Campus Data Packet

for 2009 - 10 Plans



BILLY EARL DADE

School Number 62

The information in this packet is based on data from the 2008-09 school year.

This packet contains corrected statistics for norm-referenced assessments (ITBS and Logramos), including the SCE Compliance report. PSAT reports now contain the average score for all students in a grade.



2009-10 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2 ContentsTable of Contents	4 Notes Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
6 SummarySummary of Student and Teacher Statistics	7 Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
8 Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	9 Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
10 TeachersTeacher Statistics	
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	
11 TAKS Read (1) TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	12 TAKS Read (2) TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
13 TAKS Read (3) TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	14 TAKS Read (4) TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
15 TAKS Math (1)TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	16 TAKS Math (2) TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
17 TAKS Math (3)TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	18 TAKS Math (4) TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
19 TAKS Write (1)TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	20 TAKS Write (2) TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
21 TAKS Write (3)TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	22 TAKS Write (4) TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
23 TAKS Soc.Studies (1) TAKS Social Studies: % Meeting Standard	24 TAKS Soc.Studies (2) TAKS Social Studies: # Not Meeting Standard
25 TAKS Soc.Studies (3) TAKS Social Studies: Performance by Objective	26 TAKS Soc.Studies (4) TAKS Social Studies: % Commended
27 TAKS Science (1)TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	28 TAKS Science (2) TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
29 TAKS Science (3)TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	30 TAKS Science (4) TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS (MOD)	
31 TAKS-M Read (1) TAKS-M Reading: % Meeting Standard	32 TAKS-M Read (2) TAKS-M Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
33 TAKS-M Read (3) TAKS-M Reading: Performance by Objective	34 TAKS-M Read (4) TAKS-M Reading: Percentage Commended
35 TAKS-M Math (1) TAKS-M Math: % Meeting Standard	36 TAKS-M Math (2) TAKS-M Math: # Not Meeting Standard
37 TAKS-M Math (3) TAKS-M Math: Performance by Objective	38 TAKS-M Math (4) TAKS-M Math: Percentage Commended
39 TAKS-M Science (1) TAKS-M Science: % Meeting Standard	40 TAKS-M Science (2) TAKS-M Science: # Not Meeting Standard
41 TAKS-M Science (3) TAKS-M Science: Performance by Objective	42 TAKS-M Science (4) TAKS-M Science: Percentage Commended
43 TAKS-M Soc.Studies (1) TAKS-M Social Studies: % Meeting Standard	44 TAKS-M Soc.Studies (2) TAKS-M Social Studies: # Not Meeting Standard
45 TAKS-M Soc.Studies (3) TAKS-M Social Studies: Performance by Objective	46 TAKS-M Soc.Studies (4) TAKS-M Social Studies: % Commended
ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS	
47 Compliance SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	48 ITBS Read (1) ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
49 ITBS Read (2)ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	50 ITBS Math (1) ITBS Math Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
51 ITBS Math (2) ITBS Math Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	
MEASURES OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
52 WMLSWoodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	53 TELPAS TX English Language Proficiency Assessment System
COLLEGE READINESS	
54 PSAT Mean Standard Scores for Juniors	

2009-10 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

LOCAL ACCESSMENT

55.... ACP...... Assessment of Course Performance

2009-10 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2008-09. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2007-08 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2007-08 and 2008-09.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

TAKS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- TAKS statistics include both TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated results.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2010, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

TAKS-M (Modified)

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.

TELPAS

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2008 to 2009, the TEA did not report the 2008 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2009, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2008.

COLLEGE READINESS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Statistics based on student-reported school location, ethnicity, and graduation year.
- For SAT/ACT "Percent Tested" is computed with "Number Tested" as numerator and, as demoninator, the Grade 12 "Enrollment" reported on Enrollment (1) and Enrollment (2) reports. For PSAT the denominator for "Percent Tested" is from the appropriate grade/year on the Enrollment reports.
- PSAT scores range from 20 to 80. PSAT is administered once in the fall of each year. The district tests all grade 10 students. Other students sit for the PSAT voluntarily.

ACP

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- The ACPs are given as final course exams in grades 7-12. They account for a portion of students' final semester grades: 15 percent for high school and 10 percent for middle school. "Average course mark (no ACP)" is computed from semester grades before factoring in ACP scores. Any statistic involving course marks uses only those of at least 50, because district policy requires a student earn at least a 50 to receive course credit.
- Raw scores on the ACPs (percentage of items correct) are scaled each year to maintain similar annual passing rates for the district.
- A scale score of at least 70 percent is required to pass an ACP. A course mark of at least 70 percent is required to pass a course.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment					
6	155					
7	165					
8	151					
ALL	471					

STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stud	dents	Teachers				
Ethnicity	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
African American	374	79.4	40	81.6			
American Indian	0	0.0	*	*			
Asian	1	0.2	*	*			
Hispanic	93	19.7	1	2.0			
White	3	0.6	6	12.2			
Other	**	**	2	4.1			

^{*}Included in category "Other."

STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percent
Economically disadvantaged students	422	89.6
Limited English proficient students	29	6.2
Special education students	55	11.7

^{**}All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

			African A	American	American Indian Asian		ian	Hisp	anic	White		
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2007	172	137	79.7	0	0.0	1	0.6	34	19.8	0	0.0
6	2008	169	130	76.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	39	23.1	0	0.0
	2009	155	125	80.6	0	0.0	1	0.6	27	17.4	2	1.3
	2007	142	106	74.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	36	25.4	0	0.0
7	2008	171	143	83.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	28	16.4	0	0.0
	2009	165	126	76.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	39	23.6	0	0.0
	2007	121	85	70.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	36	29.8	0	0.0
8	2008	128	99	77.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	28	21.9	1	0.8
	2009	151	123	81.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	27	17.9	1	0.7
	2007	435	328	75.4	0	0.0	1	0.2	106	24.4	0	0.0
6-8	2008	468	372	79.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	95	20.3	1	0.2
	2009	471	374	79.4	0	0.0	1	0.2	93	19.7	3	0.6

			Economically Disadvantaged		LEP		Special Education		TAG		New (to Dallas ISD)		
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2007	172	162	94.2	9	5.2	24	14.0	29	16.9	12	7.0	0.0
6	2008	169	155	91.7	20	11.8	26	15.4	17	10.1	22	13.0	1.2
	2009	155	142	91.6	8	5.2	15	9.7	0	0.0	15	9.7	0.6
	2007	142	132	93.0	12	8.5	35	24.6	13	9.2	14	9.9	9.9
7	2008	171	155	90.6	9	5.3	23	13.5	18	10.5	18	10.5	4.7
	2009	165	145	87.9	15	9.1	19	11.5	34	20.6	11	6.7	3.6
	2007	121	102	84.3	12	9.9	22	18.2	5	4.1	15	12.4	3.3
8	2008	128	119	93.0	11	8.6	28	21.9	20	15.6	11	8.6	3.1
	2009	151	135	89.4	6	4.0	21	13.9	25	16.6	6	4.0	3.3
	2007	435	396	91.0	33	7.6	81	18.6	47	10.8	41	9.4	4.1
6-8	2008	468	429	91.7	40	8.5	77	16.5	55	11.8	51	10.9	3.0
	2009	471	422	89.6	29	6.2	55	11.7	59	12.5	32	6.8	2.5

BILLY EARL DADE (62)

Grade	Year	Average Daily Membership (N)		Average Daily Attendance				Yearly Transactions			Continuously Enrolled (N)		Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (N)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus	Dallas ISD
	2007	167.6	11,264.8	164.9	98.4	10,864.3	96.4	66	39.4	21.9	137	9,788	81.8	86.9
6	2008	161.9	10,450.4	158.4	97.9	10,060.0	96.3	54	33.4	22.0	134	9,055	82.8	86.6
	2009	147.2	10,588.3	139.6	94.8	10,165.3	96.0	53	36.0	19.9	118	9,131	80.2	86.2
	2007	145.6	10,085.4	142.4	97.8	9,572.1	94.9	77	52.9	27.7	107	8,404	73.5	83.3
7	2008	164.8	10,790.7	156.8	95.2	10,269.4	95.2	69	41.9	26.3	128	9,024	77.7	83.6
	2009	161.5	10,135.8	152.1	94.2	9,601.8	94.7	48	29.7	26.0	129	8,389	79.9	82.8
	2007	118.9	10,675.2	116.6	98.0	10,054.2	94.2	55	46.3	31.2	91	8,706	76.5	81.6
8	2008	138.9	9,895.9	132.6	95.5	9,350.9	94.5	46	33.1	30.5	108	8,046	77.7	81.3
	2009	158.2	10,551.1	147.0	92.9	9,945.8	94.3	65	41.1	28.2	121	8,477	76.5	80.3
	2007	432.1	32,025.4	423.9	98.1	30,490.6	95.2	198	45.8	26.8	335	26,898	77.5	84.0
6-8	2008	465.6	31,137.0	447.9	96.2	29,680.3	95.3	169	36.3	26.1	370	26,125	79.5	83.9
	2009	466.9	31,275.2	438.6	93.9	29,712.9	95.0	166	35.6	24.7	368	25,997	78.8	83.1

Teachers Teacher Statistics

DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS

Ethnicity	Number	Percent			
African American	40	81.6			
Hispanic	1	2.0			
White	6	12.2			
Other	2	4.1			

Gender	Number	Percent			
Female	37	75.5			
Male	12	24.5			

TOTAL	49

AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES

2007	7.5
2008	9.0
2009	6.5

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		78.7	63.3	76.6	*	16.7	55.2		74.7	77.0	75.8	87.2	153
6	2008		83.3	86.2	83.6	25.0	72.7	76.7		77.3	90.3	84.1	87.8	138
	2009	*	68.6	88.9	70.8	33.3	62.5	61.6		70.4	73.7	72.1	86.4	147
	2007		66.7	65.5	67.0	*	16.7	47.5		64.2	68.3	66.4	76.0	116
7	2008		71.1	56.7	68.3	0.0	33.3	58.5		67.9	67.6	67.1	77.3	161
	2009		78.4	69.4	76.3	66.7	45.5	71.8		68.6	81.9	75.8	77.1	153
	2007		76.7	84.6	78.8	*	*	76.1		76.9	80.9	78.8	80.5	99
8	2008	*	86.3	89.7	87.8	25.0	*	85.5		86.7	86.4	86.5	90.1	111
	2009	*	88.4	77.4	87.9	83.3	57.1	82.7		85.9	86.3	86.1	91.2	144
	2007		74.5	70.6	74.1	66.7	20.0	60.0		72.3	75.0	73.6	81.5	368
6-8	2008	*	79.1	77.3	78.6	15.6	63.6	72.0		75.4	81.1	78.0	84.9	410
	2009	*	78.3	77.7	78.3	61.1	53.8	73.0		75.0	80.6	77.9	85.0	444

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2007	0	282	85	332	12	15	195	0	184	184	368	27,843
2008	1	316	88	365	32	22	236	0	195	212	410	28,091
2009	2	346	94	397	18	26	256	0	212	232	444	28,010

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		26	11	33	*	5	30		20	17	37	1,269	153
6	2008		18	4	20	9	3	17		15	7	22	1,140	138
	2009	*	37	3	38	4	3	28		21	20	41	1,295	147
	2007		29	10	35	*	5	32		19	20	39	2,085	116
7	2008		37	13	46	12	4	39		27	24	53	2,207	161
, <u> </u>	2009		25	11	32	2	6	24		22	15	37	2,065	153
	2007		17	4	18	*	*	16		12	9	21	1,803	99
8	2008	*	11	3	12	6	*	10		6	9	15	891	111
	2009	*	13	7	16	1	3	17		10	10	20	834	144
	2007		72	25	86	4	12	78		51	46	97	5,157	368
6-8	2008	*	66	20	78	27	8	66		48	40	90	4,238	410
	2009	*	75	21	86	7	12	69		53	45	98	4,194	444

					NUMB	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2007	0	282	85	332	12	15	195	0	184	184	368	27,843
2008	1	316	88	365	32	22	236	0	195	212	410	28,091
2009	2	346	94	397	18	26	256	0	212	232	444	28,010

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2007	77.9	78.9	69.3	66.6
6	2008	80.4	78.5	76.8	72.6
	2009	74.4	68.6	71.2	75.0
	2007	72.3	67.8	73.7	68.0
7	2008	78.2	79.9	72.4	64.0
	2009	81.1	82.8	75.3	74.3
	2007	78.7	82.1	83.1	72.8
8	2008	80.3	78.3	76.1	80.1
	2009	83.2	81.3	78.3	80.5
	2007	76.4	76.3	74.4	68.7
6-8	2008	79.5	79.0	74.9	71.3
	2009	79.6	77.6	74.9	76.5

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		23.0	13.3	22.7	*	0.0	3.0		20.3	23.0	21.6	37.4	153
6	2008		19.4	34.5	20.5	16.7	18.2	8.2		25.8	20.8	23.2	33.8	138
	2009	*	14.4	29.6	14.6	0.0	0.0	9.6		15.5	18.4	17.0	30.2	147
	2007		4.6	0.0	2.8	*	0.0	1.6		3.8	3.2	3.4	12.7	116
7	2008		10.9	10.0	10.3	0.0	0.0	3.2		10.7	10.8	10.6	19.1	161
,	2009		10.3	16.7	10.4	0.0	0.0	8.2		11.4	12.0	11.8	19.6	153
	2007		20.5	19.2	21.2	*	*	13.4		21.2	19.1	20.2	26.0	99
8	2008	*	23.8	24.1	24.5	12.5	*	11.6		28.9	21.2	24.3	37.6	111
	2009	*	24.1	6.5	21.2	0.0	0.0	10.2		22.5	19.2	20.8	36.6	144
	2007		16.7	10.6	16.0	16.7	6.7	6.2		15.8	15.2	15.5	25.9	368
6-8	2008	*	17.1	22.7	17.5	9.4	9.1	7.2		20.0	17.5	18.5	29.9	410
	2009	*	16.2	17.0	15.4	0.0	0.0	9.4		16.5	16.4	16.4	29.0	444

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2007	0	282	85	332	12	15	195	0	184	184	368	27,843
2008	1	316	88	365	32	22	236	0	195	212	410	28,091
2009	2	346	94	397	18	26	256	0	212	232	444	28,010

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		54.5	40.0	51.4	*	0.0	27.9		48.1	56.2	51.9	70.0	154
6	2008		60.2	72.4	62.3	45.5	63.6	54.2		63.1	63.0	63.0	73.8	138
	2009	*	60.0	69.2	59.8	*	57.1	50.7		59.4	64.9	62.2	73.3	143
	2007		48.8	56.3	52.8	33.3	14.3	25.8		57.4	45.3	50.8	65.9	118
7	2008		53.1	43.3	52.4	8.3	16.7	38.3		50.0	51.4	50.3	64.5	161
-	2009		60.0	61.1	61.9	66.7	45.5	43.8		56.2	63.1	59.9	67.2	157
	2007		47.2	53.8	51.2	*	*	41.8		47.1	51.1	49.0	56.7	98
8	2008	*	63.4	62.1	62.4	0.0	*	49.3		77.1	53.8	63.7	72.8	113
	2009	*	60.2	65.5	63.0	50.0	71.4	51.0		58.6	64.6	61.7	72.3	149
	2007		50.9	50.0	51.8	30.8	18.8	32.0		50.5	51.1	50.8	64.3	370
6-8	2008	*	58.2	59.1	58.4	19.4	40.9	46.4		60.9	56.1	58.3	70.2	412
	2009	*	60.1	64.8	61.6	61.9	56.0	48.4		58.0	64.1	61.2	71.0	449

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2007	0	281	88	334	13	16	197	0	186	184	370	28,025
2008	1	318	88	368	31	22	239	0	197	212	412	28,064
2009	3	353	91	401	21	25	258	0	212	237	449	27,738

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 67% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		56	18	69	*	6	49		42	32	74	3,012	154
6	2008		43	8	46	6	4	33		24	27	51	2,460	138
	2009	*	46	8	51	*	3	34		28	26	54	2,552	143
	2007		44	14	51	4	6	46		23	35	58	2,976	118
7	2008		60	17	69	11	5	58		42	36	80	3,462	161
	2009		48	14	53	3	6	50		32	31	63	2,966	157
	2007		38	12	41	*	*	39		27	23	50	4,022	98
8	2008	*	30	11	38	8	*	37		11	30	41	2,429	113
	2009	*	47	10	50	4	2	49		29	28	57	2,530	149
	2007		138	44	161	9	13	134		92	90	182	10,010	370
6-8	2008	*	133	36	153	25	13	128		77	93	172	8,351	412
	2009	*	141	32	154	8	11	133		89	85	174	8,048	449

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2007	0	281	88	334	13	16	197	0	186	184	370	28,025
2008	1	318	88	368	31	22	239	0	197	212	412	28,064
2009	3	353	91	401	21	25	258	0	212	237	449	27,738

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2007	63.7	57.4	67.2	56.9	70.2	62.1
6	2008	70.4	69.2	68.4	59.9	72.1	70.7
	2009	64.8	66.7	65.0	62.7	71.7	66.4
	2007	59.7	52.4	56.5	49.2	59.7	60.2
7	2008	58.4	53.4	58.7	42.0	51.2	59.4
	2009	68.3	57.8	60.7	52.5	60.2	66.9
	2007	55.5	63.3	60.6	50.0	64.7	58.1
8	2008	60.5	63.4	66.1	43.5	64.7	63.5
	2009	60.9	63.4	61.1	43.9	62.9	61.3
	2007	60.2	57.3	62.0	52.6	65.4	60.4
6-8	2008	63.0	61.4	64.0	48.4	61.9	64.3
	2009	64.7	62.5	62.2	52.9	64.8	64.9

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		8.9	10.0	9.9	*	0.0	0.0		6.2	13.7	9.7	26.5	154
6	2008		13.9	27.6	14.8	9.1	9.1	8.3		18.5	15.1	16.7	31.0	138
	2009	*	9.6	15.4	10.2	*	0.0	2.9		14.5	6.8	10.5	29.0	143
	2007		1.2	6.3	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0		3.7	1.6	2.5	10.9	118
7	2008		7.0	6.7	6.2	0.0	0.0	1.1		7.1	6.8	6.8	11.0	161
,	2009		4.2	13.9	6.5	0.0	0.0	5.6		9.6	3.6	6.4	11.3	157
	2007		2.8	7.7	3.6	*	*	0.0		3.9	4.3	4.1	9.0	98
8	2008	*	4.9	13.8	6.9	0.0	*	1.4		6.3	7.7	7.1	14.1	113
	2009	*	9.3	6.9	7.4	0.0	0.0	1.0		11.4	6.3	8.7	15.4	149
	2007		5.0	8.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		4.8	7.1	5.9	15.9	370
6-8	2008	*	8.8	15.9	9.2	3.2	4.5	3.3		10.7	9.9	10.2	18.7	412
	2009	*	7.6	12.1	8.0	4.8	0.0	3.1		11.8	5.5	8.5	18.7	449

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2007	0	281	88	334	13	16	197	0	186	184	370	28,025
2008	1	318	88	368	31	22	239	0	197	212	412	28,064
2009	3	353	91	401	21	25	258	0	212	237	449	27,738

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		83.5	86.7	84.1	*	66.7	72.1		77.8	90.2	84.3	87.5	115
7	2008		81.9	76.7	80.7	27.3	83.3	73.1		77.1	84.0	80.5	83.7	159
	2009	·	84.3	82.9	82.6	*	54.5	74.1		76.5	90.4	84.1	88.6	151

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2007	0	85	30	107	3	6	61	0	54	61	115	8,582
2008	0	127	30	145	11	6	93	0	83	75	159	9,744
2009	0	115	35	132	4	11	85	0	68	83	151	8,988

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		14	4	17	*	2	17		12	6	18	1,075	115
7	2008		23	7	28	8	1	25		19	12	31	1,584	159
	2009		18	6	23	*	5	22		16	8	24	1,023	151

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 7				
2007	0	85	30	107	3	6	61	0	54	61	115	8,582
2008	0	127	30	145	11	6	93	0	83	75	159	9,744
2009	0	115	35	132	4	11	85	0	68	83	151	8,988

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2007	2.4	85.9	74.2	76.8	82.3
7	2008	2.3	72.5	74.8	78.2	76.7
	2009	2.2	79.5	79.8	79.5	84.1

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		22.4	20.0	21.5	*	0.0	14.8		14.8	27.9	21.7	21.8	115
7	2008		18.9	13.3	17.2	0.0	0.0	9.7		14.5	21.3	17.6	20.4	159
	2009		14.8	22.9	17.4	*	18.2	9.4		22.1	12.0	16.6	22.3	151

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2007	0	85	30	107	3	6	61	0	54	61	115	8,582
2008	0	127	30	145	11	6	93	0	83	75	159	9,744
2009	0	115	35	132	4	11	85	0	68	83	151	8,988

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

BILLY EARL DADE (62)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		73.6	72.0	74.7	*	*	66.7		74.0	72.3	73.2	78.9	97
8	2008	*	70.0	71.4	70.4	12.5	*	60.3		74.5	67.2	70.3	86.6	111
	2009	*	78.4	77.8	77.5	33.3	85.7	73.4		84.4	73.3	78.4	88.3	139

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2007	0	72	25	83	1	2	66	0	50	47	97	9,206
2008	1	80	28	98	8	5	68	0	47	64	111	8,642
2009	1	111	27	129	9	7	94	0	64	75	139	9,233

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010 AEIS social studies minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		19	7	21	*	*	22		13	13	26	1,942	97
8	2008	*	24	8	29	7	*	27		12	21	33	1,157	111
	2009	*	24	6	29	6	1	25		10	20	30	1,078	139

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2007	0	72	25	83	1	2	66	0	50	47	97	9,206
2008	1	80	28	98	8	5	68	0	47	64	111	8,642
2009	1	111	27	129	9	7	94	0	64	75	139	9,233

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Issues and Events in U.S. History	Geographic Influences on History	Economic and Social Influences on History	Political Influences on History	Critical-Thinking Skills
	2007	57.9	60.1	72.3	59.4	67.7
8	2008	62.9	59.6	65.0	60.0	69.7
	2009	61.3	70.6	68.7	61.4	73.7

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		9.7	16.0	13.3	*	*	6.1		12.0	10.6	11.3	21.1	97
8	2008	*	12.5	17.9	13.3	12.5	*	4.4		14.9	14.1	14.4	27.5	111
	2009	*	14.4	7.4	14.0	0.0	0.0	7.4		18.8	9.3	13.7	31.7	139

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2007	0	72	25	83	1	2	66	0	50	47	97	9,206
2008	1	80	28	98	8	5	68	0	47	64	111	8,642
2009	1	111	27	129	9	7	94	0	64	75	139	9,233

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		36.6	42.3	42.2	*	*	29.9		46.0	29.8	38.1	52.4	97
8	2008	*	37.5	37.9	37.4	12.5	*	17.4		48.9	30.8	38.4	54.6	112
	2009	*	51.8	46.4	51.9	0.0	57.1	40.6		65.2	38.7	51.1	59.2	141

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8						
2007	0	0 71 26 83 2 3 67 0 50 47 97 9,23												
2008	1	80	29	99	8	5	69	0	47	65	112	8,703		
2009	1	112	28	131	9	7	96	0	66	75	141	9,292		

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 55 percent, the 2010 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade 8 science passing standard has risen to Panel Recommendation for 2009. In 2008, it was 1 SEM below PR; in 2007, it was 2 SEM below PR.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		45	15	48	*	*	47		27	33	60	4,398	97
8	2008	*	50	18	62	7	*	57		24	45	69	3,953	112
	2009	*	54	15	63	9	3	57		23	46	69	3,794	141

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8				
2007	0	71	26	83	2	3	67	0	50	47	97	9,233
2008	1	80	29	99	8	5	69	0	47	65	112	8,703
2009	1	112	28	131	9	7	96	0	66	75	141	9,292

Grade 8 science passing standard has risen to Panel Recommendation for 2009. In 2008, it was 1 SEM below PR; in 2007, it was 2 SEM below PR.

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Living Systems and the Environment	Structures and Properties of Matter	Motion, Forces, and Energy	Earth and Space Systems
	2007	58.6	58.3	53.3	45.2	46.0
8	2008	62.1	60.8	58.8	42.1	51.0
	2009	68.0	70.7	66.9	67.0	57.5

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		2.8	0.0	2.4	*	*	1.5		0.0	4.3	2.1	6.6	97
8	2008	*	3.8	6.9	5.1	0.0	*	2.9		10.6	1.5	5.4	11.2	112
	2009	*	7.1	7.1	8.4	0.0	14.3	3.1		12.1	4.0	7.8	13.3	141

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2007	0	71	26	83	2	3	67	0	50	47	97	9,233
2008	1	80	29	99	8	5	69	0	47	65	112	8,703
2009	1	112	28	131	9	7	96	0	66	75	141	9,292

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
6	2008		85.7	66.7	76.9	78.6	*	80.0		75.0	*	78.6	68.6	14
	2009		100.0		100.0	100.0		*		100.0	*	100.0	70.9	9
7	2008		72.7	*	72.7	75.0	*	85.7		70.0	*	75.0	67.1	12
	2009		100.0	*	100.0	100.0	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	72.6	15
8	2008		71.4	*	64.7	68.4	*	64.7		66.7	70.0	68.4	64.8	19
	2009		93.8	*	92.3	94.1		100.0		100.0	87.5	94.1	77.8	17
6-8	2008		75.0	66.7	70.7	73.3	66.7	73.5		70.4	76.5	73.3	67.0	45
	2009		97.2	*	97.1	97.6	*	100.0		100.0	94.1	97.6	73.8	41

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8							
2008	0	32	12	41	45	9	34	0	27	17	45	1,789			
2009	0	36	5	35	41	4	32	0	24	17	41	1,932			

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
6	2008		1	2	3	3	*	2		2	*	3	206	14
	2009		0		0	0		*		0	*	0	182	9
7	2008		3	*	3	3	*	1		3	*	3	201	12
	2009		0	*	0	0	*	0		0	0	0	181	15
8	2008		4	*	6	6	*	6		3	3	6	184	19
	2009		1	*	1	1		0		0	1	1	143	17
					•									
6-8	2008		8	4	12	12	3	9		8	4	12	591	45
	2009		1	*	1	1	*	0		0	1	1	506	41

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 6-8													
2008	0	32	12	41	45	9	34	0	27	17	45	1,789			
2009	0	36	5	35	41	4	32	0	24	17	41	1,932			

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
6	2008	64.3	69.0	58.3	63.6
	2009	91.9	83.3	74.1	79.8
7	2008	61.7	61.5	75.0	59.7
	2009	84.0	80.0	81.7	72.2
8	2008	62.1	75.7	69.1	50.9
	2009	74.7	75.0	75.0	61.8
6-8	2008	62.7	69.8	67.3	57.2
	2009	81.9	78.7	77.2	69.5

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
6	2008		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	7.3	14
	2009		33.3		33.3	33.3		*		16.7	*	33.3	7.5	9
7	2008		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	5.9	12
	2009		36.4	*	30.8	26.7	*	23.1		33.3	16.7	26.7	8.9	15
8	2008		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	19
	2009		0.0	*	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	7.1	17
6-8	2008		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	6.5	45
	2009		19.4	*	20.0	17.1	*	12.5		16.7	17.6	17.1	7.9	41

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2008	0	32	12	41	45	9	34	0	27	17	45	1,789
2009	0	36	5	35	41	4	32	0	24	17	41	1,932

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
6	2008		57.1	50.0	53.8	50.0	*	60.0		50.0	*	50.0	57.0	14
	2009		100.0	*	100.0	100.0	*	100.0		100.0	*	100.0	64.0	11
7	2008		63.6	*	63.6	66.7	*	57.1		60.0	*	66.7	53.7	12
	2009		87.5	*	90.0	91.7	*	90.0		85.7	*	91.7	62.0	12
8	2008		33.3	*	27.8	35.0	*	31.3		41.7	25.0	35.0	45.0	20
	2009		100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	67.1	15
					•		•							
6-8	2008		48.5	50.0	45.2	47.8	55.6	45.5		50.0	46.7	47.8	52.0	46
	2009		96.9	100.0	97.0	97.4	*	96.7		95.5	100.0	97.4	64.4	38

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 6-8													
2008	0	33	12	42	46	9	33	0	30	15	46	1,797			
2009	0	32	6	33	38	5	30	0	22	16	38	1,860			

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
6	2008		3	3	6	7	*	4		4	*	7	260	14
	2009		0	*	0	0	*	0		0	*	0	205	11
7	2008		4	*	4	4	*	3		4	*	4	279	12
	2009		1	*	1	1	*	1		1	*	1	248	12
8	2008		10	*	13	13	*	11		7	6	13	324	20
	2009		0	*	0	0		0		0	0	0	210	15
6-8	2008		17	6	23	24	4	18		15	8	24	863	46
	2009		1	0	1	1	*	1		1	0	1	663	38

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 6-8													
2008	0	33	12	42	46	9	33	0	30	15	46	1,797			
2009	0	32	6	33	38	5	30	0	22	16	38	1,860			

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
6	2008	57.1	50.0	45.2	62.5	67.1	39.8
	2009	65.9	76.6	57.6	68.2	87.3	67.5
7	2008	44.8	39.6	58.3	45.8	47.2	51.4
	2009	66.7	56.3	54.2	60.4	65.3	70.8
8	2008	41.3	54.4	41.7	41.3	40.8	44.4
	2009	70.0	59.2	52.2	65.0	62.2	74.2
6-8	2008	47.0	49.2	47.1	48.9	50.5	44.8
	2009	67.8	63.3	54.4	64.5	70.4	71.2

BILLY EARL DADE (62)

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
6	2008		14.3	0.0	7.7	7.1	*	10.0		12.5	*	7.1	6.4	14
	2009		40.0	*	36.4	36.4	*	33.3		14.3	*	36.4	8.4	11
7	2008		9.1	*	9.1	8.3	*	14.3		10.0	*	8.3	2.5	12
	2009		25.0	*	10.0	16.7	*	20.0		28.6	*	16.7	8.0	12
8	2008		6.7	*	5.6	10.0	*	6.3		8.3	12.5	10.0	3.2	20
	2009		28.6	*	25.0	26.7		21.4		37.5	14.3	26.7	5.8	15
6-8	2008		9.1	8.3	7.1	8.7	0.0	9.1		10.0	6.7	8.7	4.1	46
	2009		31.3	0.0	24.2	26.3	*	23.3		27.3	25.0	26.3	7.4	38

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8								
2008	0	33	12	42	46	9	33	0	30	15	46	1,797				
2009	0	32	6	33	38	5	30	0	22	16	38	1,860				

BILLY EARL DADE (62)

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
8	2008		0.0	*	10.0	9.5	*	11.1		9.1	10.0	9.5	30.0	21
	2009		53.8	*	45.5	57.1		66.7		71.4	50.0	57.1	40.1	14

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8							
2008	0	16	5	20	21	3	18	0	11	10	21	636			
2009	0	13	1	11	14	0	12	0	7	6	14	631			

Grade 8 science passing standard has risen to Panel Recommendation for 2009. In 2008, it was 1 SEM below PR; in 2007, it was 2 SEM below PR.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
8	2008		16	*	18	19	*	16		10	9	19	445	21
	2009		6	*	6	6		4		2	3	6	378	14

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8							
2008	0	16	5	20	21	3	18	0	11	10	21	636			
2009	0	13	1	11	14	0	12	0	7	6	14	631			

Grade 8 science passing standard has risen to Panel Recommendation for 2009. In 2008, it was 1 SEM below PR; in 2007, it was 2 SEM below PR.

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Living Systems and the Environment	Structures and Properties of Matter	Motion, Forces, and Energy	Earth and Space Systems
8	2008	47.2	47.1	48.6	29.5	44.4
	2009	67.5	67.1	42.9	52.9	50.0

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
8	2008		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	21
	2009		0.0	*	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	14

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8								
2007																
2008	0	16	5	20	21	3	18	0	11	10	21	636				
2009	0	13	1	11	14	0	12	0	7	6	14	631				

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
8														
Ü	2009		0.0	*	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2009	0	12	1	11	13	0	11	0	6	6	13	618

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
8														
	2009		12	*	11	13		11		6	6	13	618	13

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2009	0	12	1	11	13	0	11	0	6	6	13	618

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Issues and Events in U.S. History	Geographic Influences on History	Economic and Social Influences on History	Political Influences on History	Critical-Thinking Skills
8						
	2009	45.4	49.2	62.6	60.8	69.2

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
8														
	2009		0.0	*	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2009	0	12	1	11	13	0	11	0	6	6	13	618

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the IOWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS

				READING T	OTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007					0
8	2008	10.8	61.5	24.8	37.2	141
	2009	10.8	44.4	20.5	33.6	156

	2007	0	0	0	0	
Number Tested	2008	102	39	141	8,615	
103104	2009	111	45	156	9,813	

			M	ATHEMATIC	S TOTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007					0
8	2008	19.8	69.2	33.6	46.7	140
	2009	22.9	69.8	36.2	48.3	152

	2007	0	0	0	0	
Number Tested	2008	101	39	140	8,493	
rested	2009	109	43	152	9,737	

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007													0
8	2008		25.2	23.5	23.6	2.9	7.7	10.8		23.9	25.7	24.8	37.2	141
	2009	*	23.2	6.7	21.3	0.0	0.0	10.8		21.6	19.5	20.5	33.6	156

	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Tested	2008	0	107	34	123	34	13	102	0	71	70	141	8,615
100100	2009	1	125	30	136	24	8	111	0	74	82	156	9,813

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007													0
8	2008		2	1	3	0	0	0		1	2	3	508	141
	2009	*	1	0	2	0	0	1		2	0	2	575	156

	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Tested	2008	0	107	34	123	34	13	102	0	71	70	141	8,615
100104	2009	1	125	30	136	24	8	111	0	74	82	156	9,813

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007													0
8	2008	*	29.0	46.9	32.5	0.0	16.7	19.8		34.2	32.8	33.6	46.7	140
	2009	*	36.4	33.3	37.9	8.7	25.0	22.9		31.0	40.7	36.2	48.3	152

	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Tested	2008	1	107	32	123	34	12	101	0	73	67	140	8,493
100100	2009	1	121	30	132	23	8	109	0	71	81	152	9,737

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007													0
8	2008	*	5	1	5	0	0	2		5	2	7	801	140
	2009	*	8	3	11	0	0	2		5	7	12	1,219	152

	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Tested	2008	1	107	32	123	34	12	101	0	73	67	140	8,493
Tostoa	2009	1	121	30	132	23	8	109	0	71	81	152	9,737

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1	in 2008	Level 2	in 2008	Level 3	in 2008	Levels 1-3 in 2008			
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2009	Number Percentage Tested Improved Both Years in 2009		Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2009	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2009		
6	2 100.0 1 0.0		1 0.0		0	-	3	66.7		
7			2	50.0	0	-	3	33.3		
8	1 100.0		3	33.3	0	-	4	50.0		
ALL	4	75.0	6	33.3	0	-	10	50.0		

PERFORMANCE IN 2009

Composite Grade Domain: Listening Speaking Writing Reading 2009 Level (N Rated) Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % 2 25.0 2 25.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 Beginning 12.5 6 Intermediate 6 75.0 6 75.0 6 0 0.0 12.5 75.0 1 Advanced 12.5 0 0.0 0 0.0 6 75.0 7 87.5 (8)2 Advanced High 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 25.0 0 0.0 13.3 20.0 5 33.3 0.0 0 0.0 Beginning 7 7 46.7 3 20.0 Intermediate 10 66.7 10 66.7 26.7 4 3 2 3 7 Advanced 20.0 13.3 20.0 40.0 46.7 (15)Advanced High 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 6 40.0 4 26.7 16.7 Beginning 16.7 1 16.7 1 0.0 0 0.0 8 33.3 4 0.0 Intermediate 66.7 4 66.7 16.7 3 Advanced 50.0 16.7 16.7 3 50.0 4 66.7 (6)Advanced High 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 3 50.0 16.7 Beginning 13.8 6 20.7 8 27.6 0 0.0 0 0.0 ALL Intermediate 18 62.1 20 69.0 17 58.6 3 10.3 6 20.7 3 62.1 Advanced 24.1 10.3 4 13.8 15 51.7 18 (29)5 Advanced High 0.0 0.0 0.0 11 37.9 17.2

PROGRESSION FROM 2008 TO 2009

Number Rated Both Years			2008	Level	
N (%) Progressed	2009 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High
	Beginning		()	
6	Intermediate	0		1	
0 (0.0%)	Advanced	0	0	Ę	5
	Advanced High	0	0	()
	Beginning		()	
14	Intermediate	0		4	
4 (28.6%)	Advanced	0	1	6	6
	Advanced High	0	0	3	3
	Beginning		()	
6	Intermediate	0		1	
1 (16.7%)	Advanced	0	0	4	1
	Advanced High	0	0	1	1
	Beginning)	
26	Intermediate	0		6	
5 (19.2%)	Advanced	0	1	1	5
	Advanced High	0	0	4	1

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2008 to 2009.

PSAT Mean Standard Scores

			All			African American		Hispanic		White		Other		Dis	trict
Grade	Subtest	Year	N Tested	Mean	%Tested	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean
		2006	14	33.4	11.6	10	32.7	4	*	0		0		2,798	34.2
	Critical Reading	2007	83	29.7	64.8	62	29.0	21	31.8	0		0		2,527	33.5
	Reading	2008	84	30.3	55.6	67	30.6	16	28.3	1	*	0		2,932	33.8
	Mathematics 20	2006	14	36.1	11.6	10	37.4	4	*	0		0		2,798	36.8
8		2007	83	33.5	64.8	62	33.2	21	34.5	0		0		2,527	37.2
		2008	84	34.4	55.6	67	34.7	16	32.6	1	*	0		2,932	37.2
	Writing	2006	14	35.1	11.6	10	34.6	4	*	0		0		2,798	34.4
		2007	83	29.6	64.8	62	29.5	21	29.6	0		0		2,527	34.5
		2008	84	30.6	55.6	67	30.1	16	32.1	1	*	0		2,932	35.1

					SEMESTI	ER 1								SEMEST	ER 2			
			Ave	rages		% Pa	assing	District %	6 Passing			Ave	rages		% Pa	assing	District %	% Passing
	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course
AL	GEBRA I	IPRE-AP ((tested w	rith 2009)	1				_	ALGEBRA	IPRE-AP (tested w	ith 2009)					
	26	65.3	79.2	78.5	78.4	84.6	100.0	80.7	96.4	25	61.1	74.1	83.4	84.4	60.0	100.0	82.6	97.4
CO	MPUTER	R APPLICA	TIONS (tested w	ith 2009)					COMPUTE	R APPLICA	TIONS (tested w	ith 2009)				
	62	61.2	74.1	80.4	81.1	71.0	96.8	69.6	95.2	65	64.2	76.1	81.4	82.0	76.9	96.9	76.4	93.8
HE	ALTH E	DUCATION	(tested	with 2009	9)					HEALTH EI	DUCATION	(tested	with 2009	9)				
	35	62.3	74.8	83.7	84.7	77.1	100.0	78.7	98.0	19	66.1	78.4	82.1	82.5	84.2	89.5	80.6	93.1
LA	NGUAGE	E ARTS 7	tested w	rith 2009)						LANGUAG	EARTS 7 ((tested w	ith 2009)					
	123	59.6	75.8	76.9	77.0	76.4	82.1	67.6	90.0	133	70.0	80.0	76.6	76.2	83.5	83.5	81.7	88.4
LA	NGUAGE	E ARTS 7 P	RE-AP (tested wi	ith 2009)					LANGUAG	E ARTS 7 P	PRE-AP (tested wi	ith 2009)				
	10	67.1	78.1	76.9	76.8	70.0	70.0	82.5	97.7	12	65.0	77.7	79.2	79.3	83.3	91.7	81.4	95.2
LA	NGUAGE	E ARTS 8	(tested w	rith 2009)	ı					LANGUAG	EARTS8 ((tested w	ith 2009)					
	113	65.8	77.2	79.3	79.5	78.8	93.8	74.0	87.8	108	68.6	79.1	78.6	78.6	83.3	86.1	78.4	87.0
MA	THEMA	TICS 7 (te	sted with	2009)						MATHEMA	TICS 7 (te	sted with	2009)					
	115	55.1	72.5	79.7	80.6	59.1	87.8	58.7	86.6	125	52.8	71.7	79.1	79.9	61.6	88.0	58.4	84.2
MA	THEMA	TICS 7 PRI	E-AP (te	sted with	2009)					MATHEMA	TICS 7 PRE	E-AP (tes	sted with	2009)				
	17	70.2	80.1	79.1	79.0	82.4	82.4	73.2	95.3	18	61.1	75.7	76.5	76.6	83.3	72.2	77.3	96.0
MA	THEMA	TICS 8 (te	sted with	2009)						MATHEMA	TICS 8 (te	sted with	2009)					
	98	44.5	70.8	76.7	77.4	54.1	87.8	60.1	83.5	102	41.9	66.5	76.7	77.8	33.3	88.2	52.0	82.6
RE	ADING 7	' (tested w	rith 2009))						READING 7	(tested w	ith 2009)						
	123	62.9	79.4	74.6	74.1	83.7	78.9	84.2	94.1	131	64.1	76.0	74.4	74.2	77.9	74.0	80.2	92.9

	_				SEMEST	ER 1									SEMEST	IESTER 2			
_			Ave	rages		% Pa	assing	District %	% Passing				Ave	rages		% Pa	ssing	District %	% Passing
	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	Num Tes		% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course
RE	ADING 8	tested w	ith 2009))						READI	NG 8	(tested w	ith 2009)						
	121	62.0	74.7	78.2	78.6	71.9	89.3	86.3	94.0	12	25	66.0	77.3	75.9	75.8	74.4	80.8	88.0	93.4
sc	IENCE 7	(tested w	ith 2009))						SCIENC	CE 7	(tested wi	ith 2009)						
	133	65.3	76.9	80.0	80.3	82.0	91.7	79.8	90.7	12	26	59.9	74.9	79.5	80.0	73.8	89.7	78.6	88.6
SC	IENCE 8	(tested w	ith 2009))						SCIENC	CE 8	(tested wi	ith 2009)						
	119	42.9	70.5	77.7	78.5	57.1	88.2	72.7	87.9	12	26	48.7	70.4	79.0	79.9	49.2	88.9	64.9	87.2
TE	XAS STU	JDIES 7 (to	ested wit	h 2009)						TEXAS	STU	DIES 7 (te	ested wit	h 2009)					
	133	52.8	74.3	80.2	80.8	70.7	88.7	56.9	89.4	12	26	56.8	73.5	81.1	82.0	65.9	90.5	63.3	87.2
UN	ITED ST	ATES STU	DIES 8 (tested w	th 2009)					UNITED	STA	TES STU	DIES 8 (1	tested wi	th 2009)				
	120	43.5	62.3	80.7	82.7	21.7	93.3	54.6	86.7	12	23	52.6	71.0	80.0	81.0	52.0	92.7	71.9	88.4