Campus Data Packet

for 2009 - 10 Plans



SARAH ZUMWALT

School Number 72

The information in this packet is based on data from the 2008-09 school year.

This packet contains corrected statistics for norm-referenced assessments (ITBS and Logramos), including the SCE Compliance report. PSAT reports now contain the average score for all students in a grade.



2009-10 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2 ContentsTable of Contents	4 Notes Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
6 SummarySummary of Student and Teacher Statistics	7 Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
8 Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	9 Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
10 TeachersTeacher Statistics	
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	
11 TAKS Read (1) TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	12 TAKS Read (2) TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
13 TAKS Read (3) TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	14 TAKS Read (4) TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
15 TAKS Math (1)TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	16 TAKS Math (2) TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
17 TAKS Math (3)TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	18 TAKS Math (4) TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
19 TAKS Write (1)TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	20 TAKS Write (2) TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
21 TAKS Write (3)TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	22 TAKS Write (4) TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
23 TAKS Soc.Studies (1) TAKS Social Studies: % Meeting Standard	24 TAKS Soc.Studies (2) TAKS Social Studies: # Not Meeting Standard
25 TAKS Soc.Studies (3) TAKS Social Studies: Performance by Objective	26 TAKS Soc.Studies (4) TAKS Social Studies: % Commended
27 TAKS Science (1)TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	28 TAKS Science (2) TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
29 TAKS Science (3)TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	30 TAKS Science (4) TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS (MOD)	
31 TAKS-M Read (1) TAKS-M Reading: % Meeting Standard	32 TAKS-M Read (2) TAKS-M Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
33 TAKS-M Read (3) TAKS-M Reading: Performance by Objective	34 TAKS-M Read (4) TAKS-M Reading: Percentage Commended
35 TAKS-M Math (1) TAKS-M Math: % Meeting Standard	36 TAKS-M Math (2) TAKS-M Math: # Not Meeting Standard
37 TAKS-M Math (3) TAKS-M Math: Performance by Objective	38 TAKS-M Math (4) TAKS-M Math: Percentage Commended
39 TAKS-M Science (1) TAKS-M Science: % Meeting Standard	40 TAKS-M Science (2) TAKS-M Science: # Not Meeting Standard
41 TAKS-M Science (3) TAKS-M Science: Performance by Objective	42 TAKS-M Science (4) TAKS-M Science: Percentage Commended
43 TAKS-M Soc.Studies (1) TAKS-M Social Studies: % Meeting Standard	44 TAKS-M Soc.Studies (2) TAKS-M Social Studies: # Not Meeting Standard
45 TAKS-M Soc.Studies (3) TAKS-M Social Studies: Performance by Objective	46 TAKS-M Soc.Studies (4) TAKS-M Social Studies: % Commended
ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS	
47 Compliance SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	48 ITBS Read (1) ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
49 ITBS Read (2)ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	50 ITBS Math (1) ITBS Math Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
51 ITBS Math (2) ITBS Math Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	
MEASURES OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
52 WMLSWoodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	53 TELPAS TX English Language Proficiency Assessment System
COLLEGE READINESS	
54 PSAT Mean Standard Scores for Juniors	

2009-10 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

LOCAL ACCESSMENT

55.... ACP...... Assessment of Course Performance

2009-10 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2008-09. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2007-08 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2007-08 and 2008-09.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

TAKS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- TAKS statistics include both TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated results.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2010, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

TAKS-M (Modified)

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.

TELPAS

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2008 to 2009, the TEA did not report the 2008 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2009, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2008.

COLLEGE READINESS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Statistics based on student-reported school location, ethnicity, and graduation year.
- For SAT/ACT "Percent Tested" is computed with "Number Tested" as numerator and, as demoninator, the Grade 12 "Enrollment" reported on Enrollment (1) and Enrollment (2) reports. For PSAT the denominator for "Percent Tested" is from the appropriate grade/year on the Enrollment reports.
- PSAT scores range from 20 to 80. PSAT is administered once in the fall of each year. The district tests all grade 10 students. Other students sit for the PSAT voluntarily.

ACP

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- The ACPs are given as final course exams in grades 7-12. They account for a portion of students' final semester grades: 15 percent for high school and 10 percent for middle school. "Average course mark (no ACP)" is computed from semester grades before factoring in ACP scores. Any statistic involving course marks uses only those of at least 50, because district policy requires a student earn at least a 50 to receive course credit.
- Raw scores on the ACPs (percentage of items correct) are scaled each year to maintain similar annual passing rates for the district.
- A scale score of at least 70 percent is required to pass an ACP. A course mark of at least 70 percent is required to pass a course.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment				
6	234				
7	175				
8	205				
ALL	614				

STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stud	lents	Teachers				
Ethnicity	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
African American	442	72.0	35	83.3			
American Indian	1	0.2	*	*			
Asian	1	0.2	*	*			
Hispanic	160	26.1	1	2.4			
White	10	1.6	4	9.5			
Other	**	**	2	4.8			

^{*}Included in category "Other."

STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percent
Economically disadvantaged students	576	93.8
Limited English proficient students	72	11.7
Special education students	102	16.6

^{**}All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

			African A	American	American Indian		Asian		Hispanic		White	
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2007	180	127	70.6	0	0.0	2	1.1	47	26.1	4	2.2
6	2008	189	135	71.4	1	0.5	0	0.0	50	26.5	3	1.6
	2009	234	171	73.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	59	25.2	4	1.7
	2007	185	141	76.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	39	21.1	5	2.7
7	2008	185	130	70.3	0	0.0	2	1.1	50	27.0	3	1.6
	2009	175	124	70.9	1	0.6	0	0.0	49	28.0	1	0.6
	2007	226	170	75.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	50	22.1	6	2.7
8	2008	157	120	76.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	33	21.0	4	2.5
	2009	205	147	71.7	0	0.0	1	0.5	52	25.4	5	2.4
	2007	591	438	74.1	0	0.0	2	0.3	136	23.0	15	2.5
6-8	2008	531	385	72.5	1	0.2	2	0.4	133	25.0	10	1.9
	2009	614	442	72.0	1	0.2	1	0.2	160	26.1	10	1.6

		Economically Disadvantaged		LE	LEP		Special Education		TAG		New (to Dallas ISD)		
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2007	180	168	93.3	22	12.2	32	17.8	0	0.0	14	7.8	1.1
6	2008	189	179	94.7	23	12.2	24	12.7	6	3.2	24	12.7	4.8
	2009	234	222	94.9	24	10.3	40	17.1	11	4.7	22	9.4	0.9
	2007	185	172	93.0	12	6.5	27	14.6	5	2.7	23	12.4	2.7
7	2008	185	173	93.5	25	13.5	37	20.0	12	6.5	23	12.4	3.2
	2009	175	168	96.0	20	11.4	25	14.3	13	7.4	15	8.6	4.6
	2007	226	192	85.0	24	10.6	36	15.9	12	5.3	15	6.6	5.3
8	2008	157	135	86.0	13	8.3	21	13.4	16	10.2	16	10.2	3.2
	2009	205	186	90.7	28	13.7	37	18.0	13	6.3	18	8.8	2.9
	2007	591	532	90.0	58	9.8	95	16.1	17	2.9	52	8.8	3.2
6-8	2008	531	487	91.7	61	11.5	82	15.4	34	6.4	63	11.9	3.8
	2009	614	576	93.8	72	11.7	102	16.6	37	6.0	55	9.0	2.6

Grade	Year	Average Daily Membership (N)		Average Daily Attendance				Yearly Transactions			Continuously Enrolled (N)		Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (N)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus	Dallas ISD
	2007	175.8	11,264.8	165.5	94.1	10,864.3	96.4	70	39.8	21.9	134	9,788	76.2	86.9
6	2008	183.2	10,450.4	173.2	94.6	10,060.0	96.3	65	35.5	22.0	141	9,055	77.0	86.6
	2009	222.4	10,588.3	209.2	94.1	10,165.3	96.0	57	25.6	19.9	181	9,131	81.4	86.2
	2007	171.3	10,085.4	158.4	92.5	9,572.1	94.9	72	42.0	27.7	131	8,404	76.5	83.3
7	2008	187.7	10,790.7	175.7	93.6	10,269.4	95.2	65	34.6	26.3	147	9,024	78.3	83.6
	2009	169.1	10,135.8	153.0	90.5	9,601.8	94.7	46	27.2	26.0	122	8,389	72.2	82.8
	2007	227.2	10,675.2	208.7	91.9	10,054.2	94.2	88	38.7	31.2	170	8,706	74.8	81.6
8	2008	157.7	9,895.9	146.6	92.9	9,350.9	94.5	47	29.8	30.5	120	8,046	76.1	81.3
	2009	201.6	10,551.1	186.3	92.4	9,945.8	94.3	71	35.2	28.2	153	8,477	75.9	80.3
	2007	574.4	32,025.4	532.6	92.7	30,490.6	95.2	230	40.0	26.8	435	26,898	75.7	84.0
6-8	2008	528.7	31,137.0	495.6	93.7	29,680.3	95.3	177	33.5	26.1	408	26,125	77.2	83.9
	2009	593.1	31,275.2	548.5	92.5	29,712.9	95.0	174	29.3	24.7	456	25,997	76.9	83.1

Teachers Teacher Statistics

DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS

Ethnicity	Number	Percent		
African American	35	83.3		
Hispanic	1	2.4		
White	4	9.5		
Other	2	4.8		

Gender	Number	Percent		
Female	29	69.0		
Male	13	31.0		

TOTAL	42

AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES

2007	8.9
2008	4.7
2009	8.2

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	83.7	81.1	83.2	*	64.3	77.0		74.0	89.9	81.5	87.2	146
6	2008	*	84.3	88.1	85.5	*	84.2	78.2	*	81.7	90.5	85.6	87.8	167
	2009	*	76.7	85.4	77.3	33.3	68.8	63.5		73.6	82.7	78.7	86.4	197
	2007	*	66.9	67.7	68.3	*	62.5	63.4		61.8	73.4	67.7	76.0	155
7	2008	*	81.9	86.5	85.0	*	85.7	74.2		83.3	83.6	83.4	77.3	145
	2009	*	68.6	73.3	69.7	*	70.6	63.8		69.0	72.1	70.4	77.1	152
	2007	*	79.7	81.0	81.4	*	66.7	74.2		80.0	81.0	80.4	80.5	184
8	2008	*	84.5	72.4	84.6	*	66.7	81.1		73.4	89.7	82.4	90.1	142
	2009	*	86.3	92.9	88.2	54.5	89.5	83.6		88.9	87.9	88.4	91.2	172
	2007	83.3	76.7	77.3	77.6	83.3	65.0	71.3		72.9	81.1	76.7	81.5	485
6-8	2008	100.0	83.6	83.3	85.1	80.0	81.0	78.0	*	79.9	88.0	83.9	84.9	454
	2009	88.9	77.6	83.7	78.4	38.1	76.9	71.0		77.0	81.8	79.5	85.0	521

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2007	12	360	110	429	6	40	307	0	258	227	485	27,843
2008	7	336	108	415	10	42	296	1	229	225	454	28,091
2009	9	375	135	482	21	52	331	0	252	269	521	28,010

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	17	7	22	*	5	17		20	7	27	1,269	146
6	2008	*	19	5	23	*	3	22	*	17	7	24	1,140	167
	2009	*	34	7	42	4	5	38		23	19	42	1,295	197
	2007	*	39	10	45	*	3	37		29	21	50	2,085	155
7	2008	*	19	5	20	*	2	23		12	12	24	2,207	145
	2009	*	33	12	44	*	5	38		26	19	45	2,065	152
	2007	*	28	8	29	*	6	34		21	15	36	1,803	184
8	2008	*	17	8	19	*	3	20		17	8	25	891	142
	2009	*	17	3	18	5	2	20		9	11	20	834	172
	2007	2	84	25	96	1	14	88		70	43	113	5,157	485
6-8	2008	0	55	18	62	2	8	65	*	46	27	73	4,238	454
	2009	1	84	22	104	13	12	96		58	49	107	4,194	521

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2007	12	360	110	429	6	40	307	0	258	227	485	27,843
2008	7	336	108	415	10	42	296	1	229	225	454	28,091
2009	9	375	135	482	21	52	331	0	252	269	521	28,010

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2007	80.7	83.1	70.7	71.7
6	2008	81.9	75.5	77.7	75.8
	2009	76.3	73.2	75.6	77.3
	2007	73.3	71.4	69.6	68.1
7	2008	85.6	86.9	78.3	70.2
	2009	78.1	81.5	75.6	73.8
	2007	79.5	78.9	82.4	73.1
8	2008	80.2	77.3	77.3	78.3
	2009	83.8	83.1	80.5	82.5
	2007	77.9	77.8	74.8	71.1
6-8	2008	82.6	79.7	77.8	74.8
	2009	79.3	78.9	77.2	78.0

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	23.1	29.7	28.2	*	14.3	10.8		23.4	27.5	25.3	37.4	146
6	2008	*	21.5	26.2	25.2	*	21.1	14.9	*	23.7	25.7	24.6	33.8	167
	2009	*	21.9	18.8	21.1	0.0	6.3	6.7		18.4	23.6	21.3	30.2	197
	2007	*	8.5	3.2	9.2	*	0.0	5.0		6.6	10.1	8.4	12.7	155
7	2008	*	14.3	16.2	13.5	*	7.1	4.5		11.1	17.8	14.5	19.1	145
	2009	*	11.4	20.0	14.5	*	23.5	7.6		13.1	16.2	14.5	19.6	152
	2007	*	19.6	14.3	18.6	*	0.0	9.1		15.2	24.1	19.0	26.0	184
8	2008	*	18.2	20.7	19.5	*	11.1	11.3		20.3	17.9	19.0	37.6	142
	2009	*	21.0	35.7	25.7	0.0	10.5	14.8		23.5	26.4	25.0	36.6	172
	2007	41.7	16.9	16.4	18.4	16.7	5.0	8.1		15.1	20.3	17.5	25.9	485
6-8	2008	57.1	18.2	21.3	19.8	20.0	14.3	10.5	*	18.8	20.4	19.6	29.9	454
	2009	44.4	18.7	24.4	20.5	0.0	13.5	10.0		18.3	22.7	20.5	29.0	521

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2007	12	360	110	429	6	40	307	0	258	227	485	27,843
2008	7	336	108	415	10	42	296	1	229	225	454	28,091
2009	9	375	135	482	21	52	331	0	252	269	521	28,010

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	48.1	70.3	55.0	*	64.3	40.5		53.2	52.2	52.7	70.0	146
6	2008	*	48.3	64.3	53.8	*	47.4	41.6	*	47.8	59.5	53.0	73.8	166
	2009	*	52.3	81.6	58.1	8.3	76.5	47.3		53.3	64.0	59.1	73.3	203
	2007	*	50.8	62.5	52.4	*	55.6	43.1		56.6	48.8	52.6	65.9	156
7	2008	*	51.4	76.3	57.5	*	71.4	41.6		59.7	58.1	58.9	64.5	146
	2009	*	40.8	66.7	49.0	*	58.8	37.5		50.6	46.3	48.7	67.2	150
	2007	*	56.2	54.8	57.4	*	27.8	44.3		56.7	55.7	56.3	56.7	183
8	2008	*	83.2	85.7	85.0	*	60.0	81.7		75.8	90.8	84.1	72.8	138
	2009	*	84.9	95.1	87.2	*	94.4	83.6		90.0	84.9	87.3	72.3	166
	2007	41.7	52.1	62.2	55.0	33.3	46.3	43.0		55.6	52.2	54.0	64.3	485
6-8	2008	85.7	60.5	74.1	64.1	50.0	58.1	55.8	*	59.3	69.6	64.4	70.2	450
	2009	66.7	59.5	80.7	64.3	15.8	76.9	57.0		63.9	66.3	65.1	71.0	519

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2007	12	359	111	429	9	41	307	0	257	228	485	28,025
2008	7	332	108	412	6	43	294	1	226	224	450	28,064
2009	9	373	135	482	19	52	330	0	255	264	519	27,738

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 67% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	54	11	59	*	5	44		36	33	69	3,012	146
6	2008	*	62	15	73	*	10	59	*	48	30	78	2,460	166
	2009	*	72	9	80	11	4	58		43	40	83	2,552	203
	2007	*	58	12	68	*	4	58		33	41	74	2,976	156
7	2008	*	51	9	57	*	4	52		29	31	60	3,462	146
	2009	*	61	15	73	*	7	65		41	36	77	2,966	150
	2007	*	60	19	66	*	13	73		45	35	80	4,022	183
8	2008	*	18	4	18	*	4	19		15	7	22	2,429	138
	2009	*	18	2	19	*	1	19		8	13	21	2,530	166
	2007	7	172	42	193	6	22	175		114	109	223	10,010	485
6-8	2008	1	131	28	148	3	18	130	*	92	68	160	8,351	450
	2009	3	151	26	172	16	12	142		92	89	181	8,048	519

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2007	12	359	111	429	9	41	307	0	257	228	485	28,025
2008	7	332	108	412	6	43	294	1	226	224	450	28,064
2009	9	373	135	482	19	52	330	0	255	264	519	27,738

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2007	62.3	58.8	66.5	55.5	68.2	65.8
6	2008	59.6	57.2	64.7	57.2	65.7	64.6
	2009	61.7	60.6	66.8	64.4	69.9	67.5
	2007	63.7	52.9	63.5	48.3	62.1	64.3
7	2008	64.5	58.5	64.5	41.1	62.3	64.6
	2009	59.1	53.1	61.5	48.7	54.6	65.6
	2007	58.4	63.9	63.0	52.9	66.6	60.5
8	2008	69.8	73.3	80.8	65.9	70.0	69.2
	2009	75.0	73.7	81.1	68.9	76.7	73.3
	2007	61.2	58.8	64.2	52.2	65.6	63.3
6-8	2008	64.3	62.5	69.6	54.7	65.9	66.0
	2009	65.2	62.6	69.8	61.3	67.6	68.8

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	10.6	21.6	15.3	*	14.3	8.1		11.7	15.9	13.7	26.5	146
6	2008	*	4.2	9.5	6.3	*	10.5	2.0	*	5.4	6.8	6.0	31.0	166
	2009	*	9.3	22.4	12.0	0.0	5.9	4.5		9.8	14.4	12.3	29.0	203
	2007	*	4.2	6.3	5.6	*	11.1	2.0		6.6	3.8	5.1	10.9	156
7	2008	*	6.7	5.3	6.7	*	0.0	0.0		6.9	6.8	6.8	11.0	146
	2009	*	1.9	2.2	2.1	*	0.0	0.0		1.2	3.0	2.0	11.3	150
	2007	*	4.4	0.0	3.2	*	0.0	1.5		2.9	3.8	3.3	9.0	183
8	2008	*	9.3	25.0	15.0	*	0.0	8.7		11.3	14.5	13.0	14.1	138
	2009	*	17.6	43.9	23.6	*	44.4	18.1		25.0	23.3	24.1	15.4	166
	2007	8.3	6.1	9.0	7.7	0.0	7.3	3.3		6.6	7.5	7.0	15.9	485
6-8	2008	28.6	6.6	12.0	9.0	0.0	4.7	3.7	*	7.5	9.4	8.4	18.7	450
	2009	0.0	9.9	22.2	12.7	0.0	17.3	7.9		11.8	14.4	13.1	18.7	519

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2007	12	359	111	429	9	41	307	0	257	228	485	28,025
2008	7	332	108	412	6	43	294	1	226	224	450	28,064
2009	9	373	135	482	19	52	330	0	255	264	519	27,738

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	88.0	93.8	88.7	*	75.0	89.7		89.5	89.7	89.6	87.5	154
7	2008	*	94.2	97.4	94.7	*	92.9	92.0		95.9	94.4	95.2	83.7	145
	2009	*	88.6	93.3	90.3	*	88.2	86.8		84.5	97.1	90.1	88.6	152

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2007	4	117	32	142	1	8	97	0	76	78	154	8,582
2008	1	104	38	133	3	14	87	0	73	72	145	9,744
2009	1	105	45	145	3	17	106	0	84	68	152	8,988

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	14	2	16	*	2	10		8	8	16	1,075	154
7	2008	*	6	1	7	*	1	7		3	4	7	1,584	145
	2009	*	12	3	14	*	2	14		13	2	15	1,023	152

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2007	4	117	32	142	1	8	97	0	76	78	154	8,582
2008	1	104	38	133	3	14	87	0	73	72	145	9,744
2009	1	105	45	145	3	17	106	0	84	68	152	8,988

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2007	2.2	84.6	78.7	76.8	79.5
7	2008	2.4	79.4	83.4	82.2	83.7
	2009	2.2	82.8	80.9	77.7	85.9

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	10.3	12.5	11.3	*	0.0	8.2		10.5	12.8	11.7	21.8	154
7	2008	*	23.1	26.3	23.3	*	7.1	12.6		17.8	30.6	24.1	20.4	145
	2009	*	14.3	22.2	17.2	*	11.8	7.5		16.7	19.1	17.8	22.3	152

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2007	4	117	32	142	1	8	97	0	76	78	154	8,582
2008	1	104	38	133	3	14	87	0	73	72	145	9,744
2009	1	105	45	145	3	17	106	0	84	68	152	8,988

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	78.1	78.0	79.2	*	70.6	71.8		76.9	80.8	78.6	78.9	182
8	2008	*	75.5	75.0	76.9	*	60.0	72.8		72.6	78.7	75.9	86.6	137
	2009	*	93.8	95.1	95.1	*	94.4	92.0		93.4	95.2	94.3	88.3	159

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2007	4	137	41	154	1	17	131	0	104	78	182	9,206
2008	3	106	28	121	3	10	103	0	62	75	137	8,642
2009	4	113	41	142	2	18	112	0	76	83	159	9,233

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010 AEIS social studies minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	30	9	32	*	5	37		24	15	39	1,942	182
8	2008	*	26	7	28	*	4	28		17	16	33	1,157	137
	2009	*	7	2	7	*	1	9		5	4	9	1,078	159

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8				
2007	4	137	41	154	1	17	131	0	104	78	182	9,206
2008	3	106	28	121	3	10	103	0	62	75	137	8,642
2009	4	113	41	142	2	18	112	0	76	83	159	9,233

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Issues and Events in U.S. History	Geographic Influences on History	Economic and Social Influences on History	Political Influences on History	Critical-Thinking Skills
	2007	63.6	61.4	67.7	66.4	70.7
8	2008	61.3	62.8	70.7	63.8	70.0
	2009	84.6	84.2	86.4	82.6	86.0

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	14.6	14.6	13.0	*	5.9	9.2		17.3	11.5	14.8	21.1	182
8	2008	*	12.3	21.4	15.7	*	0.0	7.8		16.1	14.7	15.3	27.5	137
	2009	*	51.3	85.4	62.0	*	83.3	53.6		61.8	59.0	60.4	31.7	159

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8						
2007	4	4 137 41 154 1 17 131 0 104 78 182 9,206												
2008	3	106	28	121	3	10	103	0	62	75	137	8,642		
2009	4	113	41	142	2	18	112	0	76	83	159	9,233		

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	34.1	40.5	38.6	*	16.7	23.1		44.1	27.8	37.0	52.4	181
8	2008	*	32.7	50.0	35.2	*	20.0	26.9		49.2	26.7	37.0	54.6	138
	2009	*	72.8	87.8	76.2	*	88.9	69.9		73.1	79.3	76.3	59.2	160

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8						
2007	4	4 135 42 153 1 18 130 0 102 79 181 9,233												
2008	3	107	28	122	4	10	104	0	63	75	138	8,703		
2009	4	114	41	143	2	18	113	0	78	82	160	9,292		

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 55 percent, the 2010 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade 8 science passing standard has risen to Panel Recommendation for 2009. In 2008, it was 1 SEM below PR; in 2007, it was 2 SEM below PR.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	89	25	94	*	15	100		57	57	114	4,398	181
8	2008	*	72	14	79	*	8	76		32	55	87	3,953	138
	2009	*	31	5	34	*	2	34		21	17	38	3,794	160

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8						
2007	4	4 135 42 153 1 18 130 0 102 79 181 9,233												
2008	3	107	28	122	4	10	104	0	63	75	138	8,703		
2009	4	114	41	143	2	18	113	0	78	82	160	9,292		

Grade 8 science passing standard has risen to Panel Recommendation for 2009. In 2008, it was 1 SEM below PR; in 2007, it was 2 SEM below PR.

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Living Systems and the Environment	Structures and Properties of Matter	Motion, Forces, and Energy	Earth and Space Systems
	2007	58.2	55.2	52.7	46.7	44.2
8	2008	63.3	59.4	56.8	49.9	50.7
	2009	76.6	74.4	65.7	81.3	70.5

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	1.5	0.0	2.0	*	0.0	0.8		2.0	1.3	1.7	6.6	181
8	2008	*	4.7	7.1	5.7	*	0.0	0.0		6.3	5.3	5.8	11.2	138
	2009	*	14.9	36.6	21.7	*	22.2	15.0		14.1	25.6	20.0	13.3	160

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8							
2007	4	4 135 42 153 1 18 130 0 102 79 181 9,233													
2008	3	107	28	122	4	10	104	0	63	75	138	8,703			
2009	4	114	41	143	2	18	113	0	78	82	160	9,292			

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
6	2008		78.6	66.7	75.0	75.0	*	64.3	*	71.4	83.3	75.0	68.6	20
	2009	*	64.0	55.6	62.9	62.9	42.9	69.2	*	70.8	45.5	62.9	70.9	35
7	2008	*	86.7	*	88.6	88.6	*	85.2		83.3	94.1	88.6	67.1	35
	2009		90.0	*	81.0	81.8	*	81.3	*	81.0	*	81.8	72.6	22
8	2008	*	84.6	*	81.8	88.2	*	86.7		81.8	100.0	88.2	64.8	17
	2009		73.9	*	76.9	74.1	*	73.1		58.8	100.0	74.1	77.8	27
6-8	2008	*	84.2	84.6	83.3	84.7	66.7	80.4	*	79.1	93.1	84.7	67.0	72
	2009	*	75.0	53.3	72.0	71.4	50.0	73.5	*	71.0	72.7	71.4	73.8	84

					NUMB	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8								
2008	2	57	13	66	72	6	56	1	43	29	72	1,789				
2009	1	68	15	82	84	10	68	3	62	22	84	1,932				

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
6	2008		3	2	5	5	*	5	*	4	1	5	206	20
	2009	*	9	4	13	13	4	8	*	7	6	13	182	35
7	2008	*	4	*	4	4	*	4		3	1	4	201	35
	2009		2	*	4	4	*	3	*	4	*	4	181	22
8	2008	*	2	*	2	2	*	2		2	0	2	184	17
	2009		6	*	6	7	*	7		7	0	7	143	27
6-8	2008	*	9	2	11	11	2	11	*	9	2	11	591	72
	2009	*	17	7	23	24	5	18	*	18	6	24	506	84

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2008	2	57	13	66	72	6	56	1	43	29	72	1,789
2009	1	68	15	82	84	10	68	3	62	22	84	1,932

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
6	2008	69.1	62.5	60.8	59.1
	2009	67.3	67.1	55.2	60.0
7	2008	72.9	75.4	73.6	63.1
	2009	70.5	68.8	69.9	58.0
8	2008	82.4	81.6	80.1	67.2
	2009	66.3	68.1	63.4	54.0
6-8	2008	74.1	73.3	71.6	62.9
	2009	67.8	67.9	61.7	57.5

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
6	2008		7.1	0.0	5.0	5.0	*	7.1	*	7.1	0.0	5.0	7.3	20
	2009	*	16.0	0.0	14.3	14.3	0.0	15.4	*	12.5	18.2	14.3	7.5	35
7	2008	*	20.0	*	17.1	17.1	*	18.5		11.1	23.5	17.1	5.9	35
	2009		10.0	*	9.5	9.1	*	12.5	*	9.5	*	9.1	8.9	22
8	2008	*	15.4	*	0.0	11.8	*	13.3		9.1	16.7	11.8	6.3	17
	2009		4.3	*	3.8	3.7	*	0.0		0.0	10.0	3.7	7.1	27
6-8	2008	*	15.8	0.0	10.6	12.5	0.0	14.3	*	9.3	17.2	12.5	6.5	72
	2009	*	10.3	0.0	9.8	9.5	0.0	8.8	*	8.1	13.6	9.5	7.9	84

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 6-8													
2008	2	57	13	66	72	6	56	1	43	29	72	1,789			
2009	1	68	15	82	84	10	68	3	62	22	84	1,932			

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
6	2008		70.6	66.7	69.6	69.6	*	80.0	*	64.7	83.3	69.6	57.0	23
	2009	*	55.0	75.0	58.6	58.6	83.3	71.4	*	73.7	30.0	58.6	64.0	29
7	2008	*	58.1	*	61.1	61.1	*	64.3		50.0	72.2	61.1	53.7	36
	2009		57.1	*	59.1	56.5	*	56.3	*	52.4	*	56.5	62.0	23
8	2008	*	66.7	*	50.0	63.2	*	64.7		66.7	57.1	63.2	45.0	19
	2009		70.0	*	78.1	74.3	*	72.7		63.2	87.5	74.3	67.1	35
6-8	2008	*	63.5	61.5	61.6	64.1	100.0	68.3	*	59.6	71.0	64.1	52.0	78
	2009	*	62.0	80.0	66.3	64.4	80.0	68.6	*	62.7	67.9	64.4	64.4	87

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 6-8													
2008	2	63	13	73	78	6	60	1	47	31	78	1,797			
2009	1	71	15	83	87	10	70	3	59	28	87	1,860			

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
6	2008		5	2	7	7	*	3	*	6	1	7	260	23
	2009	*	9	2	12	12	1	6	*	5	7	12	205	29
7	2008	*	13	*	14	14	*	10		9	5	14	279	36
	2009		9	*	9	10	*	7	*	10	*	10	248	23
8	2008	*	5	*	7	7	*	6		4	3	7	324	19
	2009		9	*	7	9	*	9		7	2	9	210	35
6-8	2008	*	23	5	28	28	0	19	*	19	9	28	863	78
	2009	*	27	3	28	31	2	22	*	22	9	31	663	87

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 6-8													
2008	2	63	13	73	78	6	60	1	47	31	78	1,797			
2009	1	71	15	83	87	10	70	3	59	28	87	1,860			

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
6	2008	56.5	42.9	55.8	55.4	66.1	49.7
	2009	51.7	45.8	47.1	51.7	70.3	48.8
7	2008	42.4	42.4	55.6	46.5	44.0	59.3
	2009	44.0	44.6	46.4	40.2	47.8	48.6
8	2008	49.3	61.2	48.2	55.3	50.0	54.6
	2009	45.4	61.1	45.2	52.1	63.3	47.9
6-8	2008	48.2	47.1	53.8	51.3	52.0	55.3
	2009	47.1	51.6	46.2	48.9	61.6	48.3

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
6	2008		5.9	0.0	4.3	4.3	*	6.7	*	5.9	0.0	4.3	6.4	23
	2009	*	5.0	0.0	3.4	3.4	0.0	4.8	*	5.3	0.0	3.4	8.4	29
7	2008	*	3.2	*	2.8	2.8	*	3.6		0.0	5.6	2.8	2.5	36
	2009		4.8	*	4.5	4.3	*	0.0	*	4.8	*	4.3	8.0	23
8	2008	*	13.3	*	7.1	10.5	*	11.8		8.3	14.3	10.5	3.2	19
	2009		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	5.8	35
6-8	2008	*	6.3	0.0	4.1	5.1	0.0	6.7	*	4.3	6.5	5.1	4.1	78
	2009	*	2.8	0.0	2.4	2.3	0.0	1.4	*	3.4	0.0	2.3	7.4	87

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8							
2008	2	63	13	73	78	6	60	1	47	31	78	1,797			
2009	1	71	15	83	87	10	70	3	59	28	87	1,860			

SARAH ZUMWALT (72)

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
8	2008	*	73.3	*	64.3	73.7	*	68.8		66.7	85.7	73.7	30.0	19
	2009		53.3	*	51.5	51.4	*	48.5		36.8	68.8	51.4	40.1	35

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8								
2008	1	1 15 3 14 19 1 16 0 12 7 19 636														
2009	0	30	5	33	35	3	33	0	19	16	35	631				

Grade 8 science passing standard has risen to Panel Recommendation for 2009. In 2008, it was 1 SEM below PR; in 2007, it was 2 SEM below PR.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
8	2008	*	4	*	5	5	*	5		4	1	5	445	19
	2009		14	*	16	17	*	17		12	5	17	378	35

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8							
_															
2008	1	15	3	14	19	1	16	0	12	7	19	636			
2009	0	30	5	33	35	3	33	0	19	16	35	631			

Grade 8 science passing standard has risen to Panel Recommendation for 2009. In 2008, it was 1 SEM below PR; in 2007, it was 2 SEM below PR.

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Living Systems and the Environment	Structures and Properties of Matter	Motion, Forces, and Energy	Earth and Space Systems
8	2008	68.9	66.3	50.5	52.6	55.6
	2009	65.5	60.3	49.7	40.6	55.9

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
8	2008	*	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	19
	2009		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	35

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8							
2007															
2008	1	1 15 3 14 19 1 16 0 12 7 19 636													
2009	0	30	5	33	35	3	33	0	19	16	35	631			

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
8														
	2009		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	35

_						NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8							
	2009	0	30	5	33	35	3	33	0	19	16	35	618			

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
8														
	2009		30	*	33	35	*	33		19	16	35	618	35

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8					
				T	T	T			T				
2009	0	30	5	33	35	3	33	0	19	16	35	618	

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Issues and Events in U.S. History	Geographic Influences on History	Economic and Social Influences on History	Political Influences on History	Critical-Thinking Skills
8						
	2009	57.7	62.3	66.5	65.4	68.1

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
8														
	2009		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	35

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8						
2009	0	0 30 5 33 35 3 33 0 19 16 35 618												

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the IOWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS

				READING T	OTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007					0
8	2008	11.2	44.4	17.5	37.2	143
	2009	11.0	54.2	22.3	33.6	184

	2007	0	0	0	0	
Number Tested	2008	116	27	143	8,615	
redica	2009	136	48	184	9,813	

			M	ATHEMATIC	S TOTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007					0
8	2008	24.4	63.0	31.5	46.7	146
	2009	19.4	54.2	28.6	48.3	182

	2007	0	0	0	0	
Number Tested	2008	119	27	146	8,493	
Tostod	2009	134	48	182	9,737	

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007													0
8	2008	*	15.7	18.8	19.3	0.0	7.7	11.2		17.6	17.3	17.5	37.2	143
	2009	*	21.6	25.0	23.5	5.6	15.8	11.0		21.6	22.9	22.3	33.6	184

	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Tested	2008	3	108	32	119	19	13	116	0	68	75	143	8,615
Toolog	2009	4	139	40	166	36	19	136	0	88	96	184	9,813

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007													0
8	2008	*	5	0	4	0	0	1		2	3	5	508	143
	2009	*	4	2	6	0	0	1		4	2	6	575	184

	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Tested	2008	3	108	32	119	19	13	116	0	68	75	143	8,615
restea -	2009	4	139	40	166	36	19	136	0	88	96	184	9,813

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007													0
8	2008	*	27.0	43.8	35.0	10.5	23.1	24.4		33.3	29.9	31.5	46.7	146
	2009	*	25.0	39.0	27.0	0.0	20.0	19.4		27.6	29.5	28.6	48.3	182

	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Tested	2008	3	111	32	120	19	13	119	0	69	77	146	8,493
. cstcu	2009	4	136	41	163	36	20	134	0	87	95	182	9,737

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007													0
8	2008	*	5	3	8	0	0	2		4	5	9	801	146
	2009	*	3	3	6	0	1	1		4	2	6	1,219	182

	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Tested	2008	3	111	32	120	19	13	119	0	69	77	146	8,493
. cstcu	2009	4	136	41	163	36	20	134	0	87	95	182	9,737

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1	in 2008	Level 2	in 2008	Level 3	in 2008	Levels 1-	3 in 2008
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2009						
6	3	0.0	3	66.7	0	-	6	33.3
7	2	0.0	4	75.0	0	-	6	50.0
8	4	25.0	6	50.0	0	-	10	40.0
ALL	9	11.1	13	61.5	0	-	22	40.9

PERFORMANCE IN 2009

Grade	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Com	posite
(N Rated)	2009 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	4	8.9	6	13.3	5	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
6	Intermediate	7	15.6	7	15.6	10	22.2	7	29.2	7	29.2
(45)	Advanced	28	62.2	21	46.7	20	44.4	5	20.8	5	20.8
	Advanced High	6	13.3	11	24.4	10	22.2	12	50.0	12	50.0
	Beginning	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	15.0	2	10.0
7	Intermediate	1	5.0	2	10.0	4	20.0	0	0.0	1	5.0
(20)	Advanced	5	25.0	9	45.0	9	45.0	6	30.0	6	30.0
	Advanced High	14	70.0	9	45.0	7	35.0	11	55.0	11	55.0
	Beginning	0	0.0	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
8	Intermediate	2	28.6	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
(7)	Advanced	4	57.1	4	57.1	5	71.4	4	57.1	4	57.1
	Advanced High	1	14.3	1	14.3	2	28.6	3	42.9	3	<i>4</i> 2.9
	Beginning	4	5.6	7	9.7	5	6.9	3	5.9	2	3.9
ALL	Intermediate	10	13.9	10	13.9	14	19.4	7	13.7	8	15.7
(72)	Advanced	37	51.4	34	47.2	34	47.2	15	29.4	15	29.4
	Advanced High	21	29.2	21	29.2	19	26.4	26	51.0	26	51.0

PROGRESSION FROM 2008 TO 2009

Number Rated Both Years			2008	Level	
N (%) Progressed	2009 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High
	Beginning		()	
23	Intermediate	4		3	
16 (69.6%)	Advanced	0	1	4	1
	Advanced High	0	0	1	1
	Beginning		2	2	
17	Intermediate	0		1	
9 (52.9%)	Advanced	0	0	ţ	5
	Advanced High	0	0	Ç)
	Beginning		()	
7	Intermediate	0		0	
4 (57.1%)	Advanced	0	1	3	3
	Advanced High	0	0	3	3
	Beginning		2	2	
47	Intermediate	4		4	
29 (61.7%)	Advanced	0	2	1	2
	Advanced High	0	0	2	3

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2008 to 2009.

				All		African A	American	Hisp	panic	W	nite	Ot	her	Dis	trict
Grade	Subtest	Year	N Tested	Mean	%Tested	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean
		2006												157	32.3
	Critical Reading	2007	3	36.7	1.6	2	*	1	*	0		0		412	29.6
	rtcading	2008	13	27.7	7.4	5	*	8	29.0	0		0		493	29.4
		2006												157	35.7
7	Mathematics	2007	3	38.7	1.6	2	*	1	*	0		0		412	33.5
		2008	13	32.1	7.4	5	*	8	30.0	0		0		493	33.4
		2006												157	32.3
	Writing	2007	3	38.0	1.6	2	*	1	*	0		0		412	30.5
		2008	13	33.9	7.4	5	*	8	34.9	0		0		493	31.3
	Oritinal	2006	187	29.1	82.7	141	29.3	42	28.8	4	*	0		2,798	34.2
	Critical Reading	2007	55	33.5	35.0	41	33.1	12	34.3	2	*	0		2,527	33.5
		2008	52	33.9	25.4	34	33.8	15	34.8	2	*	1	*	2,932	33.8
	Mathamat	2006	187	32.3	82.7	141	32.1	42	33.2	4	*	0		2,798	36.8
8	Mathematics	2007	55	35.1	35.0	41	34.0	12	38.1	2	*	0		2,527	37.2
		2008	52	35.0	25.4	34	34.8	15	35.1	2	*	1	*	2,932	37.2
	MA/sidia s	2006	187	30.0	82.7	141	30.1	42	29.5	4	*	0		2,798	34.4
	Writing	2007	55	33.9	35.0	41	34.2	12	32.8	2	*	0		2,527	34.5
		2008	52	35.1	25.4	34	34.5	15	37.5	2	*	1	*	2,932	35.1

	_				SEMEST	ER 1								SEMEST	ER 2			
			Ave	rages		% Pa	ssing	District %	% Passing			Ave	rages		% Pa	ssing	District 9	% Passing
	umber ested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course
ALG	EBRA	I PRE-AP	(tested w	rith 2009)						ALGEBRA	PRE-AP (tested w	ith 2009)					
	32	64.8	78.9	82.9	83.4	75.0	96.9	80.7	96.4	32	71.6	81.0	84.4	84.8	84.4	100.0	82.6	97.4
СОМ	PUTEF	R APPLICA	TIONS (tested w	ith 2009)					COMPUTER	R APPLICA	TIONS (tested w	ith 2009)				
	71	70.5	80.3	78.7	78.5	83.1	88.7	69.6	95.2	78	77.1	84.8	75.7	74.8	91.0	83.3	76.4	93.8
LANG	GUAGE	E ARTS 7	tested w	rith 2009))					LANGUAGI	EARTS 7 (tested w	ith 2009)					
	138	56.4	73.9	79.7	80.3	68.1	89.1	67.6	90.0	132	69.9	79.9	81.7	81.9	85.6	90.9	81.7	88.4
LANC	SUAGE	E ARTS 7 F	RE-AP (tested w	ith 2009)					LANGUAGI	E ARTS 7 P	RE-AP (tested w	ith 2009)				
	9	61.1	74.1	85.1	86.3	66.7	100.0	82.5	97.7	11	60.0	74.5	84.0	85.1	72.7	100.0	81.4	95.2
LANG	GUAGE	E ARTS 8	(tested w	rith 2009)						LANGUAGI	EARTS8 (tested w	ith 2009)					
	157	61.4	74.3	79.7	80.3	69.4	97.5	74.0	87.8	157	66.7	77.8	79.8	80.0	80.3	95.5	78.4	87.0
LANC	SUAGE	E ARTS 8 F	RE-AP (tested w	ith 2009)					LANGUAGI	E ARTS 8 P	RE-AP (tested w	ith 2009)				
	24	54.6	76.5	84.3	85.1	75.0	100.0	74.7	95.6	22	59.9	76.4	85.3	86.3	86.4	100.0	73.6	93.5
MATI	HEMA [.]	TICS 7 (te	sted with	n 2009)						MATHEMA'	TICS 7 (tes	sted with	2009)					
	131	47.1	67.6	78.9	80.1	45.0	96.2	58.7	86.6	127	49.4	69.6	78.4	79.4	48.8	92.9	58.4	84.2
MATI	HEMA ⁻	TICS 7 PRI	E-AP (te	sted with	2009)					MATHEMA [*]	TICS 7 PRE	E-AP (tes	sted with	2009)				
	17	62.3	74.9	88.9	90.4	70.6	100.0	73.2	95.3	18	49.6	68.5	89.2	91.5	44.4	100.0	77.3	96.0
MATI	HEMA	TICS 8 (te	sted with	n 2009)						MATHEMA	TICS 8 (tes	sted with	2009)					
	130	51.2	74.3	76.7	77.0	66.2	86.9	60.1	83.5	144	44.7	68.1	75.4	76.2	48.6	82.6	52.0	82.6
MS A	DVAN	CED LA FO	R ESL							MS ADVAN	CED LA FO	OR ESL (tested w	ith 2009)				
										3	*	*	*	*	*	*	65.8	91.4

					SEMEST	ER 1								SEMEST	ER 2			
			Ave	rages		% Pa	assing	District %	% Passing			Ave	rages		% Pa	assing	District %	% Passing
	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course
MS	BEGINN	ING LA FO	R ESL							MS BEGINN	IING LA FO	OR ESL (tested wi	th 2009)				
										1	*	*	*	*	*	*	51.4	95.4
MS	INTERN	IEDIATE L	A FOR E	SL (teste	d with 2009)					MS INTERM	EDIATE L	A FOR E	SL (teste	d with 2009)				
	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	51.2	94.2	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	52.1	95.4
RE	ADING 7	' (tested w	vith 2009))						READING 7	(tested w	rith 2009)						
	49	63.7	79.8	83.6	84.0	81.6	98.0	84.2	94.1	50	74.2	82.8	83.7	83.8	90.0	98.0	80.2	92.9
RE	ADING 8	tested w	vith 2009))						READING 8	(tested w	rith 2009)	ı					
	87	67.8	78.6	79.9	80.0	81.6	92.0	86.3	94.0	85	74.7	83.1	80.5	80.2	92.9	96.5	88.0	93.4
RE	ADING N	MASTERY	7 (tested	with 200	9)					READING N	IASTERY 7	7 (tested	with 200	9)				
	101	52.7	71.6	77.9	78.5	57.4	88.1	71.9	88.5	105	62.3	74.8	77.8	78.1	72.4	83.8	72.0	87.1
RE	ADING N	MASTERY 8	8 (tested	with 200	9)					READING N	IASTERY 8	8 (tested	with 200	9)				
	84	59.5	73.0	72.5	72.4	72.6	66.7	77.3	89.0	106	70.5	80.4	72.8	71.9	80.2	71.7	85.1	89.8
sc	IENCE 7	(tested w	ith 2009))						SCIENCE 7	(tested w	ith 2009)						
	149	73.6	82.4	80.1	79.8	89.9	92.6	79.8	90.7	142	74.4	84.0	79.4	78.9	93.0	84.5	78.6	88.6
sc	IENCE 8	(tested w	ith 2009))						SCIENCE 8	(tested w	ith 2009)						
	151	50.6	74.4	81.9	82.8	76.2	97.4	72.7	87.9	155	61.3	77.7	81.6	82.1	80.6	96.1	64.9	87.2
SP	ANISH I	7 (tested	with 200	9)						SPANISH I	7 (tested	with 2009	9)					
	25	58.8	72.5	78.7	79.3	48.0	80.0	69.8	94.2	21	74.4	85.3	80.8	80.3	90.5	81.0	76.5	92.2
TE	XAS STU	JDIES 7 (to	ested wit	th 2009)						TEXAS STU	IDIES 7 (te	ested wit	h 2009)					
	130	40.1	67.3	76.7	77.7	34.6	91.5	56.9	89.4	133	52.7	71.1	74.1	74.5	52.6	77.4	63.3	87.2

ACP Assessment of Course Performance

SEMESTER '	S	ΕI	VI	ES.	TΕ	R	•
------------	---	----	----	-----	----	---	---

		% Pa	ssing	District % Passing				
Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course

TEXAS STUDIES 7 PRE-AP (tested with 2009)

23 52.3 68.2 78.6 79.7 39.1 95.7	7 76.4 97.5
----------------------------------	-------------

UNITED STATES STUDIES 8 (tested with 2009)

134 60.4 73.6 78.6 79.2 63.4 84.3 54.6 86.7		134	60.4	73.6	78.6	79.2	63.4	84.3	54.6	86.7
---	--	-----	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------

UNITED STATES STUDIES 8 PRE-AP (tested with 2009)

24	79.9	86.6	81.8	81.2	100.0	91.7	89.9	97.7

SEMESTER 2

		% Pa	ssing	District % Passing				
Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course

TEXAS STUDIES 7 PRE-AP (tested with 2009)

2	6 59.8	3 73.2	77.1	77.5	53.8	96.2	86.3	96.6
---	--------	--------	------	------	------	------	------	------

UNITED STATES STUDIES 8 (tested with 2009)

145	69.3	81.2	76.0	75.5	85.5	80.7	71.9	88.4
	00.0	O <u>_</u>	. 0.0	. 0.0	00.0	00		00

UNITED STATES STUDIES 8 PRE-AP (tested with 2009)

23	90.2	93.5	84.3	83.3	100.0	100.0	93.9	96.2