Campus Data Packet for 2010-11 planning

Information in this packet is based on data from the 2009-10 school year.



ALEX W. SPENCE

School Number 58



Data Analysis, Reporting, and Research Services https://mydata.dallasisd.org OIR@dallasisd.org Published July 24, 2010

2010-11 Data Packet: Standard Issue

Table of Contents

2.... Contents Table of Contents

STUDENTS AND STAFF

- 7.... Summary...... Summary of Student and Teacher Statistics9.... Enrollment (2)...... Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group
- 11.... Teachers..... Teacher Statistics

TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS

- 12.... TAKS Read (1)...... TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard
 14.... TAKS Read (3)..... TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective
 16.... TAKS Math (1)..... TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard
 18.... TAKS Math (3)..... TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective
 20.... TAKS Write (1)..... TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard
 22.... TAKS Write (3)..... TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective
 24.... TAKS Soc.Studies (1).... TAKS Social Studies: % Meeting Standard
 26.... TAKS Soc.Studies (3).... TAKS Social Studies: Performance by Objective
 28.... TAKS Science (1)...... TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard
- 30.... TAKS Science (3)..... TAKS Science: Performance by Objective

TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS (MOD)

ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- 44.... Compliance...... SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students
 46.... ITBS Read (2)..... ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-ile
 48.... ITBS Math (2)..... ITBS Math Total: # At or Above the 80th %-ile
- 50.... ITBS Math (4)..... ITBS Math Computation: # At or Above the 80th %-ile

MEASURES OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY

51.... WMLS..... Woodcock-Muñoz Language Survey

COLLEGE READINESS

53.... PSAT..... Mean Standard Scores for Juniors

- 4.... Notes Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
- 8.... Enrollment (1)..... Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
- 10.... Attendance..... Student Attendance Statistics

45 ITBS Read (1)	. ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-ile
47 ITBS Math (1)	. ITBS Math Total: % At or Above the 40th %-ile
49 ITBS Math (3)	. ITBS Math Computation: % At or Above the 40th %-ile

52.... TELPAS..... TX English Language Proficiency Assessment System

LOCAL ACCESSMENT

54.... ACP..... Assessment of Course Performance

‡ SCHOOLS WITH MAGNET PROGRAMS: Enrollment, attendance, and teacher statistics are not computed seperately for magnets, academies, and vanguards. Statistics computed for the home campus include all students at the home campus and the magnet program.

2009-10 SCHOOL SUMMARY ‡

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT[‡]

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2009-10. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2008-09 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2008-09 and 2009-10.

ATTENDANCE‡

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS‡

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ALEX W. SPENCE (58)

Notes

TAKS

Notes

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- TAKS statistics include both TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated results.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2011, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

TAKS-M (Modified)

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.

<u>TELPAS</u>

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2009 to 2010, the TEA did not report the 2009 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2010, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2009.

COLLEGE READINESS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Statistics based on student-reported school location, ethnicity, and graduation year.
- For SAT and ACT "Percent Tested" is computed with "Number Tested" as numerator and, as demoninator, the Grade 12 "Enrollment" reported on Enrollment (1) and Enrollment (2) reports. For PSAT the denominator for "Percent Tested" is from the appropriate grade/year on the Enrollment reports.
- PSAT scores range from 20 to 80. PSAT is administered once in the fall of each year. The district tests all grade 10 students. Other students sit for the PSAT voluntarily.

<u>ACP</u>

Notes

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- The ACPs are given as final course exams in grades 7-12. They account for a portion of students' final semester grades: 15 percent for high school and 10 percent for middle school. "Average course mark (no ACP)" is computed from semester grades before factoring in ACP scores. Any statistic involving course marks uses only those of at least 50, because district policy requires a student earn at least a 50 to receive course credit.
- Raw scores on the ACPs (percentage of items correct) are scaled each year to maintain similar annual passing rates for the district.
- A scale score of at least 70 percent is required to pass an ACP. A course mark of at least 70 percent is required to pass a course.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment					
6	368					
7	370					
8	327					
ALL	1,065					

STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stud	dents	Teachers				
Ethnicity	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage			
African American	195	18.3	36	34.6			
American Indian	5	0.5	*	*			
Asian	33	3.1	*	*			
Hispanic	799	75.0	13	12.5			
White	33	3.1	49	47.1			
Other	**	**	6	5.8			

*Included in category "Other."

**All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percentage
Economically disadvantaged students	956	89.8
Limited English proficient students	295	27.7
Special education students	82	7.7

EnrollIment Statistics by Ethnicity ‡

Enrollment (1)

			African A	African American American Indian Asian		ian	Hisp	anic	White			
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%
	2008	359	76	21.2	3	0.8	11	3.1	260	72.4	9	2.5
6	2009	359	66	18.4	1	0.3	12	3.3	266	74.1	14	3.9
	2010	368	67	18.2	2	0.5	11	3.0	276	75.0	12	3.3
	2008	410	77	18.8	2	0.5	17	4.1	308	75.1	6	1.5
7	2009	321	57	17.8	3	0.9	14	4.4	239	74.5	8	2.5
	2010	370	68	18.4	1	0.3	11	3.0	275	74.3	15	4.1
	2008	338	56	16.6	1	0.3	14	4.1	260	76.9	7	2.1
8	2009	379	74	19.5	1	0.3	14	3.7	287	75.7	3	0.8
	2010	327	60	18.3	2	0.6	11	3.4	248	75.8	6	1.8
	2008	1,107	209	18.9	6	0.5	42	3.8	828	74.8	22	2.0
6-8	2009	1,059	197	18.6	5	0.5	40	3.8	792	74.8	25	2.4
	2010	1,065	195	18.3	5	0.5	33	3.1	799	75.0	33	3.1

Enrollment (2)

		Econor Disadva	mically antaged	LE	EP Special Education		Education	TAG		New (to	Retention		
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2008	359	322	89.7	84	23.4	30	8.4	0	0.0	18	5.0	1.4
6	2009	359	322	89.7	104	29.0	26	7.2	103	28.7	21	5.8	0.8
	2010	368	339	92.1	112	30.4	29	7.9	94	25.5	24	6.5	0.8
	2008	410	371	90.5	85	20.7	29	7.1	107	26.1	21	5.1	1.2
7	2009	321	280	87.2	69	21.5	23	7.2	105	32.7	20	6.2	1.9
	2010	370	335	90.5	111	30.0	24	6.5	105	28.4	19	5.1	0.5
	2008	338	307	90.8	72	21.3	28	8.3	111	32.8	18	5.3	1.2
8	2009	379	346	91.3	80	21.1	27	7.1	107	28.2	25	6.6	1.1
	2010	327	282	86.2	72	22.0	29	8.9	99	30.3	18	5.5	1.8
	2008	1,107	1,000	90.3	241	21.8	87	7.9	218	19.7	57	5.1	1.3
6-8	2009	1,059	948	89.5	253	23.9	76	7.2	315	29.7	66	6.2	1.2
	2010	1,065	956	89.8	295	27.7	82	7.7	298	28.0	61	5.7	1.0

Attendance

Grade	Year	Average Daily Membership (N)		Average Daily Attendance				Yearly Transactions			Continuously Enrolled (N)		Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	District	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	District (N)	District (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	District (%)	Campus	District	Campus	District
	2008	356.0	10,450.4	342.3	96.2	10,060.0	96.3	48	13.5	22.0	320	9,055	89.9	86.6
6	2009	360.1	10,588.3	344.3	95.6	10,165.3	96.0	53	14.7	19.9	310	9,131	86.1	86.2
	2010	370.1	10,602.4	352.7	95.3	10,110.8	95.4	49	13.2	18.6	314	9,083	84.8	85.7
	2008	413.9	10,790.7	396.2	95.7	10,269.4	95.2	61	14.7	26.3	365	9,024	88.2	83.6
7	2009	334.8	10,135.8	317.1	94.7	9,601.8	94.7	47	14.0	26.0	292	8,389	87.2	82.8
	2010	367.2	10,258.0	347.2	94.5	9,636.4	93.9	41	11.2	22.4	318	8,310	86.6	81.0
	2008	348.6	9,895.9	333.7	95.7	9,350.9	94.5	59	16.9	30.5	305	8,046	87.5	81.3
8	2009	381.1	10,551.1	362.2	95.0	9,945.8	94.3	61	16.0	28.2	328	8,477	86.1	80.3
	2010	323.8	9,995.5	303.7	93.8	9,325.9	93.3	18	5.6	15.6	261	7,894	80.6	79.0
	2008	1,118.4	31,137.0	1,072.3	95.9	29,680.3	95.3	168	15.0	26.1	990	26,125	88.5	83.9
6-8	2009	1,075.9	31,275.2	1,023.6	95.1	29,712.9	95.0	161	15.0	24.7	930	25,997	86.4	83.1
	2010	1,061.1	30,855.9	1,003.5	94.6	29,073.2	94.2	108	10.2	18.9	893	25,287	84.2	82.0

10

DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS

Ethnicity	Number	Percentage			
African American	36	34.6			
Hispanic	13	12.5			
White	49	47.1			
Other	6	5.8			

Gender	Number	Percentage
Female	67	64.4
Male	37	35.6

TOTAL	104

AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES

2008	3.4
2009	7.4
2010	7.4

Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills: READING

TAKS Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	85.7	86.1	87.3	86.3	25.0	63.8	75.3		82.7	92.7	87.5	87.8	337
6	2009	*	75.0	76.8	76.4	30.8	62.2	64.7	*	69.6	83.8	76.9	85.1	255
	2010	100.0	70.3	77.9	75.8	29.2	61.8	62.8	*	71.8	83.1	77.4	78.3	359
	2008	*	83.3	78.2	77.8	22.2	41.7	59.0	*	79.0	79.8	79.1	77.3	393
7	2009	*	50.0	68.2	63.5	15.0	46.0	52.5		57.4	74.0	65.3	75.7	219
	2010	*	70.6	68.2	68.9	19.0	48.5	54.1		61.4	77.3	69.4	77.1	281
	2008	100.0	90.4	92.4	91.8	56.3	68.8	85.5		90.6	93.8	92.3	90.1	313
8	2009	*	96.4	86.6	89.3	50.0	75.0	84.1	*	91.9	86.6	89.2	89.6	269
	2010	*	68.3	84.9	80.6	28.6	68.3	75.2		74.4	89.2	81.9	88.9	237
	2008	90.0	86.2	85.4	84.7	32.8	56.6	72.5	*	83.5	88.4	85.8	84.9	1,043
6-8	2009	*	78.0	77.6	77.4	26.8	61.3	68.4	*	73.9	82.1	77.9	83.6	743
	2010	100.0	69.9	76.7	74.8	26.0	58.3	63.8	*	69.2	82.9	76.1	81.3	877

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

					NUM BE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 6-8				
2008	20	196	779	940	58	189	484	2	527	508	1,043	28,091
2009	5	132	576	698	41	217	468	3	375	368	743	28,568
2010	17	156	674	817	73	276	505	1	438	439	877	28,435

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2010-11 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

TAKS Read (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	1	10	31	41	18	25	38		30	12	42	1,140	337
6	2009	*	11	46	57	9	34	54	*	38	21	59	1,440	255
	2010	0	19	60	80	17	42	64	*	51	30	81	2,123	359
	2008	*	12	65	80	14	42	73	*	43	37	82	2,207	393
7	2009	*	16	56	74	17	34	66		49	27	76	2,232	219
	2010	*	15	69	84	17	53	79		54	32	86	2,164	281
	2008	0	5	18	23	7	15	22		14	10	24	891	313
8	2009	*	2	27	27	4	16	28	*	11	18	29	1,011	269
	2010	*	13	28	42	20	20	40		30	13	43	1,028	237
	2008	2	27	114	144	39	82	133	*	87	59	148	4,238	1,043
6-8	2009	*	29	129	158	30	84	148	*	98	66	164	4,683	743
	2010	0	47	157	206	54	115	183	*	135	75	210	5,315	877

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 6-8				
2008	20	196	779	940	58	189	484	2	527	508	1,043	28,091
2009	5	132	576	698	41	217	468	3	375	368	743	28,568
2010	17	156	674	817	73	276	505	1	438	439	877	28,435

Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills: READING

TAKS Read (3)

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2008	82.9	80.6	81.3	77.7
6	2009	75.6	67.1	71.8	76.4
	2010	79.4	81.2	73.4	77.3
	2008	83.2	85.3	80.2	72.5
7	2009	75.0	78.7	71.7	69.7
	2010	74.5	66.5	71.1	67.5
	2008	88.5	86.3	85.6	86.4
8	2009	85.5	84.2	81.6	84.6
	2010	84.3	79.8	79.7	77.3
	2008	84.7	84.1	82.2	78.4
6-8	2009	79.0	76.7	75.3	77.4
	2010	79.2	76.1	74.4	74.2

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

TAKS Read (4)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	57.1	37.5	31.4	31.3	4.2	8.7	11.7		30.6	37.2	33.8	33.8	337
6	2009	*	15.9	14.1	13.6	0.0	2.2	4.6	*	16.8	12.3	14.5	29.7	255
	2010	50.0	25.0	19.6	19.6	4.2	8.2	7.6	*	22.7	20.8	21.7	22.1	359
	2008	*	19.4	25.2	22.4	0.0	2.8	6.2	*	25.4	25.1	24.9	19.1	393
7	2009	*	6.3	8.0	7.9	5.0	3.2	4.3		6.1	10.6	8.2	19.2	219
	2010	*	11.8	8.8	7.8	0.0	2.9	2.9		7.1	10.6	8.9	17.2	281
	2008	75.0	32.7	54.2	50.9	0.0	18.8	26.3		50.3	54.0	51.8	37.6	313
8	2009	*	30.4	29.2	29.2	12.5	10.9	18.8	*	26.7	33.6	30.1	35.9	269
	2010	*	26.8	21.0	20.8	10.7	11.1	14.9		23.1	22.5	22.8	31.9	237
	2008	65.0	29.6	35.9	33.7	1.7	9.0	14.3	*	34.2	38.2	35.9	29.9	1,043
6-8	2009	*	19.7	17.5	17.6	4.9	5.1	9.8	*	17.1	19.6	18.3	28.4	743
	2010	41.2	21.2	16.5	16.0	5.5	6.9	8.3	*	17.8	18.0	17.9	23.7	877

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

	NUM BER TESTED IN GRADES 6-8 20 196 779 940 58 189 484 2 527 508 1,043 28,091 5 132 576 698 41 217 468 3 375 368 743 28,568											
2008	20	196	779	940	58	189	484	2	527	508	1,043	28,091
2009	5	132	576	698	41	217	468	3	375	368	743	28,568
2010	17	156	674	817	73	276	505	1	438	439	877	28,435

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknow ledgment Standard for 2011.

TAKS Math (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	75.0	70.4	74.5	73.1	29.2	53.6	55.8		72.1	77.3	74.6	73.8	335
6	2009	*	48.9	60.1	59.7	33.3	48.9	43.7	*	57.0	62.4	59.8	71.9	261
	2010	100.0	54.8	69.7	65.8	12.5	56.1	53.4	*	72.0	63.7	67.9	72.7	361
	2008	*	70.0	70.9	68.5	5.9	40.8	42.0	*	75.6	65.0	70.4	64.5	392
7	2009	*	62.5	60.0	60.4	13.6	50.7	48.3		57.3	65.1	61.0	65.7	223
	2010	*	50.0	57.0	54.4	4.5	42.2	39.5		55.6	54.9	55.2	68.5	286
	2008	100.0	63.6	77.1	74.5	15.0	39.1	56.0		73.3	77.5	75.7	72.8	333
8	2009	*	56.4	65.6	64.0	16.7	40.3	50.5	*	69.0	59.4	64.4	70.7	278
	2010	*	48.8	64.9	62.3	11.1	41.9	48.8		64.7	60.8	62.7	76.4	236
	2008	81.0	68.4	74.0	71.8	18.0	44.6	51.1	*	73.8	73.0	73.4	70.2	1,060
6-8	2009	*	55.3	62.0	61.5	20.4	46.8	47.6	*	61.5	62.1	61.8	69.5	762
	2010	88.2	51.6	64.2	61.1	9.6	47.7	47.2	*	64.8	60.0	62.4	72.5	883

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

					NUM B	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 6-8				
2008	21	196	795	954	61	204	505	2	538	515	1,060	28,064
2009	5	132	595	716	49	233	483	3	390	372	762	28,478
2010	17	153	682	822	73	285	511	1	440	443	883	28,433

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2010-11 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 67% are shaded.

TAKS Math (2)

7,821

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	2	21	62	80	17	32	68		48	37	85	2,460	335
6	2009	*	23	81	100	10	48	89	*	55	50	105	2,749	261
	2010	0	28	83	114	21	50	81	*	51	65	116	2,673	361
	2008	*	21	87	113	16	42	102	*	50	64	116	3,462	392
7	2009	*	12	72	82	19	33	74		50	37	87	3,197	223
	2010	*	25	96	125	21	63	107		63	65	128	2,975	286
	2008	0	20	58	76	17	39	77		43	38	81	2,429	333
8	2009	*	24	73	94	10	43	90	*	45	54	99	2,752	278
	2010	*	21	65	81	24	36	82		41	47	88	2,173	236
	2008	4	62	207	269	50	113	247	*	141	139	282	8,351	1,060
6-8	2009	*	59	226	276	39	124	253	*	150	141	291	8,698	762
			1	1		1		1	1		1		1	

*

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

					NUM BE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 6-8				
2008	21	196	795	954	61	204	505	2	538	515	1,060	28,064
2009	5	132	595	716	49	233	483	3	390	372	762	28,478
2010	17	153	682	822	73	285	511	1	440	443	883	28,433

ALEX W. SPENCE (58)

TAKS Math (3)

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2008	73.9	70.5	76.2	67.9	76.1	76.5
6	2009	63.1	62.1	71.3	66.0	70.4	67.7
	2010	64.4	69.2	73.5	64.9	74.3	70.4
	2008	72.6	63.5	68.7	56.8	66.5	71.2
7	2009	65.6	59.6	59.5	56.2	55.8	64.8
	2010	58.9	56.6	59.7	46.2	59.2	63.9
	2008	68.0	72.6	76.7	53.9	71.8	73.2
8	2009	63.8	64.5	70.8	52.7	68.3	65.8
	2010	59.4	60.2	60.3	43.1	63.0	60.9
	2008	71.5	68.6	73.6	59.4	71.2	73.5
6-8	2009	64.1	62.2	67.7	58.3	65.4	66.2
	2010	61.3	62.7	65.5	53.0	66.4	65.8

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

TAKS Math (4)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	62.5	25.4	26.3	26.6	4.2	13.0	13.0		27.9	28.8	28.4	31.0	335
6	2009	*	8.9	19.2	17.7	0.0	12.8	8.9	*	16.4	18.8	17.6	28.4	261
	2010	58.3	12.9	16.4	16.2	4.2	7.9	5.2	*	20.9	15.1	18.0	22.4	361
	2008	*	11.4	13.7	12.8	0.0	2.8	2.3	*	14.6	14.2	14.3	11.0	392
7	2009	*	6.3	1.7	2.9	0.0	1.5	1.4		0.9	5.7	3.1	11.0	223
	2010	*	6.0	5.8	5.5	0.0	1.8	1.1		6.3	5.6	5.9	14.7	286
	2008	12.5	20.0	20.9	21.5	0.0	1.6	5.1		28.0	16.0	21.6	14.1	333
8	2009	*	12.7	13.7	14.9	0.0	2.8	2.7	*	15.2	13.5	14.4	15.0	278
	2010	*	2.4	4.9	3.7	0.0	3.2	1.3		4.3	4.2	4.2	14.1	236
	2008	33.3	18.9	19.9	19.8	1.6	5.9	6.5	*	22.9	19.4	21.0	18.7	1,060
6-8	2009	*	9.8	11.9	12.4	0.0	6.4	4.3	*	11.3	13.2	12.2	18.3	762
	2010	41.2	7.8	9.8	9.4	1.4	4.6	2.5	*	11.8	9.0	10.4	17.2	883

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

					NUM BE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 6-8				
2008	21	196	795	954	61	204	505	2	538	515	1,060	28,064
2009	5	132	595	716	49	233	483	3	390	372	762	28,478
2010	17	153	682	822	73	285	511	1	440	443	883	28,433

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknow ledgment Standard for 2011.

TAKS Write (1)

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	71.4	84.9	82.7	82.2	31.6	54.3	64.8	*	79.3	86.3	82.3	83.7	395
7	2009	*	91.2	84.4	85.2	52.9	75.9	83.9		75.9	97.1	85.8	88.6	219
	2010	*	73.1	82.5	80.8	38.9	70.4	74.1		68.8	93.5	81.2	90.6	276

					NUM	BER TEST	ed in gra	ADE 7				
2008	7	73	294	360	19	70	179	2	208	182	395	9,744
2009	2	34	173	203	17	58	137	0	116	103	219	8,988
2010	1	52	212	265	18	98	170	0	138	138	276	9,369

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2011 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

TAKS Write (2)

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	2	11	51	64	13	32	63	*	43	25	70	1,584	395
7	2009	*	3	27	30	8	14	22		28	3	31	1,023	219
	2010	*	14	37	51	11	29	44		43	9	52	878	276

					NUM	BER TEST	ed in gra	DE 7				
2008	7	73	294	360	19	70	179	2	208	182	395	9,744
2009	2	34	173	203	17	58	137	0	116	103	219	8,988
2010	1	52	212	265	18	98	170	0	138	138	276	9,369

TAKS Write (3)

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2008	2.5	77.2	75.8	81.3	74.3
7	2009	2.2	72.8	71.8	78.4	78.6
	2010	2.2	70.7	61.9	73.5	73.6

¹Average rating on w ritten composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

TAKS Write (4)

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	28.6	20.5	29.9	25.6	0.0	1.4	4.5	*	23.6	34.6	28.4	20.4	395
7	2009	*	11.8	12.1	12.8	0.0	3.4	2.9		7.8	18.4	12.8	22.3	219
	2010	*	15.4	16.0	15.1	0.0	5.1	6.5		11.6	20.3	15.9	26.3	276

					NUM	BER TEST	ed in gra	DE 7				
2008	7	73	294	360	19	70	179	2	208	182	395	9,744
2009	2	34	173	203	17	58	137	0	116	103	219	8,988
2010	1	52	212	265	18	98	170	0	138	138	276	9,369

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknow ledgment Standard for 2011.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	100.0	88.9	86.7	87.0	57.9	66.7	76.4		89.3	84.8	87.1	86.6	318
8	2009	*	83.9	83.2	83.3	27.3	71.2	77.7	*	85.0	82.0	83.5	88.3	261
	2010	*	68.3	83.2	79.8	60.7	75.8	75.6		79.3	82.4	80.9	92.1	235

					NUM	BER TEST	ed in gra	DE 8				
2008	8	54	240	285	19	51	157	0	150	165	318	8,642
2009	1	56	197	246	11	59	166	2	133	128	261	9,233
2010	3	41	184	213	28	62	156	0	116	119	235	9,084

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2011 AEIS social studies minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

TAKS Soc.Studies (2)

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	0	6	32	37	8	17	37		16	25	41	1,157	318
8	2009	*	9	33	41	8	17	37	*	20	23	43	1,078	261
	2010	*	13	31	43	11	15	38		24	21	45	719	235

					NUM	BER TEST	ed in gra	DE 8				
2008	8	54	240	285	19	51	157	0	150	165	318	8,642
2009	1	56	197	246	11	59	166	2	133	128	261	9,233
2010	3	41	184	213	28	62	156	0	116	119	235	9,084

TAKS Soc.Studies (3)

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	lssues and Events in U.S. History	Geographic Influences on History	Economic and Social Influences on History	Political Influences on History	Critical-Thinking Skills
	2008	70.0	71.6	79.2	71.2	78.5
8	2009	67.3	72.0	71.6	63.3	77.3
	2010	58.5	66.0	63.2	55.7	64.6

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	50.0	31.5	29.6	29.5	0.0	0.0	8.3		35.3	27.3	30.8	27.5	318
8	2009	*	10.7	18.8	16.7	0.0	10.2	10.2	*	19.5	15.6	17.6	31.7	261
	2010	*	14.6	10.3	10.8	0.0	6.5	5.1		18.1	6.7	12.3	32.3	235

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

					NUM BER TESTED IN GRADE 8													
2008	8	54	240	285	19	51	157	0	150	165	318	8,642						
2009	1	56	197	246	11	59	166	2	133	128	261	9,233						
2010	3	41	184	213	28	62	156	0	116	119	235	9,084						

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknow ledgment Standard for 2011.

TAKS Science (1)

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	100.0	59.3	57.3	58.3	0.0	17.6	31.4		63.8	56.7	59.8	54.6	316
8	2009	*	42.6	50.2	49.2	0.0	19.7	33.0	*	53.6	45.0	49.4	57.5	269
	2010	*	39.0	50.0	47.5	17.9	31.9	37.8		52.5	45.5	49.0	64.2	245

					NUM	BER TEST	ed in gra	DE 8				
2008	8	54	239	283	19	51	156	0	149	164	316	8,703
2009	1	54	207	254	11	71	176	2	138	131	269	9,582
2010	3	41	194	223	28	72	164	0	122	123	245	9,112

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 55 percent, the 2011 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

TAKS Science (2)

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	0	22	102	118	19	42	107		54	71	127	3,953	316
8	2009	*	31	103	129	11	57	118	*	64	72	136	4,068	269
	2010	*	25	97	117	23	49	102		58	67	125	3,261	245

					NUM	BER TEST	ed in gra	DE 8				
2008	8	54	239	283	19	51	156	0	149	164	316	8,703
2009	1	54	207	254	11	71	176	2	138	131	269	9,582
2010	3	41	194	223	28	72	164	0	122	123	245	9,112

TAKS Science (3)

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Living Systems and the Environment	Structures and Properties of Matter	Motion, Forces, and Energy	Earth and Space Systems
	2008	73.0	70.4	66.9	59.5	62.3
8	2009	66.0	66.8	54.3	68.8	56.3
	2010	67.6	63.6	58.6	58.4	58.5

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

TAKS Science (4)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	37.5	16.7	18.4	18.4	0.0	0.0	3.2		22.8	15.9	19.0	11.2	316
8	2009	*	1.9	4.3	3.9	0.0	2.8	1.7	*	5.1	3.1	4.1	12.9	269
	2010	*	9.8	5.7	4.9	3.6	2.8	2.4		10.7	4.1	7.3	17.0	245

					NUM E	BER TESTI	ED IN GRA	DES 8				
2008	8	54	239	283	19	51	156	0	149	164	316	8,703
2009	1	54	207	254	11	71	176	2	138	131	269	9,582
2010	3	41	194	223	28	72	164	0	122	123	245	9,112

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknow ledgment Standard for 2011.

TAKS-M Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	68.6	4
6	2009		*	75.0	72.7	72.7	66.7	72.7		66.7	*	72.7	70.9	11
	2010		*	*	*	*		*		*		*	72.7	4
	2008		*	57.1	66.7	66.7	50.0	62.5		66.7	*	66.7	67.1	9
7	2009		*	*	66.7	66.7	*	66.7		*	*	66.7	72.6	6
	2010	*		*	*	*	*	*		*		*	66.6	3
	2008		*	70.0	76.9	76.9	70.0	76.9		83.3	71.4	76.9	64.8	13
8	2009		100.0	63.6	78.9	78.9	63.6	77.8		84.6	66.7	78.9	77.8	19
	2010		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	78.3	3
	2008		83.3	60.0	65.4	65.4	57.9	64.0		64.3	66.7	65.4	67.0	26
6-8	2009		81.8	70.8	75.0	75.0	68.2	74.3		73.1	80.0	75.0	73.8	36
	2010	*	*	0.0	22.2	20.0	*	*		20.0		20.0	72.6	10

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

					NUM BE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 6-8				
2008	0	6	20	26	26	19	25	0	14	12	26	1,789
2009	0	11	24	36	36	22	35	0	26	10	36	1,932
2010	1	3	6	9	10	3	5	0	10	0	10	1,492

TAKS-M Read (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	206	4
6	2009		*	2	3	3	2	3		3	*	3	182	11
	2010		*	*	*	*		*		*		*	143	4
	2008		*	3	3	3	3	3		2	*	3	201	9
7	2009		*	*	2	2	*	2		*	*	2	181	6
	2010	*		*	*	*	*	*		*		*	160	3
	2008		*	3	3	3	3	3		1	2	3	184	13
8	2009		0	4	4	4	4	4		2	2	4	143	19
	2010		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	106	3
	2008		1	8	9	9	8	9		5	4	9	591	26
6-8	2009		2	7	9	9	7	9		7	2	9	506	36
	2010	*	*	6	7	8	*	*		8		8	409	10

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

					NUM BE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 6-8				
2008	0	6	20	26	26	19	25	0	14	12	26	1,789
2009	0	11	24	36	36	22	35	0	26	10	36	1,932
2010	1	3	6	9	10	3	5	0	10	0	10	1,492

TAKS-M Read (3)

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2008	*	*	*	*
6	2009	61.2	57.6	48.5	62.0
	2010	*	*	*	*
	2008	52.2	61.1	54.2	49.1
7	2009	66.7	75.0	62.5	58.3
	2010	*	*	*	*
	2008	70.0	65.4	57.7	50.0
8	2009	73.2	71.1	71.7	61.8
	2010	*	*	*	*
	2008	59.4	60.9	52.7	46.5
6-8	2009	68.4	67.6	63.1	61.3
	2010	43.5	48.8	39.6	43.3

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (Modified): READING

TAKS-M Read (4)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	7.3	4
6	2009		*	0.0	9.1	9.1	0.0	9.1		0.0	*	9.1	7.5	11
	2010		*	*	*	*		*		*		*	11.8	4
	2008		*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	5.9	9
7	2009		*	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		*	*	0.0	8.9	6
	2010	*		*	*	*	*	*		*		*	9.8	3
	2008		*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	13
8	2009		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	7.1	19
	2010		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	8.6	3
	2008		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	6.5	26
6-8	2009		9.1	0.0	2.8	2.8	0.0	2.9		0.0	10.0	2.8	7.9	36
	2010	*	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	*		0.0		0.0	10.1	10

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 6-8				
2008	0	6	20	26	26	19	25	0	14	12	26	1,789
2009	0	11	24	36	36	22	35	0	26	10	36	1,932
2010	1	3	6	9	10	3	5	0	10	0	10	1,492

TAKS-M Math (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	57.0	4
6	2009		*	66.7	66.7	66.7	*	62.5		66.7	*	66.7	64.0	9
	2010		*	*	*	*		*		*		*	60.0	5
	2008		*	33.3	33.3	33.3	33.3	37.5		*	*	33.3	53.7	9
7	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	62.0	5
	2010	*			*	*				*		*	59.5	1
	2008		*	12.5	20.0	20.0	12.5	22.2		*	*	20.0	45.0	10
8	2009		42.9	62.5	56.3	56.3	62.5	64.3		54.5	*	56.3	67.1	16
	2010		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	63.0	3
	2008		50.0	17.6	26.1	26.1	17.6	28.6		25.0	27.3	26.1	52.0	23
6-8	2009		50.0	64.7	60.0	60.0	62.5	63.0		57.1	66.7	60.0	64.4	30
	2010	*	*	*	37.5	33.3	*	*		33.3		33.3	60.8	9

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

					NUM B	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2008	0	6	17	23	23	17	21	0	12	11	23	1,797
2009	0	12	17	30	30	16	27	0	21	9	30	1,860
2010	1	4	4	8	9	2	5	0	9	0	9	1,522

TAKS-M Math (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	260	4
6	2009		*	2	3	3	*	3		2	*	3	205	9
	2010		*	*	*	*		*		*		*	203	5
	2008		*	4	6	6	4	5		*	*	6	279	9
7	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	248	5
	2010	*			*	*				*		*	200	1
	2008		*	7	8	8	7	7		*	*	8	324	10
8	2009		4	3	7	7	3	5		5	*	7	210	16
	2010		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	193	3
	2008		3	14	17	17	14	15		9	8	17	863	23
6-8	2009		6	6	12	12	6	10		9	3	12	663	30
	2010	*	*	*	5	6	*	*		6		6	596	9

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 6-8				
2008	0	6	17	23	23	17	21	0	12	11	23	1,797
2009	0	12	17	30	30	16	27	0	21	9	30	1,860
2010	1	4	4	8	9	2	5	0	9	0	9	1,522

TAKS-M Math (3)

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
6	2009	47.2	39.7	59.3	50.0	71.1	41.3
	2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2008	38.9	38.9	42.6	41.7	46.3	35.2
7	2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2008	32.5	50.0	36.7	45.0	31.7	35.0
8	2009	40.6	60.2	46.9	48.4	51.0	47.7
	2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2008	37.0	41.9	37.0	40.2	43.2	37.1
6-8	2009	41.7	51.9	50.6	50.0	54.1	47.2
	2010	41.7	48.4	38.9	41.7	44.4	35.1

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

TAKS-M Math (4)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	6.4	4
6	2009		*	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	8.4	9
	2010		*	*	*	*		*		*		*	10.5	5
	2008		*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		*	*	0.0	2.5	9
7	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	8.0	5
	2010	*			*	*				*		*	5.3	1
	2008		*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		*	*	0.0	3.2	10
8	2009		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	5.8	16
	2010		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	3.5	3
	2008		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	4.1	23
6-8	2009		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	7.4	30
	2010	*	*	*	0.0	0.0	*	*		0.0		0.0	6.4	9

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

					NUM B	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 6-8				
2008	0	6	17	23	23	17	21	0	12	11	23	1,797
2009	0	12	17	30	30	16	27	0	21	9	30	1,860
2010	1	4	4	8	9	2	5	0	9	0	9	1,522

Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (Modified): WRITING

TAKS-M Write (1)

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008													0
7	2009		*	57.1	60.0	60.0	50.0	60.0		33.3	*	60.0	61.9	10
	2010	*		*	50.0	50.0	*	*		50.0		50.0	65.2	6

					NUM	BER TEST	ed in Gr/	DE 7				
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009	0	3	7	10	10	6	10	0	6	4	10	691
2010	1	0	5	6	6	5	5	0	6	0	6	517

TAKS-M Write (2)

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008													0
7	2009		*	3	4	4	3	4		4	*	4	263	10
	2010	*		*	3	3	*	*		3		3	180	6

					NUM	BER TEST	ed in gra	DE 7				
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009	0	3	7	10	10	6	10	0	6	4	10	691
2010	1	0	5	6	6	5	5	0	6	0	6	517

TAKS-M Write (3)

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2008					
7	2009	1.4	67.5	55.0	69.0	63.0
	2010	1.7	41.7	43.8	51.7	40.0

¹Average rating on w ritten composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

TAKS-M Write (4)

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008													0
7	2009		*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	10
	2010	*		*	0.0	0.0	*	*		0.0		0.0	3.1	6

					NUM	BER TEST	ed in Gr/	ADE 7				
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009	0	3	7	10	10	6	10	0	6	4	10	691
2010	1	0	5	6	6	5	5	0	6	0	6	517

Compliance

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the ITBS

				READING T	OTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	15.8	67.5	32.3	37.2	251
8	2009	12.3	68.1	30.6	33.6	278
	2010	15.5	48.5	25.3	33.9	221

	2008	171	80	251	8,615	
Number Tested	2009	187	91	278	9,813	
	2010	155	66	221	9,416	

				M	ATHEMATIC	S TOTAL	
Gra	de	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	8	2008	32.9	75.9	46.9	46.7	256
8		2009	26.2	86.8	46.0	48.3	278
		2010	30.3	70.8	42.3	45.5	220

	2008	173	83	256	8,493	
Number Tested	2009	187	91	278	9,737	
	2010	155	65	220	9,135	

ITBS Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	20.5	32.5	31.2	0.0	4.2	15.8		31.0	33.6	32.3	37.2	251
8	2009	*	26.6	30.2	29.2	11.5	6.0	12.3	*	33.3	27.6	30.6	33.6	278
	2010	*	22.2	25.0	22.3	4.5	10.9	15.5		22.5	28.2	25.3	33.9	221
														-
	2008	2	39	200	221	32	71	171	0	126	125	251	8,615	
Number Tested	2009	2	64	202	253	26	67	187	2	144	134	278	9,813	
	2010	3	36	176	197	22	64	155	0	111	110	221	9,416	

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

ITBS Read (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	1	2	5	0	0	0		4	2	6	508	251
8	2009	*	3	7	9	0	0	0	*	6	5	11	575	278
207	2010	*	2	4	3	0	0	1		6	2	8	590	221
	2008	2	39	200	221	32	71	171	0	126	125	251	8,615	
Number Tested	2009	2	64	202	253	26	67	187	2	144	134	278	9,813	
	2010	3	36	176	197	22	64	155	0	111	110	221	9,416	

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

ITBS Math (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	26.8	49.8	46.9	0.0	16.9	32.9		51.6	42.3	46.9	46.7	256
8	2009	*	37.5	47.5	46.2	11.5	23.5	26.2	*	47.2	44.8	46.0	48.3	278
	2010	*	26.5	43.8	42.3	0.0	30.2	30.3		44.4	40.2	42.3	45.5	220
	2008	2	41	203	224	33	71	173	0	126	130	256	8,493	
Number Tested	2009	2	64	202	253	26	68	187	2	144	134	278	9,737	

9,135

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

ITBS Math (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	2	21	24	0	0	1		14	13	27	801	256
8	2009	*	4	17	23	0	1	2	*	15	10	25	1,219	278
	2010	*	2	11	12	0	2	4		9	6	15	984	220
	2008	2	41	203	224	33	71	173	0	126	130	256	8,493	
Number Tested	2009	2	64	202	253	26	68	187	2	144	134	278	9,737	

9,135

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

ITBS Math (3)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	39.0	58.0	58.0	5.9	39.7	47.4		50.8	60.0	55.4	48.8	258
8	2009	*	27.6	50.5	47.2	16.0	37.1	32.5	*	47.7	44.2	45.9	44.7	292
	2010	*	23.5	36.5	36.7	14.3	28.1	27.4		34.5	37.5	36.0	37.3	222
														-
	2008	2	41	205	226	34	73	175	0	128	130	258	8,705	
Number Tested	2009	1	58	208	254	25	70	166	2	149	129	292	10,011	

9,519

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

9,519

ITBS Math (4)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	4	23	27	0	2	9		11	19	30	625	258
8	2009	*	2	24	30	1	4	8	*	19	11	33	1,288	292
2	2010	*	2	21	22	1	8	15		7	18	25	860	222
														-
	2008	2	41	205	226	34	73	175	0	128	130	258	8,705	
Number Tested	2009	1	58	208	254	25	70	166	2	149	129	292	10,011	

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

	Level 1	in 2009	Level 2	in 2009	Level 3	in 2009	Levels 1-	3 in 2009
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2010						
6	7	28.6	14	50.0	0	-	21	42.9
7	16	37.5	7	42.9	0	-	23	39.1
8	8	37.5	7	28.6	0	-	15	33.3
ALL	31	35.5	28	42.9	0	-	59	39.0

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

TELPAS

PERFORMANCE IN 2010

PROGRESSION FROM 2009 TO 2010

Grade	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Com	posite		Number Rated Both Years			2009	Level	
(N Rated)	2010 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N (%) Progressed	2010 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High
	Beginning	5	4.5	6	5.5	9	8.2	3	2.7	3	2.7			Beginning		:	3	
6	Intermediate	19	17.3	25	22.7	41	37.3	18	16.4	18	16.4		106	Intermediate	3		13	
(110)	Advanced	69	62.7	62	56.4	42	38.2	44	40.0	46	41.8		49 (46.2%)	Advanced	1	2	4	1
	Advanced High	17	15.5	17	15.5	18	16.4	45	40.9	43	39.1			Advanced High	0	1	4	-2
	Beginning	2	1.8	6	5.4	8	7.3	10	8.9	7	6.4			Beginning			5	
7	Intermediate	27	24.3	24	21.6	33	30.3	20	17.9	21	19.3		92	Intermediate	2		14	
(112)	Advanced	40	36.0	43	38.7	40	36.7	33	29.5	36	33.0		51 (55.4%)	Advanced	1	10	2	2
	Advanced High	42	37.8	38	34.2	28	25.7	49	43.8	45	41.3			Advanced High	0	3	3	5
	Beginning	2	3.0	2	3.0	2	3.0	8	11.8	6	9.0	ĺ		Beginning			4	
8	Intermediate	2	3.0	2	3.0	16	23.9	7	10.3	8	11.9		61	Intermediate	0		7	
(68)	Advanced	20	29.9	27	40.3	33	49.3	12	17.6	12	17.9		40 (65.6%)	Advanced	0	2	1	0
	Advanced High	43	64.2	36	53.7	16	23.9	41	60.3	41	61.2			Advanced High	0	1	3	7
	Beginning	9	3.1	14	4.9	19	6.6	21	7.2	16	5.6			Beginning		1	2	
ALL	Intermediate	48	16.7	51	17.7	90	31.5	45	15.5	47	16.4		259	Intermediate	5		34	
(290)	Advanced	129	44.8	132	45.8	115	40.2	89	30.7	94	32.9		140 (54.1%)	Advanced	2	14	7	3
	Advanced High	102	35.4	91	31.6	62	21.7	135	46.6	129	45.1			Advanced High	0	5	11	14

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2009 to 2010.

			All			African American		Hisp	banic	WI	nite	Ot	her	District	
Grade	Subtest	Year	N Tested	Mean	%Tested	Ν	Mean	Ν	Mean	Ν	Mean	Ν	Mean	Ν	Mean
		2007													
	Critical Reading	2008	1	20.0	0.3	0		1	*	0		0		18	24.6
	rteading	2009	1	27.0	0.3	0		1	*	0		0		185	26.9
		2007													
6	Mathematics	2008	1	36.0	0.3	0		1	*	0		0		18	29.5
		2009	1	27.0	0.3	0		1	*	0		0		185	28.2
		2007													
	Writing	2008	1	27.0	0.3	0		1	*	0		0		18	27.6
		2009	1	28.0	0.3	0		1	*	0		0		185	29.0
	Critical Reading	2007	3	34.0	0.7	0		1	*	0		2	*	412	29.6
		2008	16	31.9	5.0	2	*	13	32.2	1	*	0		493	29.4
		2009	34	31.0	9.2	10	31.7	21	30.9	0		3	*	735	31.1
	Mathematics	2007	3	39.3	0.7	0		1	*	0		2	*	412	33.5
7		2008	16	36.5	5.0	2	*	13	35.8	1	*	0		493	33.4
		2009	34	33.2	9.2	10	32.8	21	32.5	0		3	*	735	32.9
	Writing	2007	3	31.0	0.7	0		1	*	0		2	*	412	30.5
		2008	16	34.0	5.0	2	*	13	35.4	1	*	0		493	31.3
		2009	34	31.6	9.2	10	31.1	21	31.4	0		3	*	735	31.2
	Oritical	2007	20	30.9	5.9	0		17	31.1	0		3	*	2,527	33.5
	Critical Reading	2008	40	35.0	10.6	9	38.2	28	34.3	0		3	*	2,932	33.8
		2009	29	34.1	8.9	5	*	20	33.8	1	*	3	*	2,832	34.8
		2007	20	36.8	5.9	0		17	37.3	0		3	*	2,527	37.2
8	Mathematics	2008	40	38.6	10.6	9	40.2	28	38.3	0		3	*	2,932	37.2
		2009	29	38.3	8.9	5	*	20	37.6	1	*	3	*	2,832	37.1
		2007	20	30.9	5.9	0		17	31.8	0		3	*	2,527	34.5
	Writing	2008	40	36.7	10.6	9	38.9	28	35.9	0		3	*	2,932	35.1
		2009	29	34.3	8.9	5	*	20	32.5	1	*	3	*	2,832	34.4

Assessment of Course Performance

SEMESTER 1

SEMESTER 2

		Ave	erages		% Pa	assing	District %	% Passing		Averages			% Passing		District %	% Passing		
Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	
LGEBRA I	PRE-AP	(tested v	with 2010))				ALGEBRA	I PRE-AP	(tested v	vith 2010))						
39	58.2	74.9	83.3	84.2	71.8	100.0	79.5	95.5	39	62.6	75.1	81.5	82.2	64.1	92.3	83.6	95.6	
OMPUTER		ATIONS	(tested v	vith 2010)					COMPUTE		ATIONS	(tested v	vith 2010)					
145	58.2	72.2	81.4	82.5	62.8	93.8	70.1	95.0	133	60.1	73.4	80.6	81.4	69.9	88.7	70.0	93.0	
RENCH I (tested with 2010)								FRENCH I (tested with 2010)										
11	48.0	71.1	85.5	87.0	54.5	100.0	66.9	81.2	10	44.2	71.6	86.3	87.9	60.0	100.0	59.4	77.9	
ANGUAGE	E ARTS 7	(tested v	with 2010))				LANGUAGE ARTS 7 (tested with 2010)										
173	52.9	71.7	76.8	77.3	61.8	82.1	62.7	86.4	193	67.2	78.2	75.7	75.4	78.8	77.7	82.0	87.2	
ANGUAGE	ARTS 7	PRE-AP	(tested v	with 2010)					LANGUAGI	E ARTS 7	PRE-AP	(tested v	with 2010)					
49	56.5	73.9	82.7	83.7	79.6	95.9	78.3	95.7	62	51.8	71.1	79.0	79.9	59.7	80.6	74.9	93.7	
ANGUAGE	E ARTS 8	(tested v	with 2010))					LANGUAGI	E ARTS 8	(tested v	vith 2010))					
166	62.9	76.3	80.9	81.4	76.5	88.0	70.1	86.9	169	55.6	75.3	82.8	83.6	81.7	92.9	74.5	86.6	
ANGUAGE	ARTS 8	PRE-AP	(tested v	with 2010)					LANGUAGI	E ARTS 8	PRE-AP	(tested v	with 2010)					
20	53.7	74.3	85.4	86.6	75.0	95.0	73.4	96.0	22	56.1	76.5	85.1	86.0	90.9	100.0	72.7	94.2	
ATHEMAT	TICS 7 (te	sted witl	h 2010)						MATHEMA	TICS 7 (te	sted with	n 2010)						
187	50.9	67.3	74.7	75.5	42.8	74.9	57.7	85.2	188	43.0	68.3	73.7	74.3	41.0	72.9	56.1	82.6	
ATHEMAT	TICS 7 PR	E-AP (te	sted wit	h 2010)					MATHEMA	TICS 7 PR	E-AP (te	sted wit	h 2010)					
63	52.2	68.1	80.1	81.4	47.6	90.5	69.6	95.7	63	48.3	69.0	79.5	80.7	42.9	90.5	73.6	95.5	
ATHEMATICS 8 (tested with 2010)									MATHEMA	TICS 8 (te	sted with	n 2010)						
165	53.8	72.3	76.2	76.7	59.4	78.2	60.2	83.5	157	42.2	67.9	75.1	75.8	43.3	73.2	49.3	82.1	

Assessment of Course Performance

SEMESTER 2

		Ave	erages		% Pa	issing	District %	% Passing			Ave		rages		% Passing		District %	6 Passing		
Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	Numb Teste		% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course		
S ADV READING-WRITING FOR ESL (tested with 2010)										REA	DING-W	RITING F	OR ESL	(tested with	n 2010)					
10	43.8	68.8	82.4	83.9	40.0	100.0	58.3	92.1	6		38.5	71.6	84.7	86.1	33.3	83.3	67.6	90.3		
IS ADVANCED LA FOR ESL (tested with 2010)										ANCE	ED LA FO	OR ESL	(tested v	with 2010)						
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	61.2	90.3	5		*	*	*	*	*	*	64.6	91.5		
S BEGINNING LA FOR ESL (tested with 2010)									MS BEGINNING LA FOR ESL (tested with 2010)											
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	61.6	98.8	9		47.6	67.2	80.9	82.4	33.3	100.0	55.6	97.8		
S INTERMEDIATE LA FOR ESL (tested with 2010)										MS INTERMEDIATE LA FOR ESL (tested with 2010)										
7	46.0	70.0	78.6	79.5	71.4	100.0	54.1	95.9	6		46.7	64.5	78.5	80.1	33.3	100.0	51.7	92.7		
S INTERM	IEDIATE F	READING	FOR E	SL (tested w	ith 2010)			MS INTERMEDIATE READING FOR ESL (tested with 2010)											
7	52.0	72.3	78.9	79.6	57.1	100.0	54.9	93.8	7		45.4	65.1	77.7	79.1	28.6	100.0	57.8	91.7		
ADING 7	(tested v	vith 2010))						READING 7 (tested with 2010)											
21	61.6	78.7	80.6	80.8	81.0	90.5	79.1	93.2	40		61.5	74.3	82.5	83.3	70.0	90.0	75.4	92.4		
EADING 8	(tested v	vith 2010))						READING	38 (†	tested w	vith 2010)							
46	69.4	80.9	78.2	77.9	95.7	82.6	88.5	92.8	50		70.0	80.0	81.8	82.0	94.0	92.0	87.4	93.0		
	ASTERY	7 (teste	d with 20	010)					READING MASTERY 7 (tested with 2010)											
56	53.3	72.0	81.8	82.8	64.3	96.4	71.7	88.7	71		60.1	74.0	81.3	82.1	73.2	90.1	71.8	86.4		
EADING MASTERY 8 (tested with 2010)) MA	STERY	8 (teste	d with 20	10)						
117	61.8	76.1	70.9	70.3	78.6	58.1	76.3	86.7	103		66.4	78.5	77.7	77.7	89.3	83.5	79.8	89.3		
CIENCE 7 (tested with 2010)									SCIENCE	E7 (t	tested w	ith 2010)							
266	60.6	74.3	77.1	77.4	68.0	81.2	76.1	90.6	266		61.6	75.0	76.7	76.9	72.2	76.7	74.3	86.4		

ALEX W. SPENCE (58)

ACP

SEMESTER 1

SEMESTER 2

SEMESTER 1

		Averages					Passing District % Passing						% Pa	ssing	District % Passing								
	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	Num Tes		% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course				
SCI	SCIENCE 8 (tested with 2010)											SCIENCE 8 (tested with 2010)											
	196	50.6	73.1	79.6	80.3	62.8	88.8	69.4	89.2	19	5	53.0	70.6	80.5	81.6	55.4	88.7	65.9	88.5				
SPA	SPANISH I (tested with 2010)											SPANISH I (tested with 2010)											
	3	*	*	*	*	*	*	78.9	90.3	3	5	*	*	*	*	*	*	70.9	87.2				
TEX	TEXAS STUDIES 7 (tested with 2010)										TEXAS STUDIES 7 (tested with 2010)												
	221	46.5	69.2	79.6	80.7	50.7	91.4	55.7	88.5	23	3	53.8	72.3	78.6	79.4	63.5	89.7	63.5	85.2				
TEX	AS STU	JDIES 7 PI	RE-AP (t	ested wi	ith 2010)					TEXAS	STU	DIES 7 PF	RE-AP (t	ested wi	th 2010)								
	26	64.4	76.3	81.5	82.1	57.7	96.2	78.3	97.0	3	6	66.5	77.7	79.8	80.0	80.6	80.6	81.3	93.5				
UNI	TED ST	ATES STU	IDIES 8	(tested v	vith 2010)				UNITED) ST/	ATES STU	DIES 8	(tested v	vith 2010)									
	123	49.0	66.8	77.7	78.9	33.3	87.8	53.4	87.4	14	0	56.6	73.4	79.9	80.6	65.0	88.6	72.4	89.2				
UNI	UNITED STATES STUDIES 8 PRE-AP (tested with 2010)											ATES STU	DIES 8 F	PRE-AP	(tested with	2010)							
	45	58.8	72.5	82.6	83.7	62.2	97.8	87.1	95.6	5	9	67.4	78.3	85.6	86.4	81.4	100.0	94.0	96.0				

ACP