Campus Data Packet

for 2010-11 planning

Information in this packet is based on data from the 2009-10 school year.



LAKEWOOD

School Number 171



2010-11 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2 ContentsTable of Contents	3 Notes Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
5 SummarySummary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6 Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7 Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8 Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
9 Teachers Teacher Statistics	
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	
10 TAKS Read (1) TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	11 TAKS Read (2) TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
12 TAKS Read (3) TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	13 TAKS Read (4) TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
14 TAKS Math (1) TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	15 TAKS Math (2)TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
16 TAKS Math (3) TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	17 TAKS Math (4)TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
18 TAKS Write (1) TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	19 TAKS Write (2)TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
20 TAKS Write (3) TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	21 TAKS Write (4)TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
22 TAKS Science (1)TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	23 TAKS Science (2)TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
24 TAKS Science (3) TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	25 TAKS Science (4)TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS (MOD)	
26 TAKS-M Read (1) TAKS-M Reading: % Meeting Standard	27 TAKS-M Read (2) TAKS-M Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
28 TAKS-M Read (3) TAKS-M Reading: Performance by Objective	29 TAKS-M Read (4) TAKS-M Reading: Percentage Commended
30 TAKS-M Math (1) TAKS-M Math: % Meeting Standard	31 TAKS-M Math (2) TAKS-M Math: # Not Meeting Standard
32 TAKS-M Math (3) TAKS-M Math: Performance by Objective	33 TAKS-M Math (4) TAKS-M Math: Percentage Commended
ITBS/ITED/LOGRAMOS	
34 Compliance SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	35 ITBS Read (1)ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-ile
36 ITBS Read (2) ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-ile	37 ITBS Math (1)ITBS Math Total: % At or Above the 40th %-ile
38 ITBS Math (2) ITBS Math Total: # At or Above the 80th %-ile	39 ITBS Math (3) ITBS Math Computation: % At or Above the 40th %-ile
40 ITBS Math (4) ITBS Math Computation: # At or Above the 80th %-ile	41 Logramos Read (1) Logramos Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-ile
42 Logramos Read (2) Logramos Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-ile	
MEASURES OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
43 WMLS Woodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	44 TELPAS TX English Language Proficiency Assessment System

2009-10 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2009-10. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2008-09 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2008-09 and 2009-10.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

TAKS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- TAKS statistics include both TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated results.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2011, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

TAKS-M (Modified)

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading and mathematics results for all three years are for the Language subtest and Mathematics subtest. All other grades' results reflect Reading Total or Mathematics Total performance. (The Reading and Mathematics Total summaries are not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergartners.) Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The "number tested" statistics include kindergartners.
- NEED notes for Math COMPUTATION pages.

TELPAS

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2009 to 2010, the TEA did not report the 2009 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2010, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2009.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
KN	140
1	124
2	104
3	118
4	105
5	89
ALL	680

STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stu	dents	Teachers				
Ethnicity	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage			
African American	14	2.1	6	15.0			
American Indian	0	0.0	*	*			
Asian	20	2.9	*	*			
Hispanic	139	20.4	6	15.0			
White	507	74.6	27	67.5			
Other	**	**	1	2.5			

^{*}Included in category "Other."

STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percentage
Economically disadvantaged students	127	18.7
Limited English proficient students	46	6.8
Special education students	50	7.4

^{**}All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

			African /	American	America	n Indian	Asian		Hispanic		White	
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2008	104	3	2.9	0	0.0	4	3.8	22	21.2	75	72.1
KN	2009	115	1	0.9	0	0.0	4	3.5	21	18.3	89	77.4
	2010	140	3	2.1	0	0.0	2	1.4	21	15.0	114	81.4
	2008	117	1	0.9	0	0.0	4	3.4	15	12.8	97	82.9
1	2009	98	2	2.0	0	0.0	4	4.1	16	16.3	76	77.6
	2010	124	1	0.8	0	0.0	5	4.0	26	21.0	92	74.2
	2008	109	5	4.6	0	0.0	3	2.8	25	22.9	76	69.7
2	2009	117	2	1.7	0	0.0	6	5.1	13	11.1	96	82.1
	2010	104	1	1.0	0	0.0	5	4.8	20	19.2	78	75.0
	2008	94	1	1.1	1	1.1	1	1.1	25	26.6	66	70.2
3	2009	109	3	2.8	0	0.0	1	0.9	27	24.8	78	71.6
	2010	118	4	3.4	0	0.0	4	3.4	18	15.3	92	78.0
	2008	81	3	3.7	2	2.5	1	1.2	30	37.0	45	55.6
4	2009	93	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	27	29.0	66	71.0
	2010	105	4	3.8	0	0.0	3	2.9	28	26.7	70	66.7
	2008	59	5	8.5	0	0.0	2	3.4	15	25.4	37	62.7
5	2009	74	2	2.7	2	2.7	1	1.4	26	35.1	43	58.1
	2010	89	1	1.1	0	0.0	1	1.1	26	29.2	61	68.5
	2008	594	23	3.9	5	0.8	17	2.9	148	24.9	401	67.5
KN-5	2009	635	16	2.5	2	0.3	18	2.8	145	22.8	454	71.5
	2010	680	14	2.1	0	0.0	20	2.9	139	20.4	507	74.6

			Econol Disadva	mically antaged	LI	EP	Special E	Education	TA	\G	New (to District)		Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2008	104	21	20.2	8	7.7	2	1.9	0	0.0	84	80.8	0.0
KN	2009	115	16	13.9	8	7.0	9	7.8	0	0.0	99	86.1	6.1
	2010	140	18	12.9	6	4.3	7	5.0	0	0.0	128	91.4	0.7
	2008	117	12	10.3	5	4.3	6	5.1	12	10.3	14	12.0	0.9
1	2009	98	16	16.3	7	7.1	2	2.0	5	5.1	12	12.2	2.0
	2010	124	23	18.5	8	6.5	8	6.5	5	4.0	18	14.5	0.0
	2008	109	16	14.7	4	3.7	7	6.4	24	22.0	16	14.7	1.8
2	2009	117	14	12.0	7	6.0	6	5.1	14	12.0	8	6.8	2.6
	2010	104	18	17.3	9	8.7	3	2.9	13	12.5	8	7.7	1.0
	2008	94	30	31.9	10	10.6	6	6.4	23	24.5	10	10.6	1.1
3	2009	109	19	17.4	5	4.6	8	7.3	26	23.9	9	8.3	0.0
	2010	118	21	17.8	7	5.9	10	8.5	16	13.6	7	5.9	0.8
	2008	81	19	23.5	4	4.9	4	4.9	24	29.6	8	9.9	2.5
4	2009	93	25	26.9	9	9.7	8	8.6	20	21.5	10	10.8	1.1
	2010	105	24	22.9	9	8.6	11	10.5	20	19.0	14	13.3	0.0
	2008	59	20	33.9	1	1.7	3	5.1	21	35.6	6	10.2	0.0
5	2009	74	18	24.3	5	6.8	6	8.1	25	33.8	3	4.1	0.0
	2010	89	23	25.8	7	7.9	11	12.4	18	20.2	4	4.5	0.0
	2008	594	144	24.2	39	6.6	38	6.4	104	17.5	167	28.1	1.0
KN-5	2009	635	132	20.8	48	7.6	49	7.7	90	14.2	166	26.1	2.8
	2010	680	127	18.7	46	6.8	50	7.4	72	10.6	179	26.3	0.4

Grade	Year	_	ge Daily ship (N)	Д	verage Daily	Attendance		Yea	rly Transactio	ns	Continuously Enrolled (N)		Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	District	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	District (N)	District (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	District (%)	Campus	District	Campus	District
	2008	104.7	13,568.1	101.0	96.5	13,004.4	95.8	4	3.8	23.5	101	11,618	96.4	85.6
KN	2009	116.6	13,515.0	112.5	96.5	12,939.1	95.7	5	4.3	22.5	109	11,501	93.5	85.1
	2010	137.3	13,234.2	132.0	96.1	12,616.4	95.3	8	5.8	21.6	131	11,233	95.4	84.9
	2008	116.0	14,626.9	113.0	97.4	14,102.7	96.4	6	5.2	21.2	110	12,704	94.8	86.9
1	2009	98.2	14,250.8	95.1	96.9	13,719.9	96.3	4	4.1	20.7	91	12,300	92.7	86.3
	2010	121.8	13,848.4	117.9	96.8	13,286.3	95.9	6	4.9	20.2	116	11,978	95.2	86.5
	2008	108.6	13,708.6	105.8	97.4	13,269.8	96.8	6	5.5	19.7	104	12,043	95.8	87.9
2	2009	117.8	13,950.1	114.7	97.4	13,499.0	96.8	4	3.4	18.6	112	12,231	95.1	87.7
	2010	102.2	13,440.8	98.6	96.5	12,947.4	96.3	4	3.9	19.0	97	11,794	94.9	87.7
	2008	93.5	12,806.9	91.1	97.4	12,425.5	97.0	4	4.3	19.1	88	11,408	94.1	89.1
3	2009	108.2	13,095.5	105.1	97.2	12,710.6	97.1	9	8.3	18.3	102	11,634	94.2	88.88
	2010	117.2	13,291.1	113.8	97.1	12,853.9	96.7	1	0.9	17.7	115	11,815	98.1	88.9
	2008	80.5	12,329.8	78.5	97.5	11,960.3	97.0	2	2.5	19.7	79	10,924	98.1	88.6
4	2009	93.8	12,156.4	91.5	97.5	11,789.9	97.0	7	7.5	18.1	86	10,731	91.6	88.3
	2010	104.2	12,299.7	100.3	96.2	11,900.0	96.8	4	3.8	17.2	101	10,987	96.9	89.3
	2008	58.3	11,874.2	57.2	98.1	11,539.6	97.2	4	6.9	18.8	55	10,608	94.4	89.3
5	2009	72.4	11,903.5	70.4	97.2	11,552.9	97.1	3	4.1	17.5	70	10,563	96.7	88.7
	2010	87.3	11,687.8	84.3	96.7	11,310.1	96.8	3	3.4	17.0	83	10,453	95.1	89.4
	2008	577.4	83,438.7	561.4	97.2	80,606.0	96.6	56	9.7	31.9	560	76,970	97.0	92.2
KN-5	2009	625.1	83,476.5	606.3	97.0	80,586.5	96.5	61	9.8	30.9	589	76,517	94.2	91.7
	2010	669.9	77,802.0	647.0	96.6	74,914.0	96.3	26	3.9	18.9	643	68,260	96.0	87.7

Teachers Teacher Statistics

DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS

Ethnicity	Number	Percentage			
African American	6	15.0			
Hispanic	6	15.0			
White	27	67.5			
Other	1	2.5			

Gender	Number	Percentage			
Female	36	90.0			
Male	4	10.0			

TOTAL	40
-------	----

AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES

2008	3.8
2009	7.2
2010	6.3

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АІІ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	100.0	*	100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	86.2	92
3	2009	100.0	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	87.1	107
	2010	100.0	*	93.3	100.0	90.0	*	93.8		98.0	100.0	99.1	85.4	115
	2008	97.8	*	90.0	84.2	*	*	92.9		94.1	93.6	93.8	72.1	81
4	2009	96.8		100.0	96.0	83.3	100.0	94.1		95.2	100.0	97.8	75.5	90
	2010	100.0	*	95.8	95.5	100.0	100.0	*		100.0	100.0	99.0	77.9	99
	2008	100.0	*	92.9	94.7	*	*	87.5		100.0	97.1	98.2	82.9	57
5	2009	97.7	*	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	97.8	98.6	82.2	73
	2010	100.0	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	86.1	86
	2008	99.3	88.9	94.2	94.1	87.5	100.0	95.1		97.9	97.0	97.4	80.3	230
3-5	2009	98.4	*	100.0	98.3	94.1	100.0	97.6		98.5	99.3	98.9	81.1	270
	2010	100.0	100.0	96.9	98.4	96.0	100.0	96.6		99.3	100.0	99.3	83.4	300

					NUM BE	R TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5				
2008	145	9	69	68	8	14	41	0	97	133	230	31,840
2009	182	5	79	60	17	19	41	0	133	136	270	28,282
2010	218	9	65	62	25	17	29	0	146	153	300	24,751

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2010-11 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	0	*	0	0	*	0	0		0	0	0	1,443	92
3	2009	0	*	0	0	0	*	0		0	0	0	981	107
	2010	0	*	1	0	1	*	1		1	0	1	1,039	115
	2008	1	*	3	3	*	*	1		2	3	5	2,996	81
4	2009	2		0	1	1	0	1		2	0	2	2,489	90
_	2010	0	*	1	1	0	0	*		0	0	1	1,640	99
	2008	0	*	1	1	*	*	1		0	1	1	1,827	57
5	2009	1	*	0	0	*	*	0		0	1	1	1,874	73
	2010	0	*	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	1,427	86
	2008	1	1	4	4	1	0	2		2	4	6	6,266	230
3-5	2009	3	*	0	1	1	0	1		2	1	3	5,344	270
	2010	0	0	2	1	1	0	1		1	0	2	4,106	300

					NUM BE	R TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5				
2008	145	9	69	68	8	14	41	0	97	133	230	31,840
2009	182	5	79	60	17	19	41	0	133	136	270	28,282
2010	218	9	65	62	25	17	29	0	146	153	300	24,751

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2008	91.4	92.4	94.6	88.0
3	2009	92.3	92.9	96.3	96.3
	2010	95.7	93.9	92.2	93.6
	2008	90.9	88.3	88.2	85.4
4	2009	93.1	89.7	85.7	91.6
	2010	93.0	94.3	94.4	90.8
	2008	91.0	92.8	87.7	90.6
5	2009	91.6	91.6	92.3	88.2
	2010	93.6	93.6	91.3	90.3
	2008	91.1	91.0	90.6	87.7
3-5	2009	92.4	91.5	91.7	92.5
	2010	94.2	94.0	92.6	91.7

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	70.3	*	48.0	40.0	*	33.3	31.6		51.2	74.5	64.1	21.5	92
3	2009	89.5	*	63.0	47.1	42.9	*	30.0		84.4	76.7	81.3	34.0	107
	2010	89.1	*	73.3	77.8	50.0	*	43.8		82.0	89.2	86.1	37.1	115
	2008	55.6	*	26.7	21.1	*	*	7.1		29.4	55.3	44.4	14.4	81
4	2009	57.1		37.0	20.0	16.7	22.2	11.8		35.7	64.6	51.1	18.3	90
	2010	72.1	*	29.2	36.4	57.1	0.0	*		57.9	65.9	60.6	19.4	99
	2008	61.1	*	28.6	31.6	*	*	0.0		40.9	57.1	50.9	16.5	57
5	2009	74.4	*	32.0	38.9	*	*	28.6		40.7	75.6	61.6	18.3	73
	2010	70.7	*	46.2	36.4	25.0	28.6	11.1		51.3	70.2	61.6	23.6	86
	2008	63.4	22.2	34.8	32.4	25.0	21.4	17.1		41.2	63.2	53.9	17.4	230
3-5	2009	74.7	*	44.3	33.3	29.4	15.8	22.0		60.2	72.1	65.9	22.5	270
	2010	78.9	33.3	46.2	48.4	44.0	35.3	27.6		64.4	77.1	70.7	26.2	300

					NUM BE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5				
2008	145	9	69	68	8	14	41	0	97	133	230	31,840
2009	182	5	79	60	17	19	41	0	133	136	270	28,282
2010	218	9	65	62	25	17	29	0	146	153	300	24,751

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	96.9	*	88.0	86.7	*	90.0	84.2		95.1	94.1	94.6	76.6	92
3	2009	98.7	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	100.0		98.5	100.0	99.1	76.8	108
	2010	96.7	*	83.3	80.0	63.6	85.7	75.0		92.3	97.0	94.9	78.2	118
	2008	100.0	*	89.7	84.2	*	*	83.3		97.1	93.3	94.9	77.9	79
4	2009	100.0		92.6	95.8	*	100.0	100.0		95.1	100.0	97.8	78.9	90
	2010	97.1	*	100.0	100.0	88.9	100.0	*		96.6	97.6	97.1	81.8	102
	2008	100.0	*	80.0	85.7	*	*	77.8		87.0	100.0	94.8	84.0	58
5	2009	100.0	*	96.0	94.4	*	*	92.3		100.0	97.7	98.6	86.2	72
	2010	100.0	*	96.0	95.5	85.7	85.7	100.0		100.0	97.9	98.8	87.7	85
	2008	98.6	87.5	87.0	85.7	100.0	93.3	82.5		93.9	95.4	94.8	79.5	229
3-5	2009	99.5	*	96.2	96.7	93.8	100.0	97.4		97.7	99.3	98.5	80.5	270
	2010	97.7	88.9	94.2	92.3	77.8	90.9	85.7	·	96.0	97.4	96.7	82.3	305

					NUM BE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5				
2008	145	8	69	70	7	15	40	0	98	131	229	32,696
2009	183	4	79	60	16	19	39	0	133	136	270	33,885
2010	219	9	69	65	27	22	28	0	149	155	305	34,834

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2010-11 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 67% are shaded.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	2	*	3	4	*	1	3		2	3	5	2,542	92
3	2009	1	*	0	0	0	*	0		1	0	1	2,856	108
	2010	3	*	3	4	4	1	4		4	2	6	2,739	118
	2008	0	*	3	3	*	*	2		1	3	4	2,436	79
4	2009	0		2	1	*	0	0		2	0	2	2,263	90
-	2010	2	*	0	0	1	0	*		2	1	3	2,101	102
	2008	0	*	3	3	*	*	2		3	0	3	1,730	58
5	2009	0	*	1	1	*	*	1		0	1	1	1,495	72
	2010	0	*	1	1	1	1	0		0	1	1	1,314	85
	2008	2	1	9	10	0	1	7		6	6	12	6,708	229
3-5	2009	1	*	3	2	1	0	1		3	1	4	6,614	270
	2010	5	1	4	5	6	2	4		6	4	10	6,154	305

					NUM BE	R TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5				
2008	145	8	69	70	7	15	40	0	98	131	229	32,696
2009	183	4	79	60	16	19	39	0	133	136	270	33,885
2010	219	9	69	65	27	22	28	0	149	155	305	34,834

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2008	89.1	89.9	90.8	87.0	91.3	78.4
3	2009	93.3	91.4	96.0	91.0	95.4	87.8
	2010	90.2	85.0	88.8	85.6	93.9	85.6
	2008	89.3	85.9	89.7	85.2	83.5	83.7
4	2009	95.7	90.6	88.3	89.4	90.8	92.2
	2010	93.0	92.0	85.5	86.1	91.9	89.2
	2008	90.9	89.9	94.1	88.4	94.8	88.1
5	2009	90.8	89.9	92.1	90.7	91.0	91.1
	2010	92.2	91.6	89.6	92.3	90.0	91.8
	2008	89.6	88.5	91.2	86.7	89.5	82.7
3-5	2009	93.4	90.7	92.4	90.4	92.7	90.2
	2010	91.7	89.2	87.9	87.6	92.1	88.5

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	56.3	*	20.0	23.3	*	30.0	21.1		41.5	49.0	45.7	26.3	92
3	2009	70.1	*	51.9	44.4	71.4	*	10.0		78.5	44.2	64.8	28.8	108
	2010	52.2	*	22.2	20.0	9.1	14.3	6.3		46.2	50.0	48.3	21.3	118
	2008	46.7	*	31.0	21.1	*	*	16.7		26.5	46.7	38.0	23.8	79
4	2009	68.3		51.9	58.3	*	44.4	37.5		53.7	71.4	63.3	31.4	90
	2010	69.6	*	34.6	47.8	44.4	25.0	*		67.8	52.4	60.8	29.1	102
	2008	77.8	*	40.0	42.9	*	*	11.1		60.9	68.6	65.5	31.9	58
5	2009	76.7	*	48.0	38.9	*	*	30.8		51.9	77.3	66.7	37.9	72
	2010	77.6	*	52.0	45.5	0.0	42.9	25.0		68.4	72.3	70.6	34.5	85
	2008	58.6	25.0	29.0	28.6	42.9	26.7	17.5		40.8	53.4	48.0	27.3	229
3-5	2009	71.0	*	50.6	48.3	50.0	31.6	28.2		65.4	64.7	64.8	32.5	270
	2010	64.4	44.4	37.7	38.5	18.5	27.3	10.7		60.4	57.4	58.7	27.9	305

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5				
2008	145	8	69	70	7	15	40	0	98	131	229	32,696
2009	183	4	79	60	16	19	39	0	133	136	270	33,885
2010	219	9	69	65	27	22	28	0	149	155	305	34,834

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	97.8	*	96.7	100.0	*	*	92.9		97.1	97.9	97.5	87.4	81
4	2009	96.9		92.3	92.0	66.7	100.0	94.1		90.5	100.0	95.6	87.4	90
	2010	97.0	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	*		96.4	100.0	97.9	89.8	97

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2008	45	3	30	19	4	4	14	0	34	47	81	10,658
2009	64	0	26	25	6	9	17	0	42	48	90	10,032
2010	67	4	23	21	7	6	4	0	56	41	97	7,294

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2011 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	1	*	1	0	*	*	1		1	1	2	1,348	81
4	2009	2		2	2	2	0	1		4	0	4	1,264	90
	2010	2	*	0	0	0	0	*		2	0	2	744	97

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2008	45	3	30	19	4	4	14	0	34	47	81	10,658
2009	64	0	26	25	6	9	17	0	42	48	90	10,032
2010	67	4	23	21	7	6	4	0	56	41	97	7,294

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2008	2.9	88.6	86.1	91.2	90.0
4	2009	2.7	93.1	88.2	93.6	92.5
	2010	2.7	89.2	94.2	95.1	90.6

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	64.4	*	40.0	36.8	*	*	14.3		32.4	70.2	54.3	21.4	81
4	2009	62.5		38.5	28.0	16.7	22.2	17.6		40.5	68.8	55.6	21.0	90
	2010	64.2	*	39.1	42.9	42.9	16.7	*		46.4	75.6	58.8	23.8	97

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2008	45	3	30	19	4	4	14	0	34	47	81	10,658
2009	64	0	26	25	6	9	17	0	42	48	90	10,032
2010	67	4	23	21	7	6	4	0	56	41	97	7,294

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	100.0	*	85.7	89.5	*	*	75.0		100.0	94.3	96.5	71.9	57
5	2009	100.0	*	88.0	88.9	*	*	78.6		96.3	93.3	94.5	75.1	73
	2010	98.2	*	92.3	90.9	88.9	85.7	77.8		97.4	95.7	96.5	81.4	85

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 5				
2008	36	5	14	19	1	1	8	0	22	35	57	10,686
2009	43	2	25	18	5	5	14	0	27	45	73	10,675
2010	57	1	26	22	9	7	9	0	38	47	85	10,576

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 55 percent, the 2011 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	0	*	2	2	*	*	2		0	2	2	3,005	57
5	2009	0	*	3	2	*	*	3		1	3	4	2,656	73
	2010	1	*	2	2	1	1	2		1	2	3	1,965	85

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 5				
2008	36	5	14	19	1	1	8	0	22	35	57	10,686
2009	43	2	25	18	5	5	14	0	27	45	73	10,675
2010	57	1	26	22	9	7	9	0	38	47	85	10,576

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Life Sciences	Physical Sciences	Earth Sciences
	2008	96.0	95.7	92.0	86.0
5	2009	94.1	95.9	91.0	83.3
	2010	94.1	95.6	93.9	89.0

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	80.6	*	42.9	47.4	*	*	37.5		81.8	60.0	68.4	24.8	57
5	2009	79.1	*	40.0	33.3	*	*	21.4		66.7	66.7	65.8	28.7	73
	2010	80.7	*	53.8	59.1	33.3	42.9	11.1		68.4	74.5	71.8	32.8	85

					NUM E	BER TESTI	D IN GRA	DES 5							
2008	36	36 5 14 19 1 1 8 0 22 35 57 10,686													
2009	43	2	25	18	5	5	14	0	27	45	73	10,675			
2010	57	1	26	22	9	7	9	0	38	47	85	10,576			

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*				*		*		*		*	77.7	2
3	2009	*				*		*		*	*	*	87.7	2
	2010												81.1	0
	2008												70.7	0
4	2009	*				*				*		*	71.8	2
	2010	*		*	*	*				*	*	*	75.8	3
	2008	*		*	*	*		*		*		*	73.3	2
5	2009			*		*		*			*	*	80.3	1
	2010	*			*	*		*		*		*	93.9	3
	2008	*		*	*	*		*		*		*	73.7	4
3-5	2009	*		*		*		*		*	*	*	79.2	5
	2010	*		*	*	66.7		*		*	*	66.7	84.8	6

					NUM BE	R TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5							
2008	3														
2009	4	0	1	0	5	0	2	0	3	2	5	1,867			
2010	5	0	1	3	6	0	2	0	5	1	6	1,749			

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*				*		*		*		*	116	2
3	2009	*				*		*		*	*	*	60	2
	2010												96	0
	2008												181	0
4	2009	*				*				*		*	192	2
	2010	*		*	*	*				*	*	*	126	3
	2008	*		*	*	*		*		*		*	179	2
5	2009			*		*		*			*	*	137	1
	2010	*			*	*		*		*		*	44	3
	2008	*		*	*	*		*		*		*	476	4
3-5	2009	*		*		*		*		*	*	*	389	5
	2010	*		*	*	2		*		*	*	2	266	6

					NUM BE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5							
2008	3														
2009	4	0	1	0	5	0	2	0	3	2	5	1,867			
2010	5	0	1	3	6	0	2	0	5	1	6	1,749			

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2008	*	*	*	*
3	2009	*	*	*	*
	2010				
	2008				
4	2009	*	*	*	*
	2010	*	*	*	*
	2008	*	*	*	*
5	2009	*	*	*	*
	2010	*	*	*	*
	2008	*	*	*	*
3-5	2009	*	*	*	*
	2010	61.1	75.0	55.6	55.9

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*				*		*		*		*	10.8	2
3	2009	*				*		*		*	*	*	16.2	2
	2010												19.1	0
	2008												9.7	0
4	2009	*				*				*		*	8.9	2
	2010	*		*	*	*				*	*	*	15.2	3
	2008	*		*	*	*		*		*		*	14.9	2
5	2009			*		*		*			*	*	14.1	1
	2010	*			*	*		*		*		*	15.4	3
	2008	*		*	*	*		*		*		*	12.0	4
3-5	2009	*		*		*		*		*	*	*	12.7	5
	2010	*		*	*	16.7		*		*	*	16.7	16.4	6

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 3-5								
2008	3															
2009	4	0	1	0	5	0	2	0	3	2	5	1,867				
2010	5	0	1	3	6	0	2	0	5	1	6	1,749				

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*				*		*		*		*	76.1	2
3	2009	*			*	*		*			*	*	81.2	1
	2010												78.3	0
	2008		*	*		*		*			*	*	69.1	2
4	2009	*			*	*		*		*		*	75.8	3
	2010	*		*	*	*				*	*	*	80.6	2
	2008	*			*	*				*		*	64.0	1
5	2009		*	*		*		*			*	*	80.2	2
	2010	*		*	*	*		*		*		*	86.4	4
	2008	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*	69.4	5
3-5	2009	*	*	*	*	83.3		*		*	*	83.3	78.8	6
	2010	*		*	*	83.3		*		*	*	83.3	82.4	6

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5							
2008	3														
2009	4	1	1	2	6	0	4	0	3	3	6	1,743			
2010	4	0	2	3	6	0	3	0	5	1	6	1,615			

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*				*		*		*		*	129	2
3	2009	*			*	*		*			*	*	85	1
	2010												102	0
	2008		*	*		*		*			*	*	173	2
4	2009	*			*	*		*		*		*	157	3
	2010	*		*	*	*				*	*	*	92	2
	2008	*			*	*				*		*	227	1
5	2009		*	*		*		*			*	*	127	2
	2010	*		*	*	*		*		*		*	91	4
	2008	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*	529	5
3-5	2009	*	*	*	*	1		*		*	*	1	369	6
	2010	*		*	*	1		*		*	*	1	285	6

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2008	3	1	1	1	5	0	3	0	3	2	5	1,730
2009	4	1	1	2	6	0	4	0	3	3	6	1,743
2010	4	0	2	3	6	0	3	0	5	1	6	1,615

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2010						
	2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
3-5	2009	75.5	72.2	65.0	65.0	61.1	61.7
	2010	68.5	69.4	72.2	61.7	77.8	71.7

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*				*		*		*		*	16.1	2
3	2009	*			*	*		*			*	*	22.3	1
	2010												13.4	0
	2008		*	*		*		*			*	*	14.3	2
4	2009	*			*	*		*		*		*	14.0	3
	2010	*		*	*	*				*	*	*	20.2	2
	2008	*			*	*				*		*	14.0	1
5	2009		*	*		*		*			*	*	17.2	2
	2010	*		*	*	*		*		*		*	18.9	4
	2008	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*	14.7	5
3-5	2009	*	*	*	*	0.0		*		*	*	0.0	17.3	6
	2010	*		*	*	33.3		*		*	*	33.3	17.7	6

					NUM BE	R TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5				
2008	3	1	1	1	5	0	3	0	3	2	5	1,730
2009	4	1	1	2	6	0	4	0	3	3	6	1,743
2010	4	0	2	3	6	0	3	0	5	1	6	1,615

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the ITBS

			READIN	G TOTAL (**LANGUAGE	≣)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		89.8	89.8	57.6	98
K**	2009	42.9	92.6	86.1	41.9	108
	2010	45.5	90.9	87.1	40.0	132
	2008	*	94.4	94.5	50.2	109
1	2009	*	94.4	94.5	54.2	91
	2010	40.0	95.2	88.3	55.2	120
	2008	85.7	95.9	95.2	50.2	105
2	2009	64.7	95.7	91.0	47.9	111
	2010	40.0	100.0	90.3	48.6	93
	2008	87.5	95.1	94.9	50.2	214
1-2	2009	68.4	95.1	92.6	51.0	202
	2010	40.0	97.3	89.2	51.9	213

		N	MATHEM ATI	CS TOTAL	(**MATHEM	ATICS)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	86.9	86.5	44.9	104
K**	2009	68.4	94.7	90.3	52.8	113
	2010	64.7	95.9	92.1	51.2	139
	2008	100.0	91.8	92.2	55.5	116
1	2009	*	95.5	95.7	50.4	92
	2010	52.6	92.4	86.3	48.8	124
	2008	100.0	95.9	96.3	57.5	107
2	2009	70.6	96.8	92.7	53.2	110
	2010	65.2	98.7	91.2	54.6	102
	2008	95.2	91.5	91.7	52.7	327
K-2	2009	71.8	95.7	92.7	52.3	315
	2010	61.0	95.4	89.9	51.5	365

	2008	8	304	312	21,817	
Number Tested	2009	33	277	310	21,148	
Toolog	2010	41	304	345	20,804	

	2008	21	306	327	41,070	
Number Tested	2009	39	276	315	30,563	
Toolog	2010	59	306	365	40,163	

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	93.4	*	66.7	66.7	*	*			88.2	91.5	89.8	57.6	98
K**	2009	92.0	*	62.5	45.5	28.6	*	42.9		82.1	90.4	86.1	41.9	108
	2010	87.5	*	86.7	66.7	66.7		45.5		87.7	86.6	87.1	40.0	132
	2008	95.8	*	88.9	87.5	*		*		92.0	96.6	94.5	50.2	109
1	2009	97.3	*	70.0	88.9	*		*		95.6	93.5	94.5	54.2	91
	2010	93.5	*	68.2	63.2	37.5	*	40.0		83.3	93.3	88.3	55.2	120
	2008	94.7	*	95.5	83.3	71.4	*	85.7		96.9	92.7	95.2	50.2	105
2	2009	94.7	*	87.5	80.0	*	*	64.7		86.0	95.1	91.0	47.9	111
	2010	92.0	*	75.0	88.9	*	*	40.0		93.3	87.5	90.3	48.6	93
	2008	95.3	83.3	93.5	85.0	81.8	*	87.5		94.7	95.0	94.9	50.2	214
1-2	2009	95.9	*	77.8	84.2	100.0	*	68.4		90.5	94.4	92.6	51.0	202
	2010	92.8	*	70.6	71.4	30.0	*	40.0		87.6	90.7	89.2	51.9	213
	2008	247	9	46	35	12	3	8	0	165	147	312	21,817	
Number Tested	2009	257	5	34	30	13	5	33	0	151	159	310	21,148	
	2010	279	5	49	40	16	5	41	0	170	175	345	20,804	

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	61	*	8	8	*	*			38	35	73	1,687	98
K**	2009	46	*	3	1	1	*	0		22	27	49	771	108
	2010	53	*	8	3	1		1		34	31	65	758	132
	2008	77	*	7	5	*		*		37	51	88	1,555	109
1	2009	66	*	6	4	*		*		39	37	76	1,659	91
	2010	72	*	9	3	1	*	1		37	48	85	1,729	120
	2008	52	*	13	4	2	*	0		36	30	66	1,065	105
2	2009	59	*	4	6	*	*	3		26	41	67	1,027	111
	2010	50	*	5	4	*	*	1		28	32	60	954	93
	2008	129	0	20	9	5	*	0		73	81	154	2,620	214
1-2	2009	125	*	10	10	1	*	5		65	78	143	2,688	202
	2010	122	*	14	7	1	*	2		65	80	145	2,683	213

	2008	247	9	46	35	12	3	8	0	165	147	312	21,817
Number Tested	2009	257	5	34	30	13	5	33	0	151	159	310	21,148
restea	2010	279	5	49	40	16	5	41	0	170	175	345	20,804

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	ΑΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	88.2	*	76.2	76.2	*	62.5	*		83.6	89.8	86.5	44.9	104
K**	2009	93.1	*	85.7	75.0	57.1	75.0	68.4		88.1	92.6	90.3	52.8	113
	2010	94.7	*	81.0	66.7	57.1	33.3	64.7		89.9	94.3	92.1	51.2	139
	2008	93.8	*	80.0	75.0	66.7	*	100.0		88.7	95.2	92.2	55.5	116
1	2009	97.4	*	90.0	90.0	*	*	*		93.5	97.8	95.7	50.4	92
	2010	91.3	*	69.2	69.6	50.0	62.5	52.6		80.6	91.9	86.3	48.8	124
	2008	96.0	*	96.0	93.3	71.4	*	100.0		95.4	97.6	96.3	57.5	107
2	2009	93.6	*	87.5	60.0	*	*	70.6		89.8	95.1	92.7	53.2	110
	2010	93.4	*	85.0	82.4	*	77.8	65.2		94.1	88.2	91.2	54.6	102
	2008	92.7	100.0	85.2	81.3	71.4	82.4	95.2		89.6	94.2	91.7	52.7	327
K-2	2009	94.6	*	87.2	75.0	69.2	81.8	71.8		90.3	95.0	92.7	52.3	315
	2010	93.2	*	77.6	72.4	44.4	60.9	61.0		87.9	91.8	89.9	51.5	365
	2008	247	9	61	48	14	17	21	0	173	154	327	41,070	
Number Tested	2009	257	5	39	36	13	11	39	0	154	161	315	30,563	
			1	ı	I	ı		1		I			1	

40,163

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	64	*	12	12	*	4	*		44	38	82	2,788	104
K**	2009	63	*	10	4	1	3	6		38	36	74	2,455	113
	2010	76	*	7	2	3	0	2		42	43	85	2,358	139
	2008	64	*	7	3	1	*	2		33	42	75	2,800	116
1	2009	59	*	7	3	*	*	*		35	36	71	1,577	92
	2010	62	*	10	8	2	2	3		37	37	74	2,266	124
	2008	57	*	8	3	3	*	2		39	30	69	2,912	107
2	2009	60	*	4	4	*	*	5		28	42	70	1,686	110
	2010	46	*	7	5	*	1	2		32	25	57	2,484	102
	2008	185	7	27	18	5	6	7		116	110	226	8,500	327
K-2	2009	182	*	21	11	2	5	12		101	114	215	5,718	315
	2010	184	*	24	15	5	3	7		111	105	216	7,108	365
	2008	247	9	61	48	14	17	21	0	173	154	327	41,070	

	2008	247	9	61	48	14	17	21	0	173	154	327	41,070
Number Tested	2009	257	5	39	36	13	11	39	0	154	161	315	30,563
rootod	2010	281	5	67	58	18	23	59	0	182	183	365	40,163

ITBS Math (3) ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	92.6	*	85.7	90.9	*	*	100.0		88.2	95.2	92.0	65.7	113
1	2009	92.3	*	100.0	93.3	*	100.0	*		93.2	95.3	94.9	66.0	98
	2010	90.2	*	92.3	82.6	62.5	87.5	68.4		87.1	93.5	90.3	63.5	124
	2008	89.3	*	88.0	80.0	71.4	*	80.0		86.2	92.9	88.8	64.0	107
2	2009	87.5	*	69.2	72.7	*	66.7	42.9		81.6	89.7	84.3	60.9	115
	2010	73.7	*	75.0	70.6	*	55.6	43.5		78.4	68.6	73.5	61.4	102
	2008	91.1	100.0	87.2	84.6	81.8	88.9	87.5		87.1	94.2	90.5	64.9	220
1-2	2009	89.5	*	86.7	84.6	*	84.6	66.7		87.1	92.1	89.2	63.5	213
	2010	82.7	*	84.8	77.5	54.5	70.6	54.8		83.2	82.3	82.7	62.5	226

	2008	169	6	39	26	11	9	16	0	116	104	220	27,631
Number Tested	2009	153	3	30	26	5	13	12	0	93	101	213	27,480
Toolog	2010	168	2	46	40	11	17	42	0	113	113	226	26,937

ITBS Math (4) ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	48	*	6	5	*	*	2		23	34	57	4,546	113
1	2009	45	*	10	8	*	4	*		30	30	68	4,597	98
	2010	62	*	14	10	4	4	7		40	36	76	4,129	124
	2008	26	*	4	0	3	*	0		20	12	32	2,557	107
2	2009	51	*	4	2	*	2	1		22	36	60	3,822	115
	2010	19	*	7	4	*	1	2		17	12	29	3,776	102
	2008	74	3	10	5	4	2	2		43	46	89	7,103	220
1-2	2009	96	*	14	10	*	6	4		52	66	128	8,419	213
	2010	81	*	21	14	4	5	9		57	48	105	7,905	226

	2008	169	6	39	26	11	9	16	0	116	104	220	27,631
Number Tested	2009	153	3	30	26	5	13	12	0	93	101	213	27,480
Toolog	2010	168	2	46	40	11	17	42	0	113	113	226	26,937

Logramos Read (1)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008			100.0	100.0		100.0	*		*	*	100.0	87.0	6
K	2009			*	*		*	*		*	*	*	88.6	5
	2010			100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		*	*	100.0	89.3	6
	2008			*	*		*	*		*	*	*	88.0	5
1	2009			100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		*	*	100.0	88.6	6
	2010			*	*		*	*		*	*	*	89.1	4
	2008			*	*		*	*		*	*	*	93.4	3
2	2009			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	94.0	5
	2010			100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	*	100.0	93.8	8
	2008			92.9	91.7		92.9	92.3		87.5	100.0	92.9	89.3	14
K-2	2009			100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	90.4	16
	2010			100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	90.7	18
	2008	0	0	14	12	0	14	13	0	8	6	14	18,257	
Number Tested	2009	0	0	16	15	2	16	16	0	9	7	16	19,076	
	2010	0	0	18	18	2	18	18	0	12	6	18	19,041	

Logramos Read (2)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008			6	6		6	*		*	*	6	3,280	6
K	2009			*	*		*	*		*	*	*	3,525	5
	2010			4	4	*	4	4		*	*	4	3,523	6
	2008			*	*		*	*		*	*	*	2,412	5
1	2009			3	3	*	3	3		*	*	3	2,523	6
	2010			*	*		*	*		*	*	*	2,529	4
	2008			*	*		*	*		*	*	*	2,596	3
2	2009			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	2,909	5
	2010			5	5	*	5	5		4	*	5	3,040	8
	2008			11	9		11	10		6	5	11	8,288	14
K-2	2009			10	9	*	10	10		4	6	10	8,957	16
	2010			11	11	*	11	11		9	2	11	9,092	18
	2008	0	0	14	12	0	14	13	0	8	6	14	18,257	
Number Tested	2009	0	0	16	15	2	16	16	0	9	7	16	19,076	
	2010	0	0	18	18	2	18	18	0	12	6	18	19,041	

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1	in 2009	Level 2	in 2009	Level 3	in 2009	Levels 1	·3 in 2009
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2010						
4	1	100.0	0	-	0	-	1	100.0
ALL	1	100.0	0	-	0	-	1	100.0

PERFORMANCE IN 2010

Grade Domain: Listening **Speaking** Writing Reading Composite (N Rated) 2010 Level Ν % Ν % % % Ν % Ν Ν Beginning 5 33.3 3 50.0 6 100.0 5 83.3 83.3 KN Intermediate 66.7 3 50.0 0.0 16.7 1 16.7 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 Advanced 0.0 (6)0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 Advanced High 12.5 2 25.0 12.5 1 12.5 **Beginning** 1 12.5 1 4 50.0 50.0 5 62.5 5 62.5 5 62.5 Intermediate 4 Advanced 37.5 3 37.5 1 12.5 25.0 2 25.0 (8) 0.0 0.0 0 Advanced High 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 Beginning 0.0 11.1 1 11.1 0 0.0 0.0 2 3 33.3 22.2 2 22.2 33.3 3 33.3 Intermediate 5 5 4 55.6 55.6 6 66.7 44.4 44.4 Advanced (9)Advanced High 11.1 1 11.1 0 0.0 2 22.2 2 22.2 0.0 0.0 0 Beginning 0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 3 2 0 Intermediate 28.6 42.9 3 42.9 0.0 0.0 14.3 2 3 42.9 Advanced 14.3 28.6 14.3 (7)Advanced High 57.1 3 42.9 2 28.6 6 85.7 4 57.1 Beginning 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 4 Intermediate 0.0 0 0.0 2 22.2 0 0.0 0 0.0 Advanced 55.6 77.8 66.7 11.1 1 11.1 (9) 44.4 22.2 88.9 88.9 Advanced High 11.1

PROGRESSION FROM 2009 TO 2010

Number Rated Both Years			2009	Level	
N (%) Progressed	2010 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High
	Beginning		-	-	
	Intermediate	ı		-	
_	Advanced	ı	-	-	_
	Advanced High	-	-	-	-
	Beginning			1	
8	Intermediate	2		3	
3 (37.5%)	Advanced	0	1		1
	Advanced High	0	0	()
	Beginning		()	
8	Intermediate	1		2	
5 (62.5%)	Advanced	1	2	,	1
	Advanced High	0	0	•	1
	Beginning		()	
7	Intermediate	0		0	
5 (71.4%)	Advanced	0	1	2	2
	Advanced High	0	0	4	1
	Beginning		()	
7	Intermediate	0		0	
6 (85.7%)	Advanced	0	0	,	1
	Advanced High	0	1	į	5

PERFORMANCE IN 2010

Grade	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Com	posite
(N Rated)	2010 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
5	Intermediate	3	42.9	4	57.1	3	42.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
(7)	Advanced	3	42.9	2	28.6	2	28.6	1	14.3	4	57.1
	Advanced High	1	14.3	1	14.3	1	14.3	6	85.7	3	42.9
	Beginning	3	6.5	5	10.9	10	21.7	6	13.0	6	13.0
ALL	Intermediate	16	34.8	16	34.8	15	32.6	9	19.6	9	19.6
(46)	Advanced	17	37.0	18	39.1	17	37.0	9	19.6	14	30.4
	Advanced High	10	21.7	7	15.2	4	8.7	22	47.8	17	37.0

PROGRESSION FROM 2009 TO 2010

Number Rated Both Years		2009 Level				
N (%) Progressed	2010 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High	
	Beginning		0			
7	Intermediate	0		0		
3 (42.9%)	Advanced	0	0	3		
	Advanced High	0	0			
	Beginning		1			
37	Intermediate	3	5			
22 (59.5%)	Advanced	1	4	ę	9	
	Advanced High	0	1	1	3	

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2009 to 2010.