# Campus Data Packet

for 2010-11 planning

Information in this packet is based on data from the 2009-10 school year.



TOM C. GOOCH

**School Number 148** 



2010-11 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2 ContentsTable of Contents	3 Notes Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
5 SummarySummary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6 Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7 Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8 Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
9 Teachers Teacher Statistics	
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	
10 TAKS Read (1) TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	11 TAKS Read (2) TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
12 TAKS Read (3) TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	13 TAKS Read (4) TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
14 TAKS Math (1)TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	15 TAKS Math (2)TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
16 TAKS Math (3)TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	17 TAKS Math (4)TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
18 TAKS Write (1) TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	19 TAKS Write (2) TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
20 TAKS Write (3) TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	21 TAKS Write (4) TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
22 TAKS Science (1) TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	23 TAKS Science (2)TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
24 TAKS Science (3) TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	25 TAKS Science (4)TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
26 SPTAKS Read (1) Spanish TAKS Reading: % Meeting Standard	27 SPTAKS Read (2) Spanish TAKS Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
28 SPTAKS Read (3) Spanish TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	29 SPTAKS Read (4) Spanish TAKS Reading: % Commended
30 SPTAKS Write (1) Spanish TAKS Writing: % Meeting Standard	31 SPTAKS Write (2)Spanish TAKS Writing: # Not Meeting Standard
32 SPTAKS Write (3) Spanish TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	33 SPTAKS Write (4)Spanish TAKS Writing: % Commended
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS (MOD)	
34 TAKS-M Read (1) TAKS-M Reading: % Meeting Standard	35 TAKS-M Read (2) TAKS-M Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
36 TAKS-M Read (3) TAKS-M Reading: Performance by Objective	37 TAKS-M Read (4) TAKS-M Reading: Percentage Commended
38 TAKS-M Math (1) TAKS-M Math: % Meeting Standard	39 TAKS-M Math (2) TAKS-M Math: # Not Meeting Standard
40 TAKS-M Math (3) TAKS-M Math: Performance by Objective	41 TAKS-M Math (4) TAKS-M Math: Percentage Commended
ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS	
42 Compliance SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	43 ITBS Read (1)ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-ile
44 ITBS Read (2) ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-ile	45 ITBS Math (1) ITBS Math Total: % At or Above the 40th %-ile
46 ITBS Math (2) ITBS Math Total: # At or Above the 80th %-ile	47 ITBS Math (3) ITBS Math Computation: % At or Above the 40th %-ile
48 ITBS Math (4) ITBS Math Computation: # At or Above the 80th %-ile	49 Logramos Read (1) Logramos Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-ile
50 Logramos Read (2) Logramos Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-ile	
MEASURES OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
51 WMLS Woodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	52 TELPAS TX English Language Proficiency Assessment System

## 2009-10 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

#### **ENROLLMENT**

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2009-10. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2008-09 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2008-09 and 2009-10.

# **ATTENDANCE**

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

# **TEACHERS**

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

# **TAKS**

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- TAKS statistics include both TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated results.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2011, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

# TAKS-M (Modified)

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

## SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading and mathematics results for all three years are for the Language subtest and Mathematics subtest. All other grades' results reflect Reading Total or Mathematics Total performance. (The Reading and Mathematics Total summaries are not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergartners.) Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The "number tested" statistics include kindergartners.
- NEED notes for Math COMPUTATION pages.

## **TELPAS**

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2009 to 2010, the TEA did not report the 2009 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2010, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2009.

#### STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment				
PK	44				
KN	80				
1	90				
2	88				
3	87				
4	75				
5	66				
ALL	530				

## STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stu	dents	Teachers				
Ethnicity	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage			
African American	66	12.5	10	29.4			
American Indian	1	0.2	*	*			
Asian	2	0.4	*	*			
Hispanic	451	85.1	13	38.2			
White	10	1.9	10	29.4			
Other	**	**	1	2.9			

<sup>\*</sup>Included in category "Other."

## STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percentage
Economically disadvantaged students	482	90.9
Limited English proficient students	329	62.1
Special education students	53	10.0

<sup>\*\*</sup>All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

		African /	American	America	n Indian	ndian Asian			anic	White		
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2008	42	3	7.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	38	90.5	1	2.4
PK	2009	43	2	4.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	41	95.3	0	0.0
	2010	44	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.3	42	95.5	1	2.3
	2008	75	8	10.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	64	85.3	3	4.0
KN	2009	85	12	14.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	69	81.2	4	4.7
	2010	80	16	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	64	80.0	0	0.0
	2008	95	14	14.7	0	0.0	1	1.1	79	83.2	1	1.1
1	2009	91	12	13.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	77	84.6	2	2.2
	2010	90	8	8.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	78	86.7	4	4.4
	2008	79	14	17.7	0	0.0	2	2.5	60	75.9	3	3.8
2	2009	94	11	11.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	80	85.1	3	3.2
	2010	88	16	18.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	70	79.5	2	2.3
	2008	78	11	14.1	0	0.0	1	1.3	63	80.8	3	3.8
3	2009	68	14	20.6	0	0.0	1	1.5	51	75.0	2	2.9
	2010	87	8	9.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	78	89.7	1	1.1
	2008	59	14	23.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	43	72.9	2	3.4
4	2009	68	13	19.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	52	76.5	3	4.4
	2010	75	10	13.3	1	1.3	1	1.3	63	84.0	0	0.0
	2008	62	11	17.7	0	0.0	1	1.6	49	79.0	1	1.6
5	2009	63	12	19.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	49	77.8	2	3.2
	2010	66	8	12.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	56	84.8	2	3.0
	2008	498	78	15.7	0	0.0	5	1.0	401	80.5	14	2.8
PK-5	2009	520	78	15.0	0	0.0	1	0.2	425	81.7	16	3.1
	2010	530	66	12.5	1	0.2	2	0.4	451	85.1	10	1.9

			Econor Disadva	mically antaged	LE	ĒP	Special E	ducation	TA	\G	New (to District)		Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2008	42	37	88.1	32	76.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	42	100.0	0.0
PK	2009	43	40	93.0	39	90.7	1	2.3	0	0.0	43	100.0	0.0
	2010	44	38	86.4	40	90.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	44	100.0	0.0
	2008	75	66	88.0	53	70.7	5	6.7	2	2.7	35	46.7	0.0
KN	2009	85	71	83.5	57	67.1	7	8.2	5	5.9	35	41.2	0.0
	2010	80	70	87.5	49	61.3	8	10.0	3	3.8	31	38.8	1.3
	2008	95	85	89.5	57	60.0	10	10.5	6	6.3	15	15.8	3.2
1	2009	91	84	92.3	62	68.1	7	7.7	5	5.5	15	16.5	11.0
	2010	90	81	90.0	63	70.0	11	12.2	11	12.2	12	13.3	10.0
	2008	79	70	88.6	41	51.9	10	12.7	6	7.6	14	17.7	8.9
2	2009	94	87	92.6	55	58.5	6	6.4	7	7.4	11	11.7	6.4
	2010	88	82	93.2	59	67.0	11	12.5	11	12.5	12	13.6	1.1
	2008	78	66	84.6	38	48.7	12	15.4	6	7.7	15	19.2	0.0
3	2009	68	61	89.7	36	52.9	6	8.8	7	10.3	8	11.8	5.9
	2010	87	82	94.3	53	60.9	5	5.7	10	11.5	7	8.0	1.1
	2008	59	52	88.1	23	39.0	5	8.5	15	25.4	8	13.6	0.0
4	2009	68	63	92.6	30	44.1	6	8.8	5	7.4	11	16.2	1.5
	2010	75	67	89.3	47	62.7	9	12.0	14	18.7	12	16.0	1.3
	2008	62	56	90.3	21	33.9	6	9.7	12	19.4	12	19.4	1.6
5	2009	63	57	90.5	22	34.9	6	9.5	13	20.6	12	19.0	0.0
	2010	66	62	93.9	18	27.3	9	13.6	8	12.1	12	18.2	0.0
	2008	498	439	88.2	265	53.2	56	11.2	47	9.4	147	29.5	2.6
PK-5	2009	520	470	90.4	301	57.9	47	9.0	42	8.1	140	26.9	4.6
	2010	530	482	90.9	329	62.1	53	10.0	57	10.8	130	24.5	2.5

Grade	Year	Averag Member	ge Daily ship (N)	Д	verage Daily	Attendance		Yea	rly Transactio	ns	Contin Enrolle	•	Stability	Rate (%)
		Campus	District	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	District (N)	District (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	District (%)	Campus	District	Campus	District
	2008	77.6	13,568.1	74.5	96.0	13,004.4	95.8	21	27.1	23.5	65	11,618	83.8	85.6
KN	2009	83.5	13,515.0	79.6	95.3	12,939.1	95.7	20	23.9	22.5	69	11,501	82.6	85.1
	2010	80.8	13,234.2	76.9	95.2	12,616.4	95.3	20	24.8	21.6	66	11,233	81.7	84.9
	2008	95.2	14,626.9	91.6	96.1	14,102.7	96.4	17	17.8	21.2	81	12,704	85.0	86.9
1	2009	90.7	14,250.8	87.5	96.5	13,719.9	96.3	20	22.1	20.7	77	12,300	84.9	86.3
	2010	90.2	13,848.4	85.5	94.8	13,286.3	95.9	28	31.0	20.2	68	11,978	75.4	86.5
	2008	83.1	13,708.6	80.3	96.6	13,269.8	96.8	20	24.1	19.7	66	12,043	79.4	87.9
2	2009	91.7	13,950.1	88.7	96.8	13,499.0	96.8	20	21.8	18.6	78	12,231	85.1	87.7
	2010	88.0	13,440.8	84.5	96.0	12,947.4	96.3	23	26.1	19.0	71	11,794	80.7	87.7
	2008	77.6	12,806.9	75.2	96.9	12,425.5	97.0	17	21.9	19.1	66	11,408	85.0	89.1
3	2009	70.5	13,095.5	68.5	97.2	12,710.6	97.1	7	9.9	18.3	67	11,634	95.0	88.8
	2010	89.1	13,291.1	85.7	96.1	12,853.9	96.7	17	19.1	17.7	75	11,815	84.2	88.9
	2008	60.2	12,329.8	57.8	96.1	11,960.3	97.0	13	21.6	19.7	50	10,924	83.0	88.6
4	2009	70.0	12,156.4	67.7	96.6	11,789.9	97.0	13	18.6	18.1	61	10,731	87.1	88.3
	2010	75.6	12,299.7	73.2	96.7	11,900.0	96.8	10	13.2	17.2	64	10,987	84.6	89.3
	2008	59.6	11,874.2	57.7	96.9	11,539.6	97.2	16	26.9	18.8	52	10,608	87.3	89.3
5	2009	64.6	11,903.5	62.2	96.4	11,552.9	97.1	16	24.8	17.5	50	10,563	77.4	88.7
	2010	62.9	11,687.8	60.6	96.3	11,310.1	96.8	15	23.8	17.0	53	10,453	84.2	89.4
	2008	480.9	83,438.7	463.0	96.3	80,606.0	96.6	162	33.7	31.9	421	76,970	87.5	92.2
KN-5	2009	497.9	83,476.5	479.8	96.4	80,586.5	96.5	148	29.7	30.9	444	76,517	89.2	91.7
	2010	486.6	77,802.0	466.3	95.8	74,914.0	96.3	113	23.2	18.9	397	68,260	81.6	87.7

Teachers Teacher Statistics

# **DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS**

Ethnicity	Number	Percentage
African American	10	29.4
Hispanic	13	38.2
White	10	29.4
Other	1	2.9

Gender	Number	Percentage
Female	27	79.4
Male	7	20.6

# **AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES**

2008	8.0
2009	6.8
2010	7.2

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	50.0	89.3	83.6	*	84.4	84.4		83.3	87.5	85.3	86.2	68
3	2009	*	90.9	93.8	92.6		*	89.5		100.0	83.3	93.3	87.1	30
	2010		87.5	77.8	81.8	*	*	71.4		75.0	84.2	80.0	85.4	35
	2008	*	76.9	67.5	70.0	*	55.0	51.6	*	62.1	80.8	70.9	72.1	55
4	2009	*	53.8	83.3	74.1	*	82.8	65.8		67.5	91.3	76.2	75.5	63
	2010		77.8	65.0	69.0	*	57.1	37.5		66.7	68.8	67.7	77.9	31
	2008	*	90.0	81.8	84.3	*	58.8	69.6		75.0	90.6	83.9	82.9	56
5	2009	*	83.3	79.5	81.1	*	55.6	57.7	*	77.4	85.7	81.4	82.2	59
	2010	*	85.7	92.2	91.1	*	81.3	78.6		91.2	92.0	91.5	86.1	59
	2008	100.0	74.2	80.7	79.5	100.0	69.6	70.7	*	74.2	86.7	80.4	80.3	179
3-5	2009	85.7	75.0	83.3	80.4	*	72.5	68.7	*	77.5	87.3	81.6	81.1	152
	2010	*	83.3	82.7	83.1	33.3	71.4	67.4		81.5	83.3	82.4	83.4	125

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2008	6	31	140	156	6	69	99	2	89	90	179	31,840
2009	7	36	108	138	4	51	83	2	89	63	152	28,282
2010	1	24	98	118	6	28	43	0	65	60	125	24,751

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2010-11 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	4	6	9	*	5	7		6	4	10	1,443	68
3	2009	*	1	1	2		*	2		0	2	2	981	30
	2010		1	6	6	*	*	6		4	3	7	1,039	35
	2008	*	3	13	15	*	9	15	*	11	5	16	2,996	55
4	2009	*	6	8	15	*	5	13		13	2	15	2,489	63
_	2010		2	7	9	*	3	5		5	5	10	1,640	31
	2008	*	1	8	8	*	7	7		6	3	9	1,827	56
5	2009	*	2	9	10	*	8	11	*	7	4	11	1,874	59
	2010	*	1	4	5	*	3	3		3	2	5	1,427	59
	2008	0	8	27	32	0	21	29	*	23	12	35	6,266	179
3-5	2009	1	9	18	27	*	14	26	*	20	8	28	5,344	152
	2010	*	4	17	20	4	8	14		12	10	22	4,106	125

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5				
2008	6	31	140	156	6	69	99	2	89	90	179	31,840
2009	7	36	108	138	4	51	83	2	89	63	152	28,282
2010	1	24	98	118	6	28	43	0	65	60	125	24,751

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2008	78.2	76.5	80.4	72.2
3	2009	80.7	80.0	81.1	81.3
	2010	80.0	77.6	77.6	76.8
	2008	81.1	77.3	75.6	72.0
4	2009	83.5	76.8	69.2	79.4
	2009	77.8	76.2	81.1	69.4
	2008	80.4	82.6	79.0	79.3
5	2009	78.0	78.8	79.2	72.6
	2010	84.9	84.1	84.3	82.0
	2008	79.8	78.6	78.5	74.4
3-5	2009	80.8	78.2	75.4	77.1
	2010	81.8	80.3	81.6	77.4

#### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	12.5	16.1	14.5	*	9.4	6.7		16.7	18.8	17.6	21.5	68
3	2009	*	36.4	25.0	25.9		*	15.8		33.3	25.0	30.0	34.0	30
	2010		62.5	40.7	45.5	*	*	33.3		37.5	52.6	45.7	37.1	35
	2008	*	15.4	7.5	10.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	6.9	15.4	10.9	14.4	55
4	2009	*	7.7	18.8	15.5	*	13.8	10.5		12.5	21.7	15.9	18.3	63
	2010		11.1	5.0	6.9	*	0.0	0.0		13.3	0.0	6.5	19.4	31
	2008	*	10.0	13.6	13.7	*	0.0	4.3		8.3	18.8	14.3	16.5	56
5	2009	*	16.7	20.5	20.8	*	0.0	7.7	*	19.4	17.9	18.6	18.3	59
	2010	*	42.9	29.4	28.6	*	0.0	0.0		20.6	44.0	30.5	23.6	59
	2008	33.3	12.9	12.9	12.8	16.7	4.3	4.0	*	11.2	17.8	14.5	17.4	179
3-5	2009	14.3	19.4	20.4	19.6	*	9.8	10.8	*	19.1	20.6	19.7	22.5	152
	2010	*	37.5	27.6	28.0	0.0	3.6	16.3		23.1	35.0	28.8	26.2	125

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5				
2008	6	31	140	156	6	69	99	2	89	90	179	31,840
2009	7	36	108	138	4	51	83	2	89	63	152	28,282
2010	1	24	98	118	6	28	43	0	65	60	125	24,751

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	22.2	73.2	64.3	*	71.4	64.4		69.4	66.7	68.1	76.6	69
3	2009	*	92.3	98.0	96.6	*	100.0	96.2		100.0	94.3	97.0	76.8	66
	2010		100.0	93.2	94.9	*	98.0	84.0		92.7	95.1	93.9	78.2	82
	2008	*	84.6	77.5	80.0	*	70.0	64.5	*	75.9	84.6	80.0	77.9	55
4	2009	*	76.9	89.8	84.7	*	86.7	82.1		82.5	91.7	85.9	78.9	64
	2010		100.0	93.1	93.8	100.0	91.1	87.5		91.2	97.2	94.3	81.8	70
	2008	*	80.0	91.3	92.2	*	84.2	88.5		88.0	90.9	89.7	84.0	58
5	2009	*	100.0	90.2	91.8	*	73.3	85.7	*	92.6	92.6	92.6	86.2	54
	2010	*	71.4	88.2	85.7	*	87.5	78.6		82.4	92.0	86.4	87.7	59
	2008	100.0	65.6	80.3	78.3	88.9	74.3	70.6	*	76.7	80.4	78.6	79.5	182
3-5	2009	83.3	89.2	92.9	91.0	71.4	90.1	89.4	*	90.8	93.0	91.8	80.5	184
	2010	*	92.0	91.8	91.9	90.0	93.8	83.6		89.0	95.1	91.9	82.3	211

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5				
2008	6	32	142	157	9	74	102	2	90	92	182	32,696
2009	6	37	140	167	7	81	113	2	98	86	184	33,885
2010	1	25	183	198	10	112	55	0	109	102	211	34,834

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2010-11 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 67% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	ΑII	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	7	15	20	*	10	16		11	11	22	2,542	69
3	2009	*	1	1	2	*	0	2		0	2	2	2,856	66
	2010		0	5	4	*	1	4		3	2	5	2,739	82
	2008	*	2	9	10	*	6	11	*	7	4	11	2,436	55
4	2009	*	3	5	9	*	4	7		7	2	9	2,263	64
	2010		0	4	4	0	4	2		3	1	4	2,101	70
	2008	*	2	4	4	*	3	3		3	3	6	1,730	58
5	2009	*	0	4	4	*	4	3	*	2	2	4	1,495	54
	2010	*	2	6	8	*	2	3		6	2	8	1,314	59
	2008	0	11	28	34	1	19	30	*	21	18	39	6,708	182
3-5	2009	1	4	10	15	2	8	12	*	9	6	15	6,614	184
	2010	*	2	15	16	1	7	9		12	5	17	6,154	211

					NUM BE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5				
2008	6	32	142	157	9	74	102	2	90	92	182	32,696
2009	6	37	140	167	7	81	113	2	98	86	184	33,885
2010	1	25	183	198	10	112	55	0	109	102	211	34,834

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2008	71.9	75.8	80.4	79.5	75.7	57.8
3	2009	90.9	87.4	94.2	93.2	90.9	79.5
	2010	88.5	85.2	90.0	88.0	86.0	73.5
	2008	81.5	83.9	87.0	77.9	76.8	73.9
4	2009	89.9	83.5	85.9	84.9	91.4	79.9
	2010	89.1	89.0	77.6	86.4	82.9	78.2
	2008	87.0	81.0	85.7	80.3	87.1	81.9
5	2009	88.4	86.2	90.2	81.2	86.6	83.1
	2010	85.1	80.4	86.4	76.5	83.1	78.8
	2008	79.6	79.9	84.1	79.3	79.7	70.3
3-5	2009	89.8	85.7	90.2	86.8	89.8	80.7
	2010	87.7	85.1	84.9	84.3	84.1	76.5

#### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	0.0	16.1	8.9	*	11.4	13.3		16.7	15.2	15.9	26.3	69
3	2009	*	30.8	52.0	45.8	*	52.8	43.4		45.2	48.6	47.0	28.8	66
	2010		25.0	37.8	37.2	*	39.2	8.0		29.3	43.9	36.6	21.3	82
	2008	*	23.1	30.0	28.0	*	15.0	9.7	*	17.2	42.3	29.1	23.8	55
4	2009	*	23.1	71.4	57.6	*	66.7	51.3		55.0	70.8	60.9	31.4	64
	2010		30.0	34.5	37.5	28.6	24.4	31.3		38.2	33.3	35.7	29.1	70
	2008	*	40.0	45.7	47.1	*	10.5	19.2		40.0	51.5	46.6	31.9	58
5	2009	*	45.5	51.2	51.0	*	20.0	23.8	*	40.7	63.0	51.9	37.9	54
	2010	*	28.6	39.2	35.7	*	18.8	7.1		29.4	48.0	37.3	34.5	59
	2008	66.7	21.9	29.6	27.4	44.4	12.2	13.7	*	23.3	35.9	29.7	27.3	182
3-5	2009	66.7	32.4	58.6	51.5	42.9	51.9	42.5	*	48.0	59.3	53.3	32.5	184
	2010	*	28.0	37.2	36.9	20.0	30.4	14.5		32.1	41.2	36.5	27.9	211

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5				
2008	6	32	142	157	9	74	102	2	90	92	182	32,696
2009	6	37	140	167	7	81	113	2	98	86	184	33,885
2010	1	25	183	198	10	112	55	0	109	102	211	34,834

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	100.0	97.5	96.0	*	95.0	93.5	*	93.1	100.0	96.4	87.4	55
4	2009	*	76.9	89.6	84.5	*	86.2	78.9		77.5	100.0	85.7	87.4	63
	2010		77.8	100.0	92.6	*	100.0	87.5		93.3	92.9	93.1	89.8	29

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2008	2	13	40	50	2	20	31	2	29	26	55	10,658
2009	2	13	48	58	1	29	38	0	40	23	63	10,032
2010	0	9	19	27	3	7	8	0	15	14	29	7,294

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2011 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	0	1	2	*	1	2	*	2	0	2	1,348	55
4	2009	*	3	5	9	*	4	8		9	0	9	1,264	63
	2010		2	0	2	*	0	1		1	1	2	744	29

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2008	2	13	40	50	2	20	31	2	29	26	55	10,658
2009	2	13	48	58	1	29	38	0	40	23	63	10,032
2010	0	9	19	27	3	7	8	0	15	14	29	7,294

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions <sup>1</sup>	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2008	2.4	89.1	74.3	87.3	91.1
4	2009	2.1	79.0	80.4	87.5	88.7
	2010	2.5	79.3	78.4	84.9	80.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

#### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	23.1	30.0	28.0	*	10.0	6.5	*	17.2	42.3	29.1	21.4	55
4	2009	*	15.4	22.9	17.2	*	13.8	10.5		17.5	26.1	20.6	21.0	63
	2010		11.1	26.3	25.9	*	28.6	12.5		33.3	14.3	24.1	23.8	29

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2008	2	13	40	50	2	20	31	2	29	26	55	10,658
2009	2	13	48	58	1	29	38	0	40	23	63	10,032
2010	0	9	19	27	3	7	8	0	15	14	29	7,294

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	33.3	56.8	52.0	*	38.9	39.1		60.9	50.0	54.5	71.9	55
5	2009	*	75.0	69.0	70.6	*	37.5	52.2	*	75.9	66.7	71.4	75.1	56
	2010	*	57.1	90.2	85.7	*	81.3	84.6		79.4	96.0	86.4	81.4	59

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 5				
2008	1	9	44	50	1	18	23	0	23	32	55	10,686
2009	2	12	42	51	2	16	23	2	29	27	56	10,675
2010	1	7	51	56	2	16	13	0	34	25	59	10,576

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 55 percent, the 2011 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	6	19	24	*	11	14		9	16	25	3,005	55
5	2009	*	3	13	15	*	10	11	*	7	9	16	2,656	56
	2010	*	3	5	8	*	3	2		7	1	8	1,965	59

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 5				
2008	1	9	44	50	1	18	23	0	23	32	55	10,686
2009	2	12	42	51	2	16	23	2	29	27	56	10,675
2010	1	7	51	56	2	16	13	0	34	25	59	10,576

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Life Sciences	Physical Sciences	Earth Sciences
	2008	82.8	80.0	76.4	66.1
5	2009	86.5	88.9	80.0	66.1
	2010	88.1	88.1	90.8	82.5

# PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	11.1	15.9	16.0	*	5.6	8.7		21.7	15.6	18.2	24.8	55
5	2009	*	8.3	23.8	21.6	*	0.0	8.7	*	24.1	22.2	23.2	28.7	56
	2010	*	28.6	54.9	50.0	*	25.0	15.4		50.0	56.0	52.5	32.8	59

					NUM E	BER TESTI	D IN GRA	DES 5				
2008	1	9	44	50	1	18	23	0	23	32	55	10,686
2009	2	12	42	51	2	16	23	2	29	27	56	10,675
2010	1	7	51	56	2	16	13	0	34	25	59	10,576

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknow ledgment Standard for 2011.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008												85.4	0
3	2009			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	89.4	34
	2010			100.0	100.0		100.0	*		100.0	100.0	100.0	84.5	47
	2008												67.0	0
4	2009												72.7	0
	2010			94.7	94.1	*	94.7	87.5		88.9	100.0	94.7	84.4	38
	2008													0
ALL	2009	·		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	·	100.0	100.0	100.0		34
	2010	·		97.6	97.5	*	97.6	91.7		95.3	100.0	97.6		85

					NUM BE	R TESTED	IN GRAD	ES ALL				
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2009	0	0	34	31	0	33	33	0	12	22	34	
2010	0	0	85	79	3	84	12	0	43	42	85	

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2010-11 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008												239	0
3	2009			0	0		0	0		0	0	0	514	34
	2010			0	0		0	*		0	0	0	863	47
	2008												215	0
4	2009												268	0
	2010			2	2	*	2	1		2	0	2	651	38
	2008													0
ALL	2009	·		0	0		0	0		0	0	0		34
	2010	·		2	2	*	2	1		2	0	2		85

					NUM BE	R TESTED	IN GRAD	ES ALL				
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2009	0	0	34	31	0	33	33	0	12	22	34	_
2010	0	0	85	79	3	84	12	0	43	42	85	

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2008				
3	2009	92.9	91.6	92.6	90.1
	2010	89.8	93.6	91.8	93.6
	2008				
4	2009				
	2010	83.0	90.1	89.1	83.7
	2008				
ALL	2009	92.9	91.6	92.6	90.1
	2010	86.7	92.1	90.6	89.2

## PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	ΑII	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008												17.2	0
3	2009			67.6	67.7		66.7	66.7		41.7	81.8	67.6	30.4	34
	2010			70.2	71.1		69.6	*		68.0	72.7	70.2	39.6	47
	2008												13.5	0
4	2009												19.9	0
	2010			50.0	47.1	*	50.0	25.0		55.6	45.0	50.0	34.4	38
	2008													0
ALL	2009			67.6	67.7		66.7	66.7		41.7	81.8	67.6		34
	2010			61.2	60.8	*	60.7	25.0		62.8	59.5	61.2		85

					NUM BE	R TESTED	IN ALL G	RADES				
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2009	0	0	34	31	0	33	33	0	12	22	34	
2010	0	0	85	79	3	84	12	0	43	42	85	

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008												81.9	0
4	2009												87.1	0
	2010			92.3	91.4	*	92.1	87.5		83.3	100.0	92.3	93.7	39

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	667
2009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	981
2010	0	0	39	35	3	38	8	0	18	21	39	4,216

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2011 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Spec Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008												121	0
4	2009												127	0
	2010			3	3	*	3	1		3	0	3	266	39

		NUM BER TESTED IN GRADE 4											
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	667	
2009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	981	
2010	0	0	39	35	3	38	8	0	18	21	39	4,216	

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition <sup>1</sup> : Effectiveness / Command of Conventions	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2008					
4	2009					
	2010	2.8	83.3	87.5	87.2	81.7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

#### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008												14.2	0
4	2009												20.4	0
	2010			59.0	57.1	*	57.9	37.5		55.6	61.9	59.0	30.4	39

		NUM BER TESTED IN GRADE 4											
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	667	
2009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	981	
2010	0	0	39	35	3	38	8	0	18	21	39	4,216	

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		*	*	57.1	57.1	*	*		*	*	57.1	77.7	7
3	2009		*		*	*		*		*	*	*	87.7	3
	2010			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	81.1	3
	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	70.7	3
4	2009			*	*	*	*	*			*	*	71.8	1
	2010		*	*	*	*		*		*		*	75.8	3
	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	73.3	5
5	2009			*	*	*	*	*		*		*	80.3	3
	2010	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	93.9	4
	2008		*	70.0	78.6	80.0	*	75.0		90.0	*	80.0	73.7	15
3-5	2009	·	*	*	100.0	100.0	*	100.0		*	*	100.0	79.2	7
	2010	*	*	28.6	50.0	50.0	*	33.3		50.0	*	50.0	84.8	10

		NUM BER TESTED IN GRADES 3-5											
2008	0	5	10	14	15	5	8	0	10	5	15	1,807	
2009	0	3	4	7	7	3	6	0	5	2	7	1,867	
2010	1	2	7	10	10	2	6	0	8	2	10	1,749	

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		*	*	3	3	*	*		*	*	3	116	7
3	2009		*		*	*		*		*	*	*	60	3
	2010			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	96	3
	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	181	3
4	2009			*	*	*	*	*			*	*	192	1
	2010		*	*	*	*		*		*		*	126	3
	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	179	5
5	2009			*	*	*	*	*		*		*	137	3
	2010	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	44	4
	2008		*	3	3	3	*	2		1	*	3	476	15
3-5	2009		*	*	0	0	*	0		*	*	0	389	7
	2010	*	*	5	5	5	*	4		4	*	5	266	10

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-5											
2008	0	5	10	14	15	5	8	0	10	5	15	1,807	
2009	0	3	4	7	7	3	6	0	5	2	7	1,867	
2010	1	2	7	10	10	2	6	0	8	2	10	1,749	

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2008	44.0	52.4	40.0	57.1
3	2009	*	*	*	*
	2010	*	*	*	*
	2008	*	*	*	*
4	2009	*	*	*	*
	2010	*	*	*	*
	2008	*	*	*	*
5	2009	*	*	*	*
	2010	*	*	*	*
	2008	66.4	68.9	65.3	70.3
3-5	2009	84.5	95.2	94.3	82.8
	2010	51.0	66.7	38.3	53.1

## PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		*	*	0.0	0.0	*	*		*	*	0.0	10.8	7
3	2009		*		*	*		*		*	*	*	16.2	3
	2010			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	19.1	3
	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	9.7	3
4	2009			*	*	*	*	*			*	*	8.9	1
	2010		*	*	*	*		*		*		*	15.2	3
	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	14.9	5
5	2009			*	*	*	*	*		*		*	14.1	3
	2010	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	15.4	4
	2008		*	40.0	21.4	26.7	*	37.5		30.0	*	26.7	12.0	15
3-5	2009		*	*	42.9	42.9	*	50.0		*	*	42.9	12.7	7
	2010	*	*	0.0	10.0	10.0	*	0.0		12.5	*	10.0	16.4	10

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 3-5				
2008	0	5	10	14	15	5	8	0	10	5	15	1,807
2009	0	3	4	7	7	3	6	0	5	2	7	1,867
2010	1	2	7	10	10	2	6	0	8	2	10	1,749

#### PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		*	*	42.9	42.9	*	*		*	*	42.9	76.1	7
3	2009		*		*	*		*		*		*	81.2	1
	2010			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	78.3	3
	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	100.0	*	69.1	3
4	2009												75.8	0
	2010			*	*	*		*		*		*	80.6	2
	2008		*	*	*	*				*		*	64.0	2
5	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	80.2	4
	2010	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	86.4	4
	2008		*	50.0	54.5	58.3	*	*		62.5	*	58.3	69.4	12
3-5	2009	·	*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	78.8	5
	2010	*	*	42.9	55.6	55.6	*	33.3		57.1	*	55.6	82.4	9

					NUM BE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5						
2008	0	4	8	11	12	4	5	0	8	4	12	1,730		
2009	0	0 2 3 5 5 2 4 0 5 0 5 1,743												
2010	1	1	7	9	9	2	6	0	7	2	9	1,615		

#### NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		*	*	4	4	*	*		*	*	4	129	7
3	2009		*		*	*		*		*		*	85	1
	2010			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	102	3
	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	173	3
4	2009												157	0
	2010			*	*	*		*		*		*	92	2
	2008		*	*	*	*				*		*	227	2
5	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	127	4
	2010	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	91	4
	2008		*	4	5	5	*	*		3	*	5	529	12
3-5	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	369	5
	2010	*	*	4	4	4	*	4		3	*	4	285	9

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 3-5						
2008	0	0 4 8 11 12 4 5 0 8 4 12 1,730												
2009	0	0 2 3 5 5 2 4 0 5 0 5 1,743												
2010	1	1	7	9	9	2	6	0	7	2	9	1,615		

## AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2008	55.4	51.4	51.4	48.6	38.1	38.1
3	2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	2009						
	2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2008	60.1	56.4	54.7	53.9	36.1	51.1
3-5	2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2010	48.9	61.1	45.9	59.3	55.6	58.9

## PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		*	*	0.0	0.0	*	*		*	*	0.0	16.1	7
3	2009		*		*	*		*		*		*	22.3	1
	2010			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	13.4	3
	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	14.3	3
4	2009												14.0	0
	2010			*	*	*		*		*		*	20.2	2
	2008		*	*	*	*				*		*	14.0	2
5	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	17.2	4
	2010	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	18.9	4
	2008		*	12.5	9.1	8.3	*	*		12.5	*	8.3	14.7	12
3-5	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	17.3	5
	2010	*	*	0.0	11.1	11.1	*	0.0		14.3	*	11.1	17.7	9

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5					
2008	0	4	8	11	12	4	5	0	8	4	12	1,730	
2009	0	2 3 5 5 2 4 0 5 0 5 1,743											
2010	1	1	7	9	9	2	6	0	7	2	9	1,615	

## PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the ITBS

			READIN	G TOTAL (	**LANGUAGE	≣)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	ΑII	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	50.0	45.8	57.6	24
K**	2009	33.3	41.2	37.9	41.9	29
	2010	13.3	25.0	19.4	40.0	31
	2008	0.0	65.6	53.8	50.2	39
1	2009	20.0	70.6	46.9	54.2	32
	2010	54.5	64.7	59.0	55.2	39
	2008	21.1	72.2	45.9	50.2	37
2	2009	34.8	87.5	56.4	47.9	39
	2010	39.1	72.7	50.0	48.6	34
	2008	15.4	68.0	50.0	50.2	76
1-2	2009	28.9	78.8	52.1	51.0	71
	2010	46.7	67.9	54.8	51.9	73

		N	MATHEMATI	CS TOTAL	(**MATHEM	ATICS)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	12.5	32.0	19.2	44.9	73
K**	2009	67.8	52.4	63.8	52.8	80
	2010	49.2	58.8	51.3	51.2	78
	2008	39.6	52.6	45.1	55.5	91
1	2009	46.7	29.4	37.5	50.4	32
	2010	58.2	57.9	58.1	48.8	86
	2008	44.2	85.7	56.2	57.5	73
2	2009	25.0	57.1	36.8	53.2	38
	2010	57.6	60.0	58.0	54.6	81
	2008	32.7	54.8	40.5	52.7	237
K-2	2009	54.1	46.2	51.3	52.3	150
	2010	55.2	58.8	55.9	51.5	245

	2008	30	70	100	21,817	
Number Tested	2009	50	50	100	21,148	
100100	2010	60	44	104	20,804	

	2008	153	84	237	41,070	
Number Tested	2009	98	52	150	30,563	
rested	2010	194	51	245	40,163	

## PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	25.0	57.1	45.5	*	*	*		42.9	50.0	45.8	57.6	24
K**	2009	*	54.5	25.0	27.3	*	42.9	33.3		35.7	40.0	37.9	41.9	29
	2010		20.0	18.8	19.2	*	*	13.3		27.3	0.0	19.4	40.0	31
	2008	*	53.8	50.0	54.3	*	*	0.0		30.8	65.4	53.8	50.2	39
1	2009	*	38.5	52.9	43.3	*	*	20.0		28.6	61.1	46.9	54.2	32
	2010	*	71.4	53.6	53.3	*	66.7	54.5		52.2	68.8	59.0	55.2	39
	2008	*	41.7	45.0	46.9	*	*	21.1		48.1	40.0	45.9	50.2	37
2	2009	*	50.0	55.6	56.8	*	14.3	34.8		50.0	60.0	56.4	47.9	39
	2010	*	31.3	62.5	46.9	*	50.0	39.1		52.9	47.1	50.0	48.6	34
	2008	*	48.0	47.7	50.7	11.1	22.2	15.4		42.5	58.3	50.0	50.2	76
1-2	2009	*	43.5	54.5	50.7	*	25.0	28.9		39.3	60.5	52.1	51.0	71
	2010	83.3	43.5	56.8	50.0	25.0	61.1	46.7		52.5	57.6	54.8	51.9	73
	2008	6	33	58	89	10	12	30	0	54	46	100	21,817	

	2008	6	33	58	89	10	12	30	0	54	46	100	21,817
Number Tested	2009	6	34	60	89	6	19	50	0	42	58	100	21,148
rested	2010	6	38	60	88	12	20	60	0	62	42	104	20,804

## NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	1	4	5	*	*	*		3	3	6	1,687	24
K**	2009	*	0	0	0	*	0	0		0	0	0	771	29
	2010		0	1	1	*	*	0		1	0	1	758	31
	2008	*	1	6	6	*	*	0		1	7	8	1,555	39
1	2009	*	3	4	7	*	*	1		3	5	8	1,659	32
	2010	*	1	7	6	*	3	4		6	4	10	1,729	39
	2008	*	2	0	4	*	*	0		5	0	5	1,065	37
2	2009	*	1	2	3	*	0	0		2	2	4	1,027	39
	2010	*	2	3	5	*	1	2		3	4	7	954	34
	2008	*	3	6	10	0	0	0		6	7	13	2,620	76
1-2	2009	*	4	6	10	*	1	1		5	7	12	2,688	71
	2010	4	3	10	11	1	4	6		9	8	17	2,683	73

	2008	6	33	58	89	10	12	30	0	54	46	100	21,817
Number Tested	2009	6	34	60	89	6	19	50	0	42	58	100	21,148
restea	2010	6	38	60	88	12	20	60	0	62	42	104	20,804

## PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	25.0	17.5	18.5	*	11.5	12.5		15.2	25.9	19.2	44.9	73
K**	2009	*	72.7	62.7	63.2	*	66.7	67.8		57.1	71.1	63.8	52.8	80
	2010		40.0	54.0	51.5	28.6	55.1	49.2		40.9	64.7	51.3	51.2	78
	2008	*	38.5	44.7	43.2	0.0	40.0	39.6		23.8	63.3	45.1	55.5	91
1	2009	*	30.8	41.2	36.7	*	*	46.7		50.0	27.8	37.5	50.4	32
	2010	*	85.7	54.7	56.6	22.2	58.3	58.2		60.9	55.0	58.1	48.8	86
	2008	*	58.3	53.6	59.4	*	50.0	44.2		60.0	50.0	56.2	57.5	73
2	2009	*	20.0	44.4	37.8	*	42.9	25.0		28.6	41.7	36.8	53.2	38
	2010	*	21.4	64.6	54.7	37.5	67.3	57.6		57.1	59.4	58.0	54.6	81
	2008	83.3	42.4	38.5	40.5	6.7	32.7	32.7		33.1	50.0	40.5	52.7	237
K-2	2009	*	41.2	55.0	50.4	11.1	65.2	54.1		50.0	52.5	51.3	52.3	150
	2010	83.3	41.7	57.6	54.3	29.2	60.4	55.2		53.2	59.4	55.9	51.5	245
	2008	6	33	195	210	15	147	153	0	133	104	237	41,070	

	_000	•			0		l	.00	•	.00			11,010
Number Tested	2009	5	34	111	135	9	69	98	0	70	80	150	30,563
100104	2010	6	36	203	219	24	164	194	0	139	106	245	40,163

## NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	ΑΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	1	2	3	*	0	0		3	1	4	2,788	73
K**	2009	*	2	11	11	*	11	11		6	7	13	2,455	80
	2010		2	19	20	1	17	17		7	14	21	2,358	78
	2008	*	1	3	4	0	2	2		1	4	5	2,800	91
1	2009	*	2	1	3	*	*	0		2	2	4	1,577	32
	2010	*	3	14	16	0	12	13		12	7	19	2,266	86
	2008	*	2	8	9	*	6	7		9	3	12	2,912	73
2	2009	*	1	0	1	*	0	0		0	1	1	1,686	38
	2010	*	0	16	15	1	15	14		9	8	17	2,484	81
	2008	2	4	13	16	0	8	9		13	8	21	8,500	237
K-2	2009	*	5	12	15	1	11	11		8	10	18	5,718	150
	2010	3	5	49	51	2	44	44		28	29	57	7,108	245
	2008	6	33	105	210	15	147	153	0	133	104	237	41 070	

	2008	6	33	195	210	15	147	153	0	133	104	237	41,070
Number Tested	2009	5	34	111	135	9	69	98	0	70	80	150	30,563
100104	2010	6	36	203	219	24	164	194	0	139	106	245	40,163

ITBS Math (3) ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

## PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	46.2	51.4	51.9	*	45.5	47.2		39.0	62.5	51.7	65.7	89
1	2009	*	55.6	58.1	58.5	*	60.0	59.1		58.7	55.6	55.7	66.0	88
	2010	*	71.4	69.7	70.1	22.2	71.7	73.1		70.2	67.5	69.0	63.5	87
	2008	*	58.3	58.9	64.1	*	57.5	48.1		68.9	46.4	60.3	64.0	73
2	2009		33.3	69.6	66.7	*	87.8	85.1		63.2	67.5	63.5	60.9	85
	2010	*	21.4	69.2	60.0	37.5	76.4	62.1		63.3	56.3	60.5	61.4	81
	2008	*	52.0	54.6	57.3	10.0	50.5	47.6		54.7	56.6	55.6	64.9	162
1-2	2009	*	44.4	64.1	62.7	*	73.7	72.5		60.7	62.7	59.5	63.5	173
	2010	50.0	38.1	69.5	65.1	29.4	73.9	67.7		66.7	62.5	64.9	62.5	168

	2008	4	25	130	143	10	95	105	0	86	76	162	27,631
Number Tested	2009	2	18	131	134	5	99	91	0	84	67	173	27,480
Toolog	2010	6	21	141	152	17	115	133	0	96	72	168	26,937

ITBS Math (4) ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

## NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	2	18	17	*	12	12		7	13	20	4,546	89
1	2009	*	4	17	18	*	14	13		14	8	24	4,597	88
	2010	*	5	25	26	0	22	23		18	13	31	4,129	87
	2008	*	2	7	8	*	5	5		6	4	10	2,557	73
2	2009		1	21	19	*	21	20		6	16	23	3,822	85
	2010	*	1	28	27	2	27	25		16	13	29	3,776	81
	2008	*	4	25	25	0	17	17	·	13	17	30	7,103	162
1-2	2009	*	5	38	37	*	35	33		20	24	47	8,419	173
	2010	1	6	53	53	2	49	48		34	26	60	7,905	168

Number Tested	2008	4	25	130	143	10	95	105	0	86	76	162	27,631
	2009	2	18	131	134	5	99	91	0	84	67	173	27,480
	2010	6	21	141	152	17	115	133	0	96	72	168	26,937

Logramos Read (1) Logramos: READING TOTAL

## PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008			62.0	61.4	*	61.2	59.1		50.0	83.3	62.0	87.0	50
K	2009			88.2	87.0	*	88.0	89.4		78.6	100.0	88.2	88.6	51
	2010			89.4	90.5	*	89.4	89.1		77.3	100.0	89.4	89.3	47
	2008			98.0	97.7	*	98.0	97.8		96.4	100.0	98.0	88.0	50
1	2009			89.3	92.2	*	89.1	90.6		88.6	90.5	89.3	88.6	56
	2010			93.8	93.6	*	93.8	97.8		91.7	95.8	93.8	89.1	48
	2008			94.6	97.0	*	94.4	93.9		94.7	94.4	94.6	93.4	37
2	2009			97.9	97.7		97.9	97.9		96.2	100.0	97.9	94.0	47
	2010			98.0	97.8	*	98.0	97.8		96.9	100.0	98.0	93.8	49
	2008			83.9	84.3	*	83.7	82.9		77.2	93.1	83.9	89.3	137
K-2	2009			91.6	92.1	100.0	91.4	92.5		87.6	96.9	91.6	90.4	154
	2010			93.8	94.0	66.7	93.8	94.9		89.7	98.5	93.8	90.7	144
														•
	2008	0	0	137	121	4	135	123	0	79	58	137	18,257	
Number Tested	2009	0	0	154	140	6	152	147	0	89	65	154	19,076	
133.00	2010	0	0	144	134	12	144	136	0	78	66	144	19,041	

Logramos Read (2)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

## NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008			13	10	*	12	10		7	6	13	3,280	50
K	2009			31	29	*	31	30		15	16	31	3,525	51
	2010			28	25	*	28	28		8	20	28	3,523	47
	2008			23	20	*	23	21		10	13	23	2,412	50
1	2009			21	20	*	21	20		9	12	21	2,523	56
	2010			18	18	*	18	17		9	9	18	2,529	48
	2008			14	12	*	14	12		6	8	14	2,596	37
2	2009			25	23		25	25		10	15	25	2,909	47
	2010			30	26	*	30	28		16	14	30	3,040	49
	2008			50	42	*	49	43		23	27	50	8,288	137
K-2	2009			77	72	1	77	75		34	43	77	8,957	154
	2010			76	69	1	76	73		33	43	76	9,092	144
	2008	0	0	137	121	4	135	123	0	79	58	137	18,257	
Number Tested	2009	0	0	154	140	6	152	147	0	89	65	154	19,076	

2010

0

144

134

12

136 0

66 144

19,041

78

## PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1 in 2009		Level 2	in 2009	Level 3	in 2009	Levels 1-3 in 2009		
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2010							
4	1	0.0	1	100.0	3	33.3	5	40.0	
ALL	1	0.0	1	100.0	3	33.3	5	40.0	

#### **PERFORMANCE IN 2010**

#### Grade Domain: Listening **Speaking** Writing Reading Composite (N Rated) 2010 Level Ν % % % % Ν % Ν Ν Ν Beginning 18 36.0 28 56.0 49 98.0 48 96.0 48 96.0 KN Intermediate 50.0 21 42.0 1 2.0 2.0 1 2.0 12.0 0.0 0 0.0 2.0 1 Advanced 2.0 (50)0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 Advanced High 1 2.0 2.0 3 4.8 8 17.7 13 Beginning 12.9 11 13 21.0 21.0 1 25 40.3 34 54.8 41 66.1 37 59.7 37 59.7 Intermediate Advanced 32 51.6 18 29.0 10 16.1 14.5 9 14.5 (62)2 3.2 3 Advanced High 0.0 4.8 4.8 7 3 Beginning 8.6 12.1 14 24.6 3 5.3 5.3 2 17 29.3 19 32.8 17 29.8 20 35.1 21 36.8 Intermediate Advanced 16 27.6 19 32.8 11 19.3 17 29.8 18 31.6 (58)Advanced High 20 34.5 13 22.4 15 26.3 17 29.8 15 26.3 7 13.2 Beginning 15.1 6 11.5 1.9 1 1.9 3 9 12 7 9 Intermediate 17.0 22.6 13 25.0 13.5 17.3 7 13.2 13 9 17.3 26.9 26.9 Advanced 24.5 14 14 (53)Advanced High 30 56.6 20 37.7 24 46.2 30 57.7 28 53.8 Beginning 2.2 0 0.0 12 26.1 0 0.0 0 0.0 4 Intermediate 5 10.9 7 15.2 14 30.4 7 15.2 7 15.2 Advanced 18 39.1 18 39.1 9 19.6 18 39.1 20 43.5 (46)47.8 45.7 23.9 21 45.7 19 41.3 Advanced High

#### PROGRESSION FROM 2009 TO 2010

Number Rated Both Years			2009	Level			
N (%) Progressed	2010 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High		
	Beginning		-	_			
	Intermediate	ı		-			
-	Advanced	ı	ı	-	-		
	Advanced High	-	1	-	-		
	Beginning		8	3			
55	Intermediate	33		2			
41 (74.5%)	Advanced	4	1	4			
	Advanced High	1	0	2			
	Beginning		;	3			
56	Intermediate	9		12			
33 (58.9%)	Advanced	0	9	8	3		
	Advanced High	0	5	1	0		
	Beginning			1			
51	Intermediate	3		6			
40 (78.4%)	Advanced	1	9	4	4		
	Advanced High	0	4	2	3		
	Beginning		(	0			
42	Intermediate	1		3			
26 (61.9%)	Advanced	0	7	1	3		
	Advanced High	0	3	1	5		

#### **PERFORMANCE IN 2010**

Grade	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	iting	Rea	ding	Com	posite
(N Rated)	2010 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	1	5.6	1	5.6	1	5.6	1	5.3	1	5.6
5	Intermediate	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
(19)	Advanced	9	50.0	9	50.0	11	61.1	4	21.1	4	22.2
	Advanced High	8	44.4	8	44.4	4	22.2	14	73.7	13	72.2
	Beginning	35	12.2	52	18.1	93	32.6	66	23.1	66	23.2
ALL	Intermediate	81	28.2	93	32.4	88	30.9	72	25.2	75	26.3
(288)	Advanced	88	30.7	77	26.8	50	17.5	63	22.0	66	23.2
	Advanced High	83	28.9	65	22.6	54	18.9	85	29.7	78	27.4

# PROGRESSION FROM 2009 TO 2010

Number Rated Both Years			2009 Level						
N (%) Progressed	2010 Level	Beg	Int	Int Adv Adv High					
	Beginning		(	0					
13	Intermediate	0		0					
11 (84.6%)	Advanced	0	2	2					
	Advanced High	0	1	æ	3				
	Beginning		1	2					
217	Intermediate	46		23					
151 (69.6%)	Advanced	5	28	31					
	Advanced High	1	13	5	8				

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2009 to 2010.