Campus Data Packet

for 2009 - 10 Plans



O. W. HOLMES ACADEMY

School Number 802

The information in this packet is based on data from the 2008-09 school year.

This packet contains corrected statistics for norm-referenced assessments (ITBS and Logramos), including the SCE Compliance report. PSAT reports now contain the average score for all students in a grade.



2009-10 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2	Contents	Table of Contents	3 Notes	Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
TEXA	S ASSESSMENT (OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS		
5	TAKS Read (1)	TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	6 TAKS Read (2)	TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
7	TAKS Read (3)	TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	8 TAKS Read (4)	TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
9	TAKS Math (1)	TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	10 TAKS Math (2)	. TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
11	TAKS Math (3)	TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	12 TAKS Math (4)	. TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
13	TAKS Write (1)	TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	14 TAKS Write (2)	TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
15	TAKS Write (3)	TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	16 TAKS Write (4)	TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
17	TAKS Soc.Studies (1)	TAKS Social Studies: % Meeting Standard	18 TAKS Soc.Studies (2)	TAKS Social Studies: # Not Meeting Standard
19	TAKS Soc.Studies (3)	TAKS Social Studies: Performance by Objective	20 TAKS Soc.Studies (4)	TAKS Social Studies: % Commended
21	TAKS Science (1)	TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	22 TAKS Science (2)	. TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
23	TAKS Science (3)	TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	24 TAKS Science (4)	. TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
ITBS.	/ITED/LOGRAMO	<u>S</u>		
25	Compliance	SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	26 ITBS Read (1)	. ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
27	ITBS Read (2)	ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	28 ITBS Math (1)	ITBS Math Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
29	ITBS Math (2)	ITBS Math Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile		
COLL	EGE READINESS			
30	PSAT	Mean Standard Scores for Juniors		
LOC/	AL ACCESSMENT			
21	ACP	Assassment of Course Performance		

WEST LEARNING COMMUNITY STATISTICS

• Statistics computed for the WEST Learning Community are based on the 2009 assignment of schools to Learning Communities. Statistics do not include information from students enrolled at charter schools. These schools are included in a seperate report for charter schools.

TAKS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- TAKS statistics include both TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated results.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2010, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.

COLLEGE READINESS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Statistics based on student-reported school location, ethnicity, and graduation year.
- For SAT/ACT "Percent Tested" is computed with "Number Tested" as numerator and, as demoninator, the Grade 12 "Enrollment" reported on Enrollment (1) and Enrollment (2) reports. For PSAT the denominator for "Percent Tested" is from the appropriate grade/year on the Enrollment reports.
- PSAT scores range from 20 to 80. PSAT is administered once in the fall of each year. The district tests all grade 10 students. Other students sit for the PSAT voluntarily.

ACP

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- The ACPs are given as final course exams in grades 7-12. They account for a portion of students' final semester grades: 15 percent for high school and 10 percent for middle school. "Average course mark (no ACP)" is computed from semester grades before factoring in ACP scores. Any statistic involving course marks uses only those of at least 50, because district policy requires a student earn at least a 50 to receive course credit.
- Raw scores on the ACPs (percentage of items correct) are scaled each year to maintain similar annual passing rates for the district.
- A scale score of at least 70 percent is required to pass an ACP. A course mark of at least 70 percent is required to pass a course.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	100.0	100.0	100.0			100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	87.2	67
6	2008	*	100.0	93.3	97.4	*		87.5	*	95.0	100.0	97.8	87.8	45
	2009		100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	86.4	36
	2007		100.0	92.3	98.1		*	100.0		94.7	100.0	98.3	76.0	58
7	2008		95.7	100.0	96.8	*	*	90.9		93.9	100.0	97.1	77.3	70
	2009	*	100.0	94.7	97.9	*		90.0		96.3	100.0	98.3	77.1	58
	2007	*	100.0	100.0	100.0		*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	80.5	61
8	2008		100.0	100.0	100.0		*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	90.1	62
	2009	*	100.0	100.0	100.0		*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	91.2	73
	2007	*	100.0	97.8	99.4		*	100.0		98.6	100.0	99.5	81.5	186
6-8	2008	*	98.4	98.0	98.0	*	*	95.1	*	95.8	100.0	98.3	84.9	177
	2009	*	100.0	98.0	99.3	*	*	96.3		98.6	100.0	99.4	85.0	167

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2007	4	137	45	158	0	3	45	0	71	115	186	27,843
2008	3	123	50	151	2	3	41	1	71	106	177	28,091
2009	2	116	49	135	3	5	27	0	72	95	167	28,010

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	0	0	0			0		0	0	0	1,269	67
6	2008	*	0	1	1	*		1	*	1	0	1	1,140	45
	2009		0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	1,295	36
	2007		0	1	1		*	0		1	0	1	2,085	58
7	2008		2	0	2	*	*	1		2	0	2	2,207	70
	2009	*	0	1	1	*		1		1	0	1	2,065	58
	2007	*	0	0	0		*	0		0	0	0	1,803	61
8	2008		0	0	0		*	0		0	0	0	891	62
	2009	*	0	0	0		*	0		0	0	0	834	73
	2007	*	0	1	1		*	0		1	0	1	5,157	186
6-8	2008	*	2	1	3	*	*	2	*	3	0	3	4,238	177
	2009	*	0	1	1	*	*	1		1	0	1	4,194	167

					NUMB	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2007	4	137	45	158	0	3	45	0	71	115	186	27,843
2008	3	123	50	151	2	3	41	1	71	106	177	28,091
2009	2	116	49	135	3	5	27	0	72	95	167	28,010

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2007	90.5	92.5	86.8	86.6
6	2008	91.3	90.6	90.3	88.2
	2009	87.4	80.9	85.1	84.0
	2007	84.8	83.6	85.7	82.7
7	2008	92.5	93.6	86.9	81.1
	2009	89.7	90.2	84.7	85.7
	2007	91.1	91.8	91.0	85.0
8	2008	92.5	89.2	88.5	90.0
	2009	93.9	93.6	91.1	92.7
	2007	88.9	89.5	87.8	84.8
6-8	2008	92.2	91.3	88.3	86.0
	2009	91.0	89.7	87.6	88.4

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	81.8	65.0	75.4			42.9		62.1	86.8	76.1	37.4	67
6	2008	*	63.0	66.7	69.2	*		25.0	*	50.0	80.0	66.7	33.8	45
	2009		34.5	28.6	27.6	*	*	33.3		33.3	33.3	33.3	30.2	36
	2007		22.2	15.4	21.2		*	10.5		21.1	20.5	20.7	12.7	58
7	2008		34.0	45.5	34.9	*	*	18.2		36.4	37.8	37.1	19.1	70
·	2009	*	31.6	26.3	29.8	*		10.0		29.6	29.0	29.3	19.6	58
	2007	*	45.8	66.7	51.0		*	52.6		43.5	55.3	50.8	26.0	61
8	2008		49.0	76.9	53.1		*	40.9		33.3	63.6	54.8	37.6	62
	2009	*	51.0	73.9	55.9		*	18.2		60.0	58.1	58.9	36.6	73
	2007	*	49.6	51.1	50.0		*	33.3		45.1	53.9	50.5	25.9	186
6-8	2008	*	46.3	60.0	49.7	*	*	31.7	*	39.4	58.5	50.8	29.9	177
	2009	*	40.5	49.0	40.7	*	*	18.5		43.1	43.2	43.1	29.0	167

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2007	4	137	45	158	0	3	45	0	71	115	186	27,843
2008	3	123	50	151	2	3	41	1	71	106	177	28,091
2009	2	116	49	135	3	5	27	0	72	95	167	28,010

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	88.6	95.0	93.0			85.7		89.7	92.1	91.0	70.0	67
6	2008	*	92.6	93.3	92.3	*		75.0	*	90.0	96.0	93.3	73.8	45
	2009		93.1	71.4	86.2	*	*	83.3		86.7	90.5	88.9	73.3	36
	2007		97.8	100.0	98.1		*	100.0		100.0	97.4	98.3	65.9	58
7	2008		91.5	95.5	92.1	*	*	72.7		90.9	94.6	92.9	64.5	70
·	2009	*	84.2	94.7	87.2	*		60.0		92.6	83.9	87.9	67.2	58
	2007	*	72.9	66.7	71.4		*	42.1		78.3	68.4	72.1	56.7	61
8	2008		87.8	76.9	89.8		*	63.6		83.3	86.4	85.5	72.8	62
	2009	*	93.8	95.7	93.1		*	72.7		96.6	93.0	94.4	72.3	72
	2007	*	86.1	88.9	88.0		*	73.3		88.7	86.1	87.1	64.3	186
6-8	2008	*	90.2	90.0	91.4	*	*	68.3	*	88.7	91.5	90.4	70.2	177
	2009	*	90.4	91.8	89.6	*	*	70.4		93.0	89.5	91.0	71.0	166

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2007	4	137	45	158	0	3	45	0	71	115	186	28,025
2008	3	123	50	151	2	3	41	1	71	106	177	28,064
2009	2	115	49	134	3	5	27	0	71	95	166	27,738

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 67% are shaded.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	5	1	4			1		3	3	6	3,012	67
6	2008	*	2	1	3	*		2	*	2	1	3	2,460	45
	2009		2	2	4	*	*	1		2	2	4	2,552	36
	2007		1	0	1		*	0		0	1	1	2,976	58
7	2008		4	1	5	*	*	3		3	2	5	3,462	70
	2009	*	6	1	6	*		4		2	5	7	2,966	58
	2007	*	13	4	14		*	11		5	12	17	4,022	61
8	2008		6	3	5		*	8		3	6	9	2,429	62
	2009	*	3	1	4		*	3		1	3	4	2,530	72
	2007	*	19	5	19		*	12		8	16	24	10,010	186
6-8	2008	*	12	5	13	*	*	13	*	8	9	17	8,351	177
	2009	*	11	4	14	*	*	8		5	10	15	8,048	166

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2007	4	137	45	158	0	3	45	0	71	115	186	28,025
2008	3	123	50	151	2	3	41	1	71	106	177	28,064
2009	2	115	49	134	3	5	27	0	71	95	166	27,738

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2007	81.6	74.6	84.4	72.5	83.8	83.3
6	2008	92.2	92.1	86.3	82.2	88.1	86.7
	2009	75.0	81.8	81.7	73.3	79.2	80.6
	2007	81.6	75.3	79.6	73.1	81.3	86.4
7	2008	80.6	73.1	76.9	62.3	78.2	79.0
	2009	80.2	74.1	74.4	61.7	66.5	81.0
	2007	60.2	73.0	68.6	46.2	73.8	71.8
8	2008	70.3	74.5	73.0	49.7	76.4	80.2
	2009	73.6	77.6	71.0	57.8	79.5	81.3
	2007	74.6	74.3	77.7	64.1	79.7	80.5
6-8	2008	79.9	78.4	78.0	62.9	80.1	81.4
	2009	76.2	77.3	74.5	62.5	74.9	81.0

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	27.3	45.0	31.6			0.0		27.6	36.8	32.8	26.5	67
6	2008	*	63.0	86.7	76.9	*		37.5	*	75.0	72.0	73.3	31.0	45
	2009		27.6	14.3	20.7	*	*	16.7		33.3	19.0	25.0	29.0	36
	2007		24.4	7.7	21.2		*	10.5		10.5	25.6	20.7	10.9	58
7	2008		4.3	13.6	6.3	*	*	0.0		9.1	5.4	7.1	11.0	70
	2009	*	10.5	10.5	10.6	*		0.0		11.1	9.7	10.3	11.3	58
	2007	*	4.2	0.0	4.1		*	0.0		4.3	2.6	3.3	9.0	61
8	2008		10.2	15.4	12.2		*	9.1		5.6	13.6	11.3	14.1	62
	2009	*	6.3	17.4	10.3		*	0.0		17.2	4.7	9.7	15.4	72
	2007	*	18.2	22.2	19.6		*	4.4		15.5	21.7	19.4	15.9	186
6-8	2008	*	19.5	36.0	26.5	*	*	12.2	*	26.8	24.5	25.4	18.7	177
	2009	*	13.0	14.3	12.7	*	*	3.7		18.3	9.5	13.3	18.7	166

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2007	4	137	45	158	0	3	45	0	71	115	186	28,025
2008	3	123	50	151	2	3	41	1	71	106	177	28,064
2009	2	115	49	134	3	5	27	0	71	95	166	27,738

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		100.0	100.0	100.0		*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	87.5	57
7	2008		100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	83.7	70
	2009	*	97.4	100.0	97.9	*	•	100.0		100.0	96.8	98.3	88.6	58

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 7							
2007	0	0 45 12 51 0 1 18 0 19 38 57 8,582													
2008	0	47	22	63	1	1	11	0	33	37	70	9,744			
2009	1	38	19	47	1	0	10	0	27	31	58	8,988			

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		0	0	0		*	0		0	0	0	1,075	57
7	2008		0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	1,584	70
	2009	*	1	0	1	*		0		0	1	1	1,023	58

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7							
2007	0	0 45 12 51 0 1 18 0 19 38 57 8,582													
2008	0	47	22	63	1	1	11	0	33	37	70	9,744			
2009	1	38	19	47	1	0	10	0	27	31	58	8,988			

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2007	2.8	95.3	84.9	84.4	85.5
7	2008	2.7	84.3	87.6	88.5	86.8
	2009	2.6	86.5	85.3	85.3	88.9

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		37.8	33.3	35.3		*	27.8		21.1	44.7	36.8	21.8	57
7	2008		31.9	22.7	27.0	*	*	9.1		24.2	32.4	28.6	20.4	70
	2009	*	36.8	36.8	42.6	*		20.0		29.6	45.2	37.9	22.3	58

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7							
2007	0	0 45 12 51 0 1 18 0 19 38 57 8,582													
2008	0	47	22	63	1	1	11	0	33	37	70	9,744			
2009	1	38	19	47	1	0	10	0	27	31	58	8,988			

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	100.0	100.0	100.0		*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	78.9	61
8	2008		100.0	100.0	100.0		*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	86.6	61
	2009	*	100.0	100.0	100.0		*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	88.3	73

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8							
2007	1	1 48 12 49 0 2 19 0 23 38 61 9,206													
2008	0	48	13	48	0	2	22	0	18	43	61	8,642			
2009	1	49	23	59	0	1	11	0	29	44	73	9,233			

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010 AEIS social studies minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	0	0	0		*	0		0	0	0	1,942	61
8	2008		0	0	0		*	0		0	0	0	1,157	61
	2009	*	0	0	0		*	0		0	0	0	1,078	73

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2007	1	48	12	49	0	2	19	0	23	38	61	9,206
2008	0	48	13	48	0	2	22	0	18	43	61	8,642
2009	1	49	23	59	0	1	11	0	29	44	73	9,233

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Issues and Events in U.S. History	Geographic Influences on History	Economic and Social Influences on History	Political Influences on History	Critical-Thinking Skills
	2007	77.4	81.7	85.4	83.5	86.5
8	2008	87.9	71.3	83.4	82.2	87.3
	2009	90.1	90.4	88.1	87.7	91.1

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	41.7	25.0	36.7		*	21.1		52.2	28.9	37.7	21.1	61
8	2008		43.8	53.8	47.9		*	27.3		61.1	39.5	45.9	27.5	61
	2009	*	55.1	91.3	64.4		*	36.4		82.8	56.8	67.1	31.7	73

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8				
2007	1	48	12	49	0	2	19	0	23	38	61	9,206
2008	0	48	13	48	0	2	22	0	18	43	61	8,642
2009	1	49	23	59	0	1	11	0	29	44	73	9,233

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	83.3	75.0	85.7		*	73.7		91.3	76.3	82.0	52.4	61
8	2008		61.2	76.9	67.3		*	45.5		72.2	61.4	64.5	54.6	62
	2009	*	73.5	91.3	79.7		*	63.6		89.7	72.7	79.5	59.2	73

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8				
2007	1	48	12	49	0	2	19	0	23	38	61	9,233
2008	0	49	13	49	0	2	22	0	18	44	62	8,703
2009	1	49	23	59	0	1	11	0	29	44	73	9,292

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 55 percent, the 2010 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade 8 science passing standard has risen to Panel Recommendation for 2009. In 2008, it was 1 SEM below PR; in 2007, it was 2 SEM below PR.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	8	3	7		*	5		2	9	11	4,398	61
8	2008		19	3	16		*	12		5	17	22	3,953	62
	2009	*	13	2	12		*	4		3	12	15	3,794	73

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2007	1	48	12	49	0	2	19	0	23	38	61	9,233
2008	0	49	13	49	0	2	22	0	18	44	62	8,703
2009	1	49	23	59	0	1	11	0	29	44	73	9,292

Grade 8 science passing standard has risen to Panel Recommendation for 2009. In 2008, it was 1 SEM below PR; in 2007, it was 2 SEM below PR.

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Living Systems and the Environment	Structures and Properties of Matter	Motion, Forces, and Energy	Earth and Space Systems
	2007	72.8	69.1	65.6	60.7	65.8
8	2008	76.6	69.6	65.6	57.8	60.1
	2009	81.6	73.3	71.2	81.5	66.1

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	10.4	16.7	12.2		*	5.3		17.4	7.9	11.5	6.6	61
8	2008		4.1	0.0	2.0		*	0.0		0.0	4.5	3.2	11.2	62
	2009	*	8.2	13.0	8.5		*	9.1		10.3	11.4	11.0	13.3	73

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8				
2007	1	48	12	49	0	2	19	0	23	38	61	9,233
2008	0	49	13	49	0	2	22	0	18	44	62	8,703
2009	1	49	23	59	0	1	11	0	29	44	73	9,292

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the IOWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS

				READING T	OTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007					0
8	2008	42.9	65.0	57.4	37.2	61
	2009	45.5	72.1	68.1	33.6	72

	2007	0	0	0	0	
Number Tested	2008	21	40	61	8,615	
	2009	11	61	72	9,813	

			M	ATHEMATIC	S TOTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007					0
8	2008	55.0	84.6	74.6	46.7	59
	2009	18.2	77.0	68.1	48.3	72

	2007	0	0	0	0	
Number Tested	2008	20	39	59	8,493	
Tostou	2009	11	61	72	9,737	

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007													0
8	2008		57.1	58.3	57.1		*	42.9		61.1	55.8	57.4	37.2	61
	2009	*	58.3	87.0	68.3		*	45.5		86.7	54.8	68.1	33.6	72

	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Tested	2008	0	49	12	49	0	2	21	0	18	43	61	8,615
	2009	1	48	23	60	0	1	11	0	30	42	72	9,813

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007													0
8	2008		3	0	2		*	0		1	2	3	508	61
	2009	*	3	1	3		*	0		3	2	5	575	72

	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Tested	2008	0	49	12	49	0	2	21	0	18	43	61	8,615
	2009	1	48	23	60	0	1	11	0	30	42	72	9,813

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007													0
8	2008		78.7	58.3	75.0		*	55.0		81.3	72.1	74.6	46.7	59
	2009	*	60.4	82.6	70.0		*	18.2		70.0	66.7	68.1	48.3	72

	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Tested	2008	0	47	12	48	0	2	20	0	16	43	59	8,493
	2009	1	48	23	60	0	1	11	0	30	42	72	9,737

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007													0
8	2008		7	1	8		*	1		2	6	8	801	59
	2009	*	3	8	10		*	1		6	6	12	1,219	72

	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Tested	2008	0	47	12	48	0	2	20	0	16	43	59	8,493
	2009	1	48	23	60	0	1	11	0	30	42	72	9,737

			All			African American		Hispanic		White		Other		District	
Grade	Subtest	Year	N Tested Mean %Tested		N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	
		2006												157	32.3
	Critical Reading	2007	1	38.0		1	*	0		0		0		412	29.6
	rtodding	2008	2	32.0		0		2	*	0		0		493	29.4
	Mathematics	2006												157	35.7
7		2007	1	39.0		1	*	0		0		0		412	33.5
		2008	2	27.5		0		2	*	0		0		493	33.4
	Writing	2006												157	32.3
		2007	1	33.0		1	*	0		0		0		412	30.5
		2008	2	30.0		0		2	*	0		0		493	31.3
	Critical Reading	2006	35	37.2		28	37.4	7	36.3	0		0		2,798	34.2
		2007	22	35.8		19	35.8	3	*	0		0		2,527	33.5
		2008	72	34.9		49	35.1	21	34.3	1	*	1	*	2,932	33.8
	Mathematics	2006	35	39.0		28	39.8	7	35.6	0		0		2,798	36.8
8		2007	22	41.1		19	40.7	3	*	0		0		2,527	37.2
		2008	72	38.2		49	37.8	21	38.5	1	*	1	*	2,932	37.2
	Writing	2006	35	36.9		28	37.1	7	36.3	0		0		2,798	34.4
		2007	22	34.7		19	34.7	3	*	0		0		2,527	34.5
		2008	72	35.7		49	35.2	21	36.3	1	*	1	*	2,932	35.1

					SEMESTI	ER 1				SEMESTER 2											
		Averages					% Passing		% Passing			Ave	rages		% Pa	assing	District %	% Passing			
	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course			
ALC	ALGEBRA I PRE-AP (tested with 2009)										ALGEBRA I PRE-AP (tested with 2009)										
	47	48.7	69.2	80.9	82.1	51.1	100.0	80.7	96.4	45	48.4	65.6	80.7	82.4	37.8	97.8	82.6	97.4			
COMPUTER APPLICATIONS (tested with 2009)									COMPUTER APPLICATIONS (tested with 2009)												
	25	68.2	78.8	92.5	94.0	92.0	100.0	69.6	95.2	25	69.9	80.0	91.1	92.4	84.0	100.0	76.4	93.8			
HEALTH EDUCATION (tested with 2009)									HEALTH EDUCATION (tested with 2009)												
	19	74.1	82.7	81.6	81.5	94.7	100.0	78.7	98.0	13	67.3	79.1	80.0	80.1	84.6	92.3	80.6	93.1			
LAN	LANGUAGE ARTS 7 (tested with 2009)									LANGUAGE ARTS 7 (tested with 2009)											
	13	64.2	78.5	79.3	79.4	84.6	100.0	67.6	90.0	11	77.1	84.7	77.5	76.7	90.9	100.0	81.7	88.4			
LAN	NGUAGE	E ARTS 7 P	RE-AP (tested wi	ith 2009)					LANGUAGE ARTS 7 PRE-AP (tested with 2009)											
	47	64.6	76.4	83.7	84.5	74.5	100.0	82.5	97.7	45	58.3	73.4	79.4	80.1	73.3	97.8	81.4	95.2			
LAN	NGUAGE	E ARTS 8 P	RE-AP (tested wi	ith 2009)					LANGUAGE ARTS 8 PRE-AP (tested with 2009)											
	76	46.8	72.5	78.2	78.8	61.8	84.2	74.7	95.6	72	51.6	71.5	70.8	70.7	62.5	54.2	73.6	93.5			
MA	THEMA [.]	TICS 7 (te	sted with	2009)						MATHEMA	TICS 7 (tes	sted with	2009)								
	21	64.9	78.5	81.6	82.0	85.7	100.0	58.7	86.6	19	54.6	72.7	84.7	86.1	78.9	100.0	58.4	84.2			
MA	THEMA	TICS 7 PRI	E-AP (te:	sted with	2009)					MATHEMA	TICS 7 PRE	E-AP (tes	sted with	2009)							
	39	62.5	75.0	85.2	86.3	76.9	100.0	73.2	95.3	39	47.1	67.0	87.0	89.2	41.0	97.4	77.3	96.0			
MA	MATHEMATICS 8 (tested with 2009)										TICS 8 (tes	sted with	2009)								
	29	55.5	76.6	76.1	76.1	89.7	93.1	60.1	83.5	27	51.7	72.1	77.3	77.9	70.4	100.0	52.0	82.6			
MS	INTERM	IEDIATE R	EADING	FOR ESL	. (tested with	2009)			MS INTERMEDIATE READING FOR ESL (tested with 2009)												
	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	56.3	95.6	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	60.6	94.4			

	_				SEMEST					_	SEMESTER 2								
		Averages					% Passing Distr		2 Passing		Averages				% Passing		District %	% Passing	
	mber sted	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	
READING MASTERY 7 (tested with 2009)									READING MASTERY 7 (tested with 2009)										
	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	71.9	88.5	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	72.0	87.1	
SCIEN	SCIENCE 7 (tested with 2009)									SCIENCE 7 (tested with 2009)									
6	60	73.6	82.4	79.8	79.5	96.7	98.3	79.8	90.7	57	73.7	83.5	83.0	82.9	100.0	96.5	78.6	88.6	
SCIEN	SCIENCE 8 (tested with 2009)									SCIENCE 8 (tested with 2009)									
7	76	57.4	78.0	79.5	79.7	92.1	94.7	72.7	87.9	72	59.5	76.6	85.3	86.3	87.5	100.0	64.9	87.2	
SPAN	ISH I 7	7 (tested v	with 2009	9)						SPANISH I 7 (tested with 2009)									
2	21	47.6	65.0	81.3	83.1	19.0	95.2	69.8	94.2	20	43.3	67.3	87.4	89.6	25.0	95.0	76.5	92.2	
SPAN	ISH I 8	3 (tested v	with 2009	9)						SPANISH I	8 (tested v	with 2009	9)						
1	12	54.8	75.3	78.5	78.9	58.3	75.0	77.8	94.1	10	59.0	76.3	82.0	82.6	60.0	70.0	77.1	91.8	
TEXAS	s stu	DIES 7 (te	ested wit	h 2009)						TEXAS STU	IDIES 7 (te	ested wit	h 2009)						
2	23	59.2	77.8	83.0	83.6	78.3	100.0	56.9	89.4	21	67.7	80.2	83.4	83.7	85.7	100.0	63.3	87.2	
TEXAS	TEXAS STUDIES 7 PRE-AP (tested with 2009)										IDIES 7 PR	E-AP (te	ested wit	h 2009)					
1	19	68.2	78.8	83.1	83.5	94.7	100.0	76.4	97.5	35	69.1	79.4	79.8	79.9	88.6	97.1	86.3	96.6	
UNITE	UNITED STATES STUDIES 8 PRE-AP (tested with 2009)										UNITED STATES STUDIES 8 PRE-AP (tested with 2009)								
7	76	78.4	85.6	85.2	85.2	94.7	92.1	89.9	97.7	72	80.1	86.7	83.4	83.0	94.4	94.4	93.9	96.2	