13.5	13.7	*	1.8	4.6	*	20.0	7.5	14.1	33.3	142

	2009	3	4	186	169	18	68	144	0	110	83	193	9,813
Number Tested	2010	1	13	150	147	21	62	123	1	84	80	164	9,416
Toolog	2011	2	13	126	124	5	55	109	1	75	67	142	9,423

ITBS Read (2) ITBS: READING TOTAL

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	*	3	3	0	0	1		2	1	3	575	193
8	2010	*	1	1	1	0	0	0	*	1	1	2	590	164
	2011	*	0	1	1	*	0	1	*	2	0	2	608	142

	2009	3	4	186	169	18	68	144	0	110	83	193	9,813
Number Tested	2010	1	13	150	147	21	62	123	1	84	80	164	9,416
restea	2011	2	13	126	124	5	55	109	1	75	67	142	9,423

ITBS Math (1) ITBS: MATHEMATICS TOTAL

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	*	38.4	38.7	0.0	21.2	26.6		36.1	41.7	38.5	48.3	192
8	2010	*	23.1	31.1	31.7	4.8	20.6	22.8	*	32.1	29.6	30.9	45.5	162
	2011	*	25.0	25.8	24.6	*	11.3	17.1	*	26.4	25.4	25.9	42.1	139

	2009	3	4	185	168	17	66	143	0	108	84	192	9,737
Number Tested	2010	1	13	148	145	21	63	123	1	81	81	162	9,135
restea	2011	2	12	124	122	5	53	105	1	72	67	139	9,262

ITBS Math (2) ITBS: MATHEMATICS TOTAL

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	*	11	12	0	0	4		7	5	12	1,219	192
8	2010	*	0	4	4	0	1	1	*	4	1	5	984	162
	2011	*	0	2	3	*	0	0	*	2	1	3	943	139

	2009	3	4	185	168	17	66	143	0	108	84	192	9,737
Number Tested	2010	1	13	148	145	21	63	123	1	81	81	162	9,135
Tostoa	2011	2	12	124	122	5	53	105	1	72	67	139	9,262

ITBS Math (3) ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	*	41.5	42.7	26.3	36.8	35.6		38.7	46.4	42.1	44.6	195
8	2010	*	23.1	32.7	33.5	19.0	23.3	28.8	*	31.0	33.7	32.4	37.3	173
	2011	*	33.3	21.0	21.3	*	13.2	17.1	*	19.4	25.4	22.3	34.4	139

	2009	3	4	188	171	19	68	146	0	111	84	195	10,012
Number Tested	2010	1	13	159	155	21	73	132	1	87	86	173	9,519
restea	2011	2	12	124	122	5	53	105	1	72	67	139	9,530

ITBS Math (4) ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2009	*	*	12	13	0	3	7		8	5	13	1,288	195
8	2010	*	0	8	7	0	2	4	*	2	6	8	860	173
	2011	*	0	3	3	*	0	1	*	1	2	3	755	139

	2009	3	4	188	171	19	68	146	0	111	84	195	10,012
Number Tested	2010	1	13	159	155	21	73	132	1	87	86	173	9,519
restea	2011	2	12	124	122	5	53	105	1	72	67	139	9,530

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1	in 2010	Level 2	in 2010	Level 3	in 2010	Levels 1-3 in 2010		
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2011							
6	11	18.2	8	37.5	0	-	19	26.3	
7	6	33.3	7	14.3	0	-	13	23.1	
8	9	22.2	11	36.4	0	-	20	30.0	
ALL	26	23.1	26	30.8	0	-	52	26.9	

PERFORMANCE IN 2011

Grade	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Com	posite
(N Rated)	2011 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	3	3.8	3	3.8	4	5.1	2	2.6	2	2.6
6	Intermediate	42	53.2	24	30.4	29	36.7	4	5.1	4	5.2
(80)	Advanced	31	39.2	45	57.0	36	45.6	29	37.2	34	44.2
	Advanced High	3	3.8	7	8.9	10	12.7	43	55.1	37	48.1
	Beginning	3	4.4	4	5.9	6	8.8	6	8.8	5	7.4
7	Intermediate	6	8.8	10	14.7	9	13.2	6	8.8	7	10.3
(68)	Advanced	20	29.4	26	38.2	31	45.6	19	27.9	20	29.4
	Advanced High	39	57.4	28	41.2	22	32.4	37	54.4	36	52.9
	Beginning	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	5.5	2	3.6
8	Intermediate	1	1.8	5	8.9	13	23.2	3	5.5	4	7.3
(56)	Advanced	14	25.0	17	30.4	23	41.1	24	43.6	24	43.6
	Advanced High	41	73.2	34	60.7	20	35.7	25	45.5	25	45.5
	Beginning	6	3.0	7	3.4	10	4.9	11	5.5	9	4.5
ALL	Intermediate	49	24.1	39	19.2	51	25.1	13	6.5	15	7.5
(204)	Advanced	65	32.0	88	43.3	90	44.3	72	35.8	78	39.0
	Advanced High	83	40.9	69	34.0	52	25.6	105	52.2	98	49.0

PROGRESSION FROM 2010 TO 2011

Number Rated Both Years			2010	Level			
N (%) Progressed	2011 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High		
	Beginning		,	1			
70	Intermediate	0		4			
37 (52.9%)	Advanced	0	4	2	8		
	Advanced High	0	1	3	2		
	Beginning	2					
60	Intermediate	0		7			
36 (60.0%)	Advanced	0	2	1	5		
	Advanced High	0	1	3	3		
	Beginning		2	2			
47	Intermediate	1		2			
25 (53.2%)	Advanced	0	3	1	8		
	Advanced High	1	0	2	0		
	Beginning			5			
177	Intermediate	1		13			
98 (55.4%)	Advanced	0	9	6	1		
	Advanced High	1	2	8	5		

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2010 to 2011.

	SEMESTER 1										_	SEMESTER 2							
			Ave	erages		% Pa	ssing	District %	Passing				Ave	rages		% Pa	ssing	District %	% Passing
	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course		Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course
ALG	EBRA	I PRE-AP								AL	GEBRA I	I PRE-AP							
	26	50.8	70.5	81.6	82.9	57.7	96.2	80.3	95.9		27	64.1	76.1	81.0	81.5	77.8	96.3	85.0	96.2
CO	/IPUTEI	R APPLICA	ATIONS							СО	MPUTER	R APPLICA	TIONS						
	36	59.8	73.2	79.5	80.2	66.7	86.1	71.0	94.6		29	59.3	72.8	81.6	82.6	65.5	100.0	70.6	94.1
LAN	GUAGI	E ARTS 7								LA	NGUAGE	E ARTS 7							
	118	51.1	70.7	77.2	77.9	55.1	82.2	63.5	88.7		110	65.5	77.0	75.7	75.5	77.3	79.1	78.9	89.4
LAN	GUAGI	E ARTS 7 I	PRE-AP							LA	NGUAGE	E ARTS 7 F	PRE-AP						
	28	64.5	76.3	79.8	80.2	82.1	92.9	91.1	96.9		29	61.8	74.5	77.4	77.7	72.4	93.1	88.1	96.1
LAN	GUAGI	E ARTS 8								LA	NGUAGE	E ARTS 8							
	113	54.0	69.3	74.7	75.3	55.8	79.6	72.2	86.0		96	55.3	73.7	78.1	78.5	77.1	82.3	75.3	86.9
LAN	GUAGI	E ARTS 8 I	PRE-AP							LA	NGUAGE	E ARTS 8 F	PRE-AP						
	24	51.7	71.6	83.4	84.7	75.0	100.0	75.7	95.1		24	59.9	75.5	82.2	82.9	87.5	95.8	75.6	95.0
MA	HEMA	TICS 7								MA	THEMA	TICS 7							
	109	46.9	66.8	74.8	75.7	35.8	79.8	54.0	85.1		101	51.7	69.8	74.5	75.0	48.5	76.2	61.9	86.0
MA	НЕМА	TICS 7 PR	E-AP							MA	THEMA	TICS 7 PRI	E-AP						
	37	66.0	77.3	78.6	78.7	75.7	97.3	81.4	97.1		39	56.2	73.7	78.7	79.3	69.2	92.3	76.5	96.8
MA	НЕМА	TICS 8								MA	THEMA	TICS 8							
	111	44.9	68.2	73.9	74.5	49.5	74.8	56.7	83.2		100	53.1	68.7	76.6	77.5	48.0	93.0	57.2	85.0
MS	INTERN	MEDIATE L	A FOR E	SL						MS INTERMEDIATE LA FOR ESL									
	9	50.4	72.4	84.3	85.6	55.6	100.0	60.8	97.3		10	58.5	72.3	84.3	85.6	60.0	100.0	62.2	98.4
					"					ı									

			SEMESTER 1								SEMESTER 2							
			Ave	erages		% Pa	essing	District %	% Passing			Ave	erages		% Pa	assing	District %	% Passing
	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course
MS	INTERN	MEDIATE F	READING	FOR ES	SL					MS INTER	MEDIATE F	READING	FOR ES	SL				
	9	49.9	69.3	86.3	88.2	44.4	100.0	55.7	96.9	10	48.3	65.6	85.7	87.9	30.0	100.0	63.4	97.3
REA	ADING 7	,								READING	7							
	54	67.8	78.5	80.3	80.5	81.5	87.0	80.4	94.2	56	66.1	77.4	81.4	81.9	80.4	87.5	79.6	95.1
REA	ADING 8	3								READING	8							
	63	60.1	74.0	80.2	80.9	69.8	90.5	77.2	93.9	66	70.2	80.1	83.0	83.3	87.9	95.5	90.3	94.9
REA	ADING N	MASTERY	7							READING	MASTERY	7						
	91	60.7	74.9	78.7	79.1	76.9	87.9	69.0	89.6	82	64.1	76.6	77.4	77.5	78.0	85.4	71.4	90.7
REA	ADING N	MASTERY	8							READING	MASTERY	8						
	70	56.2	72.6	75.7	76.1	64.3	78.6	72.3	87.1	59	62.5	75.0	78.0	78.3	74.6	84.7	80.4	89.3
SCI	ENCE 7	& SCIENC	E 7 PRE	E-AP						SCIENCE	7 & SCIENC	CE 7 PRE	-AP					
	149	51.2	70.7	81.0	82.1	59.1	99.3	71.0	88.8	145	59.6	73.0	83.5	84.7	71.7	97.9	76.4	89.0
SCI	ENCE 8	& SCIENC	E 8 PRE	E-AP						SCIENCE	8 & SCIENC	CE 8 PRE	-AP					
	135	51.8	72.2	75.2	75.5	60.7	80.7	65.7	89.3	127	60.0	73.3	76.6	77.0	70.9	82.7	74.9	91.6
TEX	AS STU	JDIES 7								TEXAS ST	UDIES 7							
	102	51.1	69.4	74.9	75.5	51.0	81.4	55.3	87.7	117	50.9	71.7	76.3	76.8	60.7	88.9	63.4	87.1
TEX	AS STU	JDIES 7 PI	RE-AP							TEXAS ST	UDIES 7 PI	RE-AP						
	43	55.3	70.2	76.6	77.3	58.1	86.0	74.2	96.2	28	56.9	71.2	77.2	77.9	57.1	82.1	81.4	96.9
UNI	TED ST	ATES STU	IDIES 8							UNITED S	TATES STU	JDIES 8						
	111	54.1	69.4	75.5	76.2	47.7	80.2	54.9	86.5	105	63.6	77.7	76.7	76.6	72.4	81.0	71.7	89.7
					,													

ACP Assessment of Course Performance

SEMESTER 1

	OLIVILOT	- 1\\ 1					
rages		% Pa	ssing	District % Passing			
Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course		

SEMESTER 2

		Ave	rages		% Pa	ssing	District % Passing		
Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	

UNITED STATES STUDIES 8 PRE-AP

% Items

Correct

Number

Tested

Averages

Scale

Score

24	62.3	74.9	79.9	80.4	62.5	87.5	90.4	96.6

UNITED STATES STUDIES 8 PRE-AP

22	68.4	79.0	81.1	81.3	68.2	86.4	95.5	96.8
22	00. 4	19.0	01.1	01.5	00.2	00. 4	90.0	30.0