Campus Data Packet

for 2010-11 planning

Information in this packet is based on data from the 2009-10 school year.



L. V. STOCKARD

School Number 59



2010-11 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2 ContentsTable of Contents	4 Notes Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
6 Summary Summary of Student and Teacher Statistics	7 Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
8 Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	9 Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
10 Teachers Teacher Statistics	
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	
11 TAKS Read (1) TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	12 TAKS Read (2) TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
13 TAKS Read (3) TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	14 TAKS Read (4) TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
15 TAKS Math (1)TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	16 TAKS Math (2)TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
17 TAKS Math (3)TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	18 TAKS Math (4)TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
19 TAKS Write (1) TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	20 TAKS Write (2) TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
21 TAKS Write (3) TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	22 TAKS Write (4)TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
23 TAKS Soc.Studies (1) TAKS Social Studies: % Meeting Standard	24 TAKS Soc.Studies (2) TAKS Social Studies: # Not Meeting Standard
25 TAKS Soc.Studies (3) TAKS Social Studies: Performance by Objective	26 TAKS Soc.Studies (4) TAKS Social Studies: % Commended
27 TAKS Science (1) TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	28 TAKS Science (2)TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
29 TAKS Science (3) TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	30 TAKS Science (4)TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS (MOD)	
31 TAKS-M Read (1) TAKS-M Reading: % Meeting Standard	32 TAKS-M Read (2) TAKS-M Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
33 TAKS-M Read (3) TAKS-M Reading: Performance by Objective	34 TAKS-M Read (4)TAKS-M Reading: Percentage Commended
35 TAKS-M Math (1) TAKS-M Math: % Meeting Standard	36 TAKS-M Math (2) TAKS-M Math: # Not Meeting Standard
37 TAKS-M Math (3) TAKS-M Math: Performance by Objective	38 TAKS-M Math (4) TAKS-M Math: Percentage Commended
39 TAKS-M Write (1) TAKS-M Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	40 TAKS-M Write (2)TAKS-M Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
41 TAKS-M Write (3)TAKS-M Writing: Performance by Objective	42 TAKS-M Write (4)TAKS-M Writing: Percentage Commended
ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS	
43 Compliance SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	44 ITBS Read (1)ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-ile
45 ITBS Read (2) ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-ile	46 ITBS Math (1)ITBS Math Total: % At or Above the 40th %-ile
47 ITBS Math (2) ITBS Math Total: # At or Above the 80th %-ile	48 ITBS Math (3) ITBS Math Computation: % At or Above the 40th %-ile
49 ITBS Math (4) ITBS Math Computation: # At or Above the 80th %-ile	
MEASURES OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
50 WMLS Woodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	51 TELPAS TX English Language Proficiency Assessment System
COLLEGE READINESS	
52 PSAT Mean Standard Scores for Juniors	

L. V. STOCKARD (59) 2

2010-11 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

LOCAL ACCESSMENT

53.... ACP...... Assessment of Course Performance

2009-10 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2009-10. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2008-09 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2008-09 and 2009-10.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

TAKS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- TAKS statistics include both TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated results.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2011, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

TAKS-M (Modified)

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.

TELPAS

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2009 to 2010, the TEA did not report the 2009 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2010, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2009.

COLLEGE READINESS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Statistics based on student-reported school location, ethnicity, and graduation year.
- For SAT and ACT "Percent Tested" is computed with "Number Tested" as numerator and, as demoninator, the Grade 12 "Enrollment" reported on Enrollment (1) and Enrollment (2) reports. For PSAT the denominator for "Percent Tested" is from the appropriate grade/year on the Enrollment reports.
- PSAT scores range from 20 to 80. PSAT is administered once in the fall of each year. The district tests all grade 10 students. Other students sit for the PSAT voluntarily.

<u>ACP</u>

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- The ACPs are given as final course exams in grades 7-12. They account for a portion of students' final semester grades: 15 percent for high school and 10 percent for middle school. "Average course mark (no ACP)" is computed from semester grades before factoring in ACP scores. Any statistic involving course marks uses only those of at least 50, because district policy requires a student earn at least a 50 to receive course credit.
- Raw scores on the ACPs (percentage of items correct) are scaled each year to maintain similar annual passing rates for the district.
- A scale score of at least 70 percent is required to pass an ACP. A course mark of at least 70 percent is required to pass a course.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
7	414
8	419
ALL	833

STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stud	lents	Teachers				
Ethnicity	Number	Percentage	Number Percentag				
African American	30	3.6	23	38.3			
American Indian	1	0.1	*	*			
Asian	2	0.2	*	*			
Hispanic	792	95.1	15	25.0			
White	8	1.0	19	31.7			
Other	**	**	3	5.0			

^{*}Included in category "Other."

STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percentage
Economically disadvantaged students	762	91.5
Limited English proficient students	232	27.9
Special education students	61	7.3

^{**}All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

		African American		American Indian		Asian		Hispanic		White		
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N %		N	%
	2008	440	16	3.6	1	0.2	0	0.0	416	94.5	7	1.6
7	2009	433	14	3.2	2	0.5	0	0.0	413	95.4	4	0.9
	2010	414	21	5.1	0	0.0	2	0.5	386	93.2	5	1.2
	2008	380	13	3.4	0	0.0	1	0.3	359	94.5	7	1.8
8	2009	431	11	2.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	411	95.4	9	2.1
	2010	419	9	2.1	1	0.2	0	0.0	406	96.9	3	0.7
	2008	820	29	3.5	1	0.1	1	0.1	775	94.5	14	1.7
7-8	2009	864	25	2.9	2	0.2	0	0.0	824	95.4	13	1.5
	2010	833	30	3.6	1	0.1	2	0.2	792	95.1	8	1.0

L. V. STOCKARD (59) 7

			Economically Disadvantaged		LI	LEP		Special Education		TAG		New (to District)		
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)	
	2008	440	393	89.3	117	26.6	36	8.2	46	10.5	28	6.4	3.6	
7	2009	433	397	91.7	118	27.3	38	8.8	46	10.6	20	4.6	0.0	
	2010	414	378	91.3	118	28.5	32	7.7	51	12.3	21	5.1	0.7	
	2008	380	338	88.9	67	17.6	25	6.6	45	11.8	16	4.2	1.8	
8	2009	431	377	87.5	121	28.1	24	5.6	36	8.4	26	6.0	4.9	
	2010	419	384	91.6	114	27.2	29	6.9	42	10.0	24	5.7	1.2	
	2008	820	731	89.1	184	22.4	61	7.4	91	11.1	44	5.4	2.8	
7-8	2009	864	774	89.6	239	27.7	62	7.2	82	9.5	46	5.3	2.4	
	2010	833	762	91.5	232	27.9	61	7.3	93	11.2	45	5.4	1.0	

L. V. STOCKARD (59)

Attendance Student Attendance Statistics

Grade	Year	Average Daily Membership (N)		Average Daily Attendance				Yearly Transactions			Continuously Enrolled (N)		Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	District	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	District (N)	District (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	District (%)	Campus	District	Campus	District
	2008	435.4	10,790.7	417.8	96.0	10,269.4	95.2	62	14.2	26.3	398	9,024	91.4	83.6
7	2009	434.2	10,135.8	417.5	96.2	9,601.8	94.7	63	14.5	26.0	394	8,389	90.7	82.8
	2010	408.8	10,258.0	387.2	94.7	9,636.4	93.9	57	13.9	22.4	350	8,310	85.6	81.0
	2008	381.3	9,895.9	361.4	94.8	9,350.9	94.5	57	14.9	30.5	328	8,046	86.0	81.3
8	2009	436.9	10,551.1	413.8	94.7	9,945.8	94.3	77	17.6	28.2	378	8,477	86.5	80.3
	2010	413.7	9,995.5	391.9	94.7	9,325.9	93.3	37	8.9	15.6	363	7,894	87.8	79.0
	2008	816.6	20,686.6	779.1	95.4	19,620.3	94.8	119	14.6	28.3	726	17,070	88.9	82.5
7-8	2009	871.2	20,686.9	831.3	95.4	19,547.6	94.5	140	16.1	27.1	772	16,866	88.6	81.5
	2010	822.4	20,253.5	779.1	94.7	18,962.4	93.6	94	11.4	19.1	713	16,204	86.7	80.0

Teachers Teacher Statistics

DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS

Ethnicity	Number	Percentage			
African American	23	38.3			
Hispanic	15	25.0			
White	19	31.7			
Other	3	5.0			

Gender	Number	Percentage
Female	39	65.0
Male	21	35.0

TOTAL	60
-------	----

AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES

2008	8.0
2009	6.6
2010	9.1

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	100.0	73.3	77.5	76.8	19.2	57.3	69.3	*	75.0	81.3	77.8	77.3	418
7	2009	*	60.0	78.9	79.7	42.9	58.2	69.2		82.7	74.1	78.6	75.7	415
	2010	*	85.7	83.0	82.1	30.0	66.3	73.4	*	82.6	83.2	82.9	77.1	380
	2008	100.0	92.9	91.2	92.8	57.1	73.1	88.3	*	89.3	93.5	91.5	90.1	363
8	2009	87.5	100.0	87.0	86.5	30.0	67.9	83.0		84.9	90.5	87.4	89.6	422
	2010	*	66.7	90.3	89.5	65.0	76.2	85.5		90.4	89.2	89.9	88.9	404
	2008	100.0	82.8	83.8	84.2	32.5	62.8	78.2	*	81.1	87.5	84.1	83.5	781
7-8	2009	91.7	81.8	83.0	83.1	36.6	63.0	76.5		83.9	82.1	83.0	82.8	837
	2010	87.5	78.3	86.8	85.9	53.3	71.4	80.1	*	86.9	86.0	86.5	82.9	784

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 7-8				
2008	15	29	735	689	40	148	524	2	413	368	781	18,756
2009	12	22	800	746	41	219	566	0	446	391	837	18,893
2010	8	23	750	717	30	199	462	1	397	387	784	18,655

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2010-11 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	0	4	89	86	21	41	85	*	59	34	93	2,207	418
7	2009	*	4	84	76	12	46	82		37	52	89	2,232	415
	2010	*	2	61	62	7	33	55	*	31	34	65	2,164	380
	2008	0	1	30	23	6	14	29	*	19	12	31	891	363
8	2009	1	0	52	50	14	35	51		35	18	53	1,011	422
	2010	*	3	38	39	7	24	37		21	20	41	1,028	404
	2008	0	5	119	109	27	55	114	*	78	46	124	3,098	781
7-8	2009	1	4	136	126	26	81	133		72	70	142	3,243	837
	2010	1	5	99	101	14	57	92	*	52	54	106	3,192	784

					NUM BE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 7-8				
2008	15	29	735	689	40	148	524	2	413	368	781	18,756
2009	12	22	800	746	41	219	566	0	446	391	837	18,893
2010	8	23	750	717	30	199	462	1	397	387	784	18,655

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2008	81.9	82.8	76.6	69.4
7	2009	79.3	82.5	77.2	75.7
	2010	81.1	74.4	76.7	72.8
	2008	86.1	83.5	82.1	84.0
8	2009	85.5	82.2	81.3	84.1
	2010	87.7	82.8	86.2	80.6
	2008	83.8	83.2	79.2	76.2
7-8	2009	82.4	82.3	79.3	79.9
	2010	84.5	78.7	81.6	76.8

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	25.0	0.0	13.9	13.0	0.0	5.2	6.5	*	11.0	17.0	13.6	19.1	418
7	2009	*	0.0	18.8	18.9	4.8	10.0	10.2		19.2	17.9	18.6	19.2	415
	2010	*	21.4	14.5	13.0	0.0	4.1	5.3	*	14.6	15.3	15.0	17.2	380
	2008	28.6	28.6	37.9	37.6	0.0	13.5	23.1	*	32.2	42.5	37.5	37.6	363
8	2009	50.0	16.7	31.4	31.3	0.0	9.2	16.7		28.9	34.2	31.3	35.9	422
	2010	*	0.0	27.9	27.8	5.0	11.9	13.7		24.7	30.3	27.2	31.9	404
	2008	26.7	13.8	25.0	24.4	0.0	8.1	14.3	*	20.1	29.9	24.7	28.0	781
7-8	2009	41.7	9.1	25.1	25.1	2.4	9.6	13.6		24.2	25.8	25.0	27.8	837
	2010	37.5	13.0	21.5	20.6	3.3	8.0	10.0	*	20.2	22.5	21.3	24.5	784

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 7-8				
2008	15	29	735	689	40	148	524	2	413	368	781	18,756
2009	12	22	800	746	41	219	566	0	446	391	837	18,893
2010	8	23	750	717	30	199	462	1	397	387	784	18,655

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	85.7	33.3	68.3	67.1	13.8	49.0	55.7	*	67.8	66.9	67.4	64.5	420
7	2009	*	36.4	75.5	74.6	47.6	62.7	64.9		79.6	69.7	74.8	65.7	417
	2010	*	71.4	78.9	77.6	44.4	69.4	67.2	*	80.6	77.2	78.8	68.5	377
	2008	71.4	64.3	76.7	78.2	46.7	54.5	66.8	*	74.2	77.7	76.0	72.8	366
8	2009	75.0	61.5	79.4	77.8	83.3	67.3	72.2		80.9	76.5	78.9	70.7	431
	2010	*	77.8	85.2	85.8	75.0	70.9	79.0	*	87.3	82.8	85.2	76.4	406
	2008	78.6	48.3	72.2	72.3	25.0	51.0	60.9	*	70.5	72.3	71.4	68.5	786
7-8	2009	83.3	50.0	77.5	76.2	66.7	65.0	68.8		80.3	73.0	76.9	68.2	848
	2010	100.0	73.9	82.2	81.8	65.5	70.1	73.8	*	84.3	79.9	82.1	72.4	783

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 7-8				
2008	14	29	741	692	44	153	530	2	421	365	786	18,678
2009	12	24	808	757	45	223	574	0	451	397	848	18,693
2010	8	23	749	716	29	201	461	2	395	388	783	18,634

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2010-11 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 67% are shaded.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	1	10	126	122	25	50	124	*	77	60	137	3,462	420
7	2009	*	7	98	96	11	41	94		44	61	105	3,197	417
	2010	*	4	75	77	5	30	67	*	34	46	80	2,975	377
	2008	2	5	80	70	8	25	83	*	47	41	88	2,429	366
8	2009	2	5	84	84	4	37	85		45	46	91	2,752	431
	2010	*	2	58	53	5	30	54	*	28	32	60	2,173	406
	2008	3	15	206	192	33	75	207	*	124	101	225	5,891	786
7-8	2009	2	12	182	180	15	78	179		89	107	196	5,949	848
	2010	0	6	133	130	10	60	121	*	62	78	140	5,148	783

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 7-8				
2008	14	29	741	692	44	153	530	2	421	365	786	18,678
2009	12	24	808	757	45	223	574	0	451	397	848	18,693
2010	8	23	749	716	29	201	461	2	395	388	783	18,634

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2008	69.1	61.9	69.4	51.2	62.4	66.5
7	2009	75.4	68.2	77.1	66.7	61.9	71.9
	2010	70.4	69.2	76.7	59.7	71.0	72.1
	2008	66.9	71.7	74.4	48.6	70.5	69.9
8	2009	68.4	73.3	72.9	56.7	68.8	70.3
	2010	74.0	74.7	73.5	59.9	77.1	70.9
	2008	68.1	66.5	71.7	50.0	66.2	68.1
7-8	2009	71.9	70.8	74.9	61.6	65.4	71.1
	2010	72.3	72.0	75.1	59.8	74.2	71.4

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	28.6	6.7	10.8	11.1	0.0	3.1	3.2	*	11.7	9.9	11.0	11.0	420
7	2009	*	0.0	15.0	14.6	9.5	7.3	8.2		18.1	11.4	14.9	11.0	417
	2010	*	0.0	16.3	14.6	11.1	9.2	8.3	*	18.3	13.4	15.6	14.7	377
	2008	28.6	7.1	12.8	13.4	0.0	7.3	4.0	*	13.2	13.0	13.1	14.1	366
8	2009	12.5	7.7	13.2	13.7	0.0	3.5	5.2		13.6	12.2	13.0	15.0	431
	2010	*	11.1	17.0	16.6	5.0	7.8	7.4	*	18.6	15.1	17.0	14.1	406
	2008	28.6	6.9	11.7	12.1	0.0	4.6	3.6	*	12.4	11.5	12.0	12.5	786
7-8	2009	25.0	4.2	14.1	14.1	4.4	5.4	6.6	·	15.7	11.8	13.9	13.0	848
	2010	12.5	4.3	16.7	15.6	6.9	8.5	7.8	*	18.5	14.2	16.3	14.4	783

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 7-8				
2008	14	29	741	692	44	153	530	2	421	365	786	18,678
2009	12	24	808	757	45	223	574	0	451	397	848	18,693
2010	8	23	749	716	29	201	461	2	395	388	783	18,634

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	85.7	73.3	78.7	77.8	33.3	58.5	71.5	*	72.3	86.5	78.5	83.7	413
7	2009	*	72.7	88.5	88.5	66.7	75.5	81.9		87.4	89.1	88.2	88.6	406
	2010	*	93.3	94.2	94.0	77.8	88.4	91.8	*	91.7	96.5	94.2	90.6	381

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2008	7	15	389	365	24	94	274	1	235	178	413	9,744
2009	3	11	390	366	18	102	259	0	214	192	406	8,988
2010	4	15	360	348	9	95	208	1	181	200	381	9,369

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2011 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	1	4	83	81	16	39	78	*	65	24	89	1,584	413
7	2009	*	3	45	42	6	25	47		27	21	48	1,023	406
	2010	*	1	21	21	2	11	17	*	15	7	22	878	381

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2008	7	15	389	365	24	94	274	1	235	178	413	9,744
2009	3	11	390	366	18	102	259	0	214	192	406	8,988
2010	4	15	360	348	9	95	208	1	181	200	381	9,369

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2008	2.3	72.5	71.6	80.2	74.1
7	2009	2.3	76.8	77.1	80.0	78.9
	2010	2.4	79.3	72.0	80.8	83.4

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	0.0	6.7	17.7	16.2	0.0	5.3	8.4	*	11.1	24.7	16.9	20.4	413
7	2009	*	9.1	15.4	15.8	5.6	2.9	6.2		8.9	22.4	15.3	22.3	406
	2010	*	6.7	25.0	23.0	11.1	7.4	11.1	*	20.4	27.5	24.1	26.3	381

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2008	7	15	389	365	24	94	274	1	235	178	413	9,744
2009	3	11	390	366	18	102	259	0	214	192	406	8,988
2010	4	15	360	348	9	95	208	1	181	200	381	9,369

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	85.7	84.6	88.1	89.2	80.0	76.5	82.8	*	87.0	89.0	88.0	86.6	358
8	2009	100.0	91.7	86.8	86.1	60.0	73.1	82.1		85.5	89.4	87.3	88.3	401
	2010	*	75.0	94.3	93.7	80.0	87.9	91.1		93.9	94.1	94.0	92.1	399

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	DE 8				
2008	7	13	337	315	15	51	244	1	177	181	358	8,642
2009	8	12	379	353	20	93	279	0	221	180	401	9,233
2010	3	8	387	367	20	99	257	0	213	186	399	9,084

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2011 AEIS social studies minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	1	2	40	34	3	12	42	*	23	20	43	1,157	358
8	2009	0	1	50	49	8	25	50		32	19	51	1,078	401
	2010	*	2	22	23	4	12	23		13	11	24	719	399

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8							
2008	7	13 337 315 15 51 244 1 177 181 358 8,642													
2009	8	12	379	353	20	93	279	0	221	180	401	9,233			
2010	3	8	387	367	20	99	257	0	213	186	399	9,084			

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	lssues and Events in U.S. History	Geographic Influences on History	Economic and Social Influences on History	Political Influences on History	Critical-Thinking Skills
	2008	70.9	72.1	76.1	68.5	76.6
8	2009	73.1	76.8	74.7	69.0	81.7
	2010	68.7	75.3	75.0	69.7	70.6

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	42.9	38.5	24.9	26.0	33.3	13.7	12.7	*	29.9	22.1	26.0	27.5	358
8	2009	25.0	25.0	28.5	29.2	10.0	12.9	14.0		30.8	25.0	28.2	31.7	401
	2010	*	12.5	29.5	29.2	20.0	13.1	17.1		33.8	24.2	29.3	32.3	399

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	DE 8							
2008	7	7 13 337 315 15 51 244 1 177 181 358 8,642													
2009	8	12	379	353	20	93	279	0	221	180	401	9,233			
2010	3	8	387	367	20	99	257	0	213	186	399	9,084			

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	57.1	50.0	50.3	51.3	13.3	23.1	35.1	*	52.0	49.2	50.6	54.6	360
8	2009	75.0	50.0	53.2	53.3	30.0	34.6	40.2		54.6	52.7	53.7	57.5	417
	2010	*	55.6	67.6	67.3	60.0	47.0	55.2		73.6	60.8	67.7	64.2	402

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8							
2008	7	7 14 338 318 15 52 245 1 179 181 360 8,703													
2009	8	12	395	368	20	104	291	0	229	188	417	9,582			
2010	3	9	389	370	20	100	259	0	216	186	402	9,112			

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 55 percent, the 2011 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	3	7	168	155	13	40	159	*	86	92	178	3,953	360
8	2009	2	6	185	172	14	68	174		104	89	193	4,068	417
	2010	*	4	126	121	8	53	116		57	73	130	3,261	402

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8							
2008	7	7 14 338 318 15 52 245 1 179 181 360 8,703													
2009	8	12	395	368	20	104	291	0	229	188	417	9,582			
2010	3	9	389	370	20	100	259	0	216	186	402	9,112			

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Living Systems and the Environment	Structures and Properties of Matter	Motion, Forces, and Energy	Earth and Space Systems
	2008	68.7	65.3	61.3	54.0	56.4
8	2009	70.7	65.2	61.0	70.7	58.7
	2010	75.7	73.7	63.5	65.5	69.3

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	0.0	0.0	6.5	6.6	0.0	1.9	1.2	*	7.8	5.0	6.4	11.2	360
8	2009	0.0	8.3	7.6	8.4	0.0	1.9	1.7		8.3	6.4	7.4	12.9	417
	2010	*	11.1	13.4	12.7	5.0	5.0	5.4		16.2	9.7	13.2	17.0	402

					NUM E	BER TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 8							
2008	7	7 14 338 318 15 52 245 1 179 181 360 8,703													
2009	8	12	395	368	20	104	291	0	229	188	417	9,582			
2010	3	9	389	370	20	100	259	0	216	186	402	9,112			

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		*	75.0	77.8	80.0	75.0	80.0		85.7	*	80.0	67.1	10
7	2009		*	91.7	84.6	86.7	*	84.6		100.0	66.7	86.7	72.6	15
	2010	*	*	83.3	83.3	85.7	83.3	84.6		100.0	66.7	85.7	66.6	14
	2008			71.4	66.7	71.4	*	83.3		83.3	*	71.4	64.8	7
8	2009			92.3	90.0	92.9	88.9	92.9		90.0	*	92.9	77.8	14
	2010			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	78.3	5
	2008		*	73.3	73.3	76.5	76.9	81.3		84.6	*	76.5	66.0	17
7-8	2009	·	*	92.0	87.0	89.7	92.9	88.9		94.7	80.0	89.7	75.2	29
	2010	*	*	88.2	88.2	89.5	88.9	88.9		100.0	75.0	89.5	72.5	19

					NUM BE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 7-8								
2008	0	0 1 15 15 17 13 16 0 13 3 17 1,133														
2009	0	3	25	23	29	14	27	0	19	10	29	1,306				
2010	1	1	17	17	19	9	18	0	11	8	19	968				

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		*	2	2	2	2	2		1	*	2	201	10
7	2009		*	1	2	2	*	2		0	2	2	181	15
	2010	*	*	2	2	2	1	2		0	2	2	160	14
	2008			2	2	2	*	1		1	*	2	184	7
8	2009			1	1	1	1	1		1	*	1	143	14
	2010			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	106	5
	2008		*	4	4	4	3	3		2	*	4	385	17
7-8	2009	·	*	2	3	3	1	3		1	2	3	324	29
	2010	*	*	2	2	2	1	2		0	2	2	266	19

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 7-8				
2008	0	1	15	15	17	13	16	0	13	3	17	1,133
2009	0	3	25	23	29	14	27	0	19	10	29	1,306
2010	1	1	17	17	19	9	18	0	11	8	19	968

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2008	57.0	68.8	65.0	51.7
7	2009	82.0	75.8	80.0	75.6
	2010	58.6	63.4	58.0	67.3
	2008	68.6	64.3	62.5	56.0
8	2009	80.7	72.3	77.7	61.3
	2010	*	*	*	*
	2008	61.8	66.9	64.0	53.4
7-8	2009	81.4	74.1	78.9	68.7
	2010	60.0	67.1	61.2	68.9

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	5.9	10
7	2009		*	41.7	30.8	33.3	*	30.8		33.3	33.3	33.3	8.9	15
	2010	*	*	16.7	16.7	14.3	16.7	7.7		12.5	16.7	14.3	9.8	14
	2008			0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	6.3	7
8	2009			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	7.1	14
	2010			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	8.6	5
	2008		*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	6.1	17
7-8	2009		*	20.0	17.4	17.2	14.3	14.8		15.8	20.0	17.2	8.0	29
	2010	*	*	11.8	11.8	10.5	11.1	5.6		9.1	12.5	10.5	9.2	19

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 7-8				
2008	0	1	15	15	17	13	16	0	13	3	17	1,133
2009	0	3	25	23	29	14	27	0	19	10	29	1,306
2010	1	1	17	17	19	9	18	0	11	8	19	968

L. V. STOCKARD (59)

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		*	*	42.9	42.9	33.3	42.9		*	50.0	42.9	53.7	7
7	2009		*	81.8	72.7	78.6	*	75.0		85.7	71.4	78.6	62.0	14
	2010	*	*	75.0	75.0	78.6	66.7	84.6		62.5	100.0	78.6	59.5	14
	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	45.0	5
8	2009			80.0	71.4	80.0	100.0	80.0		71.4	*	80.0	67.1	10
	2010			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	63.0	5
	2008		*	33.3	41.7	41.7	37.5	45.5		42.9	50.0	41.7	49.4	12
7-8	2009	·	*	81.0	72.2	79.2	100.0	77.3	·	78.6	80.0	79.2	64.5	24
	2010	*	*	82.4	82.4	84.2	71.4	88.9	·	70.0	100.0	84.2	61.3	19

	NUM BER TESTED IN GRADES 7-8													
2008	0	2	9	12	12	8	11	0	7	4	12	1,192		
2009	0	3	21	18	24	12	22	0	14	10	24	1,290		
2010	1	1	17	17	19	7	18	0	10	9	19	1,015		

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		*	*	4	4	4	4		*	*	4	279	7
7	2009		*	2	3	3	*	3		1	2	3	248	14
	2010	*	*	3	3	3	2	2		3	0	3	200	14
	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	324	5
8	2009			2	2	2	0	2		2	*	2	210	10
	2010			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	193	5
	2008		*	6	7	7	5	6		4	*	7	603	12
7-8	2009		*	4	5	5	0	5		3	2	5	458	24
	2010	*	*	3	3	3	2	2		3	0	3	393	19

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 7-8				
2008	0	2	9	12	12	8	11	0	7	4	12	1,192
2009	0	3	21	18	24	12	22	0	14	10	24	1,290
2010	1	1	17	17	19	7	18	0	10	9	19	1,015

L. V. STOCKARD (59) 36

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2008	37.5	42.9	38.1	53.6	45.2	38.1
7	2009	45.5	58.9	63.1	64.3	45.2	70.2
	2010	51.8	52.7	48.8	66.1	63.1	66.7
	2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
8	2009	60.0	70.0	60.0	57.5	60.0	55.0
	2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2008	35.4	40.6	41.7	50.0	43.1	36.8
7-8	2009	51.6	63.5	61.8	61.5	51.4	63.9
	2010	53.9	53.9	52.6	56.6	60.5	64.9

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		*	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		*	*	0.0	2.5	7
7	2009		*	18.2	9.1	14.3	*	16.7		14.3	14.3	14.3	8.0	14
	2010	*	*	0.0	8.3	7.1	0.0	7.7		0.0	16.7	7.1	5.3	14
	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	3.2	5
8	2009			30.0	14.3	30.0	28.6	30.0		42.9	*	30.0	5.8	10
	2010			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	3.5	5
	2008		*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	2.9	12
7-8	2009	·	*	23.8	11.1	20.8	33.3	22.7		28.6	10.0	20.8	6.9	24
	2010	*	*	0.0	5.9	5.3	0.0	5.6		0.0	11.1	5.3	4.3	19

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 7-8				
2008	0	2	9	12	12	8	11	0	7	4	12	1,192
2009	0	0 3 21 18 24 12 22 0 14 10 24 1,290										
2010	1	1	17	17	19	7	18	0	10	9	19	1,015

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008													0
7	2009		*	76.9	69.2	75.0	85.7	71.4		75.0	75.0	75.0	61.9	16
	2010	*	*	50.0	50.0	50.0	42.9	46.2		33.3	*	50.0	65.2	14

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009	0	3 13 13 16 7 14 0 8 8 16 691										
2010	1	1	12	12	14	7	13	0	9	5	14	517

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008													0
7	2009		*	3	4	4	1	4		2	2	4	263	16
	2010	*	*	6	6	7	4	7		6	*	7	180	14

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7					
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2009	0	3 13 13 16 7 14 0 8 8 16 691											
2010	1	1	12	12	14	7	13	0	9	5	14	517	

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2008					
7	2009	1.6	75.0	52.3	71.3	60.6
	2010	1.4	64.3	51.8	60.0	46.4

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008													0
7	2009		*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16
	2010	*	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	3.1	14

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7					
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2009	0	3 13 13 16 7 14 0 8 8 16 691											
2010	1	1	12	12	14	7	13	0	9	5	14	517	

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the ITBS

				READING T	OTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	22.3	68.4	36.7	37.2	365
8	2009	15.4	61.9	28.6	33.6	398
	2010	16.3	61.5	32.1	33.9	386

		MATHEMATICS TOTAL									
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)					
	2008	35.2	77.9	48.5	46.7	363					
8	2009	32.5	84.1	47.2	48.3	396					
	2010	61.5	90.7	72.0	45.5	300					

	2008	251	114	365	8,615	
Number Tested	2009	285	113	398	9,813	
Toolog	2010	251	135	386	9,416	

	2008	250	113	363	8,493	
Number Tested	2009	283	113	396	9,737	
100100	2010	192	108	300	9,135	

ITBS Read (1) ITBS: READING TOTAL

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	25.0	30.0	37.1	38.5	0.0	11.1	22.3	*	33.5	39.7	36.7	37.2	365
8	2009	*	27.3	28.7	29.1	0.0	8.5	15.4	*	28.1	29.3	28.6	33.6	398
	2010	*	20.0	32.1	32.0	4.3	8.1	16.3	28.6	33.7	30.5	32.1	33.9	386

	2008	8	10	345	314	17	63	251	1	176	189	365	8,615
Number Tested	2009	4	11	383	347	23	106	285	1	224	174	398	9,813
Tostoa	2010	4	10	371	344	23	99	251	7	199	187	386	9,416

ITBS Read (2) ITBS: READING TOTAL

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	0	1	12	12	0	0	1	*	3	10	13	508	365
8	2009	*	0	7	6	0	0	0	*	4	3	7	575	398
	2010	*	0	6	5	0	0	1	0	4	2	6	590	386

	2008	8	10	345	314	17	63	251	1	176	189	365	8,615
Number Tested	2009	4	11	383	347	23	106	285	1	224	174	398	9,813
Tostoa	2010	4	10	371	344	23	99	251	7	199	187	386	9,416

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	ΑII	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	25.0	40.0	49.3	50.5	0.0	24.6	35.2	*	46.0	50.8	48.5	46.7	363
8	2009	*	45.5	47.2	48.1	4.5	21.9	32.5	*	47.1	47.4	47.2	48.3	396
	2010	*	50.0	72.5	73.3	5.9	52.7	61.5	50.0	73.4	70.4	72.0	45.5	300

	2008	8	10	343	313	17	61	250	1	174	189	363	8,493
Number Tested	2009	4	11	381	345	22	105	283	1	223	173	396	9,737
Tostoa	2010	2	10	287	270	17	74	192	6	158	142	300	9,135

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	1	0	21	20	0	1	3	*	16	7	23	801	363
8	2009	*	1	30	29	0	1	3	*	16	16	32	1,219	396
	2010	*	2	73	68	0	6	23	1	48	30	78	984	300

	2008	8	10	343	313	17	61	250	1	174	189	363	8,493
Number Tested	2009	4	11	381	345	22	105	283	1	223	173	396	9,737
Tostoa	2010	2	10	287	270	17	74	192	6	158	142	300	9,135

ITBS Math (3) ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	ΑII	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	50.0	50.0	47.0	49.7	17.6	38.7	41.3	*	44.3	50.3	47.4	48.8	365
8	2009	*	50.0	43.7	44.4	40.9	26.5	36.2	*	43.6	44.4	43.5	44.7	405
	2010	*	70.0	64.3	65.8	24.0	48.6	56.0	33.3	63.3	66.5	64.8	37.3	401

	2008	8	10	345	314	17	62	252	1	176	189	365	8,705
Number Tested	2009	4	10	375	338	22	102	254	1	218	171	405	10,011
restea	2010	3	10	387	360	25	105	259	6	207	194	401	9,519

ITBS Math (4) ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	0	0	19	18	0	2	6	*	6	13	19	625	365
8	2009	*	0	26	24	0	0	5	*	12	15	29	1,288	405
	2010	*	3	110	104	1	18	51	1	58	57	115	860	401

	2008	8	10	345	314	17	62	252	1	176	189	365	8,705
Number Tested	2009	4	10	375	338	22	102	254	1	218	171	405	10,011
restea	2010	3	10	387	360	25	105	259	6	207	194	401	9,519

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1 in 2009		Level 2	in 2009	Level 3	in 2009	Levels 1-3 in 2009		
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2010							
7	4	50.0	7	85.7	0	-	11	72.7	
8	7	57.1	8	62.5	0	-	15	60.0	
ALL	11	54.5	15	73.3	0	-	26	65.4	

PERFORMANCE IN 2010

Grade	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Com	posite
(N Rated)	2010 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	2	1.8	1	0.9	6	5.3	1	0.9	1	0.9
7	Intermediate	13	11.5	11	9.7	32	28.3	12	10.6	12	10.6
(113)	Advanced	54	47.8	57	50.4	48	42.5	34	30.1	34	30.1
	Advanced High	44	38.9	44	38.9	27	23.9	66	58.4	66	58. <i>4</i>
	Beginning	5	4.5	5	4.5	6	5.4	11	9.8	11	9.8
8	Intermediate	4	3.6	5	4.5	12	10.7	9	8.0	9	8.0
(112)	Advanced	60	53.6	61	54.5	53	47.3	28	25.0	28	25.0
	Advanced High	43	38.4	41	36.6	41	36.6	64	57.1	64	57.1
	Beginning	7	3.1	6	2.7	12	5.3	12	5.3	12	5.3
ALL	Intermediate	17	7.6	16	7.1	44	19.6	21	9.3	21	9.3
(225)	Advanced	114	50.7	118	52.4	101	44.9	62	27.6	62	27.6
	Advanced High	87	38.7	85	37.8	68	30.2	130	57.8	130	57.8

PROGRESSION FROM 2009 TO 2010

Number Rated Both Years			2009	Level	
N (%) Progressed	2010 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High
	Beginning		()	
104	Intermediate	2		9	
69 (66.3%)	Advanced	0	8	2	6
	Advanced High	0	0	5	9
	Beginning		-	7	
103	Intermediate	0		9	
65 (63.1%)	Advanced	0	4	2	2
	Advanced High	0	3	5	8
	Beginning		-	7	
207	Intermediate	2		18	
134 (64.7%)	Advanced	0	12	4	8
	Advanced High	0	3	1	17

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2009 to 2010.

				АΙΙ		African A	American	Hisp	anic	Wh	nite	Oth	ner	Dist	trict
Grade	Subtest	Year	N Tested	Mean	%Tested	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean
		2007												412	29.6
	Critical Reading	2008												493	29.4
	rtcading	2009	1	20.0	0.2	0		1	*	0		0		735	31.1
		2007												412	33.5
7	Mathematics	2008												493	33.4
		2009	1	29.0	0.2	0		1	*	0		0		735	32.9
		2007												412	30.5
	Writing	2008												493	31.3
		2009	1	28.0	0.2	0		1	*	0		0		735	31.2
	0 '''	2007	343	29.4	90.3	8	31.9	328	29.3	6	28.8	1	*	2,527	33.5
	Critical Reading	2008	404	29.1	93.7	11	30.4	387	29.0	6	31.8	0		2,932	33.8
		2009	385	31.0	91.9	10	30.5	371	31.0	3	*	1	*	2,832	34.8
		2007	343	33.6	90.3	8	34.5	328	33.5	6	35.0	1	*	2,527	37.2
8	Mathematics	2008	404	33.2	93.7	11	32.6	387	33.2	6	35.7	0		2,932	37.2
		2009	385	34.2	91.9	10	29.9	371	34.3	3	*	1	*	2,832	37.1
		2007	343	30.1	90.3	8	31.5	328	30.1	6	31.5	1	*	2,527	34.5
	Writing	2008	404	31.6	93.7	11	31.1	387	31.6	6	32.0	0		2,932	35.1
		2009	385	32.2	91.9	10	26.9	371	32.3	3	*	1	*	2,832	34.4

					SEMEST	ER 1								SEMEST	ER 2			
			Ave	erages		% Pa	assing	District %	% Passing			Av	erages		% P	assing	District %	% Passing
	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	Numb			Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course
AL	GEBRA	I PRE-AP	(tested v	with 2010	0)					ALGEBR	A I PRE-	AP (tested	with 201	0)				
	104	72.9	83.8	85.7	85.9	94.2	99.0	79.5	95.5	105	78.	85.6	85.9	85.9	99.0	99.0	83.6	95.6
СО	MPUTE	R APPLICA	ATIONS	(tested v	vith 2010)					COMPU	ER APP	LICATIONS	(tested v	with 2010)				
	202	66.0	77.3	87.1	88.2	80.7	99.0	70.1	95.0	191	65.	76.6	87.2	88.4	77.0	99.5	70.0	93.0
HE	ALTH E	DUCATION	I							HEALTH	EDUCAT	ION (teste	d with 20	10)				
										86	67.	78.8	88.5	89.6	87.2	100.0	83.6	95.5
LAI	NGUAGI	E ARTS 7	(tested v	with 2010	0)					LANGUA	GE ART	57 (tested	with 201	0)				
	316	56.8	74.1	84.1	85.1	72.8	98.1	62.7	86.4	307	73.	82.6	84.3	84.4	89.6	97.4	82.0	87.2
LAI	NGUAGI	E ARTS 7 I	PRE-AP	(tested	with 2010)					LANGUA	GE ART	6 7 PRE-AP	(tested	with 2010)				
	72	58.8	75.3	89.1	90.6	73.6	100.0	78.3	95.7	73	60.	3 76.2	87.5	88.7	86.3	100.0	74.9	93.7
LAI	NGUAGI	E ARTS 8	(tested v	with 2010	0)					LANGUA	GE ART	8 8 (tested	with 201	0)				
	246	58.2	73.3	80.8	81.6	68.3	94.3	70.1	86.9	250	53.	73.9	80.6	81.3	74.0	98.4	74.5	86.6
LAI	NGUAGE	E ARTS 8 I	PRE-AP	(tested	with 2010)					LANGUA	GE ART	8 PRE-AP	(tested	with 2010)				
	149	49.8	72.1	81.6	82.6	71.1	95.3	73.4	96.0	147	46.	2 71.2	83.1	84.4	60.5	98.6	72.7	94.2
MA	THEMA	TICS 7 (te	sted wit	h 2010)						MATHE	IATICS 7	(tested wit	th 2010)					
	278	66.0	77.3	78.4	78.5	72.3	87.1	57.7	85.2	267	60.	78.2	79.1	79.2	83.5	87.6	56.1	82.6
MA	ТНЕМА	TICS 7 PR	E-AP (te	ested wit	h 2010)					MATHE	IATICS 7	PRE-AP (t	ested wit	h 2010)				
	117	70.7	80.5	78.8	78.6	91.5	92.3	69.6	95.7	115	70.	82.2	79.9	79.6	96.5	93.0	73.6	95.5
MA	THEMA	TICS 8 (te	sted wit	h 2010)						MATHE	1ATICS 8	(tested wit	th 2010)					
	293	60.9	76.5	80.5	80.9	74.4	92.5	60.2	83.5	302	54.	74.5	78.8	79.3	69.5	90.7	49.3	82.1

Number Tested Witems Scale Course Course Mark (no ACP) ACP Course	District % Passing ACP Course 58.3 92.1 61.2 90.3	Number 2/ Itama Saala Cauraa Mark
Tested Correct Score Mark (no ACP) ACP Coulse	58.3 92.1	Tested Correct Score Mark (no ACP) ACP Course ACP ACP
8 53.8 74.4 87.5 88.9 50.0 100.0 MS ADVANCED LA FOR ESL (tested with 2010) 8 60.9 74.0 87.8 89.3 62.5 100.0 MS INTERMEDIATE LA FOR ESL (tested with 2010) As a * * * * * * * * * * MS INTERMEDIATE READING FOR ESL READING 7 (tested with 2010)		7 47.3 75.7 89.0 90.5 100.0 100.0 67.6 90.3 MS ADVANCED LA FOR ESL (tested with 2010)
MS ADVANCED LA FOR ESL (tested with 2010) 8 60.9 74.0 87.8 89.3 62.5 100.0 MS INTERMEDIATE LA FOR ESL (tested with 2010) 3 * * * * * * * * MS INTERMEDIATE READING FOR ESL READING 7 (tested with 2010)		MS ADVANCED LA FOR ESL (tested with 2010)
8 60.9 74.0 87.8 89.3 62.5 100.0 MS INTERMEDIATE LA FOR ESL (tested with 2010) 3 * * * * * * * * MS INTERMEDIATE READING FOR ESL READING 7 (tested with 2010)	61.2 90.3	
MS INTERMEDIATE LA FOR ESL (tested with 2010) 3 * * * * * * * MS INTERMEDIATE READING FOR ESL READING 7 (tested with 2010)	61.2 90.3	9 59.2 72.8 87.4 89.0 66.7 100.0 64.6 91.5
3 * * * * * * * * MS INTERMEDIATE READING FOR ESL READING 7 (tested with 2010)		0 00.2 72.0 07.1 00.0 00.7 100.0 01.0 01.0
MS INTERMEDIATE READING FOR ESL READING 7 (tested with 2010)		MS INTERMEDIATE LA FOR ESL (tested with 2010)
READING 7 (tested with 2010)	54.1 95.9	7 52.6 68.4 83.9 85.6 42.9 100.0 51.7 92.7
		MS INTERMEDIATE READING FOR ESL (tested with 2010)
		7 45.0 64.9 83.6 85.6 28.6 100.0 57.8 91.7
004 045 000 000 000 000		READING 7 (tested with 2010)
204 64.5 80.3 82.6 82.9 83.8 96.1	79.1 93.2	202 71.9 81.3 82.7 82.9 87.1 97.0 75.4 92.4
READING 8 (tested with 2010)		READING 8 (tested with 2010)
279 70.0 81.2 82.4 82.5 93.2 98.2	88.5 92.8	280 71.3 80.9 84.2 84.6 89.6 99.3 87.4 93.0
READING MASTERY 7 (tested with 2010)		READING MASTERY 7 (tested with 2010)
180 62.4 77.5 80.0 80.3 80.0 92.2	71.7 88.7	173 67.4 78.8 81.8 82.2 88.4 98.3 71.8 86.4
READING MASTERY 8 (tested with 2010)		READING MASTERY 8 (tested with 2010)
112 62.9 76.8 78.6 78.7 76.8 95.5	76.3 86.7	116 63.1 76.5 79.6 79.9 81.9 94.8 79.8 89.3
SCIENCE 7 (tested with 2010)		SCIENCE 7 (tested with 2010)
394 64.3 76.7 80.8 81.2 79.2 92.6	76.1 90.6	383 66.5 78.2 80.3 80.5 79.6 90.6 74.3 86.4
SCIENCE 8 (tested with 2010)		SCIENCE 8 (tested with 2010)
402 51.6 73.6 80.6 81.4 63.9 90.3	69.4 89.2	

			SEMESTER 1												
			Ave	rages		% Pa	ssing	District %	6 Passing						
	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course						
TE	XAS STU	JDIES 7 (t	ested wi	th 2010)											
	271	48.8	70.5	80.0	81.0	53.9	91.1	55.7	88.5						
TE	XAS STU	JDIES 7 PI	RE-AP (t	ested wi	th 2010)										
	82	61.8	74.6	89.3	90.9	68.3	100.0	78.3	97.0						
UN	IITED ST	ATES STU	IDIES 8	(tested v	vith 2010)										
	228	54.8	70.5	78.2	79.1	52.2	89.9	53.4	87.4						
UN	IITED ST	ATES STU	IDIES 8 F	PRE-AP	(tested with	2010)									
	142	70.0	80.0	84.8	85.3	85.9	97.9	87.1	95.6						

SEMESTER 2

		Ave	rages		% Pa	ssing	District %	Passing
Numb Test	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course

TEXAS STUDIES 7 (tested with 2010)

298 50.0	70.0	77.3	78.1	53.0	87.9	63.5	85.2
----------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------

TEXAS STUDIES 7 PRE-AP (tested with 2010)

83	62.7	75 1	81 1	81.7	74 7	97.6	81.3	93.5
00	02.7	7 3.1	01.1	01.7	17.1	31.0	01.5	33.3

UNITED STATES STUDIES 8 (tested with 2010)

UNITED STATES STUDIES 8 PRE-AP (tested with 2010)

141	79 4	86.3	84 7	84.5	92 2	96.5	94 0	96.0
		00.0	0	01.0	02.2	00.0	0	00.0