Campus Data Packet

for 2010-11 planning

Information in this packet is based on data from the 2009-10 school year.



W. W. BUSHMAN

School Number 118



2010-11 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2 ContentsTable of Contents	4 Notes Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
6 Summary Summary of Student and Teacher Statistics	7 Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
8 Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	9 Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
10 Teachers Teacher Statistics	
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	
11 TAKS Read (1) TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	12 TAKS Read (2) TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
13 TAKS Read (3) TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	14 TAKS Read (4) TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
15 TAKS Math (1)TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	16 TAKS Math (2)TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
17 TAKS Math (3)TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	18 TAKS Math (4)TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
19 TAKS Write (1) TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	20 TAKS Write (2)TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
21 TAKS Write (3) TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	22 TAKS Write (4) TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
23 TAKS Science (1) TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	24 TAKS Science (2)TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
25 TAKS Science (3) TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	26 TAKS Science (4)TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
27 SPTAKS Read (1) Spanish TAKS Reading: % Meeting Standard	28 SPTAKS Read (2) Spanish TAKS Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
29 SPTAKS Read (3) Spanish TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	30 SPTAKS Read (4) Spanish TAKS Reading: % Commended
31 SPTAKS Write (1) Spanish TAKS Writing: % Meeting Standard	32 SPTAKS Write (2) Spanish TAKS Writing: # Not Meeting Standard
33 SPTAKS Write (3) Spanish TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	34 SPTAKS Write (4)Spanish TAKS Writing: % Commended
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS (MOD)	
35 TAKS-M Read (1) TAKS-M Reading: % Meeting Standard	36 TAKS-M Read (2) TAKS-M Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
37 TAKS-M Read (3) TAKS-M Reading: Performance by Objective	38 TAKS-M Read (4) TAKS-M Reading: Percentage Commended
39 TAKS-M Math (1) TAKS-M Math: % Meeting Standard	40 TAKS-M Math (2) TAKS-M Math: # Not Meeting Standard
41 TAKS-M Math (3) TAKS-M Math: Performance by Objective	42 TAKS-M Math (4) TAKS-M Math: Percentage Commended
43 TAKS-M Write (1) TAKS-M Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	44 TAKS-M Write (2)TAKS-M Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
45 TAKS-M Write (3) TAKS-M Writing: Performance by Objective	46 TAKS-M Write (4)TAKS-M Writing: Percentage Commended
47 TAKS-M Science (1) TAKS-M Science: % Meeting Standard	48 TAKS-M Science (2) TAKS-M Science: # Not Meeting Standard
49 TAKS-M Science (3) TAKS-M Science: Performance by Objective	50 TAKS-M Science (4) TAKS-M Science: Percentage Commended
ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS	
51 Compliance SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	52 ITBS Read (1)ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-ile
53 ITBS Read (2) ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-ile	54 ITBS Math (1) ITBS Math Total: % At or Above the 40th %-ile
55 ITBS Math (2) ITBS Math Total: # At or Above the 80th %-ile	56 ITBS Math (3) ITBS Math Computation: % At or Above the 40th %-ile
57 ITBS Math (4) ITBS Math Computation: # At or Above the 80th %-ile	58 Logramos Read (1) Logramos Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-ile
59 Logramos Read (2) Logramos Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-ile	

2010-11 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

MEASURES OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY

60.... TELPAS...... TX English Language Proficiency Assessment System

2009-10 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2009-10. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2008-09 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2008-09 and 2009-10.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

TAKS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- TAKS statistics include both TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated results.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2011, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

TAKS-M (Modified)

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading and mathematics results for all three years are for the Language subtest and Mathematics subtest. All other grades' results reflect Reading Total or Mathematics Total performance. (The Reading and Mathematics Total summaries are not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergartners.) Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The "number tested" statistics include kindergartners.
- NEED notes for Math COMPUTATION pages.

TELPAS

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2009 to 2010, the TEA did not report the 2009 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2010, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2009.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
PK	58
KN	70
1	82
2	66
3	87
4	107
5	145
ALL	615

STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stud	dents	Teachers				
Ethnicity	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage			
African American	453	73.7	29	80.6			
American Indian	0	0 0.0		*			
Asian	0	0.0	*	*			
Hispanic	158	25.7	5	13.9			
White	4	0.7	2	5.6			
Other	**	**	0	0.0			

^{*}Included in category "Other."

STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percentage
Economically disadvantaged students	604	98.2
Limited English proficient students	132	21.5
Special education students	42	6.8

^{**}All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

			African A	American	America	American Indian Asian		Hisp	anic	WI	nite	
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2008	55	39	70.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	16	29.1	0	0.0
PK	2009	56	37	66.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	19	33.9	0	0.0
	2010	58	35	60.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	23	39.7	0	0.0
	2008	78	61	78.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	17	21.8	0	0.0
KN	2009	85	56	65.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	26	30.6	3	3.5
	2010	70	52	74.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	18	25.7	0	0.0
	2008	103	76	73.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	26	25.2	1	1.0
1	2009	76	54	71.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	22	28.9	0	0.0
	2010	82	54	65.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	27	32.9	1	1.2
	2008	68	48	70.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	20	29.4	0	0.0
2	2009	83	56	67.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	27	32.5	0	0.0
	2010	66	46	69.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	20	30.3	0	0.0
	2008	96	77	80.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	18	18.8	1	1.0
3	2009	58	41	70.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	17	29.3	0	0.0
	2010	87	60	69.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	27	31.0	0	0.0
	2008	121	97	80.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	22	18.2	2	1.7
4	2009	134	114	85.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	20	14.9	0	0.0
	2010	107	86	80.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	21	19.6	0	0.0
	2008	124	102	82.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	22	17.7	0	0.0
5	2009	114	94	82.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	20	17.5	0	0.0
	2010	145	120	82.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	22	15.2	3	2.1
	2008	645	500	77.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	141	21.9	4	0.6
PK-5	2009	606	452	74.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	151	24.9	3	0.5
	2010	615	453	73.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	158	25.7	4	0.7

				mically antaged	LE	₽	Special E	ducation	TA	\G	New (to	District)	Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2008	55	55	100.0	10	18.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	54	98.2	0.0
PK	2009	56	55	98.2	17	30.4	1	1.8	0	0.0	56	100.0	0.0
	2010	58	58	100.0	19	32.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	58	100.0	0.0
	2008	78	76	97.4	12	15.4	2	2.6	1	1.3	41	52.6	2.6
KN	2009	85	83	97.6	20	23.5	2	2.4	6	7.1	34	40.0	1.2
	2010	70	70	100.0	17	24.3	0	0.0	6	8.6	23	32.9	0.0
	2008	103	102	99.0	17	16.5	2	1.9	8	7.8	11	10.7	12.6
1	2009	76	75	98.7	17	22.4	6	7.9	3	3.9	5	6.6	11.8
	2010	82	80	97.6	23	28.0	2	2.4	11	13.4	9	11.0	7.3
	2008	68	65	95.6	17	25.0	2	2.9	4	5.9	10	14.7	8.8
2	2009	83	82	98.8	22	26.5	1	1.2	11	13.3	3	3.6	4.8
	2010	66	65	98.5	17	25.8	3	4.5	6	9.1	8	12.1	7.6
	2008	96	93	96.9	16	16.7	6	6.3	6	6.3	9	9.4	10.4
3	2009	58	57	98.3	15	25.9	3	5.2	4	6.9	5	8.6	8.6
	2010	87	87	100.0	23	26.4	6	6.9	11	12.6	6	6.9	1.1
	2008	121	118	97.5	17	14.0	23	19.0	20	16.5	9	7.4	1.7
4	2009	134	132	98.5	19	14.2	17	12.7	17	12.7	7	5.2	3.7
	2010	107	104	97.2	16	15.0	11	10.3	11	10.3	12	11.2	3.7
	2008	124	123	99.2	15	12.1	24	19.4	14	11.3	11	8.9	4.8
5	2009	114	113	99.1	16	14.0	20	17.5	19	16.7	9	7.9	5.3
	2010	145	140	96.6	17	11.7	20	13.8	23	15.9	12	8.3	6.2
	2008	645	632	98.0	104	16.1	59	9.1	53	8.2	145	22.5	6.0
PK-5	2009	606	597	98.5	126	20.8	50	8.3	60	9.9	119	19.6	5.0
	2010	615	604	98.2	132	21.5	42	6.8	68	11.1	128	20.8	4.1

Grade	Year	_	ge Daily ship (N)	Д	verage Daily	Attendance		Yea	rly Transactio	ns		uously ed (N)	Stability	Rate (%)
		Campus	District	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	District (N)	District (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	District (%)	Campus	District	Campus	District
	2008	78.1	13,568.1	72.9	93.3	13,004.4	95.8	27	34.6	23.5	61	11,618	78.1	85.6
KN	2009	82.3	13,515.0	76.6	93.1	12,939.1	95.7	33	40.1	22.5	56	11,501	68.1	85.1
	2010	70.9	13,234.2	66.4	93.6	12,616.4	95.3	23	32.4	21.6	57	11,233	80.4	84.9
	2008	106.2	14,626.9	100.2	94.3	14,102.7	96.4	32	30.1	21.2	86	12,704	81.0	86.9
1	2009	75.5	14,250.8	70.5	93.3	13,719.9	96.3	25	33.1	20.7	54	12,300	71.5	86.3
	2010	80.1	13,848.4	75.2	93.9	13,286.3	95.9	28	35.0	20.2	63	11,978	78.6	86.5
	2008	66.4	13,708.6	62.6	94.3	13,269.8	96.8	30	45.2	19.7	49	12,043	73.8	87.9
2	2009	81.6	13,950.1	77.6	95.0	13,499.0	96.8	22	26.9	18.6	60	12,231	73.5	87.7
	2010	66.2	13,440.8	63.3	95.7	12,947.4	96.3	24	36.2	19.0	54	11,794	81.5	87.7
	2008	95.9	12,806.9	91.1	95.0	12,425.5	97.0	23	24.0	19.1	86	11,408	89.7	89.1
3	2009	58.4	13,095.5	55.4	94.9	12,710.6	97.1	21	36.0	18.3	42	11,634	72.0	88.8
	2010	85.3	13,291.1	81.2	95.1	12,853.9	96.7	26	30.5	17.7	69	11,815	80.9	88.9
	2008	120.5	12,329.8	114.0	94.6	11,960.3	97.0	42	34.9	19.7	93	10,924	77.2	88.6
4	2009	133.7	12,156.4	125.9	94.1	11,789.9	97.0	45	33.6	18.1	100	10,731	74.8	88.3
	2010	106.1	12,299.7	99.8	94.1	11,900.0	96.8	41	38.6	17.2	78	10,987	73.5	89.3
	2008	123.0	11,874.2	116.8	94.9	11,539.6	97.2	48	39.0	18.8	93	10,608	75.6	89.3
5	2009	113.7	11,903.5	106.9	94.1	11,552.9	97.1	32	28.1	17.5	90	10,563	79.2	88.7
	2010	144.7	11,687.8	138.2	95.5	11,310.1	96.8	34	23.5	17.0	121	10,453	83.6	89.4
	2008	617.3	83,438.7	583.0	94.4	80,606.0	96.6	265	42.9	31.9	513	76,970	83.1	92.2
KN-5	2009	573.8	83,476.5	539.8	94.1	80,586.5	96.5	246	42.9	30.9	448	76,517	78.1	91.7
	2010	553.3	77,802.0	524.2	94.7	74,914.0	96.3	176	31.8	18.9	442	68,260	79.9	87.7

Teachers Teacher Statistics

DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS

Ethnicity	Number	Percentage
African American	29	80.6
Hispanic	5	13.9
White	2	5.6
Other	0	0.0

Gender	Number	Percentage
Female	30	83.3
Male	6	16.7

AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES

2008	8.2
2009	6.2
2010	7.4

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	81.1	77.8	79.8	*	81.3	78.4		80.0	81.4	80.6	86.2	93
3	2009		80.0	*	75.0	*	*	69.0		81.0	70.8	75.6	87.1	45
	2010		73.6	*	72.4	*	*	70.0		64.0	78.8	72.4	85.4	58
	2008	*	57.5	57.7	56.1	*	53.3	40.9	*	54.7	58.8	57.1	72.1	105
4	2009		55.7	68.4	58.6		66.7	49.2		53.3	63.2	58.1	75.5	117
	2010		59.7	75.0	61.9	*	*	44.1		56.8	64.6	61.2	77.9	85
	2008		70.9	85.0	73.3	50.0	91.7	69.3		62.2	82.0	73.8	82.9	107
5	2009		80.5	82.4	80.6	*	76.9	73.0		78.8	83.3	80.9	82.2	94
	2010	*	92.4	81.8	91.2	*	76.5	81.8		88.6	93.3	90.8	86.1	131
	2008	*	70.0	71.9	69.5	33.3	74.4	66.3	*	65.5	74.2	70.2	80.3	305
3-5	2009		69.2	70.7	69.6	*	67.6	62.6		67.7	71.5	69.5	81.1	256
	2010	*	77.4	77.1	77.9	*	77.3	69.3		75.0	80.1	77.7	83.4	274

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5				
2008	3	233	64	292	12	43	193	1	148	155	305	31,840
2009	0	214	41	253	4	34	155	0	133	123	256	28,282
2010	3	235	35	267	5	22	140	0	132	141	274	24,751

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2010-11 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	14	4	18	*	3	16		10	8	18	1,443	93
3	2009		8	*	11	*	*	9		4	7	11	981	45
	2010		14	*	16	*	*	12		9	7	16	1,039	58
	2008	*	31	11	43	*	7	26	*	24	21	45	2,996	105
4	2009		43	6	48		6	32		28	21	49	2,489	117
	2010		31	2	32	*	*	19		16	17	33	1,640	85
	2008		25	3	28	3	1	23		17	11	28	1,827	107
5	2009		15	3	18	*	3	17		11	7	18	1,874	94
	2010	*	8	4	11	*	4	12		8	4	12	1,427	131
	2008	*	70	18	89	8	11	65	*	51	40	91	6,266	305
3-5	2009	·	66	12	77	*	11	58		43	35	78	5,344	256
	2010	*	53	8	59	*	5	43		33	28	61	4,106	274

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5				
2008	3	233	64	292	12	43	193	1	148	155	305	31,840
2009	0	214	41	253	4	34	155	0	133	123	256	28,282
2010	3	235	35	267	5	22	140	0	132	141	274	24,751

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2008	72.5	72.4	75.1	67.9
3	2009	71.0	74.0	69.6	70.6
	2010	70.3	73.9	72.1	65.9
	2008	72.6	68.9	68.3	69.8
4	2009	76.0	67.3	67.5	69.6
	2010	68.0	75.0	72.1	64.9
	2008	73.2	73.0	72.5	70.7
5	2009	76.3	76.3	78.3	73.7
	2010	84.6	86.5	82.5	78.9
	2008	72.8	71.4	71.9	69.5
3-5	2009	75.2	71.8	71.9	71.3
	2010	76.4	80.3	77.1	71.8

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	9.5	11.1	10.1	*	12.5	5.4		10.0	9.3	9.7	21.5	93
3	2009		15.0	*	13.6	*	*	6.9		9.5	16.7	13.3	34.0	45
	2010		17.0	*	17.2	*	*	2.5		12.0	21.2	17.2	37.1	58
	2008	*	8.2	7.7	8.2	*	0.0	0.0	*	7.5	7.8	7.6	14.4	105
4	2009		6.2	5.3	6.0		5.6	1.6		5.0	7.0	6.0	18.3	117
<u> </u>	2010		3.9	0.0	3.6	*	*	0.0		2.7	4.2	3.5	19.4	85
	2008		11.6	10.0	11.4	16.7	8.3	5.3		11.1	11.5	12.1	16.5	107
5	2009		13.0	17.6	14.0	*	15.4	7.9		11.5	16.7	13.8	18.3	94
	2010	*	34.3	31.8	35.2	*	35.3	18.2		31.4	38.3	35.1	23.6	131
	2008	*	9.9	9.4	9.9	8.3	7.0	4.1	*	9.5	9.7	9.8	17.4	305
3-5	2009		10.3	9.8	10.3	*	8.8	5.2		8.3	12.2	10.2	22.5	256
	2010	*	20.4	22.9	21.3	*	27.3	9.3		19.7	22.7	21.5	26.2	274

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5				
2008	3	233	64	292	12	43	193	1	148	155	305	31,840
2009	0	214	41	253	4	34	155	0	133	123	256	28,282
2010	3	235	35	267	5	22	140	0	132	141	274	24,751

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	65.3	88.2	69.0	*	93.3	66.2		77.1	61.9	70.0	76.6	90
3	2009		57.9	61.1	58.2	*	53.3	55.0		60.0	58.1	58.9	76.8	56
	2010		57.1	61.5	58.5	*	63.6	46.8		56.4	60.5	58.5	78.2	82
	2008	*	64.4	57.7	62.2	*	60.0	34.1	*	66.7	58.0	61.9	77.9	105
4	2009		67.0	84.2	69.0		83.3	58.7		66.7	71.9	69.2	78.9	117
	2010		83.5	75.0	81.6	*	68.8	65.1		84.1	80.0	81.8	81.8	99
	2008		72.3	94.7	76.2	*	100.0	70.7		70.5	81.0	76.7	84.0	103
5	2009		81.8	82.4	81.7	*	76.9	73.0		78.8	85.7	81.9	86.2	94
	2010	*	77.5	90.5	78.5	*	87.5	65.6		80.3	78.3	79.5	87.7	127
	2008	*	67.5	77.4	69.2	50.0	83.3	60.5	*	71.2	68.0	69.5	79.5	298
3-5	2009		70.8	75.9	71.2	*	71.7	63.3		70.1	73.1	71.5	80.5	267
	2010	*	74.7	74.6	74.1	50.0	72.2	59.7	·	75.2	74.1	74.7	82.3	308

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5				
2008	3	228	62	286	8	42	190	1	146	150	298	32,696
2009	0	212	54	264	3	46	166	0	137	130	267	33,885
2010	3	237	67	301	6	54	154	0	149	158	308	34,834

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2010-11 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 67% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	25	2	27	*	1	24		11	16	27	2,542	90
3	2009		16	7	23	*	7	18		10	13	23	2,856	56
	2010		24	10	34	*	8	25		17	17	34	2,739	82
	2008	*	26	11	37	*	6	29	*	18	21	40	2,436	105
4	2009		32	3	36		3	26		20	16	36	2,263	117
	2010		13	5	18	*	5	15		7	11	18	2,101	99
	2008		23	1	24	*	0	22		13	11	24	1,730	103
5	2009		14	3	17	*	3	17		11	6	17	1,495	94
	2010	*	23	2	26	*	2	22		13	13	26	1,314	127
	2008	*	74	14	88	4	7	75	*	42	48	91	6,708	298
3-5	2009		62	13	76	*	13	61		41	35	76	6,614	267
	2010	*	60	17	78	3	15	62		37	41	78	6,154	308

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5				
2008	3	228	62	286	8	42	190	1	146	150	298	32,696
2009	0	212	54	264	3	46	166	0	137	130	267	33,885
2010	3	237	67	301	6	54	154	0	149	158	308	34,834

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2008	74.8	78.7	84.4	72.8	80.3	55.1
3	2009	68.4	70.8	78.0	74.4	72.3	58.7
	2010	66.7	66.3	67.9	66.1	71.3	54.9
	2008	76.8	76.1	72.2	70.8	70.0	65.2
4	2009	77.9	76.4	73.8	70.7	68.6	66.9
	2010	82.9	79.9	74.1	78.3	76.8	68.2
	2008	71.3	71.2	74.2	68.8	70.9	69.8
5	2009	82.0	78.7	81.8	75.5	74.2	76.6
	2010	77.0	75.4	79.2	76.6	74.8	72.1
	2008	74.3	75.2	76.6	70.7	73.4	63.8
3-5	2009	77.4	76.1	77.5	73.2	71.3	68.6
	2010	76.1	74.4	74.5	74.3	74.5	66.3

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	8.3	41.2	13.8	*	40.0	11.3		12.5	16.7	14.4	26.3	90
3	2009		18.4	27.8	21.8	*	20.0	20.0		24.0	19.4	21.4	28.8	56
	2010		8.9	15.4	11.0	*	13.6	6.4		7.7	14.0	11.0	21.3	82
	2008	*	16.4	11.5	15.3	*	6.7	4.5	*	11.1	20.0	15.2	23.8	105
4	2009		16.5	5.3	14.7		5.6	4.8		15.0	14.0	14.5	31.4	117
	2010		20.3	5.0	17.3	*	0.0	7.0		25.0	10.9	17.2	29.1	99
	2008		12.0	21.1	13.9	*	33.3	10.7		13.6	13.8	13.6	31.9	103
5	2009		32.5	23.5	31.2	*	23.1	15.9		30.8	31.0	30.9	37.9	94
	2010	*	26.5	14.3	24.8	*	18.8	10.9		22.7	25.0	23.6	34.5	127
	2008	*	12.3	22.6	14.3	12.5	26.2	9.5	*	12.3	16.7	14.4	27.3	298
3-5	2009		22.6	18.5	22.0	*	15.2	12.7		22.6	20.8	21.7	32.5	267
	2010	*	20.3	11.9	18.6	16.7	11.1	8.4		19.5	17.1	18.2	27.9	308

					NUM BI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5				
2008	3	228	62	286	8	42	190	1	146	150	298	32,696
2009	0	212	54	264	3	46	166	0	137	130	267	33,885
2010	3	237	67	301	6	54	154	0	149	158	308	34,834

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	83.8	73.3	79.6	22.2	78.9	68.1	*	76.9	82.1	79.8	87.4	109
4	2009		85.6	83.3	85.2		82.4	77.0		78.0	93.0	85.3	87.4	116
	2010		85.1	100.0	86.3	*	*	75.8		81.1	90.9	86.4	89.8	81

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2008	2	74	30	103	9	19	47	2	52	56	109	10,658
2009	0	97	18	115	0	17	61	0	59	57	116	10,032
2010	0	74	7	80	1	3	33	0	37	44	81	7,294

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2011 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	12	8	21	7	4	15	*	12	10	22	1,348	109
4	2009		14	3	17		3	14		13	4	17	1,264	116
	2010		11	0	11	*	*	8		7	4	11	744	81

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2008	2	74	30	103	9	19	47	2	52	56	109	10,658
2009	0	97	18	115	0	17	61	0	59	57	116	10,032
2010	0	74	7	80	1	3	33	0	37	44	81	7,294

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2008	2.1	73.6	72.8	76.8	77.1
4	2009	2.0	78.0	74.2	82.4	87.5
	2010	2.0	73.1	75.8	77.3	79.0

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	13.5	6.7	10.7	0.0	0.0	4.3	*	7.7	14.3	11.0	21.4	109
4	2009		11.3	11.1	12.2		11.8	4.9		6.8	17.5	12.1	21.0	116
	2010		8.1	0.0	7.5	*	*	0.0		8.1	6.8	7.4	23.8	81

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2008	2	74	30	103	9	19	47	2	52	56	109	10,658
2009	0	97	18	115	0	17	61	0	59	57	116	10,032
2010	0	74	7	80	1	3	33	0	37	44	81	7,294

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		66.7	78.9	69.6	*	83.3	66.2		62.8	73.3	69.2	71.9	104
5	2009		85.1	72.2	82.4	*	71.4	75.0		82.7	82.5	82.6	75.1	92
	2010	*	88.5	90.5	88.6	*	87.5	81.3		88.1	90.2	89.1	81.4	128

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 5				
2008	0	84	19	102	4	12	71	0	43	60	104	10,686
2009	0	74	18	91	2	14	60	0	52	40	92	10,675
2010	3	104	21	123	3	16	64	0	67	61	128	10,576

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 55 percent, the 2011 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		28	4	31	*	2	24		16	16	32	3,005	104
5	2009		11	5	16	*	4	15		9	7	16	2,656	92
	2010	*	12	2	14	*	2	12		8	6	14	1,965	128

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 5				
2008	0	84	19	102	4	12	71	0	43	60	104	10,686
2009	0	74	18	91	2	14	60	0	52	40	92	10,675
2010	3	104	21	123	3	16	64	0	67	61	128	10,576

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Life Sciences	Physical Sciences	Earth Sciences
	2008	78.6	84.1	76.9	68.4
5	2009	86.8	89.5	83.7	71.9
	2010	86.0	90.2	89.0	80.3

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		15.5	15.8	15.7	*	25.0	14.1		18.6	13.3	16.3	24.8	104
5	2009		29.7	33.3	30.8	*	28.6	18.3		32.7	27.5	30.4	28.7	92
	2010	*	48.1	42.9	48.0	*	37.5	31.3		44.8	49.2	46.9	32.8	128

					NUM E	ER TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 5				
2008	0	84	19	102	4	12	71	0	43	60	104	10,686
2009	0	74	18	91	2	14	60	0	52	40	92	10,675
2010	3	104	21	123	3	16	64	0	67	61	128	10,576

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008												85.4	0
3	2009			91.7	91.7		91.7	91.7		*	87.5	91.7	89.4	12
	2010			72.7	72.7	*	72.7	*		63.6	81.8	72.7	84.5	22
	2008												67.0	0
4	2009												72.7	0
	2010			72.7	72.7		72.7	50.0		*	57.1	72.7	84.4	11
	2008													0
ALL	2009			91.7	91.7		91.7	91.7		*	87.5	91.7		12
	2010			72.7	72.7	*	72.7	45.5		73.3	72.2	72.7		33

					NUM BE	R TESTED	IN GRAD	ES ALL							
2008	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 -													
2009	0	0	12	12	0	12	12	0	4	8	12				
2010	0	0	33	33	1	33	11	0	15	18	33				

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2010-11 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008												239	0
3	2009			1	1		1	1		*	1	1	514	12
	2010			6	6	*	6	*		4	2	6	863	22
	2008												215	0
4	2009												268	0
	2010			3	3		3	3		*	3	3	651	11
	2008													0
ALL	2009	·		1	1		1	1		*	1	1		12
	2010	·		9	9	*	9	6		4	5	9		33

					NUM BE	R TESTED	IN GRAD	ES ALL							
2008	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 -													
2009	0	0	12	12	0	12	12	0	4	8	12				
2010	0	0	33	33	1	33	11	0	15	18	33				

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Know ledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2008				
3	2009	80.0	88.1	79.2	75.0
	2010	70.3	77.3	67.4	74.4
	2008				
4	2009				
	2010	63.0	78.4	75.3	73.6
	2008				
ALL	2009	80.0	88.1	79.2	75.0
	2010	67.9	77.7	70.1	74.2

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008												17.2	0
3	2009			25.0	25.0		25.0	25.0		*	25.0	25.0	30.4	12
	2010			45.5	45.5	*	45.5	*		27.3	63.6	45.5	39.6	22
	2008												13.5	0
4	2009												19.9	0
	2010			0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		*	0.0	0.0	34.4	11
	2008													0
ALL	2009	·		25.0	25.0		25.0	25.0		*	25.0	25.0		12
	2010	·		30.3	30.3	*	30.3	9.1		20.0	38.9	30.3		33

					NUM BE	R TESTED	IN ALL G	GRADES						
2008	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 —												
2009	0	0	12	12	0	12	12	0	4	8	12			
2010	0	0	33	33	1	33	11	0	15	18	33			

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008												81.9	0
4	2009												87.1	0
	2010			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		*	100.0	100.0	93.7	11

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4							
2008	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 667													
2009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	981			
2010	0	0	11	11	0	11	6	0	4	7	11	4,216			

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2011 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Spec Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008												121	0
4	2009												127	0
	2010			0	0		0	0		*	0	0	266	11

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	667
2009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	981
2010	0	0	11	11	0	11	6	0	4	7	11	4,216

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition ¹ : Effectiveness / Command of Conventions	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2008					
4	2009					
	2010	2.4	81.8	90.9	92.0	84.1

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008												14.2	0
4	2009												20.4	0
	2010			36.4	36.4		36.4	16.7		*	42.9	36.4	30.4	11

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	DE 4				
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	667
2009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	981
2010	0	0	11	11	0	11	6	0	4	7	11	4,216

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2011.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		*		*	*		*		*		*	77.7	4
3	2009		*		*	*		*		*	*	*	87.7	3
	2010		83.3		83.3	83.3		*		*	*	83.3	81.1	6
	2008		57.1	*	50.0	50.0	*	53.8	*	50.0	50.0	50.0	70.7	16
4	2009		71.4	*	62.5	64.7	*	64.3		63.6	66.7	64.7	71.8	17
	2010		75.0	*	77.8	80.0	*	75.0		66.7	*	80.0	75.8	10
	2008		47.1	*	52.9	52.6	*	41.7		56.3	*	52.6	73.3	19
5	2009		88.9	*	90.5	90.5	*	88.9		81.8	100.0	90.5	80.3	21
	2010		100.0	*	100.0	100.0	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	93.9	18
	2008		51.4	*	51.4	51.3	*	48.1	*	53.3	44.4	51.3	73.7	39
3-5	2009		82.9	*	80.0	80.5	*	78.8		73.9	88.9	80.5	79.2	41
	2010		90.3	*	90.9	91.2	*	88.5		90.5	92.3	91.2	84.8	34

					NUM BE	R TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5				
2008	0	35	4	37	39	3	27	1	30	9	39	1,807
2009	0	35	4	40	41	3	33	0	23	18	41	1,867
2010	0	31	3	33	34	2	26	0	21	13	34	1,749

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		*		*	*		*		*		*	116	4
3	2009		*		*	*		*		*	*	*	60	3
	2010		1		1	1		*		*	*	1	96	6
	2008		6	*	8	8	*	6	*	5	3	8	181	16
4	2009		4	*	6	6	*	5		4	2	6	192	17
	2010		2	*	2	2	*	2		2	*	2	126	10
	2008		9	*	8	9	*	7		7	*	9	179	19
5	2009		2	*	2	2	*	2		2	0	2	137	21
	2010		0	*	0	0	*	0		0	0	0	44	18
	2008		17	*	18	19	*	14	*	14	5	19	476	39
3-5	2009		6	*	8	8	*	7		6	2	8	389	41
	2010		3	*	3	3	*	3		2	1	3	266	34

					NUM BE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2008	0	35	4	37	39	3	27	1	30	9	39	1,807
2009	0	35	4	40	41	3	33	0	23	18	41	1,867
2010	0	31	3	33	34	2	26	0	21	13	34	1,749

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2008	*	*	*	*
3	2009	*	*	*	*
	2010	47.4	72.2	73.3	63.9
	2008	47.4	39.6	43.8	43.0
4	2009	49.5	48.0	45.1	52.9
	2010	64.2	65.0	63.3	73.8
	2008	48.3	55.3	63.2	55.0
5	2009	59.3	69.0	73.0	61.5
	2010	60.1	72.2	50.0	55.6
	2008	48.3	50.0	54.9	49.6
3-5	2009	55.8	61.8	59.0	58.7
	2010 2008 2009 2010 2008 2009 2010 2008	59.1	70.1	58.0	62.4

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		*		*	*		*		*		*	10.8	4
3	2009		*		*	*		*		*	*	*	16.2	3
	2010		0.0		0.0	0.0		*		*	*	0.0	19.1	6
	2008		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.7	16
4	2009		7.1	*	6.3	5.9	*	7.1		9.1	0.0	5.9	8.9	17
	2010		25.0	*	22.2	20.0	*	25.0		33.3	*	20.0	15.2	10
	2008		5.9	*	5.9	5.3	*	0.0		6.3	*	5.3	14.9	19
5	2009		5.6	*	4.8	4.8	*	5.6		0.0	10.0	4.8	14.1	21
	2010		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	15.4	18
	2008	_	2.9	*	2.7	2.6	*	0.0	*	3.3	0.0	2.6	12.0	39
3-5	2009		5.7	*	5.0	4.9	*	6.1		4.3	5.6	4.9	12.7	41
	2010		6.5	*	6.1	5.9	*	7.7		9.5	0.0	5.9	16.4	34

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 3-5								
2008	0	0 35 4 37 39 3 27 1 30 9 39 1,807														
2009	0	35	4	40	41	3	33	0	23	18	41	1,867				
2010	0	31	3	33	34	2	26	0	21	13	34	1,749				

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		83.3	*	83.3	85.7	*	*		83.3	*	85.7	76.1	7
3	2009		*		*	*		*		*	*	*	81.2	3
	2010		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	78.3	5
	2008		64.3	*	62.5	62.5	*	61.5	*	55.6	71.4	62.5	69.1	16
4	2009		71.4	*	75.0	70.6	*	71.4		72.7	66.7	70.6	75.8	17
	2010		71.4	*	57.1	62.5		66.7		*	*	62.5	80.6	8
	2008		61.9	*	65.2	65.2	*	60.0		66.7	60.0	65.2	64.0	23
5	2009		68.4	*	66.7	66.7	*	58.8		63.6	70.0	66.7	80.2	21
	2010		89.5	*	90.0	90.0	*	87.5		92.3	85.7	90.0	86.4	20
	2008		65.9	*	66.7	67.4	*	63.6	*	66.7	69.2	67.4	69.4	46
3-5	2009	·	72.2	*	72.5	70.7	*	66.7		70.8	70.6	70.7	78.8	41
	2010		83.3	*	81.3	81.8	*	83.3		84.2	78.6	81.8	82.4	33

					NUM BE	R TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5							
2008	0	0 41 5 45 46 4 33 1 33 13 46 1,730													
2009	0	36	3	40	41	3	33	0	24	17	41	1,743			
2010	0	30	3	32	33	2	24	0	19	14	33	1,615			

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		1	*	1	1	*	*		1	*	1	129	7
3	2009		*		*	*		*		*	*	*	85	3
	2010		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	102	5
	2008		5	*	6	6	*	5	*	4	2	6	173	16
4	2009		4	*	4	5	*	4		3	2	5	157	17
	2010		2	*	3	3		2		*	*	3	92	8
	2008		8	*	8	8	*	6		6	*	8	227	23
5	2009		6	*	7	7	*	7		4	3	7	127	21
	2010		2	*	2	2	*	2		1	1	2	91	20
	2008		14	*	15	15	*	12	*	11	4	15	529	46
3-5	2009		10	*	11	12	*	11		7	5	12	369	41
	2010		5	*	6	6	*	4		3	3	6	285	33

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 3-5								
2008	0	0 41 5 45 46 4 33 1 33 13 46 1,730														
2009	0	36	3	40	41	3	33	0	24	17	41	1,743				
2010	0	30	3	32	33	2	24	0	19	14	33	1,615				

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2008	51.8	60.0	77.1	62.9	52.4	35.7
3	2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2008	63.2	59.4	51.3	53.8	33.3	46.9
4	2009	56.9	42.2	64.7	43.5	41.2	54.9
	2010	63.9	58.3	45.0	35.0	50.0	64.6
	2008	56.5	51.4	58.0	57.2	56.5	60.9
5	2009	55.0	48.4	55.6	50.0	57.1	57.1
	2010	68.3	61.7	56.7	75.0	68.3	65.0
	2008	58.1	55.5	58.6	56.9	47.8	52.2
3-5	2009	56.0	46.2	59.7	48.5	49.6	52.8
	2010	65.6	61.2	55.6	60.6	63.6	64.1

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		16.7	*	16.7	14.3	*	*		16.7	*	14.3	16.1	7
3	2009		*		*	*		*		*	*	*	22.3	3
	2010		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	13.4	5
	2008		7.1	*	6.3	6.3	*	0.0	*	0.0	14.3	6.3	14.3	16
4	2009		14.3	*	12.5	11.8	*	14.3		18.2	0.0	11.8	14.0	17
	2010		14.3	*	14.3	12.5		16.7		*	*	12.5	20.2	8
	2008		14.3	*	13.0	13.0	*	6.7		16.7	*	13.0	14.0	23
5	2009		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	17.2	21
	2010		10.5	*	10.0	10.0	*	12.5		0.0	28.6	10.0	18.9	20
	2008		12.2	*	11.1	10.9	*	6.1	*	12.1	7.7	10.9	14.7	46
3-5	2009		5.6	*	5.0	4.9	*	6.1		8.3	0.0	4.9	17.3	41
	2010	·	13.3	*	12.5	12.1	*	12.5		5.3	21.4	12.1	17.7	33

					NUM BE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAD	DES 3-5							
2008	0	0 41 5 45 46 4 33 1 33 13 46 1,730													
2009	0	36	3	40	41	3	33	0	24	17	41	1,743			
2010	0	30	3	32	33	2	24	0	19	14	33	1,615			

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008													0
4	2009		64.3	*	66.7	68.8	*	69.2		63.6	*	68.8	65.0	16
	2010		71.4	*	71.4	75.0	*	75.0		*	*	75.0	73.4	8

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4							
2008	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0													
2009	0	14	1	15	16	1	13	0	11	5	16	694			
2010	0	7	1	7	8	1	8	0	5	3	8	531			

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008													0
4	2009		5	*	5	5	*	4		4	*	5	243	16
	2010		2	*	2	2	*	2		*	*	2	141	8

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4							
2008	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0													
2009	0	14	1	15	16	1	13	0	11	5	16	694			
2010	0	7	1	7	8	1	8	0	5	3	8	531			

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2008					
4	2009	1.3	68.8	59.8	62.5	58.9
	2010	1.3	58.3	60.7	78.6	67.9

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008													0
4	2009		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	16
	2010		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		*	*	0.0	7.9	8

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4								
2008	0															
2009	0	14	1	15	16	1	13	0	11	5	16	694				
2010	0	7	1	7	8	1	8	0	5	3	8	531				

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		25.0	*	31.3	27.8	*	18.2		28.6	*	27.8	39.2	18
5	2009		43.8	*	42.1	42.1	*	43.8		44.4	40.0	42.1	37.5	19
	2010		58.8	*	61.1	61.1	*	60.0		54.5	71.4	61.1	49.0	18

					NUM E	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	DES 5							
2008	0	0 16 2 16 18 1 11 0 14 4 18 668													
2009	0	16	3	19	19	2	16	0	9	10	19	637			
2010	0	17	1	18	18	1	15	0	11	7	18	667			

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		12	*	11	13	*	9		10	*	13	406	18
5	2009		9	*	11	11	*	9		5	6	11	398	19
	2010		7	*	7	7	*	6		5	2	7	340	18

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 5				
2008	0	16	2	16	18	1	11	0	14	4	18	668
2009	0	16	3	19	19	2	16	0	9	10	19	637
2010	0	17	1	18	18	1	15	0	11	7	18	667

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Life Sciences	Physical Sciences	Earth Sciences
	2008	59.6	59.5	68.3	46.8
5	2008 59.6 2009 67.5		59.4	63.2	55.6
	2010	75.3	84.1	77.8	69.0

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	5.2	18
5	2009		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	4.9	19
	2010		11.8	*	11.1	11.1	*	13.3		18.2	0.0	11.1	10.3	18

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 5				
2008	0	16	2	16	18	1	11	0	14	4	18	668
2009	0	16	3	19	19	2	16	0	9	10	19	637
2010	0	17	1	18	18	1	15	0	11	7	18	667

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the ITBS

			READIN	G TOTAL (**LANGUAGI	≣)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	27.0	26.6	57.6	64
K**	2009	10.7	19.4	15.6	41.9	64
	2010	23.1	20.0	21.6	40.0	51
	2008	8.3	43.2	38.4	50.2	86
1	2009	13.5	47.4	25.0	54.2	56
	2010	14.3	28.6	17.9	55.2	56
	2008	33.3	42.1	36.5	50.2	52
2	2009	17.8	56.3	27.9	47.9	61
	2010	9.7	52.9	25.0	48.6	48
	2008	26.7	43.0	37.7	50.2	138
1-2	2009	15.9	51.4	26.5	51.0	117
	2010	12.3	41.9	21.2	51.9	104

		N	// ATHEM ATI	Risk All District (All Students) 2 30.7 44.9 75 5 56.0 52.8 84 0 52.9 51.2 68 0 49.0 55.5 96 9 39.3 50.4 56 7 39.2 48.8 79 9 44.8 57.5 67 5 22.6 53.2 62		ATICS)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	61.5	24.2	30.7	44.9	75
K**	2009	52.2	60.5	56.0	52.8	84
	2010	46.5	64.0	52.9	51.2	68
	2008	52.4	48.0	49.0	55.5	96
1	2009	29.7	57.9	39.3	50.4	56
	2010 2008 2009 2010 2008 2009	37.5	46.7	39.2	48.8	79
	2008	37.0	61.9	44.8	57.5	67
2	2009	8.7	62.5	22.6	53.2	62
	2010	29.8	41.2	32.8	54.6	64
	2008	45.0	40.5	42.0	52.7	238
K-2	2009	30.2	60.3	41.1	52.3	202
	2010	37.7	52.6	41.7	51.5	211

	2008	46	156	202	21,817	
Number Tested	2009	110	71	181	21,148	
100100	2010	99	56	155	20,804	

	2008	80	158	238	41,070	
Number Tested	2009	129	73	202	30,563	
Toolog	2010	154	57	211	40,163	

Tested

2010

147

7

153

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		28.3	*	27.4	*		*		26.3	26.9	26.6	57.6	64
K**	2009	*	14.5	16.7	14.5	*		10.7		11.8	20.0	15.6	41.9	64
	2010		22.0	*	21.6			23.1		12.0	30.8	21.6	40.0	51
	2008	*	40.3	28.6	38.8			8.3		25.0	50.0	38.4	50.2	86
1	2009		25.5	*	25.5	*		13.5		15.6	37.5	25.0	54.2	56
	2010	*	17.3	*	16.7	*		14.3		11.1	24.1	17.9	55.2	56
	2008		38.3	*	34.7	*	*	33.3		30.8	42.3	36.5	50.2	52
2	2009		29.1	16.7	28.8		*	17.8		26.9	28.6	27.9	47.9	61
	2010		24.4	*	25.0	*		9.7		27.6	21.1	25.0	48.6	48
	2008	*	39.5	25.0	37.3	*	*	26.7		27.3	47.2	37.7	50.2	138
1-2	2009		27.4	18.2	27.2	*	*	15.9		20.7	32.2	26.5	51.0	117
	2010	*	20.6	16.7	20.6	*		12.3		19.6	22.9	21.2	51.9	104
	2008	2	184	16	196	3	3	46	0	104	98	202	21,817	
Number Tested	2009	3	161	17	176	7	1	110	0	92	89	181	21,148	

99

0

81

74

155

20,804

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		3	*	3	*		*		2	1	3	1,687	64
K**	2009	*	1	0	2	*		0		0	2	2	771	64
	2010		2	*	2			1		0	2	2	758	51
	2008	*	6	0	6			1		1	5	6	1,555	86
1	2009		3	*	3	*		0		1	2	3	1,659	56
	2010	*	2	*	3	*		0		0	3	3	1,729	56
	2008		4	*	4	*	*	2		2	2	4	1,065	52
2	2009		2	1	3		*	0		0	3	3	1,027	61
	2010		2	*	2	*		0		0	2	2	954	48
	2008	*	10	0	10	*	*	3		3	7	10	2,620	138
1-2	2009		5	1	6	*	*	0		1	5	6	2,688	117
	2010	*	4	0	5	*		0		0	5	5	2,683	104

	2008	2	184	16	196	3	3	46	0	104	98	202	21,817
Number Tested	2009	3	161	17	176	7	1	110	0	92	89	181	21,148
	2010	1	147	7	153	4	0	99	0	81	74	155	20,804

Tested

40,163

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		25.9	47.1	31.5	*	66.7	61.5		20.9	43.8	30.7	44.9	75
K**	2009	*	45.5	80.8	54.9	*	85.0	52.2		52.5	59.1	56.0	52.8	84
	2010		48.0	66.7	52.9		70.6	46.5		43.8	61.1	52.9	51.2	68
	2008	*	47.4	64.7	49.5	*	77.8	52.4		41.7	56.3	49.0	55.5	96
1	2009		41.2	*	40.0	*		29.7		31.3	50.0	39.3	50.4	56
	2010	*	23.1	69.2	39.0	*	78.3	37.5		38.9	39.5	39.2	48.8	79
	2008		42.6	50.0	45.3	*	47.1	37.0		40.0	48.6	44.8	57.5	67
2	2009		19.6	50.0	23.3		*	8.7		14.8	28.6	22.6	53.2	62
	2010		26.7	47.4	31.7	*	50.0	29.8		30.0	37.5	32.8	54.6	64
	2008	*	39.2	53.7	42.7	*	60.5	45.0		33.9	50.4	42.0	52.7	238
K-2	2009	*	35.2	67.6	41.1	28.6	81.0	30.2		35.4	46.6	41.1	52.3	202
	2010	*	32.7	61.9	41.3	*	67.9	37.7		37.0	46.6	41.7	51.5	211
	2008	3	181	54	232	5	38	80	0	121	117	238	41,070	
Number Tested	2009	3	162	37	197	7	21	129	0	99	103	202	30,563	

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008		4	3	7	*	3	3		1	6	7	2,788	75
K**	2009	*	4	9	14	*	7	8		5	9	14	2,455	84
	2010		11	5	16		5	6		5	11	16	2,358	68
	2008	*	10	5	15	*	2	3		5	10	15	2,800	96
1	2009		4	*	4	*		2		2	2	4	1,577	56
201	2010	*	4	7	10	*	7	9		6	5	11	2,266	79
	2008		2	1	3	*	1	2		0	3	3	2,912	67
2	2009		2	1	3		*	1		1	2	3	1,686	62
	2010		3	2	4	*	2	3		3	2	5	2,484	64
	2008	*	16	9	25	*	6	8		6	19	25	8,500	238
K-2	2009	*	10	10	21	0	7	11		8	13	21	5,718	202
	2010	*	18	14	30	*	14	18		14	18	32	7,108	211
	2008	3	181	54	232	5	38	80	0	121	117	238	41,070	

	2008	3	181	54	232	5	38	80	0	121	117	238	41,070
Number Tested	2009	3	162	37	197	7	21	129	0	99	103	202	30,563
rested	2010	1	147	63	208	5	56	154	0	108	103	211	40,163

ITBS Math (3) ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	52.6	64.7	53.7	*	77.8	47.6		41.7	64.6	53.1	65.7	96
1	2009		42.9	65.0	50.0	*	71.4	66.7		46.5	53.8	48.6	66.0	72
	2010	*	28.8	84.6	48.1	*	95.7	48.4		44.4	51.2	48.1	63.5	79
	2008		48.9	65.0	53.1	*	64.7	53.2		51.6	55.6	53.7	64.0	67
2	2009		30.4	64.0	41.0	*	65.0	44.1		36.8	44.2	41.5	60.9	82
	2010		42.2	42.1	41.3	*	43.8	38.3		40.0	45.8	42.2	61.4	64
	2008	*	51.2	64.9	53.5	*	69.2	51.5	·	45.6	60.7	53.4	64.9	163
1-2	2009		36.2	64.4	45.1	33.3	67.6	51.0		42.0	47.8	44.8	63.5	154
	2010	*	35.1	66.7	45.0	*	74.4	44.1		42.1	49.3	45.5	62.5	143

	2008	3	123	37	159	2	26	68	0	79	84	163	27,631
Number Tested	2009	0	105	45	144	6	34	49	0	81	69	154	27,480
	2010	1	97	45	140	5	39	111	0	76	67	143	26,937

ITBS Math (4) ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008	*	16	4	20	*	2	4		6	14	20	4,546	96
1	2009		6	6	11	*	4	4		7	5	13	4,597	72
	2010	*	5	15	19	*	15	18		8	12	20	4,129	79
	2008		3	0	3	*	0	1		1	2	3	2,557	67
2	2009		2	3	5	*	2	3		3	2	5	3,822	82
	2010		4	3	7	*	2	4		6	1	7	3,776	64
	2008	*	19	4	23	*	2	5		7	16	23	7,103	163
1-2	2009		8	9	16	0	6	7		10	7	18	8,419	154
	2010	*	9	18	26	*	17	22		14	13	27	7,905	143

Number Tested	2008	3	123	37	159	2	26	68	0	79	84	163	27,631
	2009	0	105	45	144	6	34	49	0	81	69	154	27,480
	2010	1	97	45	140	5	39	111	0	76	67	143	26,937

Logramos Read (1)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)	
	2008			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	87.0	13	
K	2009			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	88.6	19	
	2010			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	89.3	17	
1	2008			73.7	73.7	*	70.6	70.6		66.7	*	73.7	88.0	19	
	2009			75.0	75.0	*	75.0	73.3		66.7	*	75.0	88.6	16	
	2010			95.5	95.5		95.5	95.2		87.5	100.0	95.5	89.1	22	
	2008			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		*	100.0	100.0	93.4	15	
2	2009			90.0	90.0	*	90.0	89.5		91.7	87.5	90.0	94.0	20	
	2010			70.6	68.8	*	70.6	70.6		54.5	100.0	70.6	93.8	17	
	2008			89.4	89.4	*	88.4	88.4		80.8	100.0	89.4	89.3	47	
K-2	2009			89.1	89.1	*	89.1	88.2		83.3	96.0	89.1	90.4	55	
	2010			89.3	89.1	*	89.3	89.1		76.9	100.0	89.3	90.7	56	
	2008	0	0	47	47	1	43	43	0	26	21	47	18,257		
Number Tested	2009	0	0	55	55	2	55	51	0	30	25	55	19,076		
100100	2010	0	0	56	55	1	56	55	0	26	30	56	19,041		

Logramos Read (2)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2008			9	9		9	9		4	5	9	3,280	13
K	2009			15	15		15	14		5	10	15	3,525	19
	2010			8	8		8	8		3	5	8	3,523	17
	2008			4	4	*	3	3		3	*	4	2,412	19
1	2009			2	2	*	2	2		1	*	2	2,523	16
	2010			7	7		7	7		3	4	7	2,529	22
	2008			7	7		6	6		*	4	7	2,596	15
2	2009			7	7	*	7	7		5	2	7	2,909	20
	2010			5	4	*	5	5		2	3	5	3,040	17
	2008			20	20	*	18	18		10	10	20	8,288	47
K-2	2009			24	24	*	24	23		11	13	24	8,957	55
	2010			20	19	*	20	20		8	12	20	9,092	56
	2008	0	0	47	47	1	43	43	0	26	21	47	18,257	
Number Tested	2009	0	0	55	55	2	55	51	0	30	25	55	19,076	
103160	2010	0	0	56	55	1	56	55	0	26	30	56	19,041	

PERFORMANCE IN 2010

Grade Domain: Listening **Speaking** Writing Reading Composite (N Rated) 2010 Level Ν % % % % Ν % Ν Ν Ν Beginning 82.4 15 88.2 17 100.0 17 100.0 17 100.0 KN Intermediate 17.6 2 11.8 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0 Advanced 0 0.0 (17)0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0 0.0 Advanced High 0.0 65.2 15 23 100.0 23 100.0 23 100.0 Beginning 15 65.2 1 8 34.8 8 34.8 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 Intermediate 0 Advanced 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 (23)0 Advanced High 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 7 Beginning 50.0 50.0 9 50.0 7 41.2 41.2 2 4 22.2 27.8 8 44.4 23.5 4 23.5 Intermediate 5 22.2 2 3 Advanced 27.8 4 1 5.6 11.8 17.6 (18)Advanced High 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 23.5 3 17.6 16 20 87.0 3 Beginning 21.7 69.6 13.0 13.0 3 12 Intermediate 18 78.3 30.4 3 13.0 12 52.2 52.2 0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 13.0 8 34.8 Advanced (23)0 Advanced High 0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 5 21.7 0.0 Beginning 0.0 2 12.5 31.3 12.5 2 12.5 4 Intermediate 12.5 1 6.3 4 25.0 5 31.3 5 31.3 Advanced 6.3 37.5 43.8 5 31.3 5 31.3 (16)81.3 43.8 0.0 25.0 4 25.0 Advanced High

PROGRESSION FROM 2009 TO 2010

Number Rated Both Years			2009	Level				
N (%) Progressed	2010 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High			
	Beginning		-	-				
	Intermediate	-		-				
_	Advanced	-	-	-	-			
	Advanced High	-	-	-	-			
	Beginning		2	1				
21	Intermediate	0		0				
0 (0.0%)	Advanced	0	0	0				
	Advanced High	0	0					
	Beginning		-	7				
17	Intermediate	2		2				
8 (47.1%)	Advanced	1	2	0				
	Advanced High	1	2	()			
	Beginning		;	3				
22	Intermediate	3		9				
5 (22.7%)	Advanced	0	2	ţ	5			
	Advanced High	0	0	()			
	Beginning			2				
14	Intermediate	2		3				
6 (42.9%)	Advanced	0	1	(3			
	Advanced High	0	1	2	2			

PERFORMANCE IN 2010

Grade	Domain:	Listening		Speaking		Writing		Reading		Composite	
(N Rated)	2010 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.6	1	5.6	1	5.6
5	Intermediate	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	27.8	1	5.6	1	5.6
(18)	Advanced	6	33.3	13	72.2	6	33.3	5	27.8	5	27.8
	Advanced High	12	66.7	5	27.8	6	33.3	11	61.1	11	61.1
	Beginning	43	37.4	57	49.6	75	65.2	53	46.5	53	46.5
ALL	Intermediate	35	30.4	23	20.0	20	17.4	22	19.3	22	19.3
(115)	Advanced	12	10.4	23	20.0	14	12.2	15	13.2	21	18.4
	Advanced High	25	21.7	12	10.4	6	5.2	24	21.1	18	15.8

PROGRESSION FROM 2009 TO 2010

Number Rated Both Years		2009 Level							
N (%) Progressed	2010 Level	Adv	Adv High						
	Beginning		,						
17	Intermediate	0							
13 (76.5%)	Advanced	0	3	2					
	Advanced High	0	1	9					
	Beginning		3	4					
91	Intermediate	7							
32 (35.2%)	Advanced	1	8	1	0				
	Advanced High	1	4	11					

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2009 to 2010.