Campus Data Packet

for 2010-11 planning

Information in this packet is based on data from the 2009-10 school year.



BENJAMIN FRANKLIN

School Number 47



2010-11 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2 ContentsTable of Contents	4 Notes Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
6 SummarySummary of Student and Teacher Statistics	7 Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
8 Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	9 Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
10 Teachers Teacher Statistics	
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	
11 TAKS Read (1) TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	12 TAKS Read (2) TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
13 TAKS Read (3) TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	14 TAKS Read (4) TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
15 TAKS Math (1)TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	16 TAKS Math (2)TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
17 TAKS Math (3) TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	18 TAKS Math (4)TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
19 TAKS Write (1) TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	20 TAKS Write (2) TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
21 TAKS Write (3) TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	22 TAKS Write (4)TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
23 TAKS Soc.Studies (1) TAKS Social Studies: % Meeting Standard	24 TAKS Soc.Studies (2) TAKS Social Studies: # Not Meeting Standard
25 TAKS Soc.Studies (3) TAKS Social Studies: Performance by Objective	26 TAKS Soc.Studies (4) TAKS Social Studies: % Commended
27 TAKS Science (1)TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	28 TAKS Science (2)TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
29 TAKS Science (3) TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	30 TAKS Science (4)TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS (MOD)	
31 TAKS-M Read (1) TAKS-M Reading: % Meeting Standard	32 TAKS-M Read (2) TAKS-M Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
33 TAKS-M Read (3) TAKS-M Reading: Performance by Objective	34 TAKS-M Read (4)TAKS-M Reading: Percentage Commended
35 TAKS-M Math (1) TAKS-M Math: % Meeting Standard	36 TAKS-M Math (2) TAKS-M Math: # Not Meeting Standard
37 TAKS-M Math (3) TAKS-M Math: Performance by Objective	38 TAKS-M Math (4) TAKS-M Math: Percentage Commended
39 TAKS-M Write (1)TAKS-M Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	40 TAKS-M Write (2)TAKS-M Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
41 TAKS-M Write (3) TAKS-M Writing: Performance by Objective	42 TAKS-M Write (4)TAKS-M Writing: Percentage Commended
43 TAKS-M Soc.Studies (1) TAKS-M Social Studies: % Meeting Standard	44 TAKS-M Soc.Studies (2) TAKS-M Social Studies: # Not Meeting Standard
45 TAKS-M Soc.Studies (3) TAKS-M Social Studies: Performance by Objective	46 TAKS-M Soc.Studies (4) TAKS-M Social Studies: % Commended
47 TAKS-M Science (1) TAKS-M Science: % Meeting Standard	48 TAKS-M Science (2) TAKS-M Science: # Not Meeting Standard
49 TAKS-M Science (3) TAKS-M Science: Performance by Objective	50 TAKS-M Science (4) TAKS-M Science: Percentage Commended
ITBS/ITED/LOGRAMOS	
51 Compliance SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	52 ITBS Read (1)ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-ile
53 ITBS Read (2) ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-ile	54 ITBS Math (1) ITBS Math Total: % At or Above the 40th %-ile
55 ITBS Math (2) ITBS Math Total: # At or Above the 80th %-ile	56 ITBS Math (3) ITBS Math Computation: % At or Above the 40th %-ile
57 ITBS Math (4) ITBS Math Computation: # At or Above the 80th %-ile	

2010-11 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

MEASURES OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY

58.... WMLS...... Woodcock-Muñoz Language Survey

COLLEGE READINESS

60.... PSAT...... Mean Standard Scores for Juniors

LOCAL ACCESSMENT

61.... ACP...... Assessment of Course Performance

2009-10 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2009-10. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2008-09 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2008-09 and 2009-10.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

TAKS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- TAKS statistics include both TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated results.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2011, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

TAKS-M (Modified)

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.

TELPAS

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2009 to 2010, the TEA did not report the 2009 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2010, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2009.

COLLEGE READINESS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Statistics based on student-reported school location, ethnicity, and graduation year.
- For SAT and ACT "Percent Tested" is computed with "Number Tested" as numerator and, as demoninator, the Grade 12 "Enrollment" reported on Enrollment (1) and Enrollment (2) reports. For PSAT the denominator for "Percent Tested" is from the appropriate grade/year on the Enrollment reports.
- PSAT scores range from 20 to 80. PSAT is administered once in the fall of each year. The district tests all grade 10 students. Other students sit for the PSAT voluntarily.

ACP

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- The ACPs are given as final course exams in grades 7-12. They account for a portion of students' final semester grades: 15 percent for high school and 10 percent for middle school. "Average course mark (no ACP)" is computed from semester grades before factoring in ACP scores. Any statistic involving course marks uses only those of at least 50, because district policy requires a student earn at least a 50 to receive course credit.
- Raw scores on the ACPs (percentage of items correct) are scaled each year to maintain similar annual passing rates for the district.
- A scale score of at least 70 percent is required to pass an ACP. A course mark of at least 70 percent is required to pass a course.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment				
6	376				
7	401				
8	314				
ALL	1,091				

STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stud	lents	Teachers				
Ethilicity	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage			
African American	237	21.7	26	33.8			
American Indian	3	0.3	*	*			
Asian	19	1.7	*	*			
Hispanic	711	65.2	6	7.8			
White	121	11.1	41	53.2			
Other	**	**	4	5.2			

^{*}Included in category "Other."

STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percentage
Economically disadvantaged students	821	75.3
Limited English proficient students	302	27.7
Special education students	119	10.9

^{**}All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

			African American		America	American Indian		Asian		Hispanic		nite
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2008	267	52	19.5	0	0.0	1	0.4	180	67.4	34	12.7
6	2009	451	100	22.2	0	0.0	7	1.6	300	66.5	44	9.8
	2010	376	71	18.9	0	0.0	6	1.6	263	69.9	36	9.6
	2008	277	59	21.3	1	0.4	4	1.4	188	67.9	25	9.0
7	2009	321	77	24.0	1	0.3	5	1.6	203	63.2	35	10.9
	2010	401	89	22.2	0	0.0	9	2.2	257	64.1	46	11.5
	2008	270	53	19.6	0	0.0	4	1.5	178	65.9	35	13.0
8	2009	336	80	23.8	1	0.3	6	1.8	215	64.0	34	10.1
	2010	314	77	24.5	3	1.0	4	1.3	191	60.8	39	12.4
	2008	814	164	20.1	1	0.1	9	1.1	546	67.1	94	11.5
6-8	2009	1,108	257	23.2	2	0.2	18	1.6	718	64.8	113	10.2
	2010	1,091	237	21.7	3	0.3	19	1.7	711	65.2	121	11.1

		Econor Disadva	mically antaged	LEP		Special E	Education	TAG		New (to District)		Retention	
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2008	267	193	72.3	61	22.8	33	12.4	0	0.0	27	10.1	1.1
6	2009	451	329	72.9	142	31.5	51	11.3	55	12.2	53	11.8	0.4
	2010	376	297	79.0	118	31.4	33	8.8	54	14.4	37	9.8	0.5
	2008	277	217	78.3	54	19.5	21	7.6	42	15.2	30	10.8	1.4
7	2009	321	238	74.1	83	25.9	41	12.8	42	13.1	51	15.9	2.2
	2010	401	290	72.3	111	27.7	45	11.2	38	9.5	37	9.2	0.2
	2008	270	186	68.9	63	23.3	26	9.6	50	18.5	24	8.9	1.1
8	2009	336	254	75.6	81	24.1	28	8.3	45	13.4	47	14.0	0.3
	2010	314	234	74.5	73	23.2	41	13.1	41	13.1	52	16.6	1.6
	2008	814	596	73.2	178	21.9	80	9.8	92	11.3	81	10.0	1.2
6-8	2009	1,108	821	74.1	306	27.6	120	10.8	142	12.8	151	13.6	0.9
	2010	1,091	821	75.3	302	27.7	119	10.9	133	12.2	126	11.5	0.7

Grade	Year	,	ge Daily ship (N)	A	verage Daily	Attendance		Yearly Transactions			Continuously Enrolled (N)		Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	District	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	District (N)	District (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	District (%)	Campus	District	Campus	District
	2008	264.3	10,450.4	254.7	96.4	10,060.0	96.3	51	19.3	22.0	233	9,055	88.2	86.6
6	2009	447.2	10,588.3	429.2	96.0	10,165.3	96.0	64	14.3	19.9	397	9,131	88.8	86.2
	2010	374.6	10,602.4	356.6	95.2	10,110.8	95.4	62	16.6	18.6	319	9,083	85.2	85.7
	2008	285.6	10,790.7	276.4	96.8	10,269.4	95.2	38	13.3	26.3	255	9,024	89.3	83.6
7	2009	317.0	10,135.8	301.1	95.0	9,601.8	94.7	74	23.3	26.0	268	8,389	84.6	82.8
	2010	399.5	10,258.0	381.8	95.6	9,636.4	93.9	68	17.0	22.4	347	8,310	86.9	81.0
	2008	273.2	9,895.9	262.6	96.1	9,350.9	94.5	64	23.4	30.5	232	8,046	84.9	81.3
8	2009	337.5	10,551.1	319.4	94.6	9,945.8	94.3	102	30.2	28.2	271	8,477	80.3	80.3
	2010	303.1	9,995.5	284.7	93.9	9,325.9	93.3	21	6.9	15.6	241	7,894	79.5	79.0
	2008	823.1	31,137.0	793.7	96.4	29,680.3	95.3	153	18.6	26.1	720	26,125	87.5	83.9
6-8	2009	1,101.7	31,275.2	1,049.7	95.3	29,712.9	95.0	240	21.8	24.7	936	25,997	85.0	83.1
	2010	1,077.2	30,855.9	1,023.1	95.0	29,073.2	94.2	151	14.0	18.9	907	25,287	84.2	82.0

Teachers Teacher Statistics

DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS

Ethnicity	Number	Percentage
African American	26	33.8
Hispanic	6	7.8
White	41	53.2
Other	4	5.2

Gender	Number	Percentage
Female	51	66.2
Male	26	33.8

AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES

2008	7.4
2009	6.6
2010	7.6

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	100.0	93.3	89.7	90.8	88.9	81.7	88.3	*	88.7	94.4	91.8	87.8	231
6	2009	100.0	88.1	87.8	87.0	78.9	77.3	82.9		91.0	87.1	89.1	85.1	411
	2010	94.4	83.1	76.0	76.2	40.0	64.1	61.8	*	79.6	79.3	79.4	78.3	355
	2008	100.0	90.4	77.9	80.6	25.0	46.7	73.5	*	77.9	87.4	82.6	77.3	258
7	2009	93.5	77.8	74.1	75.8	66.7	58.5	65.2	*	70.7	83.3	77.3	75.7	291
	2010	97.8	75.0	81.5	79.0	46.7	61.5	69.4	*	81.2	83.1	82.1	77.1	380
	2008	100.0	95.2	90.7	91.3	100.0	75.0	89.8	*	93.8	91.2	92.6	90.1	242
8	2009	100.0	97.2	86.3	86.8	83.3	62.0	82.8	*	90.1	89.0	89.5	89.6	315
	2010	97.1	87.1	87.7	87.6	57.7	69.2	82.4		85.0	93.0	88.9	88.9	289
	2008	100.0	92.8	85.8	87.0	64.3	69.3	83.6	*	86.6	91.0	88.8	84.9	731
6-8	2009	98.1	87.7	83.5	83.7	76.1	68.6	77.9	*	85.1	86.6	85.8	83.6	1,017
	2010	96.6	81.3	81.1	80.4	48.7	64.2	70.7	*	81.7	84.6	83.1	81.3	1,024

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 6-8				
2008	87	139	499	525	28	153	440	3	366	365	731	28,091
2009	106	227	668	738	46	264	570	2	502	514	1,017	28,568
2010	117	219	672	766	76	246	509	2	519	505	1,024	28,435

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2010-11 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	0	3	16	15	1	11	15	*	12	7	19	1,140	231
6	2009	0	10	34	39	4	29	37		19	26	45	1,440	411
	2010	2	11	60	66	12	37	63	*	37	36	73	2,123	355
	2008	0	5	40	39	9	24	41	*	29	16	45	2,207	258
7	2009	2	16	48	51	5	27	56	*	41	25	66	2,232	291
_	2010	1	21	45	57	16	35	60	*	36	32	68	2,164	380
	2008	0	2	15	14	0	12	16	*	8	10	18	891	242
8	2009	0	2	28	30	2	27	33	*	15	18	33	1,011	315
	2010	1	9	22	27	11	16	26		22	10	32	1,028	289
	2008	0	10	71	68	10	47	72	*	49	33	82	4,238	731
6-8	2009	2	28	110	120	11	83	126	*	75	69	144	4,683	1,017
	2010	4	41	127	150	39	88	149	*	95	78	173	5,315	1,024

					NUM BE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 6-8				
2008	87	139	499	525	28	153	440	3	366	365	731	28,091
2009	106	227	668	738	46	264	570	2	502	514	1,017	28,568
2010	117	219	672	766	76	246	509	2	519	505	1,024	28,435

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2008	84.2	83.1	82.7	80.2
6	2009	83.6	75.7	79.5	82.2
	2010	80.4	82.9	75.2	78.9
	2008	85.9	85.6	80.2	73.6
7	2009	79.3	81.8	76.1	75.8
	2010	81.5	75.6	76.4	74.9
	2008	85.3	84.1	82.9	84.6
8	2009	85.4	83.3	82.0	84.5
	2010	89.1	83.8	85.1	81.6
	2008	85.2	84.3	81.9	79.3
6-8	2009	82.9	79.8	79.3	81.1
	2010	83.3	80.5	78.4	78.2

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	72.4	31.1	31.4	31.9	11.1	13.3	16.4	*	34.9	37.6	36.4	33.8	231
6	2009	78.6	29.8	28.8	28.4	5.3	12.5	13.8		35.2	32.8	34.1	29.7	411
	2010	63.9	30.8	22.0	22.7	5.0	9.7	10.9	*	23.8	32.8	28.2	22.1	355
	2008	60.9	23.1	20.4	19.9	0.0	4.4	9.0	*	19.8	29.1	24.4	19.1	258
7	2009	35.5	19.4	15.1	14.7	13.3	6.2	6.2	*	15.7	20.7	18.2	19.2	291
_	2010	56.5	10.7	14.4	14.0	0.0	4.4	5.1	*	18.3	20.1	19.2	17.2	380
	2008	60.0	21.4	34.6	31.1	14.3	14.6	24.8	*	34.9	37.2	36.0	37.6	242
8	2009	63.6	38.0	29.8	28.1	8.3	12.7	18.8	*	31.6	38.7	35.2	35.9	315
	2010	51.4	34.3	32.4	32.3	3.8	5.8	14.9		26.5	45.1	35.6	31.9	289
	2008	64.4	25.2	28.5	27.0	7.1	11.1	16.8	*	29.5	34.5	32.0	29.9	731
6-8	2009	61.3	29.1	25.3	24.4	8.7	11.0	13.3	*	28.7	31.1	29.9	28.4	1,017
	2010	57.3	24.2	22.0	22.3	2.6	6.9	9.8	*	22.5	31.5	27.0	23.7	1,024

					NUM BE	ER TESTE	O IN GRAD	DES 6-8				
2008	87	139	499	525	28	153	440	3	366	365	731	28,091
2009	106	227	668	738	46	264	570	2	502	514	1,017	28,568
2010	117	219	672	766	76	246	509	2	519	505	1,024	28,435

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	92.9	62.2	75.3	74.2	57.1	57.6	63.2	*	78.1	72.4	75.0	73.8	228
6	2009	93.0	59.0	70.1	65.0	42.1	55.2	54.3		70.0	70.6	70.3	71.9	417
	2010	91.7	71.4	67.6	68.0	25.0	53.4	51.2	*	72.1	69.5	70.8	72.7	353
	2008	100.0	60.0	72.4	70.9	60.0	48.9	58.8	*	71.5	73.8	72.7	64.5	256
7	2009	79.3	54.2	62.0	57.9	69.2	50.7	42.0	*	58.6	65.6	62.0	65.7	292
	2010	91.3	51.2	66.8	63.4	30.0	51.1	50.0	*	68.2	64.6	66.4	68.5	381
	2008	97.0	73.8	73.1	74.1	71.4	54.3	67.9	*	80.8	72.6	76.9	72.8	238
8	2009	93.9	53.5	69.5	63.4	70.0	46.8	52.8	*	72.4	64.3	67.9	70.7	321
	2010	82.9	71.0	74.4	70.2	40.0	56.6	61.3		70.3	79.9	75.1	76.4	289
	2008	96.4	65.0	73.5	72.9	62.5	54.0	63.4	*	76.7	72.9	74.8	70.2	722
6-8	2009	89.5	55.8	67.7	62.5	57.1	51.8	50.3	*	67.5	67.1	67.2	69.5	1,030
	2010	88.9	63.4	69.1	67.0	32.0	53.2	53.7	*	70.2	70.6	70.4	72.5	1,023

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 6-8				
2008	84	137	495	516	24	150	434	3	360	362	722	28,064
2009	105	226	681	749	42	280	578	2	505	523	1,030	28,478
2010	117	216	674	766	75	248	510	3	516	507	1,023	28,433

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2010-11 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 67% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	2	17	38	41	3	25	46	*	23	34	57	2,460	228
6	2009	3	34	85	106	11	60	101		64	60	124	2,749	417
	2010	3	18	81	88	15	48	80	*	50	53	103	2,673	353
	2008	0	20	50	58	4	23	63	*	37	33	70	3,462	256
7	2009	6	33	71	90	4	34	94	*	58	52	111	3,197	292
	2010	4	41	81	100	21	45	98	*	61	67	128	2,975	381
	2008	1	11	43	41	2	21	50	*	24	31	55	2,429	238
8	2009	2	33	64	85	3	41	92	*	42	60	103	2,752	321
	2010	6	20	46	65	15	23	58		43	29	72	2,173	289
	2008	3	48	131	140	9	69	159	*	84	98	182	8,351	722
6-8	2009	11	100	220	281	18	135	287	*	164	172	338	8,698	1,030
	2010	13	79	208	253	51	116	236	*	154	149	303	7,821	1,023

					NUM BE	ER TESTE	O IN GRAD	DES 6-8				
2008	84	137	495	516	24	150	434	3	360	362	722	28,064
2009	105	226	681	749	42	280	578	2	505	523	1,030	28,478
2010	117	216	674	766	75	248	510	3	516	507	1,023	28,433

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2008	73.6	72.5	79.1	71.8	76.6	73.3
6	2009	70.1	71.2	71.6	68.7	76.0	73.4
	2010	68.2	72.4	70.1	62.6	76.1	70.2
	2008	71.6	63.8	72.0	54.1	63.0	68.3
7	2009	69.6	62.2	68.1	57.9	56.8	69.9
	2009	67.7	63.0	67.1	48.3	67.3	68.4
	2008	66.0	73.6	73.6	52.0	69.5	68.0
8	2009	66.1	68.3	70.2	51.8	66.0	66.8
	2010	68.8	68.5	69.3	55.4	70.2	67.5
	2008	70.4	69.8	74.8	59.0	69.4	69.8
6-8	2009	68.7	67.8	70.2	60.4	67.4	70.4
	2010	68.2	67.8	68.7	55.2	71.2	68.8

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	67.9	22.2	24.7	28.3	28.6	13.6	13.6	*	32.4	27.6	29.8	31.0	228
6	2009	72.1	13.3	23.2	18.8	5.3	14.9	11.8		29.1	24.0	26.6	28.4	417
	2010	41.7	11.1	16.4	13.5	5.0	5.8	6.7	*	17.9	18.4	18.1	22.4	353
	2008	52.2	4.0	7.7	7.5	0.0	4.4	3.3	*	10.8	11.9	11.3	11.0	256
7	2009	34.5	8.3	7.0	7.5	7.7	8.7	4.3	*	12.9	8.6	10.6	11.0	292
	2010	47.8	4.8	9.8	7.0	0.0	4.3	3.1	*	15.6	11.6	13.6	14.7	381
	2008	36.4	4.8	9.4	7.0	0.0	4.3	3.2	*	15.2	8.8	12.2	14.1	238
8	2009	30.3	4.2	11.0	9.5	0.0	9.1	5.1	*	11.8	11.3	11.5	15.0	321
	2010	25.7	10.1	8.9	7.8	4.0	1.9	2.0		11.0	11.8	11.4	14.1	289
	2008	51.2	10.2	13.5	13.8	8.3	8.0	6.2	*	18.6	16.3	17.5	18.7	722
6-8	2009	48.6	8.8	15.0	12.7	4.8	11.8	7.4	*	19.4	15.5	17.4	18.3	1,030
	2010	39.3	8.3	12.0	9.5	2.7	4.4	3.9	*	15.1	14.0	14.6	17.2	1,023

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	O IN GRAD	DES 6-8				
2008	84	137	495	516	24	150	434	3	360	362	722	28,064
2009	105	226	681	749	42	280	578	2	505	523	1,030	28,478
2010	117	216	674	766	75	248	510	3	516	507	1,023	28,433

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	100.0	84.6	88.1	86.9	54.5	67.4	80.9	*	79.2	97.6	88.1	83.7	253
7	2009	96.6	84.5	91.0	88.3	71.4	80.0	82.2	*	83.6	95.9	90.0	88.6	280
	2010	100.0	81.9	92.4	88.7	60.7	81.8	84.1	*	86.6	95.1	90.9	90.6	372

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2008	23	52	176	198	11	43	152	1	130	123	253	9,744
2009	29	71	177	206	14	55	152	1	134	146	280	8,988
2010	44	83	238	265	28	88	189	1	187	185	372	9,369

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2011 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	0	8	21	26	5	14	29	*	27	3	30	1,584	253
7	2009	1	11	16	24	4	11	27	*	22	6	28	1,023	280
	2010	0	15	18	30	11	16	30	*	25	9	34	878	372

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7							
2008	23	23 52 176 198 11 43 152 1 130 123 253 9,744													
2009	29	71	177	206	14	55	152	1	134	146	280	8,988			
2010	44	83	238	265	28	88	189	1	187	185	372	9,369			

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2008	2.4	79.8	78.5	85.3	79.6
7	2009	2.4	80.8	77.1	82.5	82.3
	2010	2.5	80.2	71.2	80.6	82.8

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	69.6	11.5	20.5	19.2	0.0	7.0	8.6	*	16.9	30.1	23.3	20.4	253
7	2009	51.7	16.9	25.4	21.4	7.1	10.9	9.2	*	19.4	32.2	26.1	22.3	280
	2010	68.2	20.5	25.2	24.2	3.6	9.1	12.2	*	24.1	34.6	29.3	26.3	372

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2008	23	52	176	198	11	43	152	1	130	123	253	9,744
2009	29	71	177	206	14	55	152	1	134	146	280	8,988
2010	44	83	238	265	28	88	189	1	187	185	372	9,369

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	93.9	84.1	79.7	79.2	50.0	61.4	74.2	*	84.8	80.5	82.8	86.6	238
8	2009	97.0	89.0	79.2	82.9	64.3	59.3	73.9	*	84.5	82.5	83.4	88.3	302
	2010	97.1	90.0	90.2	89.5	73.1	76.9	83.1		89.4	93.6	91.2	92.1	284

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2008	33	44	158	159	10	44	155	1	125	113	238	8,642
2009	33	73	192	216	14	59	180	1	148	154	302	9,233
2010	35	70	173	210	26	52	142	0	141	141	284	9,084

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2011 AEIS social studies minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	2	7	32	33	5	17	40	*	19	22	41	1,157	238
8	2009	1	8	40	37	5	24	47	*	23	27	50	1,078	302
	2010	1	7	17	22	7	12	24		15	9	25	719	284

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8							
2008	33	33 44 158 159 10 44 155 1 125 113 238 8,642													
2009	33	73	192	216	14	59	180	1	148	154	302	9,233			
2010	35	70	173	210	26	52	142	0	141	141	284	9,084			

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	lssues and Events in U.S. History	Geographic Influences on History	Economic and Social Influences on History	Political Influences on History	Critical-Thinking Skills
	2008	65.3	67.6	74.8	62.0	75.9
8	2009	67.1	74.7	74.4	64.3	80.0
	2010	63.8	74.6	73.0	60.7	71.1

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	60.6	11.4	8.2	7.5	0.0	4.5	5.2	*	21.6	9.7	16.0	27.5	238
8	2009	72.7	23.3	21.9	20.4	0.0	10.2	11.1	*	29.1	26.6	27.8	31.7	302
	2010	48.6	21.4	15.0	14.8	0.0	9.6	10.6		21.3	19.9	20.8	32.3	284

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8							
2008	33	33 44 158 159 10 44 155 1 125 113 238 8,642													
2009	33	73	192	216	14	59	180	1	148	154	302	9,233			
2010	35	70	173	210	26	52	142	0	141	141	284	9,084			

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	90.6	45.5	42.1	39.0	30.0	20.5	29.2	*	51.6	46.4	49.2	54.6	238
8	2009	84.8	40.0	42.5	39.6	21.4	23.1	25.5	*	51.6	41.6	46.3	57.5	322
	2010	77.1	64.3	57.7	59.4	23.1	26.9	42.7		60.3	63.6	61.9	64.2	286

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8							
2008	32	32 44 159 159 10 44 154 1 126 112 238 8,703													
2009	33	75	207	235	14	78	200	1	155	166	322	9,582			
2010	35	70	175	212	26	52	143	0	141	143	286	9,112			

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 55 percent, the 2011 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	3	24	92	97	7	35	109	*	61	60	121	3,953	238
8	2009	5	45	119	142	11	60	149	*	75	97	173	4,068	322
	2010	8	25	74	86	20	38	82		56	52	109	3,261	286

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8							
2008	32	32 44 159 159 10 44 154 1 126 112 238 8,703													
2009	33	75	207	235	14	78	200	1	155	166	322	9,582			
2010	35	70	175	212	26	52	143	0	141	143	286	9,112			

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Living Systems and the Environment	Structures and Properties of Matter	Motion, Forces, and Energy	Earth and Space Systems
	2008	67.9	64.0	56.9	54.8	59.3
8	2009	64.5	65.2	59.8	67.5	57.6
	2010	73.1	73.4	69.1	63.2	66.2

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	34.4	4.5	5.0	4.4	0.0	4.5	1.9	*	12.7	4.5	8.8	11.2	238
8	2009	36.4	12.0	8.2	6.8	7.1	3.8	3.0	*	12.9	11.4	12.1	12.9	322
	2010	45.7	14.3	13.7	12.7	3.8	5.8	5.6		20.6	15.4	18.2	17.0	286

					NUM E	BER TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 8							
2008	32	32 44 159 159 10 44 154 1 126 112 238 8,703													
2009	33	75	207	235	14	78	200	1	155	166	322	9,582			
2010	35	70	175	212	26	52	143	0	141	143	286	9,112			

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	100.0	72.7	76.9	80.0	50.0	76.9		76.5	*	80.0	68.6	20
6	2009	*	81.3	72.2	81.5	77.1	87.5	78.9		75.0	81.8	77.1	70.9	35
	2010		83.3	*	77.8	77.8	*	71.4		83.3	*	77.8	72.7	9
	2008	*	*	*	83.3	90.0	*	85.7		88.9	*	90.0	67.1	10
7	2009	*	*	78.6	81.3	76.2	75.0	76.5		75.0	*	76.2	72.6	21
	2010		*	66.7	66.7	71.4	*	75.0	*	66.7	*	71.4	66.6	14
	2008	*	81.8	*	81.8	75.0	*	78.6		75.0	75.0	75.0	64.8	16
8	2009	*	88.9	*	92.3	93.8	*	100.0		100.0	*	93.8	77.8	16
	2010	*	*	66.7	57.1	58.3	66.7	60.0		44.4	*	58.3	78.3	12
	2008	83.3	86.4	70.6	80.0	80.4	66.7	79.4		79.4	83.3	80.4	67.0	46
6-8	2009	*	82.8	78.4	83.9	80.6	84.0	83.3		81.1	78.9	80.6	73.8	72
	2010	*	78.6	66.7	68.0	68.6	73.3	69.0	*	63.0	87.5	68.6	72.6	35

					NUM BE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 6-8						
2008	6	6 22 17 30 46 9 34 0 34 12 46 1,789												
2009	4	29	37	56	72	25	48	0	53	19	72	1,932		
2010	3	14	18	25	35	15	29	1	27	8	35	1,492		

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	0	3	3	4	3	3		4	*	4	206	20
6	2009	*	3	5	5	8	1	4		6	2	8	182	35
	2010		1	*	2	2	*	2		1	*	2	143	9
	2008	*	*	*	1	1	*	1		1	*	1	201	10
7	2009	*	*	3	3	5	3	4		4	*	5	181	21
	2010		*	3	3	4	*	3	*	4	*	4	160	14
	2008	*	2	*	2	4	*	3		2	2	4	184	16
8	2009	*	1	*	1	1	*	0		0	*	1	143	16
	2010	*	*	2	3	5	2	4		5	*	5	106	12
	2008	1	3	5	6	9	3	7		7	2	9	591	46
6-8	2009	*	5	8	9	14	4	8		10	4	14	506	72
	2010	*	3	6	8	11	4	9	*	10	1	11	409	35

	NUM BER TESTED IN GRADES 6-8														
2008	6	22	17	30	46	9	34	0	34	12	46	1,789			
2009	4	29	37	56	72	25	48	0	53	19	72	1,932			
2010	3	14	18	25	35	15	29	1	27	8	35	1,492			

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2008	66.8	75.8	59.2	68.2
6	2009	62.1	61.9	61.4	62.1
	2010	62.6	66.7	70.4	69.7
	2008	72.0	68.8	73.8	62.5
7	2009	74.3	69.6	67.9	62.7
	2010	52.9	58.9	50.0	60.1
	2008	72.5	66.4	73.4	59.9
8	2009	75.6	67.2	67.2	58.3
	2010	59.2	58.3	55.2	54.9
	2008	69.9	71.0	67.3	64.1
6-8	2009	68.6	65.3	64.6	61.4
	2010	57.5	60.7	57.0	60.8

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	16.7	18.2	7.7	15.0	16.7	23.1		11.8	*	15.0	7.3	20
6	2009	*	6.3	5.6	7.4	5.7	0.0	5.3		4.2	9.1	5.7	7.5	35
	2010		16.7	*	11.1	11.1	*	0.0		16.7	*	11.1	11.8	9
	2008	*	*	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	5.9	10
7	2009	*	*	14.3	12.5	14.3	16.7	11.8		18.8	*	14.3	8.9	21
	2010		*	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	*	0.0	*	0.0	9.8	14
	2008	*	9.1	*	9.1	12.5	*	7.1		12.5	12.5	12.5	6.3	16
8	2009	*	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	7.1	16
	2010	*	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	8.6	12
	2008	16.7	9.1	11.8	6.7	10.9	11.1	11.8		8.8	16.7	10.9	6.5	46
6-8	2009	*	6.9	8.1	7.1	6.9	8.0	6.3		7.5	5.3	6.9	7.9	72
	2010	*	7.1	0.0	4.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	*	3.7	0.0	2.9	10.1	35

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 6-8				
2008	6	22	17	30	46	9	34	0	34	12	46	1,789
2009	4	29	37	56	72	25	48	0	53	19	72	1,932
2010	3	14	18	25	35	15	29	1	27	8	35	1,492

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	ΑII	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	66.7	75.0	68.8	68.2	57.1	73.3		61.1	100.0	68.2	57.0	22
6	2009	*	50.0	81.3	65.4	66.7	85.7	68.4		66.7	66.7	66.7	64.0	33
	2010		0.0	*	11.1	11.1	*	14.3		16.7	*	11.1	60.0	9
	2008	*	71.4	*	87.5	83.3	*	88.9		80.0	100.0	83.3	53.7	12
7	2009	*	*	86.7	88.2	79.2	81.8	73.7		70.6	100.0	79.2	62.0	24
	2010		66.7	44.4	60.0	53.3	*	50.0		58.3	*	53.3	59.5	15
	2008	*	27.3	*	27.3	44.4	*	46.7		54.5	*	44.4	45.0	18
8	2009	*	55.6	*	69.2	68.8	*	75.0		75.0	*	68.8	67.1	16
	2010	*	*	33.3	28.6	23.1	*	11.1		18.2	*	23.1	63.0	13
	2008	62.5	50.0	78.9	60.0	63.5	70.0	66.7		64.1	61.5	63.5	52.0	52
6-8	2009	*	56.7	83.3	73.2	71.2	82.6	72.0		70.0	73.9	71.2	64.4	73
	2010	*	31.3	38.9	34.6	32.4	35.7	30.0		34.5	25.0	32.4	60.8	37

					NUM BE	R TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 6-8				
2008	8	24	19	35	52	10	39	0	39	13	52	1,797
2009	5	30	36	56	73	23	50	0	50	23	73	1,860
2010	3	16	18	26	37	14	30	0	29	8	37	1,522

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	2	3	5	7	3	4		7	*	7	260	22
6	2009	*	8	3	9	11	1	6		7	4	11	205	33
	2010		6	*	8	8	*	6		5	*	8	203	9
	2008	*	2	*	1	2	*	1		2	*	2	279	12
7	2009	*	*	2	2	5	2	5		5	0	5	248	24
	2010		2	5	4	7	*	7		5	*	7	200	15
	2008	*	8	*	8	10	*	8		5	5	10	324	18
8	2009	*	4	*	4	5	*	3		3	*	5	210	16
	2010	*	*	4	5	10	*	8		9	*	10	193	13
	2008	3	12	4	14	19	3	13	_	14	5	19	863	52
6-8	2009	*	13	6	15	21	4	14		15	6	21	663	73
	2010	*	11	11	17	25	9	21		19	6	25	596	37

	NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 6-8													
2008	8	24	19	35	52	10	39	0	39	13	52	1,797		
2009	5	30	36	56	73	23	50	0	50	23	73	1,860		
2010	3	16	18	26	37	14	30	0	29	8	37	1,522		

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2008	54.0	44.8	59.8	59.1	66.4	45.5
6	2009	51.9	40.3	46.5	50.0	71.5	45.5
	2010	41.7	47.6	51.9	55.6	31.1	38.1
	2008	55.2	57.3	62.5	54.2	50.0	63.9
7	2009	58.3	51.6	55.6	63.5	50.0	63.2
	2010	45.0	40.8	50.0	38.3	43.3	46.7
	2008	45.1	55.6	44.4	48.6	50.0	43.8
8	2009	46.1	57.8	51.0	50.0	40.6	46.9
	2010	41.3	35.6	51.3	40.4	47.4	38.5
	2008	51.2	51.4	55.1	54.3	56.9	49.1
6-8	2009	52.7	47.8	50.5	54.5	57.7	51.6
	2010	42.9	40.6	50.9	43.2	41.8	41.7

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	0.0	8.3	6.3	4.5	14.3	6.7		5.6	*	4.5	6.4	22
6	2009	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	8.4	33
	2010		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	10.5	9
	2008	*	14.3	*	12.5	8.3	*	11.1		0.0	*	8.3	2.5	12
7	2009	*	*	20.0	17.6	16.7	18.2	21.1		5.9	42.9	16.7	8.0	24
	2010		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	5.3	15
	2008	*	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	18
8	2009	*	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	5.8	16
	2010	*	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	3.5	13
	2008	0.0	4.2	5.3	5.7	3.8	10.0	5.1		2.6	7.7	3.8	4.1	52
6-8	2009	*	3.3	8.3	5.4	5.5	8.7	8.0		2.0	13.0	5.5	7.4	73
	2010	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	6.4	37

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 6-8								
2008	8	8 24 19 35 52 10 39 0 39 13 52 1,797														
2009	5	30	36	56	73	23	50	0	50	23	73	1,860				
2010	3	16	18	26	37	14	30	0	29	8	37	1,522				

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008													0
7	2009	*	*	85.7	92.9	86.4	83.3	82.4		82.4	*	86.4	61.9	22
	2010		*	54.5	80.0	66.7	71.4	69.2	*	58.3	*	66.7	65.2	15

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7								
2008	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0														
2009	3	4	14	14	22	12	17	0	17	5	22	691				
2010	0	4	11	10	15	7	13	1	12	3	15	517				

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008													0
7	2009	*	*	2	1	3	2	3		3	*	3	263	22
	2010		*	5	2	5	2	4	*	5	*	5	180	15

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7								
2008	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0														
2009	3	4	14	14	22	12	17	0	17	5	22	691				
2010	0	4	11	10	15	7	13	1	12	3	15	517				

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2008					
7	2009	1.9	80.7	71.0	79.5	73.2
	2010	1.7	61.7	61.7	55.3	50.0

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008													0
7	2009	*	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	22
	2010		*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	*	0.0	3.1	15

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7							
2008	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0													
2009	3	4	14	14	22	12	17	0	17	5	22	691			
2010	0	4	11	10	15	7	13	1	12	3	15	517			

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008													0
8	2009	*	14.3	*	20.0	23.1	*	22.2		30.0	*	23.1	47.6	13
	2010	*	*	33.3	16.7	25.0	33.3	30.0		30.0	*	25.0	62.0	12

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8								
2008	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0														
2009	1	7	4	10	13	4	9	0	10	3	13	618				
2010	3	3	6	6	12	6	10	0	10	2	12	492				

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008													0
8	2009	*	6	*	8	10	*	7		7	*	10	324	13
	2010	*	*	4	5	9	4	7		7	*	9	187	12

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8								
2008	0															
2009	1	7	4	10	13	4	9	0	10	3	13	618				
2010	3	3	6	6	12	6	10	0	10	2	12	492				

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	lssues and Events in U.S. History	Geographic Influences on History	Economic and Social Influences on History	Political Influences on History	Critical-Thinking Skills
	2008					
8	2009	41.5	33.8	54.9	43.1	73.1
	2010	45.0	55.0	50.0	47.5	43.1

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008													0
8	2009	*	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	13
	2010	*	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	4.9	12

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8								
2008	0															
2009	1	7	4	10	13	4	9	0	10	3	13	618				
2010	3	3	6	6	12	6	10	0	10	2	12	492				

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	11.1	*	22.2	20.0	*	23.1		11.1	33.3	20.0	30.0	15
8	2009	*	28.6	*	30.0	30.8	*	33.3		40.0	*	30.8	40.1	13
	2010	*	*	16.7	16.7	8.3	16.7	10.0		10.0	*	8.3	49.6	12

					NUM E	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	DES 8							
2008	1	1 9 5 9 15 2 13 0 9 6 15 636													
2009	1	7	4	10	13	4	9	0	10	3	13	631			
2010	3	3	6	6	12	6	10	0	10	2	12	502			

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	8	*	7	12	*	10		8	4	12	445	15
8	2009	*	5	*	7	9	*	6		6	*	9	378	13
	2010	*	*	5	5	11	5	9		9	*	11	253	12

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8								
2008	1	1 9 5 9 15 2 13 0 9 6 15 636														
2009	1	7	4	10	13	4	9	0	10	3	13	631				
2010	3	3	6	6	12	6	10	0	10	2	12	502				

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Living Systems and the Environment	Structures and Properties of Matter	Motion, Forces, and Energy	Earth and Space Systems
	2008	53.3	52.0	52.0	40.0	46.7
8	2009	53.8	53.1	49.2	24.6	46.2
	2010	40.9	46.7	40.0	41.7	43.5

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	15
8	2009	*	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	2.1	13
	2010	*	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	2.2	12

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8							
2008	1	1 9 5 9 15 2 13 0 9 6 15 636													
2009	1	7	4	10	13	4	9	0	10	3	13	631			
2010	3	3	6	6	12	6	10	0	10	2	12	502			

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the ITBS

				READING T	OTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	23.8	87.0	43.4	37.2	249
8	2009	19.4	72.6	39.6	33.6	308
Ī	2010	20.3	53.5	35.6	33.9	275

			M	ATHEM ATIC	S TOTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	42.7	81.6	54.7	46.7	247
8	2009	36.3	86.4	55.5	48.3	308
	2010	32.5	73.8	51.3	45.5	277

	2008	172	77	249	8,615	
Number Tested	2009	191	117	308	9,813	
rested	2010	148	127	275	9,416	

	2008	171	76	247	8,493	
Number Tested	2009	190	118	308	9,737	
rested	2010	151	126	277	9,135	

ITBS Read (1) ITBS: READING TOTAL

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	79.4	35.8	38.4	32.7	0.0	8.5	23.8	*	42.1	44.7	43.4	37.2	249
8	2009	88.9	37.7	33.7	32.3	0.0	9.4	19.4		37.1	42.0	39.6	33.6	308
	2010	66.7	31.4	31.5	28.2	9.1	7.8	20.3	*	38.1	33.3	35.6	33.9	275

	2008	34	53	159	162	27	47	172	1	126	123	249	8,615
Number Tested	2009	27	77	199	223	20	64	191	0	151	157	308	9,813
Toolog	2010	30	70	168	188	22	51	148	2	134	141	275	9,416

ITBS Read (2) ITBS: READING TOTAL

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	14	4	10	3	0	0	2	*	14	14	28	508	249
8	2009	13	5	11	10	0	1	3		12	17	29	575	308
	2010	9	6	8	9	0	1	5	*	15	9	24	590	275

	2008	34	53	159	162	27	47	172	1	126	123	249	8,615
Number Tested	2009	27	77	199	223	20	64	191	0	151	157	308	9,813
Tostoa	2010	30	70	168	188	22	51	148	2	134	141	275	9,416

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	81.8	37.0	54.1	45.7	16.0	34.7	42.7	*	50.8	58.4	54.7	46.7	247
8	2009	85.2	41.3	56.7	53.6	10.5	29.2	36.3		50.7	60.1	55.5	48.3	308
	2010	73.3	38.6	52.4	48.9	9.1	31.4	32.5	*	48.5	53.8	51.3	45.5	277

	2008	33	54	157	162	25	49	171	1	122	125	247	8,493
Number Tested	2009	27	75	201	222	19	65	190	0	150	158	308	9,737
Toolog	2010	30	70	170	190	22	51	151	2	134	143	277	9,135

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	18	2	13	8	0	1	3	*	19	14	33	801	247
8	2009	18	7	28	32	0	4	13		26	29	55	1,219	308
	2010	11	11	18	21	0	1	10	*	23	19	42	984	277

	2008	33	54	157	162	25	49	171	1	122	125	247	8,493
Number Tested	2009	27	75	201	222	19	65	190	0	150	158	308	9,737
Toolog	2010	30	70	170	190	22	51	151	2	134	143	277	9,135

ITBS Math (3) ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	70.6	38.2	55.2	48.5	38.5	50.9	46.1	*	48.9	59.5	54.1	48.8	257
8	2009	84.0	33.8	57.4	51.2	21.1	36.9	39.4	*	47.9	58.9	52.7	44.7	319
	2010	53.3	36.6	44.6	41.3	4.0	22.2	29.9	*	39.9	46.9	43.5	37.3	283

	2008	34	55	165	169	26	57	180	1	131	126	257	8,705
Number Tested	2009	25	68	190	215	19	65	175	1	142	146	319	10,011
rested	2010	30	71	175	196	25	54	157	2	138	145	283	9,519

ITBS Math (4) ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	17	3	17	13	1	3	9	*	20	17	37	625	257
8	2009	15	11	45	52	1	8	24	*	35	37	76	1,288	319
	2010	8	12	14	20	0	2	10	*	15	21	36	860	283

	2008	34	55	165	169	26	57	180	1	131	126	257	8,705
Number Tested	2009	25	68	190	215	19	65	175	1	142	146	319	10,011
restea	2010	30	71	175	196	25	54	157	2	138	145	283	9,519

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1	in 2009	Level 2	in 2009	Level 3	in 2009	Levels 1-3 in 2009			
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2010	Number Tested Both Years	Tested Improved		Percentage Improved in 2010	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2010		
6	7	7 42.9		50.0	0	-	17	47.1		
7	3	33.3	9 33.3		0 -		12	33.3		
8	4 50.0		12	41.7	0	-	16	43.8		
ALL	14	42.9	31	41.9	0	-	45	42.2		

PERFORMANCE IN 2010

Grade	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Com	posite
(N Rated)	2010 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	6	5.5	5	4.5	9	8.2	3	2.7	2	1.8
6	Intermediate	8	7.3	9	8.2	22	20.0	12	10.7	12	10.9
(112)	Advanced	53	48.2	57	51.8	60	54.5	42	37.5	42	38.2
	Advanced High	43	39.1	39	35.5	19	17.3	55	49.1	54	49.1
	Beginning	6	5.7	4	3.8	3	2.9	2	1.8	0	0.0
7	Intermediate	33	31.1	32	30.2	35	33.3	12	10.9	10	9.5
(110)	Advanced	50	47.2	49	46.2	43	41.0	23	20.9	35	33.3
	Advanced High	17	16.0	21	19.8	24	22.9	73	66.4	60	57.1
	Beginning	4	7.4	5	9.3	5	10.0	6	9.7	5	10.0
8	Intermediate	19	35.2	19	35.2	21	42.0	6	9.7	3	6.0
(62)	Advanced	23	<i>4</i> 2.6	23	42.6	17	34.0	16	25.8	19	38.0
	Advanced High	8	14.8	7	13.0	7	14.0	34	54.8	23	46.0
	Beginning	16	5.9	14	5.2	17	6.4	11	3.9	7	2.6
ALL	Intermediate	60	22.2	60	22.2	78	29.4	30	10.6	25	9.4
(284)	Advanced	126	46.7	129	47.8	120	45.3	81	28.5	96	36.2
	Advanced High	68	25.2	67	24.8	50	18.9	162	57.0	137	51.7

PROGRESSION FROM 2009 TO 2010

Number Rated Both Years			2009	Level	
N (%) Progressed	2010 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High
	Beginning		2	2	
102	Intermediate	4		7	
62 (60.8%)	Advanced	0	8	3	1
	Advanced High	0	0	5	0
	Beginning		()	
100	Intermediate	0		10	
65 (65.0%)	Advanced	0	5	2	5
	Advanced High	0	0	6	0
	Beginning		2	2	
40	Intermediate	0		1	
23 (57.5%)	Advanced	1	4	1	4
	Advanced High	0	1	1	7
	Beginning		4	4	
242	Intermediate	4		18	
150 (62.0%)	Advanced	1	17	7	0
	Advanced High	0	1	12	27

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2009 to 2010.

				All		African A	American	Hisp	panic	W	nite	Otl	her	Dist	rict
Grade	Subtest	Year	N Tested	Mean	%Tested	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean
		2007													
	Critical Reading	2008												18	24.6
	rtodding	2009	1	46.0	0.3	0		0		1	*	0		185	26.9
		2007													
6	Mathematics	2008												18	29.5
		2009	1	50.0	0.3	0		0		1	*	0		185	28.2
		2007													
	Writing	2008												18	27.6
		2009	1	46.0	0.3	0		0		1	*	0		185	29.0
	Onitional	2007	19	30.9	6.9	9	33.1	10	28.9	0		0		412	29.6
	Critical Reading	2008	35	29.8	10.9	10	29.0	25	30.2	0		0		493	29.4
		2009	55	31.7	13.7	8	30.8	44	31.6	2	*	1	*	735	31.1
	Mathematics	2007	19	32.7	6.9	9	31.3	10	34.0	0		0		412	33.5
7		2008	35	32.2	10.9	10	31.8	25	32.3	0		0		493	33.4
		2009	55	33.3	13.7	8	35.8	44	32.5	2	*	1	*	735	32.9
		2007	19	32.5	6.9	9	31.2	10	33.6	0		0		412	30.5
	Writing	2008	35	30.3	10.9	10	27.6	25	31.4	0		0		493	31.3
		2009	55	30.7	13.7	8	33.4	44	30.5	2	*	1	*	735	31.2
	Critical	2007	76	36.0	28.1	12	30.8	49	35.5	14	42.6	1	*	2,527	33.5
	Reading	2008	129	34.8	38.4	30	34.9	79	33.3	20	40.8	0		2,932	33.8
		2009	68	36.7	21.7	17	36.9	36	35.9	14	39.1	1	*	2,832	34.8
		2007	76	39.5	28.1	12	37.3	49	37.5	14	48.6	1	*	2,527	37.2
8	Mathematics	2008	129	38.2	38.4	30	36.0	79	36.9	20	47.0	0		2,932	37.2
		2009	68	38.0	21.7	17	38.8	36	36.1	14	42.4	1	*	2,832	37.1
		2007	76	37.3	28.1	12	35.2	49	36.0	14	43.9	1	*	2,527	34.5
	Writing	2008	129	35.8	38.4	30	34.2	79	35.0	20	41.4	0		2,932	35.1
		2009	68	35.5	21.7	17	35.6	36	35.4	14	35.8	1	*	2,832	34.4

Tested Correct Score Mark (no ACP) Tested Correct Score Mark (no ACP)	Course ACP C	Passing Course
Tested Correct Score Mark (no ACP) ACP Course ACP		
51 56.2 73.7 81.3 82.1 68.6 86.3 79.5 95.5 COMPUTER APPLICATIONS (tested with 2010) 184 67.1 78.0 83.1 83.6 81.0 97.8 70.1 95.0 HEALTH EDUCATION LANGUAGE ARTS 7 (tested with 2010) 261 54.8 72.9 77.8 78.3 69.7 84.3 62.7 86.4 LANGUAGE ARTS 7 PRE-AP (tested with 2010)	95.7 83.6	95.6
COMPUTER APPLICATIONS (tested with 2010) 184 67.1 78.0 83.1 83.6 81.0 97.8 70.1 95.0 HEALTH EDUCATION HEALTH EDUCATION (tested with 2010) 23 69.2 79.9 84.2 84.7 87.0 LANGUAGE ARTS 7 (tested with 2010) 261 54.8 72.9 77.8 78.3 69.7 84.3 62.7 86.4 LANGUAGE ARTS 7 PRE-AP (tested with 2010) LANGUAGE ARTS 7 PRE-AP (tested with 2010) LANGUAGE ARTS 7 PRE-AP (tested with 2010)	95.7 83.6	95.6
184 67.1 78.0 83.1 83.6 81.0 97.8 70.1 95.0 163 62.5 75.0 81.4 82.1 69.3		
HEALTH EDUCATION (tested with 2010) 23 69.2 79.9 84.2 84.7 87.0 LANGUAGE ARTS 7 (tested with 2010) 261 54.8 72.9 77.8 78.3 69.7 84.3 62.7 86.4 LANGUAGE ARTS 7 PRE-AP (tested with 2010) LANGUAGE ARTS 7 PRE-AP (tested with 2010) LANGUAGE ARTS 7 PRE-AP (tested with 2010)		
23 69.2 79.9 84.2 84.7 87.0	89.0 70.0	93.0
LANGUAGE ARTS 7 (tested with 2010) 261 54.8 72.9 77.8 78.3 69.7 84.3 62.7 86.4 LANGUAGE ARTS 7 PRE-AP (tested with 2010) LANGUAGE ARTS 7 PRE-AP (tested with 2010) LANGUAGE ARTS 7 PRE-AP (tested with 2010)		
261 54.8 72.9 77.8 78.3 69.7 84.3 62.7 86.4 269 71.9 81.3 79.6 79.5 84.4 LANGUAGE ARTS 7 PRE-AP (tested with 2010)	95.7 83.6	95.5
LANGUAGE ARTS 7 PRE-AP (tested with 2010) LANGUAGE ARTS 7 PRE-AP (tested with 2010)		
	89.2 82.0	87.2
100 59.9 75.9 84.4 85.4 81.0 95.0 78.3 95.7 100 63.8 78.3 86.5 87.4 83.0		
	98.0 74.9	93.7
LANGUAGE ARTS 8 (tested with 2010) LANGUAGE ARTS 8 (tested with 2010)		
173 59.0 73.9 78.6 79.1 67.6 88.4 70.1 86.9 184 51.0 72.8 79.2 80.0 64.7	88.6 74.5	86.6
LANGUAGE ARTS 8 PRE-AP (tested with 2010) LANGUAGE ARTS 8 PRE-AP (tested with 2010)		
82 53.5 74.1 80.2 80.9 70.7 92.7 73.4 96.0 84 51.3 73.9 78.7 79.2 71.4	86.9 72.7	94.2
MATHEMATICS 7 (tested with 2010) MATHEMATICS 7 (tested with 2010)		
286 57.4 71.6 73.9 74.1 60.5 71.7 57.7 85.2 290 48.8 71.6 74.4 74.7 54.8	76.6 56.1	82.6
MATHEMATICS 7 PRE-AP (tested with 2010) MATHEMATICS 7 PRE-AP (tested with 2010)		
81 71.5 81.0 87.9 88.6 80.2 98.8 69.6 95.7 81 62.8 77.7 88.3 89.5 80.2	97.5 73.6	95.5
MATHEMATICS 8 (tested with 2010) MATHEMATICS 8 (tested with 2010)		
215 58.8 75.3 76.3 76.5 72.6 80.5 60.2 83.5 226 47.5 70.8 77.3 78.0 51.3	87.6 49.3	82.1

					SEMEST	ER 1								SEMEST	ER 2			
			Ave	erages		% Pa	assing	District %	6 Passing			Ave	erages		% Pa	assing	District %	6 Passing
	lumber Fested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course
MS	ADV RE	EADING-W	RITING	FOR ESL	. (tested witl	h 2010)				MS ADV R	EADING-W	RITING I	FOR ESL	. (tested witl	h 2010)			
	7	55.3	75.2	82.6	83.4	71.4	100.0	58.3	92.1	9	52.4	78.0	81.3	81.7	88.9	100.0	67.6	90.3
MS	ADVAN	ICED LA F	OR ESL	(tested	with 2010)					MS ADVA	ICED LA F	OR ESL	(tested	with 2010)				
	7	64.3	76.2	85.6	86.6	57.1	100.0	61.2	90.3	9	66.7	77.8	80.9	81.2	66.7	100.0	64.6	91.5
MSI	BEGIN	NING LA F	OR ESL	(tested	with 2010)					MS BEGIN	NING LA F	OR ESL	(tested	with 2010)				
	5	*	*	*	*	*	*	61.6	98.8	9	70.5	81.6	81.8	81.8	88.9	88.9	55.6	97.8
MSI	NTERN	/IEDIATE L	A FOR E	ESL (tes	ted with 2010	0)				MS INTER	MEDIATE L	A FOR E	ESL (tes	ted with 2010	0)			
	7	68.3	82.4	85.6	85.9	85.7	100.0	54.1	95.9	8	66.8	77.8	83.9	84.5	75.0	100.0	51.7	92.7
MSI	NTERN	MEDIATE R	EADING	FOR ES	SL (tested w	ith 2010)			MS INTER	MEDIATE F	READING	FOR ES	SL (tested w	ith 2010)		
	7	68.5	81.8	83.9	84.1	85.7	85.7	54.9	93.8	8	71.9	82.0	84.5	84.8	87.5	100.0	57.8	91.7
REA	DING 7	' (tested w	/ith 2010))						READING	7 (tested v	vith 2010))					
	42	57.5	76.4	76.1	76.1	73.8	76.2	79.1	93.2	50	63.0	75.3	75.0	75.0	68.0	72.0	75.4	92.4
REA	DING 8	3 (tested w	/ith 2010))						READING	8 (tested v	vith 2010))					
	53	66.2	78.9	78.1	78.0	90.6	84.9	88.5	92.8	55	69.3	79.5	77.9	77.7	87.3	80.0	87.4	93.0
REA	DING N	MASTERY	7 (teste	d with 20	010)					READING	MASTERY	7 (teste	d with 20)10)				
	155	59.9	75.9	81.2	81.7	77.4	94.8	71.7	88.7	157	61.6	75.0	79.5	80.0	75.2	89.2	71.8	86.4
REA	DING N	MASTERY	8 (teste	d with 20	010)					READING	MASTERY	8 (teste	d with 20)10)				
	97	57.2	73.3	77.5	78.0	67.0	87.6	76.3	86.7	103	58.9	73.8	76.2	76.5	75.7	79.6	79.8	89.3
SCIE	NCE 7	(tested w	ith 2010)						SCIENCE	(tested w	/ith 2010)					
	378	65.5	77.5	78.9	79.0	76.2	86.2	76.1	90.6	383	65.5	77.5	78.1	78.2	74.9	82.2	74.3	86.4

					SEMEST	ER 1						SEM				ER 2			
			Ave	erages		% Pa	ssing	District %	6 Passing				Ave	rages		% Pa	ssing	District %	6 Passing
	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course		Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course
SCI	ENCE 8	(tested w	vith 2010)						SCI	ENCE 8	(tested w	rith 2010)					
	277	54.4	75.1	75.7	75.8	72.6	74.4	69.4	89.2		286	56.7	73.0	80.4	81.2	66.4	87.1	65.9	88.5
SP	ANISH I	7 (tested	with 201	0)						SP	ANISH I	7 (tested	with 201	0)					
	68	91.7	94.5	83.3	82.0	100.0	92.6	83.6	95.7		61	84.8	91.1	83.7	82.9	100.0	95.1	76.4	92.1
SP	SPANISH I 8 (tested with 2010)											8 (tested	with 201	0)					
	14	85.2	90.1	82.1	81.2	100.0	92.9	76.9	96.9		15	82.3	89.8	78.5	77.2	100.0	100.0	77.5	94.4
TEX	(AS STU	JDIES 7 (t	ested w	ith 2010)						TEX	KAS STU	IDIES 7 (t	ested wi	th 2010)					
	279	53.3	73.1	77.2	77.6	61.6	86.4	55.7	88.5		277	52.2	71.3	77.3	78.0	60.3	79.8	63.5	85.2
TEX	(AS STU	JDIES 7 PI	RE-AP (tested w	ith 2010)					TEX	KAS STU	IDIES 7 PF	RE-AP (t	ested w	ith 2010)				
	101	75.8	83.9	88.3	88.8	93.1	100.0	78.3	97.0		107	69.3	79.6	87.6	88.5	86.0	97.2	81.3	93.5
UNI	TED ST	ATES STU	JDIES 8	(tested v	with 2010)					UNI	ITED ST	ATES STU	IDIES 8	(tested v	vith 2010)				
	212	53.0	69.4	78.5	79.5	46.7	83.5	53.4	87.4		222	56.4	73.3	78.5	79.0	66.2	82.4	72.4	89.2
UNI	TED ST	ATES STU	JDIES 8	PRE-AP	(tested with	2010)				UNI	ITED ST	ATES STU	DIES 8 F	PRE-AP	(tested with	2010)			
	65	75.7	83.8	84.8	84.9	93.8	96.9	87.1	95.6		63	79.1	86.0	83.8	83.6	95.2	95.2	94.0	96.0