Campus Data Packet

for 2010-11 planning

Information in this packet is based on data from the 2009-10 school year.



ROGER Q. MILLS

School Number 186



2010-11 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2 ContentsTable of Contents	3 Notes Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
5 Summary Summary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6 Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7 Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8 Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
9 Teachers Teacher Statistics	
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	
10 TAKS Read (1) TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	11 TAKS Read (2) TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
12 TAKS Read (3) TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	13 TAKS Read (4) TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
14 TAKS Math (1)TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	15 TAKS Math (2)TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
16 TAKS Math (3)TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	17 TAKS Math (4)TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
18 TAKS Write (1) TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	19 TAKS Write (2) TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
20 TAKS Write (3) TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	21 TAKS Write (4) TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
22 TAKS Science (1) TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	23 TAKS Science (2)TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
24 TAKS Science (3) TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	25 TAKS Science (4)TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
26 SPTAKS Read (1) Spanish TAKS Reading: % Meeting Standard	27 SPTAKS Read (2) Spanish TAKS Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
28 SPTAKS Read (3) Spanish TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	29 SPTAKS Read (4) Spanish TAKS Reading: % Commended
30 SPTAKS Write (1) Spanish TAKS Writing: % Meeting Standard	31 SPTAKS Write (2)Spanish TAKS Writing: # Not Meeting Standard
32 SPTAKS Write (3) Spanish TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	33 SPTAKS Write (4) Spanish TAKS Writing: % Commended
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS (MOD)	
34 TAKS-M Read (1) TAKS-M Reading: % Meeting Standard	35 TAKS-M Read (2) TAKS-M Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
36 TAKS-M Read (3) TAKS-M Reading: Performance by Objective	37 TAKS-M Read (4) TAKS-M Reading: Percentage Commended
38 TAKS-M Math (1) TAKS-M Math: % Meeting Standard	39 TAKS-M Math (2) TAKS-M Math: # Not Meeting Standard
40 TAKS-M Math (3) TAKS-M Math: Performance by Objective	41 TAKS-M Math (4) TAKS-M Math: Percentage Commended
42 TAKS-M Write (1) TAKS-M Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	43 TAKS-M Write (2)TAKS-M Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
44 TAKS-M Write (3) TAKS-M Writing: Performance by Objective	45 TAKS-M Write (4)TAKS-M Writing: Percentage Commended
ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS	
46 Compliance SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	47 ITBS Read (1)ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-ile
48 ITBS Read (2) ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-ile	49 ITBS Math (1) ITBS Math Total: % At or Above the 40th %-ile
50 ITBS Math (2) ITBS Math Total: # At or Above the 80th %-ile	51 ITBS Math (3) ITBS Math Computation: % At or Above the 40th %-ile
52 ITBS Math (4) ITBS Math Computation: # At or Above the 80th %-ile	53 Logramos Read (1) Logramos Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-ile
54 Logramos Read (2) Logramos Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-ile	
MEASURES OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
55 WMLS Woodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	56 TELPAS TX English Language Proficiency Assessment System

2009-10 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2009-10. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2008-09 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2008-09 and 2009-10.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

TAKS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- TAKS statistics include both TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated results.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2011, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

TAKS-M (Modified)

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading and mathematics results for all three years are for the Language subtest and Mathematics subtest. All other grades' results reflect Reading Total or Mathematics Total performance. (The Reading and Mathematics Total summaries are not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergartners.) Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The "number tested" statistics include kindergartners.
- NEED notes for Math COMPUTATION pages.

TELPAS

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2009 to 2010, the TEA did not report the 2009 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2010, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2009.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment				
PK	64				
KN	72				
1	68				
2	70				
3	58				
4	64				
5	62				
ALL	458				

STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stud	lents	Teachers				
Ethnicity	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage			
African American	207	45.2	13	44.8			
American Indian	2	0.4	*	*			
Asian	0	0.0	*	*			
Hispanic	244	53.3	12	41.4			
White	5	1.1	4	13.8			
Other	**	**	0	0.0			

^{*}Included in category "Other."

STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percentage
Economically disadvantaged students	452	98.7
Limited English proficient students	176	38.4
Special education students	31	6.8

ROGER Q. MILLS (186)

^{**}All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

		African A	American	America	n Indian	As	ian	Hisp	anic	White		
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2008	52	25	48.1	1	1.9	0	0.0	26	50.0	0	0.0
PK	2009	52	16	30.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	36	69.2	0	0.0
	2010	64	25	39.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	38	59.4	1	1.6
	2008	55	21	38.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	34	61.8	0	0.0
KN	2009	48	23	47.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	25	52.1	0	0.0
	2010	72	27	37.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	43	59.7	2	2.8
	2008	65	31	47.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	34	52.3	0	0.0
1	2009	72	32	44.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	40	55.6	0	0.0
	2010	68	33	48.5	2	2.9	0	0.0	32	47.1	1	1.5
	2008	60	30	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	30	50.0	0	0.0
2	2009	50	25	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	25	50.0	0	0.0
	2010	70	31	44.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	39	55.7	0	0.0
	2008	63	21	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	42	66.7	0	0.0
3	2009	63	31	49.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	30	47.6	2	3.2
	2010	58	32	55.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	26	44.8	0	0.0
	2008	49	22	44.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	27	55.1	0	0.0
4	2009	58	23	39.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	34	58.6	1	1.7
	2010	64	29	45.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	34	53.1	1	1.6
	2008	60	33	55.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	27	45.0	0	0.0
5	2009	39	17	43.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	21	53.8	1	2.6
	2010	62	30	48.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	32	51.6	0	0.0
	2008	408	186	45.6	1	0.2	0	0.0	221	54.2	0	0.0
PK-5	2009	388	171	44.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	213	54.9	4	1.0
	2010	458	207	45.2	2	0.4	0	0.0	244	53.3	5	1.1

			Econor Disadva	mically antaged	LE	EP .	Special E	ducation	TA	\G	New (to District)		Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2008	52	52	100.0	22	42.3	3	5.8	0	0.0	52	100.0	0.0
PK	2009	52	52	100.0	31	59.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	52	100.0	0.0
	2010	64	64	100.0	28	43.8	2	3.1	0	0.0	64	100.0	0.0
	2008	55	55	100.0	25	45.5	0	0.0	2	3.6	14	25.5	3.6
KN	2009	48	47	97.9	23	47.9	2	4.2	0	0.0	12	25.0	0.0
	2010	72	71	98.6	32	44.4	0	0.0	3	4.2	26	36.1	1.4
	2008	65	64	98.5	28	43.1	3	4.6	0	0.0	12	18.5	7.7
1	2009	72	71	98.6	30	41.7	4	5.6	3	4.2	4	5.6	15.3
	2010	68	68	100.0	27	39.7	4	5.9	7	10.3	7	10.3	5.9
	2008	60	57	95.0	25	41.7	6	10.0	2	3.3	6	10.0	3.3
2	2009	50	49	98.0	21	42.0	3	6.0	0	0.0	1	2.0	10.0
	2010	70	68	97.1	29	41.4	3	4.3	3	4.3	5	7.1	8.6
	2008	63	60	95.2	36	57.1	5	7.9	3	4.8	7	11.1	12.7
3	2009	63	59	93.7	24	38.1	7	11.1	3	4.8	8	12.7	6.3
	2010	58	58	100.0	21	36.2	5	8.6	2	3.4	6	10.3	8.6
	2008	49	47	95.9	12	24.5	6	12.2	4	8.2	5	10.2	0.0
4	2009	58	56	96.6	23	39.7	6	10.3	4	6.9	4	6.9	8.6
	2010	64	63	98.4	26	40.6	7	10.9	6	9.4	7	10.9	1.6
	2008	60	56	93.3	13	21.7	6	10.0	6	10.0	9	15.0	1.7
5	2009	39	39	100.0	7	17.9	6	15.4	5	12.8	2	5.1	2.6
	2010	62	60	96.8	13	21.0	10	16.1	8	12.9	3	4.8	1.6
	2008	408	395	96.8	161	39.5	33	8.1	17	4.2	106	26.0	5.4
PK-5	2009	388	379	97.7	159	41.0	33	8.5	15	3.9	86	22.2	7.7
	2010	458	452	98.7	176	38.4	31	6.8	29	6.3	118	25.8	3.9

ROGER Q. MILLS (186)

Grade	Year	_	ge Daily ship (N)	Д	verage Daily	Attendance		Yea	arly Transactio	ns	Continuously Enrolled (N)		Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	District	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	District (N)	District (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	District (%)	Campus	District	Campus	District
	2008	51.6	13,568.1	49.7	96.2	13,004.4	95.8	14	27.1	23.5	44	11,618	85.2	85.6
KN	2009	51.3	13,515.0	48.9	95.3	12,939.1	95.7	15	29.2	22.5	38	11,501	74.1	85.1
	2010	70.3	13,234.2	67.1	95.5	12,616.4	95.3	25	35.6	21.6	57	11,233	81.1	84.9
	2008	60.6	14,626.9	57.6	95.0	14,102.7	96.4	25	41.3	21.2	47	12,704	77.6	86.9
1	2009	70.5	14,250.8	67.7	96.0	13,719.9	96.3	21	29.8	20.7	54	12,300	76.6	86.3
	2010	65.4	13,848.4	62.5	95.6	13,286.3	95.9	26	39.8	20.2	51	11,978	78.0	86.5
	2008	54.6	13,708.6	52.4	96.1	13,269.8	96.8	19	34.8	19.7	46	12,043	84.3	87.9
2	2009	53.5	13,950.1	51.1	95.5	13,499.0	96.8	11	20.6	18.6	45	12,231	84.1	87.7
	2010	68.5	13,440.8	65.6	95.8	12,947.4	96.3	13	19.0	19.0	59	11,794	86.1	87.7
	2008	63.2	12,806.9	60.6	95.8	12,425.5	97.0	15	23.7	19.1	55	11,408	87.0	89.1
3	2009	61.6	13,095.5	59.0	95.9	12,710.6	97.1	17	27.6	18.3	52	11,634	84.5	88.8
	2010	55.9	13,291.1	53.5	95.7	12,853.9	96.7	19	34.0	17.7	48	11,815	85.8	88.9
	2008	46.0	12,329.8	44.0	95.8	11,960.3	97.0	22	47.9	19.7	37	10,924	80.5	88.6
4	2009	58.0	12,156.4	55.6	95.8	11,789.9	97.0	11	19.0	18.1	48	10,731	82.7	88.3
	2010	63.2	12,299.7	60.8	96.2	11,900.0	96.8	10	15.8	17.2	57	10,987	90.2	89.3
	2008	55.0	11,874.2	52.9	96.3	11,539.6	97.2	26	47.3	18.8	43	10,608	78.2	89.3
5	2009	39.4	11,903.5	38.1	96.8	11,552.9	97.1	9	22.9	17.5	34	10,563	86.3	88.7
	2010	59.8	11,687.8	57.6	96.4	11,310.1	96.8	11	18.4	17.0	52	10,453	86.9	89.4
	2008	359.9	83,438.7	344.5	95.7	80,606.0	96.6	186	51.7	31.9	318	76,970	88.4	92.2
KN-5	2009	365.3	83,476.5	350.1	95.8	80,586.5	96.5	149	40.8	30.9	322	76,517	88.2	91.7
	2010	383.1	77,802.0	367.2	95.9	74,914.0	96.3	104	27.1	18.9	324	68,260	84.6	87.7

Teachers Teacher Statistics

DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS

Ethnicity	Number	Percentage
African American	13	44.8
Hispanic	12	41.4
White	4	13.8
Other	0	0.0

Gender	Number	Percentage
Female	21	72.4
Male	8	27.6

AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES

2008	6.6
2009	7.3
2010	6.5

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		90.0	64.1	70.9		62.5	71.4		66.7	78.1	72.9	86.2	59
3	2009	*	66.7	66.7	64.9	*	71.4	56.7		66.7	69.6	68.3	87.1	41
	2010		56.3	83.3	60.5	*	*	54.2		37.5	77.3	60.5	85.4	38
	2008		31.6	60.9	47.5		44.4	26.1		41.7	55.6	47.6	72.1	42
4	2009	*	85.0	65.5	72.9	*	50.0	64.7		61.9	82.8	74.0	75.5	50
	2010	*	40.0	45.5	42.9		*	21.1		27.8	57.9	43.2	77.9	37
	2008		70.0	73.9	70.0		50.0	57.1		69.2	74.1	71.7	82.9	53
5	2009	*	85.7	94.4	90.9		*	88.9		89.5	92.9	90.9	82.2	33
	2010		86.7	90.3	87.9	100.0	75.0	81.1		85.7	90.9	88.5	86.1	61
	2008		65.2	65.9	64.1		57.1	55.9		59.7	71.4	65.6	80.3	154
3-5	2009	*	77.0	74.6	75.4	*	58.6	67.1		72.4	80.3	76.6	81.1	124
	2010	*	62.1	79.2	67.9	90.0	64.7	58.8		56.5	78.4	68.4	83.4	136

					NUM BE	R TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5				
2008	0	69	85	145	0	49	93	0	77	77	154	31,840
2009	4	61	59	118	2	29	82	0	58	66	124	28,282
2010	1	87	48	131	10	17	80	0	62	74	136	24,751

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2010-11 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		2	14	16		12	12		9	7	16	1,443	59
3	2009	*	9	4	13	*	2	13		6	7	13	981	41
	2010		14	1	15	*	*	11		10	5	15	1,039	38
	2008		13	9	21		5	17		14	8	22	2,996	42
4	2009	*	3	10	13	*	9	12		8	5	13	2,489	50
	2010	*	15	6	20		*	15		13	8	21	1,640	37
	2008		9	6	15		4	12		8	7	15	1,827	53
5	2009	*	2	1	3		*	2		2	1	3	1,874	33
	2010		4	3	7	0	3	7		4	3	7	1,427	61
	2008		24	29	52		21	41		31	22	53	6,266	154
3-5	2009	*	14	15	29	*	12	27		16	13	29	5,344	124
	2010	*	33	10	42	1	6	33		27	16	43	4,106	136

					NUM BE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5				
2008	0	69	85	145	0	49	93	0	77	77	154	31,840
2009	4	61	59	118	2	29	82	0	58	66	124	28,282
2010	1	87	48	131	10	17	80	0	62	74	136	24,751

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2008	69.4	70.0	72.9	62.3
3	2009	66.7	69.3	60.2	69.5
	2010	65.6	59.0	57.5	62.5
	2008	67.9	54.5	56.5	59.0
4	2009	78.5	71.8	68.9	76.4
	2010	64.3	65.2	69.1	57.6
	2008	70.7	74.3	68.6	71.7
5	2009	76.9	82.2	78.4	73.0
	2010	81.2	82.6	81.8	77.7
	2008	69.4	67.2	66.9	64.6
3-5	2009	74.2	73.7	68.5	73.2
	2010	72.3	71.3	71.5	68.0

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		5.0	10.3	9.1		6.3	4.8		7.4	9.4	8.5	21.5	59
3	2009	*	7.4	8.3	8.1	*	14.3	3.3		11.1	4.3	7.3	34.0	41
	2010		9.4	0.0	7.9	*	*	4.2		6.3	9.1	7.9	37.1	38
	2008		0.0	8.7	5.0		0.0	0.0		4.2	5.6	4.8	14.4	42
4	2009	*	0.0	10.3	6.3	*	0.0	2.9		9.5	3.4	6.0	18.3	50
	2010	*	0.0	0.0	0.0		*	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	19.4	37
	2008		13.3	4.3	10.0		0.0	3.6		7.7	11.1	9.4	16.5	53
5	2009	*	14.3	11.1	12.1		*	5.6		0.0	28.6	12.1	18.3	33
	2010		23.3	16.1	20.7	44.4	8.3	24.3		17.9	21.2	19.7	23.6	61
	2008		7.2	8.2	8.3		4.1	3.2		6.5	9.1	7.8	17.4	154
3-5	2009	*	6.6	10.2	8.5	*	3.4	3.7		6.9	9.1	8.1	22.5	124
	2010	*	11.5	10.4	11.5	40.0	5.9	12.5		9.7	12.2	11.0	26.2	136

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5				
2008	0	69	85	145	0	49	93	0	77	77	154	31,840
2009	4	61	59	118	2	29	82	0	58	66	124	28,282
2010	1	87	48	131	10	17	80	0	62	74	136	24,751

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		33.3	43.6	41.8		40.6	41.9		29.6	48.5	40.0	76.6	60
3	2009	*	34.5	59.3	48.1	*	61.9	40.0		44.4	45.2	44.8	76.8	58
	2010		51.6	76.9	63.2	*	85.7	48.1		54.2	69.7	63.2	78.2	57
	2008		52.6	60.9	57.5		33.3	34.8		54.2	61.1	57.1	77.9	42
4	2009	*	54.5	81.3	69.8	83.3	76.2	64.9		66.7	74.2	70.9	78.9	55
	2010	*	44.0	62.5	54.5		62.5	25.0		48.3	58.6	53.4	81.8	58
	2008		63.3	78.3	69.4		75.0	53.6		61.5	77.8	69.8	84.0	53
5	2009	*	78.6	94.4	84.8	*	*	76.5		84.2	85.7	84.8	86.2	33
	2010		83.3	93.5	87.9	100.0	83.3	80.6		89.7	87.5	88.5	87.7	61
	2008	·	51.4	57.6	55.6		44.9	43.6		48.1	61.5	54.8	79.5	155
3-5	2009	*	50.8	76.6	65.0	87.5	69.6	55.6		62.9	64.5	63.7	80.5	146
	2010	*	60.5	77.5	68.8	91.7	75.4	53.8		64.6	72.3	68.8	82.3	176

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5				
2008	0	0 70 85 144 0 49 94 0 77 78 155 32,69										32,696
2009	4	65	77	140	8	46	99	0	70	76	146	33,885
2010	1	86	89	170	12	57	91	0	82	94	176	34,834

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2010-11 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 67% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		14	22	32		19	25		19	17	36	2,542	60
3	2009	*	19	11	28	*	8	27		15	17	32	2,856	58
	2010		15	6	21	*	3	14		11	10	21	2,739	57
	2008		9	9	17		6	15		11	7	18	2,436	42
4	2009	*	10	6	16	1	5	13		8	8	16	2,263	55
	2010	*	14	12	25		9	21		15	12	27	2,101	58
	2008		11	5	15		2	13		10	6	16	1,730	53
5	2009	*	3	1	5	*	*	4		3	2	5	1,495	33
	2010		5	2	7	0	2	7		3	4	7	1,314	61
	2008		34	36	64		27	53		40	30	70	6,708	155
3-5	2009	*	32	18	49	1	14	44		26	27	53	6,614	146
	2010	*	34	20	53	1	14	42		29	26	55	6,154	176

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5				
2008	0	70	85	144	0	49	94	0	77	78	155	32,696
2009	4	65	77	140	8	46	99	0	70	76	146	33,885
2010	1	86	89	170	12	57	91	0	82	94	176	34,834

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2008	56.0	63.6	69.4	61.4	63.3	45.8
3	2009	61.2	70.1	76.1	53.2	61.6	49.8
	2010	73.0	71.6	76.0	69.3	73.7	55.5
	2008	74.9	74.5	57.5	64.3	66.7	63.4
4	2009	78.2	72.2	73.3	77.9	84.5	61.4
	2010	71.6	58.4	59.8	55.7	64.2	58.4
	2008	72.7	72.5	79.2	69.0	70.3	67.2
5	2009	80.2	79.7	88.3	77.5	72.0	78.0
	2010	83.6	78.5	84.1	78.0	77.5	72.1
	2008	66.8	69.6	69.6	64.8	66.6	57.9
3-5	2009	71.9	73.1	77.8	68.0	72.6	60.5
	2010	76.2	69.6	73.5	67.8	71.9	62.2

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		0.0	5.1	3.6		3.1	2.3		0.0	6.1	3.3	26.3	60
3	2009	*	6.9	11.1	9.3	*	9.5	6.7		7.4	9.7	8.6	28.8	58
	2010		3.2	19.2	10.5	*	23.8	0.0		4.2	15.2	10.5	21.3	57
	2008		10.5	17.4	12.5		0.0	4.3		16.7	11.1	14.3	23.8	42
4	2009	*	9.1	25.0	18.9	16.7	19.0	13.5		25.0	16.1	20.0	31.4	55
	2010	*	0.0	9.4	5.5		12.5	0.0		0.0	10.3	5.2	29.1	58
	2008		13.3	26.1	16.3		0.0	0.0		19.2	18.5	18.9	31.9	53
5	2009	*	21.4	44.4	33.3	*	*	17.6		26.3	42.9	33.3	37.9	33
	2010		13.3	48.4	31.0	30.0	41.7	19.4		31.0	31.3	31.1	34.5	61
	2008		8.6	14.1	10.4		2.0	2.1		11.7	11.5	11.6	27.3	155
3-5	2009	*	10.8	24.7	18.6	25.0	15.2	11.1		18.6	18.4	18.5	32.5	146
	2010	*	5.8	25.8	15.9	25.0	22.8	7.7		12.2	19.1	15.9	27.9	176

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5				
2008	0	70	85	144	0	49	94	0	77	78	155	32,696
2009	4	65	77	140	8	46	99	0	70	76	146	33,885
2010	1	86	89	170	12	57	91	0	82	94	176	34,834

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		72.2	82.6	79.5		66.7	69.6		75.0	82.4	78.0	87.4	41
4	2009	*	80.0	82.1	80.9		72.2	79.4		71.4	89.3	81.6	87.4	49
	2010	*	88.0	81.8	85.7		*	84.2		72.2	100.0	86.5	89.8	37

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2008	0	18	23	39	0	9	23	0	24	17	41	10,658
2009	1	20	28	47	0	18	34	0	21	28	49	10,032
2010	1	25	11	35	0	4	19	0	18	19	37	7,294

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2011 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		5	4	8		3	7		6	3	9	1,348	41
4	2009	*	4	5	9		5	7		6	3	9	1,264	49
	2010	*	3	2	5		*	3		5	0	5	744	37

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2008	0	18	23	39	0	9	23	0	24	17	41	10,658
2009	1	20	28	47	0	18	34	0	21	28	49	10,032
2010	1	25	11	35	0	4	19	0	18	19	37	7,294

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2008	2.0	75.6	77.7	83.5	82.3
4	2009	1.9	81.6	74.5	79.1	84.9
	2010	1.9	68.9	66.9	81.4	70.6

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		11.1	17.4	12.8		0.0	4.3		8.3	23.5	14.6	21.4	41
4	2009	*	10.0	3.6	6.4		0.0	5.9		0.0	10.7	6.1	21.0	49
	2010	*	4.0	9.1	5.7		*	0.0		5.6	5.3	5.4	23.8	37

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2008	0	18	23	39	0	9	23	0	24	17	41	10,658
2009	1	20	28	47	0	18	34	0	21	28	49	10,032
2010	1	25	11	35	0	4	19	0	18	19	37	7,294

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		26.7	47.8	34.0		12.5	21.4		46.2	25.9	35.8	71.9	53
5	2009	*	81.3	84.2	83.3	*	*	75.0		85.7	80.0	83.3	75.1	36
	2010		75.9	93.5	84.5	90.0	83.3	77.1		86.2	84.4	85.2	81.4	61

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 5				
2008	0	30	23	50	0	8	28	0	26	27	53	10,686
2009	1	16	19	36	4	5	20	0	21	15	36	10,675
2010	0	29	31	58	10	12	35	0	29	32	61	10,576

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 55 percent, the 2011 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		22	12	33		7	22		14	20	34	3,005	53
5	2009	*	3	3	6	*	*	5		3	3	6	2,656	36
	2010		7	2	9	1	2	8		4	5	9	1,965	61

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 5				
2008	0	30	23	50	0	8	28	0	26	27	53	10,686
2009	1	16	19	36	4	5	20	0	21	15	36	10,675
2010	0	29	31	58	10	12	35	0	29	32	61	10,576

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Life Sciences	Physical Sciences	Earth Sciences
	2008	71.3	71.1	71.1	52.4
5	2009	85.0	86.7	79.9	72.8
	2010	82.2	86.7	80.5	66.7

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		6.7	4.3	6.0		0.0	0.0		11.5	0.0	5.7	24.8	53
5	2009	*	12.5	15.8	16.7	*	*	10.0		19.0	13.3	16.7	28.7	36
	2010		6.9	19.4	13.8	10.0	16.7	8.6		13.8	12.5	13.1	32.8	61

					NUM E	BER TESTI	D IN GRA	DES 5				
2008	0	30	23	50	0	8	28	0	26	27	53	10,686
2009	1	16	19	36	4	5	20	0	21	15	36	10,675
2010	0	29	31	58	10	12	35	0	29	32	61	10,576

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АШ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008												85.4	0
3	2009			86.7	86.7		85.7	86.7		75.0	100.0	86.7	89.4	15
	2010			100.0	100.0	*	100.0	*		100.0	100.0	100.0	84.5	20
	2008												67.0	0
4	2009			*	*		*	*			*	*	72.7	1
	2010			71.4	70.0		75.0	44.4		63.6	80.0	71.4	84.4	21
	2008													0
ALL	2009			87.5	87.5		86.7	87.5		75.0	100.0	87.5		16
	2010			85.4	85.0	*	87.5	58.3		80.0	90.5	85.4		41

					NUM BE	R TESTED	IN GRAD	ES ALL				
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2009	0	0	16	16	0	15	16	0	8	8	16	
2010	0	0	41	40	1	40	12	0	20	21	41	

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2010-11 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008												239	0
3	2009			2	2		2	2		2	0	2	514	15
	2010			0	0	*	0	*		0	0	0	863	20
	2008												215	0
4	2009			*	*		*	*			*	*	268	1
	2010			6	6		5	5		4	2	6	651	21
	2008													0
ALL	2009			2	2		2	2	·	2	0	2		16
	2010			6	6	*	5	5	·	4	2	6		41

					NUM BE	R TESTED	IN GRAD	ES ALL				
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2009	0	0	16	16	0	15	16	0	8	8	16	
2010	0	0	41	40	1	40	12	0	20	21	41	

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Know ledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2008				
3	2009	76.0	83.8	76.7	73.3
	2010	86.0	94.3	79.2	86.9
	2008				
4	2009	*	*	*	*
	2010	68.9	76.8	74.8	65.2
	2008				
ALL	2009	75.8	84.0	78.1	74.4
	2010	77.2	85.3	76.9	75.8

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008												17.2	0
3	2009			26.7	26.7		28.6	26.7		12.5	42.9	26.7	30.4	15
	2010			55.0	55.0	*	55.0	*		44.4	63.6	55.0	39.6	20
	2008												13.5	0
4	2009			*	*		*	*			*	*	19.9	1
	2010			19.0	20.0		20.0	0.0		9.1	30.0	19.0	34.4	21
	2008													0
ALL	2009	·		25.0	25.0		26.7	25.0	·	12.5	37.5	25.0		16
	2010	·		36.6	37.5	*	37.5	0.0	·	25.0	47.6	36.6		41

					NUM BE	R TESTED	IN ALL C	RADES				
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2009	0	0	16	16	0	15	16	0	8	8	16	
2010	0	0	41	40	1	40	12	0	20	21	41	

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008												81.9	0
4	2009												87.1	0
	2010			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	93.7	21

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	667
2009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	981
2010	0	0	21	20	0	20	9	0	11	10	21	4,216

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2011 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Spec Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008												121	0
4	2009												127	0
	2010			0	0		0	0		0	0	0	266	21

		NUM BER TESTED IN GRADE 4											
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	667	
2009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	981	
2010	0	0	21	20	0	20	9	0	11	10	21	4,216	

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition ¹ : Effectiveness / Command of Conventions	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2008					
4	2009					
	2010	2.2	76.2	82.7	81.5	81.5

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008												14.2	0
4	2009												20.4	0
	2010			9.5	10.0		5.0	22.2		9.1	10.0	9.5	30.4	21

		NUM BER TESTED IN GRADE 4											
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	667	
2009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	981	
2010	0	0	21	20	0	20	9	0	11	10	21	4,216	

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	77.7	5
3	2009		*	*	83.3	83.3	*	83.3		*	*	83.3	87.7	6
	2010		*		*	*		*		*		*	81.1	3
	2008		*	*	66.7	66.7	*	*		*	*	66.7	70.7	6
4	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	71.8	5
	2010		*	*	100.0	100.0	*	*		*	*	100.0	75.8	6
	2008		*	*	66.7	57.1	*	*		50.0	*	57.1	73.3	7
5	2009		*	*	66.7	66.7	*	*		*	*	66.7	80.3	6
	2010		*		*	*				*		*	93.9	1
	2008		50.0	50.0	52.9	50.0	50.0	33.3		53.3	*	50.0	73.7	18
3-5	2009		77.8	87.5	82.4	82.4	87.5	78.6		81.8	83.3	82.4	79.2	17
	2010		85.7	*	90.0	90.0	*	*		88.9	*	90.0	84.8	10

		NUM BER TESTED IN GRADES 3-5											
2008	0	12	6	17	18	6	12	0	15	3	18	1,807	
2009	0	9	8	17	17	8	14	0	11	6	17	1,867	
2010	0	7	3	10	10	3	5	0	9	1	10	1,749	

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	116	5
3	2009		*	*	1	1	*	1		*	*	1	60	6
	2010		*		*	*		*		*		*	96	3
	2008		*	*	2	2	*	*		*	*	2	181	6
4	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	192	5
	2010		*	*	0	0	*	*		*	*	0	126	6
	2008		*	*	2	3	*	*		3	*	3	179	7
5	2009		*	*	2	2	*	*		*	*	2	137	6
	2010		*		*	*				*		*	44	1
	2008		6	3	8	9	3	8		7	*	9	476	18
3-5	2009		2	1	3	3	1	3		2	1	3	389	17
	2010		1	*	1	1	*	*		1	*	1	266	10

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-5											
2008	0	12	6	17	18	6	12	0	15	3	18	1,807	
2009	0	9	8	17	17	8	14	0	11	6	17	1,867	
2010	0	7	3	10	10	3	5	0	9	1	10	1,749	

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2008	*	*	*	*
3	2009	56.4	55.6	60.0	63.9
	2010	*	*	*	*
	2008	50.0	41.7	47.2	60.4
4	2009	*	*	*	*
	2010	86.1	80.6	86.1	75.0
	2008	53.2	61.9	66.7	57.1
5	2009	69.7	63.9	69.4	69.7
	2010	*	*	*	*
	2008	46.3	50.9	52.8	53.5
3-5	2009	71.5	62.7	66.3	72.1
	2010	70.1	75.0	76.0	67.3

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	10.8	5
3	2009		*	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		*	*	0.0	16.2	6
	2010		*		*	*		*		*		*	19.1	3
	2008		*	*	0.0	0.0	*	*		*	*	0.0	9.7	6
4	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	8.9	5
	2010		*	*	50.0	50.0	*	*		*	*	50.0	15.2	6
	2008		*	*	0.0	0.0	*	*		0.0	*	0.0	14.9	7
5	2009		*	*	16.7	16.7	*	*		*	*	16.7	14.1	6
	2010		*		*	*				*		*	15.4	1
	2008		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	12.0	18
3-5	2009		11.1	37.5	23.5	23.5	37.5	21.4		9.1	50.0	23.5	12.7	17
	2010		14.3	*	30.0	30.0	*	*		33.3	*	30.0	16.4	10

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2008	0	12	6	17	18	6	12	0	15	3	18	1,807
2009	0	9	8	17	17	8	14	0	11	6	17	1,867
2010	0	7	3	10	10	3	5	0	9	1	10	1,749

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	76.1	5
3	2009		*	*	66.7	66.7	*	66.7		*	*	66.7	81.2	6
	2010		*		*	*		*		*		*	78.3	3
	2008		*	*	33.3	33.3	*	*		*	0.0	33.3	69.1	6
4	2009												75.8	0
	2010		*	*	85.7	85.7	*	*		*	*	85.7	80.6	7
	2008		*	*	*	66.7	*	*		*	*	66.7	64.0	6
5	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	80.2	5
	2010												86.4	0
	2008		54.5	50.0	56.3	52.9	50.0	58.3		50.0	*	52.9	69.4	17
3-5	2009	·	50.0	*	63.6	63.6	*	63.6		71.4	*	63.6	78.8	11
	2010		85.7	*	90.0	90.0	*	*		100.0	*	90.0	82.4	10

					NUM BE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5				
2008	0	11	6	16	17	6	12	0	14	3	17	1,730
2009	0	6	5	11	11	5	11	0	7	4	11	1,743
2010	0	7	3	10	10	3	5	0	8	2	10	1,615

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	129	5
3	2009		*	*	2	2	*	2		*	*	2	85	6
	2010		*		*	*		*		*		*	102	3
	2008		*	*	4	4	*	*		*	*	4	173	6
4	2009												157	0
	2010		*	*	1	1	*	*		*	*	1	92	7
	2008		*	*	*	2	*	*		*	*	2	227	6
5	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	127	5
	2010												91	0
	2008		5	3	7	8	3	5		7	*	8	529	17
3-5	2009		3	*	4	4	*	4		2	*	4	369	11
	2010		1	*	1	1	*	*		0	*	1	285	10

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2008	0	11	6	16	17	6	12	0	14	3	17	1,730
2009	0	6	5	11	11	5	11	0	7	4	11	1,743
2010	0	7	3	10	10	3	5	0	8	2	10	1,615

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	2009	64.6	50.0	63.3	60.0	55.6	16.7
	2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2008	35.2	44.4	36.7	46.7	22.2	47.2
4	2009						
	2010	81.0	85.7	80.0	82.9	100.0	73.8
	2008	59.3	41.7	63.9	50.0	61.1	60.0
5	2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2010						
	2008	49.5	50.4	50.8	49.4	37.3	47.6
3-5	2009	63.5	56.1	66.4	52.4	66.7	38.2
	2010	74.2	82.0	76.0	66.0	86.7	66.7

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	16.1	5
3	2009		*	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		*	*	0.0	22.3	6
	2010		*		*	*		*		*		*	13.4	3
	2008		*	*	0.0	0.0	*	*		*	*	0.0	14.3	6
4	2009												14.0	0
	2010		*	*	85.7	85.7	*	*		*	*	85.7	20.2	7
	2008		*	*	*	16.7	*	*		*	*	16.7	14.0	6
5	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	17.2	5
	2010												18.9	0
	2008		0.0	16.7	6.3	5.9	16.7	8.3		0.0	*	5.9	14.7	17
3-5	2009		16.7	*	18.2	18.2	*	18.2		14.3	*	18.2	17.3	11
	2010		42.9	*	60.0	60.0	*	*		62.5	*	60.0	17.7	10

					NUM BE	R TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5				
2008	0	11	6	16	17	6	12	0	14	3	17	1,730
2009	0	6	5	11	11	5	11	0	7	4	11	1,743
2010	0	7	3	10	10	3	5	0	8	2	10	1,615

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008													0
4	2009		*	*	100.0	100.0	*	*		*	*	100.0	65.0	6
	2010		*	*	71.4	71.4	*	*		*	*	71.4	73.4	7

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009	0	3	3	6	6	3	4	0	4	2	6	694
2010	0	4	3	7	7	3	4	0	5	2	7	531

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008													0
4	2009		*	*	0	0	*	*		*	*	0	243	6
	2010		*	*	2	2	*	*		*	*	2	141	7

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009	0	3	3	6	6	3	4	0	4	2	6	694
2010	0	4	3	7	7	3	4	0	5	2	7	531

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2008					
4	2009	1.5	72.2	69.0	78.6	85.7
	2010	1.1	28.6	34.7	49.0	57.1

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008													0
4	2009		*	*	0.0	0.0	*	*		*	*	0.0	0.0	6
	2010		*	*	0.0	0.0	*	*		*	*	0.0	7.9	7

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2009	0	3	3	6	6	3	4	0	4	2	6	694
2010	0	4	3	7	7	3	4	0	5	2	7	531

MATHEMATICS TOTAL (**MATHEMATICS)

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the ITBS

			READIN	G TOTAL (**LANGUAGE	≣)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	92.9	89.7	57.6	29
K**	2009	7.7	27.3	16.7	41.9	24
	2010	*	9.1	8.3	40.0	36
	2008	*	33.3	32.4	50.2	34
1	2009	29.4	58.3	46.3	54.2	41
	2010	44.4	76.2	61.5	55.2	39
	2008	28.6	46.2	35.3	50.2	34
2	2009	19.0	66.7	33.3	47.9	30
	2010	11.1	*	12.5	48.6	40
	2008	27.3	37.0	33.8	50.2	68
1-2	2009	23.7	60.6	40.8	51.0	71
	2010	22.2	68.0	36.7	51.9	79

Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	77.3	75.0	75.9	44.9	54
K**	2009	63.9	64.3	64.0	52.8	50
	2010	41.7	33.3	37.5	51.2	72
	2008	55.6	30.6	41.3	55.5	63
1	2009	17.6	16.7	17.1	50.4	41
	2010	48.9	55.0	50.7	48.8	67
	2008	52.4	64.3	55.4	57.5	56
2	2009	28.6	44.4	33.3	53.2	30
	2010	37.9	*	36.2	54.6	69
	2008	59.3	53.7	56.6	52.7	173
K-2	2009	43.2	36.2	40.5	52.3	121
	2010	42.3	39.0	41.3	51.5	208
	·					·

	2008	23	74	97	21,817	
Number Tested	2009	51	44	95	21,148	
100100	2010	57	58	115	20,804	

	2008	91	82	173	41,070	
Number Tested	2009	74	47	121	30,563	
100100	2010	149	59	208	40,163	

Tested

3

91

21

113

2010

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		95.0	77.8	89.7		*	*		92.9	86.7	89.7	57.6	29
K**	2009		18.2	*	17.4	*		7.7		20.0	11.1	16.7	41.9	24
	2010	*	11.1	0.0	8.3			*		0.0	20.0	8.3	40.0	36
	2008		33.3	28.6	33.3	*	*	*		15.4	42.9	32.4	50.2	34
1	2009		43.8	55.6	46.2	*	*	29.4		25.0	66.7	46.3	54.2	41
	2010	*	57.6	*	61.5	*	*	44.4		60.0	64.3	61.5	55.2	39
	2008		29.6	57.1	34.4	*	*	28.6		45.0	21.4	35.3	50.2	34
2	2009		36.0	*	34.5	*	*	19.0		36.4	31.6	33.3	47.9	30
	2010		12.9	11.1	13.2	*	*	11.1		9.1	16.7	12.5	48.6	40
	2008		31.5	42.9	33.8	*	*	27.3		33.3	34.3	33.8	50.2	68
1-2	2009		40.4	42.9	41.2	*	*	23.7		29.0	50.0	40.8	51.0	71
	2010	*	35.9	35.7	37.7	*	*	22.2		36.2	37.5	36.7	51.9	79
	2008	0	74	23	94	4	5	23	0	47	50	97	21,817	
Number Tested	2009	0	79	16	91	6	3	51	0	46	49	95	21,148	

57

0

115

20,804

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		7	3	10		*	*		5	5	10	1,687	29
K**	2009		1	*	1	*		0		1	0	1	771	24
	2010	*	0	0	0			*		0	0	0	758	36
	2008		2	0	2	*	*	*		0	2	2	1,555	34
1	2009		2	1	3	*	*	1		2	1	3	1,659	41
	2010	*	8	*	10	*	*	3		8	2	10	1,729	39
	2008		0	1	1	*	*	0		0	1	1	1,065	34
2	2009		0	*	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	1,027	30
	2010		0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	954	40
	2008		2	1	3	*	*	0		0	3	3	2,620	68
1-2	2009		2	1	3	*	*	1		2	1	3	2,688	71
	2010	*	8	2	10	*	*	3		8	2	10	2,683	79

	2008	0	74	23	94	4	5	23	0	47	50	97	21,817
Number Tested	2009	0	79	16	91	6	3	51	0	46	49	95	21,148
restea	2010	3	91	21	113	4	2	57	0	68	47	115	20,804

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		68.4	80.0	75.9		76.0	77.3		73.9	77.4	75.9	44.9	54
K**	2009		54.2	73.1	65.3	*	78.3	63.9		71.4	54.5	64.0	52.8	50
	2010	*	29.6	44.2	38.0		40.6	41.7		27.8	47.2	37.5	51.2	72
	2008		26.7	54.5	42.6	*	59.3	55.6		16.0	57.9	41.3	55.5	63
1	2009		15.6	22.2	17.9	*	*	17.6		15.0	19.0	17.1	50.4	41
	2010		41.2	60.6	50.7	*	63.0	48.9		48.6	53.3	50.7	48.8	67
	2008		56.0	54.8	56.6	*	52.0	52.4		56.3	54.2	55.4	57.5	56
2	2009		32.0	*	34.5	*	*	28.6		36.4	31.6	33.3	53.2	30
	2010		16.7	51.3	37.3	*	62.1	37.9		27.6	42.5	36.2	54.6	69
	2008		47.3	63.6	57.7	37.5	62.3	59.3		48.8	63.4	56.6	52.7	173
K-2	2009		32.1	57.5	41.9	71.4	69.2	43.2		45.8	35.5	40.5	52.3	121
	2010	*	29.7	51.3	42.0	42.9	54.5	42.3		35.3	47.2	41.3	51.5	208
						_								

	2008	0	74	99	168	8	77	91	0	80	93	173	41,070
Number Tested	2009	0	81	40	117	7	26	74	0	59	62	121	30,563
rested	2010	2	91	115	205	7	88	149	0	102	106	208	40,163

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		8	17	25		13	11		7	18	25	2,788	54
K**	2009		2	8	10	*	8	8		5	5	10	2,455	50
	2010	*	1	3	4		3	3		1	3	4	2,358	72
	2008		1	6	7	*	5	5		1	6	7	2,800	63
1	2009		1	0	1	*	*	1		1	0	1	1,577	41
	2010		3	7	10	*	7	8		7	3	10	2,266	67
	2008		6	6	12	*	3	6		7	5	12	2,912	56
2	2009		1	*	1	*	*	1		1	0	1	1,686	30
	2010		1	3	4	*	3	4		1	3	4	2,484	69
	2008		15	29	44	1	21	22		15	29	44	8,500	173
K-2	2009		4	8	12	4	8	10		7	5	12	5,718	121
	2010	*	5	13	18	1	13	15		9	9	18	7,108	208

	2008	0	74	99	168	8	77	91	0	80	93	173	41,070
Number Tested	2009	0	81	40	117	7	26	74	0	59	62	121	30,563
rested	2010	2	91	115	205	7	88	149	0	102	106	208	40,163

ITBS Math (3) ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		34.5	75.8	56.7	*	81.5	77.8		45.8	63.2	56.5	65.7	62
1	2009		40.0	70.3	55.4	*	77.8	74.1		44.8	65.8	54.9	66.0	71
	2010		35.3	75.8	55.2	*	85.2	61.7		54.1	56.7	55.2	63.5	67
	2008		56.0	77.4	69.8	*	76.0	66.7		71.9	62.5	67.9	64.0	56
2	2009		33.3	66.7	51.1	*	70.0	52.0		55.6	46.7	49.0	60.9	49
	2010		33.3	38.5	37.3	*	44.8	37.9		41.4	32.5	36.2	61.4	69
	2008		44.4	76.6	62.8	28.6	78.8	71.0	·	60.7	62.9	61.9	64.9	118
1-2	2009		37.0	68.9	53.6	*	74.5	63.5		48.9	57.4	52.5	63.5	120
	2010		34.4	55.6	46.3	57.1	64.3	47.8		48.5	42.9	45.6	62.5	136

	2008	0	54	64	113	7	52	69	0	56	62	118	27,631
Number Tested	2009	0	54	61	112	2	47	52	0	47	68	120	27,480
Toolog	2010	0	64	72	134	7	56	113	0	66	70	136	26,937

ITBS Math (4) ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		6	15	21	*	13	12		5	16	21	4,546	62
1	2009		3	12	15	*	11	11		7	8	15	4,597	71
	2010		9	13	22	*	13	17		12	10	22	4,129	67
	2008		3	7	10	*	5	7		6	4	10	2,557	56
2	2009		2	8	10	*	8	7		4	6	10	3,822	49
	2010		3	4	7	*	4	7		3	4	7	3,776	69
	2008		9	22	31	0	18	19		11	20	31	7,103	118
1-2	2009	·	5	20	25	*	19	18		11	14	25	8,419	120
	2010		12	17	29	3	17	24		15	14	29	7,905	136

	2008	0	54	64	113	7	52	69	0	56	62	118	27,631
Number Tested	2009	0	54	61	112	2	47	52	0	47	68	120	27,480
Toolog	2010	0	64	72	134	7	56	113	0	66	70	136	26,937

Logramos Read (1) Logramos: READING TOTAL

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	87.0	26
K	2009			100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	88.6	24
	2010			94.4	94.3		93.8	93.9		86.7	100.0	94.4	89.3	36
	2008			85.2	84.6	*	85.2	84.6		72.7	93.8	85.2	88.0	27
1	2009			86.7	86.7	*	85.2	89.3		83.3	88.9	86.7	88.6	30
	2010			92.9	92.9	*	92.3	92.9		92.3	93.3	92.9	89.1	28
	2008			87.5	91.3	*	86.4	87.0		76.9	100.0	87.5	93.4	24
2	2009			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	94.0	20
	2010			96.7	96.7	*	96.4	96.7		100.0	95.5	96.7	93.8	30
	2008			90.9	92.0	*	90.4	90.0		81.8	97.7	90.9	89.3	77
K-2	2009			94.6	94.6	*	94.3	95.7		93.5	95.3	94.6	90.4	74
	2010			94.7	94.6	*	94.2	94.5		91.7	96.6	94.7	90.7	94
	2008	0	0	77	75	3	73	70	0	33	44	77	18,257	
Number Tested	2009	0	0	74	74	3	70	70	0	31	43	74	19,076	
	2010	0	0	94	93	3	86	91	0	36	58	94	19,041	

Logramos Read (2)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008			23	23		23	20		7	16	23	3,280	26
K	2009			21	21	*	20	20		12	9	21	3,525	24
	2010			26	25		23	23		9	17	26	3,523	36
	2008			11	11	*	11	10		4	7	11	2,412	27
1	2009			11	11	*	11	11		3	8	11	2,523	30
	2010			16	16	*	16	16		5	11	16	2,529	28
	2008			8	8	*	7	7		2	6	8	2,596	24
2	2009			18	18		18	18		6	12	18	2,909	20
	2010			27	27	*	25	27		7	20	27	3,040	30
	2008			42	42	*	41	37		13	29	42	8,288	77
K-2	2009			50	50	*	49	49		21	29	50	8,957	74
	2010			69	68	*	64	66		21	48	69	9,092	94
l	2008	0	0	77	75	3	73	70	0	33	44	77	18,257	
Number Tested	2009	0	0	74	74	3	70	70	0	31	43	74	19,076	
	2010	0	0	94	93	3	86	91	0	36	58	94	19,041	

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1	in 2009	Level 2	in 2009	Level 3	in 2009	Levels 1	·3 in 2009
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2010						
5	0	-	2	100.0	0	-	2	100.0
ALL	0	-	2	100.0	0	-	2	100.0

PERFORMANCE IN 2010

Grade Domain: Listening **Speaking** Writing Reading Composite (N Rated) 2010 Level Ν % Ν % % % Ν % Ν Ν Beginning 23 71.9 23 71.9 32 100.0 100.0 32 100.0 KN Intermediate 28.1 28.1 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 Advanced 0 (32)0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 Advanced High 92.6 14.8 40.7 25 15 55.6 Beginning 4 11 15 55.6 1 12 44.4 40.7 3.7 37.0 10 37.0 Intermediate 11 1 10 Advanced 10 37.0 3 11.1 1 3.7 2 7.4 2 7.4 (27)3.7 2 7.4 Advanced High 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 2 Beginning 6.7 16.7 5 16.7 2 6.7 6.7 2 Intermediate 10 33.3 26.7 17 56.7 8 26.7 8 26.7 12 14 46.7 13 43.3 6 20.0 12 40.0 40.0 Advanced (30)Advanced High 13.3 4 13.3 2 6.7 26.7 8 26.7 0.0 0 9.5 2 Beginning 1 4.8 0.0 9.5 3 9 4 Intermediate 42.9 11 52.4 10 47.6 19.0 19.0 6 28.6 6 38.1 9 42.9 Advanced 38.1 28.6 (21)6 Advanced High 6 28.6 4.8 5 23.8 33.3 28.6 Beginning 11.5 4 15.4 12 46.2 15.4 4 15.4 4 Intermediate 16 61.5 12 46.2 8 30.8 9 34.6 10 38.5 Advanced 11.5 30.8 15.4 11 42.3 10 38.5 (26)15.4 7.7 7.7 7.7 2 7.7 Advanced High

PROGRESSION FROM 2009 TO 2010

Number Rated Both Years			2009	Level	
N (%) Progressed	2010 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High
	Beginning		-	-	
	Intermediate	1		-	
_	Advanced	1	-	-	-
	Advanced High	-	-	-	-
	Beginning		1	4	
26	Intermediate	10		0	
12 (46.2%)	Advanced	2	0	()
	Advanced High	0	0	()
	Beginning			1	
27	Intermediate	5		1	
24 (88.9%)	Advanced	6	5	,	1
	Advanced High	3	5	()
	Beginning		:	2	
20	Intermediate	0		4	
11 (55.0%)	Advanced	0	5	;	3
	Advanced High	0	0	(6
	Beginning			4	
<u> </u>	Intermediate	2		8	
5 (19.2%)	Advanced	0	1	(9
	Advanced High	0	0	2	2

PERFORMANCE IN 2010

Grade	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	iting	Rea	ding	Com	posite
(N Rated)	2010 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	2	15.4	1	7.7	6	46.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
5	Intermediate	10	76.9	11	84.6	5	38.5	5	38.5	5	38.5
(13)	Advanced	0	0.0	1	7.7	1	7.7	3	23.1	6	46.2
	Advanced High	1	7.7	0	0.0	1	7.7	5	38.5	2	15.4
	Beginning	34	22.8	45	30.2	80	53.7	55	36.9	55	36.9
ALL	Intermediate	66	44.3	62	41.6	41	27.5	36	24.2	37	24.8
(149)	Advanced	33	22.1	33	22.1	18	12.1	36	24.2	39	26.2
	Advanced High	16	10.7	9	6.0	10	6.7	22	14.8	18	12.1

PROGRESSION FROM 2009 TO 2010

Number Rated Both Years		2009 Level				
N (%) Progressed	2010 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High	
	Beginning		0			
13	Intermediate	1	4			
4 (30.8%)	Advanced	0	1	5		
	Advanced High	0	1	1		
	Beginning		21			
112	Intermediate	18	17			
56 (50.0%)	Advanced	8	12	1	8	
	Advanced High	3	6	Ş	9	

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2009 to 2010.