# **Campus Data Packet**

for 2009 - 10 Plans



# L. V. STOCKARD

School Number 59

The information in this packet is based on data from the 2008-09 school year.

This packet contains corrected statistics for norm-referenced assessments (ITBS and Logramos), including the SCE Compliance report. PSAT reports now contain the average score for all students in a grade.



2009-10 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2 ContentsTable of Contents	4 Notes Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STUDENTS AND STAFF	·
6 SummarySummary of Student and Teacher Statistics	7 Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
8 Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	9 Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
10 TeachersTeacher Statistics	
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	
11 TAKS Read (1) TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	12 TAKS Read (2) TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
13 TAKS Read (3) TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	14 TAKS Read (4) TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
15 TAKS Math (1)TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	16 TAKS Math (2) TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
17 TAKS Math (3)TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	18 TAKS Math (4) TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
19 TAKS Write (1)TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	20 TAKS Write (2) TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
21 TAKS Write (3) TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	22 TAKS Write (4) TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
23 TAKS Soc.Studies (1) TAKS Social Studies: % Meeting Standard	24 TAKS Soc.Studies (2) TAKS Social Studies: # Not Meeting Standard
25 TAKS Soc.Studies (3) TAKS Social Studies: Performance by Objective	26 TAKS Soc.Studies (4) TAKS Social Studies: % Commended
27 TAKS Science (1)TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	28 TAKS Science (2) TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
29 TAKS Science (3)TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	30 TAKS Science (4) TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS (MOD)	
31 TAKS-M Read (1) TAKS-M Reading: % Meeting Standard	32 TAKS-M Read (2) TAKS-M Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
33 TAKS-M Read (3) TAKS-M Reading: Performance by Objective	34 TAKS-M Read (4) TAKS-M Reading: Percentage Commended
35 TAKS-M Math (1) TAKS-M Math: % Meeting Standard	36 TAKS-M Math (2) TAKS-M Math: # Not Meeting Standard
37 TAKS-M Math (3) TAKS-M Math: Performance by Objective	38 TAKS-M Math (4) TAKS-M Math: Percentage Commended
39 TAKS-M Science (1) TAKS-M Science: % Meeting Standard	40 TAKS-M Science (2) TAKS-M Science: # Not Meeting Standard
41 TAKS-M Science (3) TAKS-M Science: Performance by Objective	42 TAKS-M Science (4) TAKS-M Science: Percentage Commended
43 TAKS-M Soc.Studies (1) TAKS-M Social Studies: % Meeting Standard	44 TAKS-M Soc.Studies (2) TAKS-M Social Studies: # Not Meeting Standard
45 TAKS-M Soc.Studies (3) TAKS-M Social Studies: Performance by Objective	46 TAKS-M Soc.Studies (4) TAKS-M Social Studies: % Commended
ITBS/ITED/LOGRAMOS	
47 ComplianceSCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	48 ITBS Read (1) ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
49 ITBS Read (2)ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	50 ITBS Math (1) ITBS Math Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
51 ITBS Math (2) ITBS Math Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	
MEASURES OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
52 WMLSWoodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	53 TELPAS TX English Language Proficiency Assessment System
COLLEGE READINESS	
54 PSAT Mean Standard Scores for Juniors	

2009-10 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

# **LOCAL ACCESSMENT**

55.... ACP...... Assessment of Course Performance

#### 2009-10 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

#### **ENROLLMENT**

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2008-09. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2007-08 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2007-08 and 2008-09.

#### **ATTENDANCE**

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

# **TEACHERS**

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

# **TAKS**

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- TAKS statistics include both TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated results.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2010, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

#### TAKS-M (Modified)

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

# **SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS**

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.

#### **TELPAS**

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2008 to 2009, the TEA did not report the 2008 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2009, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2008.

#### **COLLEGE READINESS**

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Statistics based on student-reported school location, ethnicity, and graduation year.
- For SAT/ACT "Percent Tested" is computed with "Number Tested" as numerator and, as demoninator, the Grade 12 "Enrollment" reported on Enrollment (1) and Enrollment (2) reports. For PSAT the denominator for "Percent Tested" is from the appropriate grade/year on the Enrollment reports.
- PSAT scores range from 20 to 80. PSAT is administered once in the fall of each year. The district tests all grade 10 students. Other students sit for the PSAT voluntarily.

#### **ACP**

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- The ACPs are given as final course exams in grades 7-12. They account for a portion of students' final semester grades: 15 percent for high school and 10 percent for middle school. "Average course mark (no ACP)" is computed from semester grades before factoring in ACP scores. Any statistic involving course marks uses only those of at least 50, because district policy requires a student earn at least a 50 to receive course credit.
- Raw scores on the ACPs (percentage of items correct) are scaled each year to maintain similar annual passing rates for the district.
- A scale score of at least 70 percent is required to pass an ACP. A course mark of at least 70 percent is required to pass a course.

# STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
7	433
8	431
ALL	864

#### STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stud	lents	Teachers				
Ethnicity	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
African American	25	2.9	23	38.3			
American Indian	2	0.2	*	*			
Asian	0	0.0	*	*			
Hispanic	824	95.4	15	25.0			
White	13	1.5	19	31.7			
Other	**	**	3	5.0			

<sup>\*</sup>Included in category "Other."

#### STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percent
Economically disadvantaged students	774	89.6
Limited English proficient students	239	27.7
Special education students	62	7.2

<sup>\*\*</sup>All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

		African American American Indian Asian		ian	Hisp	anic	White					
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N %		N %		N	%
	2007	389	17	4.4	0	0.0	1	0.3	359	92.3	12	3.1
7	2008	440	16	3.6	1	0.2	0	0.0	416	94.5	7	1.6
	2009	433	14	3.2	2	0.5	0	0.0	413	95.4	4	0.9
	2007	375	28	7.5	2	0.5	0	0.0	341	90.9	4	1.1
8	2008	380	13	3.4	0	0.0	1	0.3	359	94.5	7	1.8
	2009	431	11	2.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	411	95.4	9	2.1
	2007	764	45	5.9	2	0.3	1	0.1	700	91.6	16	2.1
7-8	2008	820	29	3.5	1	0.1	1	0.1	775	94.5	14	1.7
	2009	864	25	2.9	2	0.2	0	0.0	824	95.4	13	1.5

		Economically Disadvantaged		LEP		Special Education		TAG		New (to Dallas ISD)		Retention	
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2007	389	352	90.5	65	16.7	35	9.0	7	1.8	27	6.9	2.8
7	2008	440	393	89.3	117	26.6	36	8.2	46	10.5	28	6.4	3.6
	2009	433	397	91.7	118	27.3	38	8.8	46	10.6	20	4.6	0.0
	2007	375	314	83.7	98	26.1	36	9.6	9	2.4	22	5.9	1.9
8	2008	380	338	88.9	67	17.6	25	6.6	45	11.8	16	4.2	1.8
	2009	431	377	87.5	121	28.1	24	5.6	36	8.4	26	6.0	4.9
	2007	764	666	87.2	163	21.3	71	9.3	16	2.1	49	6.4	2.4
7-8	2008	820	731	89.1	184	22.4	61	7.4	91	11.1	44	5.4	2.8
	2009	864	774	89.6	239	27.7	62	7.2	82	9.5	46	5.3	2.4

Attendance Student Attendance Statistics

Grade	Year	Average Daily Membership (N)		Α	Average Daily Attendance				Yearly Transactions			Continuously Enrolled (N)		Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (N)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus	Dallas ISD	
	2007	389.3	10,085.4	371.8	95.5	9,572.1	94.9	77	19.8	27.7	342	8,404	87.8	83.3	
7	2008	435.4	10,790.7	417.8	96.0	10,269.4	95.2	62	14.2	26.3	398	9,024	91.4	83.6	
	2009	434.2	10,135.8	417.5	96.2	9,601.8	94.7	63	14.5	26.0	394	8,389	90.7	82.8	
	2007	380.1	10,675.2	359.8	94.7	10,054.2	94.2	73	19.2	31.2	334	8,706	87.9	81.6	
8	2008	381.3	9,895.9	361.4	94.8	9,350.9	94.5	57	14.9	30.5	328	8,046	86.0	81.3	
	2009	436.9	10,551.1	413.8	94.7	9,945.8	94.3	77	17.6	28.2	378	8,477	86.5	80.3	
	2007	769.4	20,760.6	731.6	95.1	19,626.3	94.5	150	19.5	29.5	676	17,110	87.9	82.4	
7-8	2008	816.6	20,686.6	779.1	95.4	19,620.3	94.8	119	14.6	28.3	726	17,070	88.9	82.5	
	2009	871.2	20,686.9	831.3	95.4	19,547.6	94.5	140	16.1	27.1	772	16,866	88.6	81.5	

Teachers Teacher Statistics

# **DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS**

Ethnicity	Number	Percent			
African American	23	38.3			
Hispanic	15	25.0			
White	19	31.7			
Other	3	5.0			

Gender	Number	Percent			
Female	39	65.0			
Male	21	35.0			

TOTAL	60

# **AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES**

2007	9.3
2008	8.0
2009	6.6

# PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	60.0	64.7	74.0	73.8	27.3	43.9	62.9	*	69.9	76.4	73.3	76.0	359
7	2008	100.0	73.3	77.5	76.8	19.2	57.3	69.3	*	75.0	81.3	77.8	77.3	418
	2009	*	60.0	80.1	81.0	42.9	61.2	70.7		83.1	75.9	79.7	77.1	408
	2007	*	72.0	76.9	76.3	31.6	51.9	70.0	*	75.5	77.7	76.7	80.5	347
8	2008	100.0	92.9	91.2	92.8	57.1	73.1	88.3	*	89.3	93.5	91.5	90.1	363
	2009	87.5	100.0	88.1	87.6	30.0	70.6	84.3		86.0	91.4	88.4	91.2	415
	2007	64.3	69.0	75.4	75.0	30.0	49.2	66.6	*	72.5	77.0	74.9	78.3	706
7-8	2008	100.0	82.8	83.8	84.2	32.5	62.8	78.2	*	81.1	87.5	84.1	83.5	781
	2009	91.7	81.8	84.1	84.3	36.6	65.9	77.9		84.6	83.5	84.1	84.3	823

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 7-8				
2007	14	42	647	596	30	118	476	2	335	370	706	17,930
2008	15	29	735	689	40	148	524	2	413	368	781	18,756
2009	12	22	786	732	41	205	552	0	441	382	823	18,508

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

# **NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	4	6	86	81	8	23	85	*	53	43	96	2,085	359
7	2008	0	4	89	86	21	41	85	*	59	34	93	2,207	418
	2009	*	4	78	70	12	40	76		36	47	83	2,065	408
	2007	*	7	73	68	13	37	74	*	39	42	81	1,803	347
8	2008	0	1	30	23	6	14	29	*	19	12	31	891	363
	2009	1	0	47	45	14	30	46		32	16	48	834	415
	2007	5	13	159	149	21	60	159	*	92	85	177	3,888	706
7-8	2008	0	5	119	109	27	55	114	*	78	46	124	3,098	781
	2009	1	4	125	115	26	70	122		68	63	131	2,899	823

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 7-8				
2007	14	42	647	596	30	118	476	2	335	370	706	17,930
2008	15	29	735	689	40	148	524	2	413	368	781	18,756
2009	12	22	786	732	41	205	552	0	441	382	823	18,508

# **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2007	73.7	74.4	73.4	71.1
7	2008	81.9	82.8	76.6	69.4
	2009	80.2	83.4	78.1	76.6
	2007	79.5	81.6	82.1	72.0
8	2008	86.1	83.5	82.1	84.0
	2009	85.9	82.7	82.0	84.5
	2007	76.6	77.9	77.7	71.5
7-8	2008	83.8	83.2	79.2	76.2
	2009	83.1	83.0	80.0	80.6

# PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	0.0	5.9	8.2	8.1	0.0	2.4	3.5	*	6.8	8.8	7.8	12.7	359
7	2008	25.0	0.0	13.9	13.0	0.0	5.2	6.5	*	11.0	17.0	13.6	19.1	418
	2009	*	0.0	19.1	19.3	4.8	10.7	10.4		19.2	18.5	18.9	19.6	408
	2007	*	24.0	20.6	20.9	5.3	6.5	10.5	*	15.1	26.1	21.0	26.0	347
8	2008	28.6	28.6	37.9	37.6	0.0	13.5	23.1	*	32.2	42.5	37.5	37.6	363
	2009	50.0	16.7	32.0	31.9	0.0	9.8	17.1		29.4	34.8	31.8	36.6	415
	2007	7.1	16.7	14.2	14.3	3.3	5.1	7.1	*	10.7	17.6	14.3	19.6	706
7-8	2008	26.7	13.8	25.0	24.4	0.0	8.1	14.3	*	20.1	29.9	24.7	28.0	781
	2009	41.7	9.1	25.6	25.5	2.4	10.2	13.9		24.5	26.4	25.4	28.3	823

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 7-8				
2007	14	42	647	596	30	118	476	2	335	370	706	17,930
2008	15	29	735	689	40	148	524	2	413	368	781	18,756
2009	12	22	786	732	41	205	552	0	441	382	823	18,508

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

# PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	50.0	41.2	63.9	63.5	20.0	47.6	51.1	*	61.1	63.7	62.5	65.9	363
7	2008	85.7	33.3	68.3	67.1	13.8	49.0	55.7	*	67.8	66.9	67.4	64.5	420
	2009	*	36.4	76.6	75.7	47.6	66.0	66.3		80.0	71.3	75.9	67.2	410
	2007	*	41.7	49.2	48.1	5.9	30.8	35.0	*	46.6	50.0	48.4	56.7	347
8	2008	71.4	64.3	76.7	78.2	46.7	54.5	66.8	*	74.2	77.7	76.0	72.8	366
	2009	75.0	61.5	80.6	79.1	83.3	70.6	73.4		81.7	77.9	80.0	72.3	420
	2007	42.9	41.5	56.7	56.1	12.5	36.7	42.8	*	54.3	56.8	55.6	61.1	710
7-8	2008	78.6	48.3	72.2	72.3	25.0	51.0	60.9	*	70.5	72.3	71.4	68.5	786
	2009	83.3	50.0	78.6	77.4	66.7	68.3	70.1		80.9	74.5	78.0	69.8	830

					NUMB	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 7-8				
2007	14	41	652	599	32	120	479	2	341	368	710	17,999
2008	14	29	741	692	44	153	530	2	421	365	786	18,678
2009	12	24	790	740	45	205	558	0	445	385	830	18,178

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 67% are shaded.

# **NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	5	10	121	114	12	22	114	*	70	66	136	2,976	363
7	2008	1	10	126	122	25	50	124	*	77	60	137	3,462	420
	2009	*	7	92	90	11	35	88		43	56	99	2,966	410
	2007	*	14	161	149	16	54	160	*	86	93	179	4,022	347
8	2008	2	5	80	70	8	25	83	*	47	41	88	2,429	366
	2009	2	5	77	77	4	30	79		42	42	84	2,530	420
	2007	8	24	282	263	28	76	274	*	156	159	315	6,998	710
7-8	2008	3	15	206	192	33	75	207	*	124	101	225	5,891	786
	2009	2	12	169	167	15	65	167		85	98	183	5,496	830

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 7-8				
2007	14	41	652	599	32	120	479	2	341	368	710	17,999
2008	14	29	741	692	44	153	530	2	421	365	786	18,678
2009	12	24	790	740	45	205	558	0	445	385	830	18,178

# **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2007	67.1	58.2	65.6	52.1	66.9	66.8
7	2008	69.1	61.9	69.4	51.2	62.4	66.5
	2009	76.0	68.6	77.7	66.8	62.3	72.5
	2007	52.7	62.5	63.1	42.7	65.1	60.1
8	2008	66.9	71.7	74.4	48.6	70.5	69.9
	2009	69.1	74.1	73.3	57.0	69.3	70.8
	2007	60.0	60.3	64.4	47.5	66.0	63.5
7-8	2008	68.1	66.5	71.7	50.0	66.2	68.1
	2009	72.5	71.4	75.5	61.9	65.8	71.6

# PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	0.0	0.0	6.6	6.1	6.7	2.4	0.9	*	6.1	6.6	6.3	10.9	363
7	2008	28.6	6.7	10.8	11.1	0.0	3.1	3.2	*	11.7	9.9	11.0	11.0	420
	2009	*	0.0	15.3	14.8	9.5	7.8	8.4		18.1	11.8	15.1	11.3	410
	2007	*	0.0	3.5	3.1	0.0	1.3	1.2	*	3.1	3.2	3.2	9.0	347
8	2008	28.6	7.1	12.8	13.4	0.0	7.3	4.0	*	13.2	13.0	13.1	14.1	366
	2009	12.5	7.7	13.6	14.1	0.0	3.9	5.4		13.9	12.6	13.3	15.4	420
	2007	0.0	0.0	5.1	4.7	3.1	1.7	1.0	*	4.7	4.9	4.8	10.0	710
7-8	2008	28.6	6.9	11.7	12.1	0.0	4.6	3.6	*	12.4	11.5	12.0	12.5	786
	2009	25.0	4.2	14.4	14.5	4.4	5.9	6.8		16.0	12.2	14.2	13.4	830

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 7-8				
2007	14	41	652	599	32	120	479	2	341	368	710	17,999
2008	14	29	741	692	44	153	530	2	421	365	786	18,678
2009	12	24	790	740	45	205	558	0	445	385	830	18,178

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

# PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	77.8	94.1	86.2	87.9	38.5	58.5	82.2	*	80.3	92.8	86.4	87.5	354
7	2008	85.7	73.3	78.7	77.8	33.3	58.5	71.5	*	72.3	86.5	78.5	83.7	413
	2009	*	72.7	88.5	88.5	66.7	75.5	81.9		87.4	89.1	88.2	88.6	406

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2007	9	17	327	307	13	41	225	1	173	180	354	8,582
2008	7	15	389	365	24	94	274	1	235	178	413	9,744
2009	3	11	390	366	18	102	259	0	214	192	406	8,988

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

# **NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	2	1	45	37	8	17	40	*	34	13	48	1,075	354
7	2008	1	4	83	81	16	39	78	*	65	24	89	1,584	413
	2009	*	3	45	42	6	25	47		27	21	48	1,023	406

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 7				
2007	9	17	327	307	13	41	225	1	173	180	354	8,582
2008	7	15	389	365	24	94	274	1	235	178	413	9,744
2009	3	11	390	366	18	102	259	0	214	192	406	8,988

# **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions <sup>1</sup>	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2007	2.3	87.0	71.2	79.4	75.0
7	2008	2.3	72.5	71.6	80.2	74.1
	2009	2.3	76.8	77.1	80.0	78.9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

# PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	0.0	0.0	15.6	14.3	0.0	0.0	7.6	*	10.4	18.9	14.7	21.8	354
7	2008	0.0	6.7	17.7	16.2	0.0	5.3	8.4	*	11.1	24.7	16.9	20.4	413
	2009	*	9.1	15.4	15.8	5.6	2.9	6.2		8.9	22.4	15.3	22.3	406

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 7				
2007	9	17	327	307	13	41	225	1	173	180	354	8,582
2008	7	15	389	365	24	94	274	1	235	178	413	9,744
2009	3	11	390	366	18	102	259	0	214	192	406	8,988

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

# PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	74.1	79.9	78.3	51.9	60.8	73.6	*	77.4	81.6	79.7	78.9	344
8	2008	85.7	84.6	88.1	89.2	80.0	76.5	82.8	*	87.0	89.0	88.0	86.6	358
	2009	100.0	91.7	86.8	86.1	60.0	73.1	82.1		85.5	89.4	87.3	88.3	401

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2007	3	27	313	286	27	79	246	1	159	185	344	9,206
2008	7	13	337	315	15	51	244	1	177	181	358	8,642
2009	8	12	379	353	20	93	279	0	221	180	401	9,233

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010 AEIS social studies minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

# **NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	7	63	62	13	31	65	*	36	34	70	1,942	344
8	2008	1	2	40	34	3	12	42	*	23	20	43	1,157	358
	2009	0	1	50	49	8	25	50		32	19	51	1,078	401

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8				
2007	3	27	313	286	27	79	246	1	159	185	344	9,206
2008	7	13	337	315	15	51	244	1	177	181	358	8,642
2009	8	12	379	353	20	93	279	0	221	180	401	9,233

# **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Issues and Events in U.S. History	Geographic Influences on History	Economic and Social Influences on History	Political Influences on History	Critical-Thinking Skills
	2007	65.3	64.9	71.0	67.2	74.8
8	2008	70.9	72.1	76.1	68.5	76.6
	2009	73.1	76.8	74.7	69.0	81.7

# PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	22.2	18.8	18.5	7.4	5.1	10.2	*	22.6	16.2	19.2	21.1	344
8	2008	42.9	38.5	24.9	26.0	33.3	13.7	12.7	*	29.9	22.1	26.0	27.5	358
	2009	25.0	25.0	28.5	29.2	10.0	12.9	14.0		30.8	25.0	28.2	31.7	401

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8							
2007	3														
2008	7	13	337	315	15	51	244	1	177	181	358	8,642			
2009	8	12	379	353	20	93	279	0	221	180	401	9,233			

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

# PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	22.2	50.5	47.9	11.5	26.9	37.4	*	51.6	46.3	48.7	52.4	345
8	2008	57.1	50.0	50.3	51.3	13.3	23.1	35.1	*	52.0	49.2	50.6	54.6	360
	2009	75.0	50.0	54.7	54.7	30.0	38.7	41.5		55.8	54.4	55.2	59.2	406

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8							
2007	3														
2008	7	14	338	318	15	52	245	1	179	181	360	8,703			
2009	8	12	384	358	20	93	282	0	224	182	406	9,292			

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 55 percent, the 2010 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade 8 science passing standard has risen to Panel Recommendation for 2009. In 2008, it was 1 SEM below PR; in 2007, it was 2 SEM below PR.

# **NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	21	155	148	23	57	154	*	76	101	177	4,398	345
8	2008	3	7	168	155	13	40	159	*	86	92	178	3,953	360
	2009	2	6	174	162	14	57	165		99	83	182	3,794	406

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8							
2007	3	3 27 313 284 26 78 246 1 157 188 345 9,233													
2008	7	14	338	318	15	52	245	1	179	181	360	8,703			
2009	8	12	384	358	20	93	282	0	224	182	406	9,292			

Grade 8 science passing standard has risen to Panel Recommendation for 2009. In 2008, it was 1 SEM below PR; in 2007, it was 2 SEM below PR.

# **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Living Systems and the Environment	Structures and Properties of Matter	Motion, Forces, and Energy	Earth and Space Systems
	2007	61.3	57.5	55.7	54.7	50.0
8	2008	68.7	65.3	61.3	54.0	56.4
	2009	71.3	65.7	61.5	71.2	59.0

# PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	0.0	4.5	3.5	0.0	0.0	1.2	*	5.7	2.7	4.1	6.6	345
8	2008	0.0	0.0	6.5	6.6	0.0	1.9	1.2	*	7.8	5.0	6.4	11.2	360
	2009	0.0	8.3	7.8	8.7	0.0	2.2	1.8		8.5	6.6	7.6	13.3	406

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8							
2007	3	3 27 313 284 26 78 246 1 157 188 345 9,233													
2008	7	14	338	318	15	52	245	1	179	181	360	8,703			
2009	8	12	384	358	20	93	282	0	224	182	406	9,292			

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

# PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
7	2008		*	75.0	77.8	80.0	75.0	80.0		85.7	*	80.0	67.1	10
	2009		*	91.7	84.6	86.7	*	84.6		100.0	66.7	86.7	72.6	15
8	2008			71.4	66.7	71.4	*	83.3		83.3	*	71.4	64.8	7
	2009			92.3	90.0	92.9	88.9	92.9		90.0	*	92.9	77.8	14
7-8	2008		*	73.3	73.3	76.5	76.9	81.3		84.6	*	76.5	66.0	17
	2009		*	92.0	87.0	89.7	92.9	88.9		94.7	80.0	89.7	75.2	29

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 7-8								
2008	0	1	15	15	17	13	16	0	13	3	17	1,133				
2009	0	3	25	23	29	14	27	0	19	10	29	1,306				

# **NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
7	2008		*	2	2	2	2	2		1	*	2	201	10
	2009		*	1	2	2	*	2		0	2	2	181	15
8	2008			2	2	2	*	1		1	*	2	184	7
	2009			1	1	1	1	1		1	*	1	143	14
7-8	2008		*	4	4	4	3	3		2	*	4	385	17
	2009		*	2	3	3	1	3		1	2	3	324	29

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 7-8				
2008	0	1	15	15	17	13	16	0	13	3	17	1,133
2009	0	3	25	23	29	14	27	0	19	10	29	1,306

# **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
7	2008	57.0	68.8	65.0	51.7
	2009	82.0	75.8	80.0	75.6
8	2008	68.6	64.3	62.5	56.0
	2009	80.7	72.3	77.7	61.3
7-8	2008	61.8	66.9	64.0	53.4
	2009	81.4	74.1	78.9	68.7

# PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
7	2008		*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	5.9	10
	2009		*	41.7	30.8	33.3	*	30.8		33.3	33.3	33.3	8.9	15
8	2008			0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	6.3	7
	2009			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	7.1	14
7-8	2008	·	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	·	0.0	*	0.0	6.1	17
	2009	·	*	20.0	17.4	17.2	14.3	14.8	·	15.8	20.0	17.2	8.0	29

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 7-8				NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 7-8													
2008	0	1	15	15	17	13	16	0	13	3	17	1,133													
2009	0	3	25	23	29	14	27	0	19	10	29	1,306													

# PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
7	2008		*	*	42.9	42.9	33.3	42.9		*	*	42.9	53.7	7
	2009		*	81.8	72.7	78.6	*	75.0		85.7	71.4	78.6	62.0	14
8	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	45.0	5
	2009			80.0	71.4	80.0	100.0	80.0		71.4	*	80.0	67.1	10
7-8	2008		*	33.3	41.7	41.7	37.5	45.5		42.9	*	41.7	49.4	12
	2009		*	81.0	72.2	79.2	100.0	77.3		78.6	80.0	79.2	64.5	24

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 7-8													
2008	0	2	9	12	12	8	11	0	7	4	12	1,192			
2009	0	3	21	18	24	12	22	0	14	10	24	1,290			

# **NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
7	2008		*	*	4	4	4	4		*	*	4	279	7
	2009		*	2	3	3	*	3		1	2	3	248	14
8	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	324	5
	2009			2	2	2	0	2		2	*	2	210	10
7-8	2008	·	*	6	7	7	5	6		4	*	7	603	12
	2009	·	*	4	5	5	0	5		3	2	5	458	24

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 7-8				
2008	0	2	9	12	12	8	11	0	7	4	12	1,192
2009	0	3	21	18	24	12	22	0	14	10	24	1,290

# **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
7	2008	37.5	42.9	38.1	53.6	45.2	38.1
	2009	45.5	58.9	63.1	64.3	45.2	70.2
8	2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2009	60.0	70.0	60.0	57.5	60.0	55.0
7-8	2008	35.4	40.6	41.7	50.0	43.1	36.8
	2009	51.6	63.5	61.8	61.5	51.4	63.9

L. V. STOCKARD (59)

# PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
7	2008		*	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		*	*	0.0	2.5	7
	2009		*	18.2	9.1	14.3	*	16.7		14.3	14.3	14.3	8.0	14
8	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	3.2	5
	2009			30.0	14.3	30.0	28.6	30.0		42.9	*	30.0	5.8	10
7-8	2008	·	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	2.9	12
	2009	·	*	23.8	11.1	20.8	33.3	22.7		28.6	10.0	20.8	6.9	24

					NUMBI	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 7-8								
2008	0	2	9	12	12	8	11	0	7	4	12	1,192				
2009	0	3	21	18	24	12	22	0	14	10	24	1,290				

L. V. STOCKARD (59)

# PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
8	2008		*	16.7	14.3	14.3	*	16.7		*	*	14.3	30.0	7
	2009			76.9	70.0	78.6	66.7	78.6		90.0	*	78.6	40.1	14

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8								
2008	0	0 1 6 7 7 3 6 0 5 2 7 636														
2009	0	0	13	10	14	9	14	0	10	4	14	631				

Grade 8 science passing standard has risen to Panel Recommendation for 2009. In 2008, it was 1 SEM below PR; in 2007, it was 2 SEM below PR.

# **NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
8	2008		*	5	6	6	*	5		*	*	6	445	7
	2009			3	3	3	3	3		1	*	3	378	14

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8							
2008	0	1	6	7	7	3	6	0	5	2	7	636			
2009	0	0	13	10	14	9	14	0	10	4	14	631			

Grade 8 science passing standard has risen to Panel Recommendation for 2009. In 2008, it was 1 SEM below PR; in 2007, it was 2 SEM below PR.

# **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Living Systems and the Environment	Structures and Properties of Matter	Motion, Forces, and Energy	Earth and Space Systems
8	2008	45.5	52.9	48.6	45.7	57.1
	2009	74.7	72.1	74.3	65.7	64.3

# PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
8	2008		*	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		*	*	0.0	0.9	7
	2009			7.7	0.0	7.1	11.1	7.1		10.0	*	7.1	2.1	14

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8								
2007																
2008	0	0 1 6 7 7 3 6 0 5 2 7 636														
2009	0	0	13	10	14	9	14	0	10	4	14	631				

# PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
8														
	2009			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	14

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2009	0	0	13	10	14	9	14	0	10	4	14	618

# **NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
8														
Ü	2009			13	10	14	9	14		10	*	14	618	14

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8						
2009	0	0 0 13 10 14 9 14 0 10 4 14 618												

# **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Issues and Events in U.S. History	Geographic Influences on History	Economic and Social Influences on History	Political Influences on History	Critical-Thinking Skills
8						
	2009	62.9	61.4	73.5	68.6	75.0

# PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
8														
Ü	2009			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	14

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8						
2009	0	0 0 13 10 14 9 14 0 10 4 14 618												

L. V. STOCKARD (59) 46

# PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the IOWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS

				READING T	OTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007					0
8	2008	22.3	68.4	36.7	37.2	365
	2009	15.4	61.9	28.6	33.6	398

	2007	0	0	0	0	
Number Tested	2008	251	114	365	8,615	
Toolog	2009	285	113	398	9,813	

			M	ATHEMATIC	S TOTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007					0
8	2008	35.2	77.9	48.5	46.7	363
	2009	32.5	84.1	47.2	48.3	396

	2007	0	0	0	0	
Number Tested	2008	250	113	363	8,493	
rested	2009	283	113	396	9,737	

# PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007													0
8	2008	25.0	30.0	37.1	38.5	0.0	11.1	22.3	*	33.5	39.7	36.7	37.2	365
	2009	*	27.3	28.7	29.1	0.0	8.5	15.4	*	28.1	29.3	28.6	33.6	398

	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Tested	2008	8	10	345	314	17	63	251	1	176	189	365	8,615
. colou	2009	4	11	383	347	23	106	285	1	224	174	398	9,813

# NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007													0
8	2008	0	1	12	12	0	0	1	*	3	10	13	508	365
	2009	*	0	7	6	0	0	0	*	4	3	7	575	398

	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Tested	2008	8	10	345	314	17	63	251	1	176	189	365	8,615
resteu	2009	4	11	383	347	23	106	285	1	224	174	398	9,813

# PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007													0
8	2008	25.0	40.0	49.3	50.5	0.0	24.6	35.2	*	46.0	50.8	48.5	46.7	363
	2009	*	45.5	47.2	48.1	4.5	21.9	32.5	*	47.1	47.4	47.2	48.3	396

	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Tested	2008	8	10	343	313	17	61	250	1	174	189	363	8,493
resteu	2009	4	11	381	345	22	105	283	1	223	173	396	9,737

# NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007													0
8	2008	1	0	21	20	0	1	3	*	16	7	23	801	363
	2009	*	1	30	29	0	1	3	*	16	16	32	1,219	396

	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Tested	2008	8	10	343	313	17	61	250	1	174	189	363	8,493
resteu	2009	4	11	381	345	22	105	283	1	223	173	396	9,737

# PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1	in 2008	Level 2	in 2008	Level 3	in 2008	Levels 1-	3 in 2008
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2009						
7	12	58.3	11	100.0	0	-	23	78.3
8	14	64.3	13	92.3	0	-	27	77.8
ALL	26	61.5	24	95.8	0	-	50	78.0

#### **PERFORMANCE IN 2009**

#### Writing Domain: Composite Grade Listening Speaking Reading 2009 Level (N Rated) Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Beginning 19 15.7 26 21.5 5 5 4.3 17.4 4.3 7 Intermediate 29 53 43.8 15 12.9 29.8 24.0 16 13.8 Advanced 31.4 45 37.2 33 27.3 26 22.4 40 34.5 (121)7.4 Advanced High 26 21.5 28 23.1 9 70 60.3 55 47.4 **Beginning** 6 5.0 12 9.9 3.4 3.4 4.1 4 8 42 Intermediate 31 25.6 31 25.6 34.7 16 13.4 16 13.4 42 Advanced 40.5 49 40.5 34.7 42 35.3 49 41.2 (121)Advanced High 29.8 35 28.9 25 20.7 57 47.9 50 42.0 15.7 Beginning 26 10.7 25 10.3 38 9 3.8 9 3.8 ALL 27.7 60 39.3 32 Intermediate 24.8 95 13.2 13.6 87 36.0 94 38.8 75 31.0 28.9 89 37.9 Advanced (242)25.6 63 26.0 34 14.0 127 54.0 105 44.7 Advanced High

# PROGRESSION FROM 2008 TO 2009

Number Rated Both Years			2008	Level					
N (%) Progressed	2009 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High				
	Beginning		3	3					
106	Intermediate	3		10					
60 (56.6%)	Advanced	1	3	3	3				
	Advanced High	0	2	5	1				
	Beginning		2	2					
97	Intermediate	2		10					
51 (52.6%)	Advanced	2	3	3	4				
	Advanced High	0	1	4	3				
	Beginning		ţ	5					
203	Intermediate	5		20					
111 (54.7%)	Advanced	3	6	6	7				
	Advanced High	0	3	9	4				

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2008 to 2009.

PSAT Mean Standard Scores

				All		African A	American	Hisp	anic	W	nite	Ot	her	Dis	trict
Grade	Subtest	Year	N Tested	Mean	%Tested	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean
		2006	327	29.5	87.2	22	28.8	300	29.6	3	*	2	*	2,798	34.2
	Critical Reading	2007	343	29.4	90.3	8	31.9	328	29.3	6	28.8	1	*	2,527	33.5
	reading	2008	404	29.1	93.7	11	30.4	387	29.0	6	31.8	0		2,932	33.8
		2006	327	32.8	87.2	22	30.4	300	33.0	3	*	2	*	2,798	36.8
8	Mathematics	2007	343	33.6	90.3	8	34.5	328	33.5	6	35.0	1	*	2,527	37.2
		2008	404	33.2	93.7	11	32.6	387	33.2	6	35.7	0		2,932	37.2
		2006	327	30.3	87.2	22	28.5	300	30.4	3	*	2	*	2,798	34.4
	Writing	2007	343	30.1	90.3	8	31.5	328	30.1	6	31.5	1	*	2,527	34.5
		2008	404	31.6	93.7	11	31.1	387	31.6	6	32.0	0		2,932	35.1

					SEMESTI	ER 1								SEMEST	ER 2			
			Ave	rages		% Pa	assing	District %	% Passing			Ave	rages		% Pa	assing	District %	% Passing
	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course
AL	GEBRA I	I PRE-AP	(tested w	ith 2009)	1					ALGEBRA	PRE-AP (	tested w	ith 2009)					_
	110	72.2	83.3	85.0	85.1	91.8	100.0	80.7	96.4	112	79.6	86.4	85.5	85.4	98.2	100.0	82.6	97.4
CO	MPUTER	R APPLICA	TIONS (	tested w	ith 2009)					COMPUTER	R APPLICA	TIONS (	tested w	ith 2009)				
	218	59.0	72.7	85.5	86.9	64.7	99.5	69.6	95.2	182	66.0	77.3	83.3	84.0	78.6	96.2	76.4	93.8
HE	ALTH E	DUCATION	(tested	with 200	9)					HEALTH E	DUCATION	(tested	with 2009	9)				
	87	65.2	76.8	88.0	89.2	72.4	100.0	78.7	98.0	85	69.2	80.3	87.2	88.0	84.7	100.0	80.6	93.1
LA	NGUAGE	E ARTS 7	(tested w	rith 2009)	1					LANGUAGI	EARTS 7 (	tested w	ith 2009)					
	305	58.2	74.9	81.3	81.9	71.5	94.8	67.6	90.0	298	72.2	81.5	82.6	82.7	86.6	96.6	81.7	88.4
LA	NGUAGE	E ARTS 7 P	RE-AP (	tested w	ith 2009)					LANGUAGE	E ARTS 7 P	RE-AP (	tested w	ith 2009)				
	96	61.2	74.1	83.4	84.4	72.9	95.8	82.5	97.7	99	60.2	74.6	84.2	85.2	76.8	98.0	81.4	95.2
LA	NGUAGE	E ARTS 8	(tested w	rith 2009)	1					LANGUAGI	EARTS8 (	tested w	ith 2009)					
	323	65.2	76.8	85.1	85.9	75.2	93.8	74.0	87.8	318	71.9	81.2	81.8	81.9	85.8	95.3	78.4	87.0
LA	NGUAGE	E ARTS 8 P	PRE-AP (	tested w	ith 2009)					LANGUAGI	E ARTS 8 P	RE-AP (	tested w	ith 2009)				
	66	49.0	73.6	84.2	85.4	71.2	97.0	74.7	95.6	68	52.5	72.1	85.8	87.3	66.2	100.0	73.6	93.5
MA	THEMA	TICS 7 (te	sted with	2009)						MATHEMA	TICS 7 (tes	sted with	2009)					
	295	58.7	74.7	78.8	79.3	69.5	85.4	58.7	86.6	291	56.6	73.8	78.4	78.9	65.6	87.6	58.4	84.2
MA	THEMA	TICS 7 PRI	E-AP (te	sted with	2009)					MATHEMA'	TICS 7 PRE	E-AP (tes	sted with	2009)				
	113	68.9	79.2	81.3	81.5	82.3	92.9	73.2	95.3	115	73.7	83.6	82.8	82.7	98.3	96.5	77.3	96.0
MA	THEMA	TICS 8 (te	sted with	n 2009)						MATHEMA	TICS 8 (tes	sted with	2009)					
	304	50.3	73.8	77.1	77.4	66.8	86.2	60.1	83.5	298	52.2	72.4	79.3	80.0	67.8	88.3	52.0	82.6

% Items Correct	Ave	rages														
				% Pa	assing	District %	% Passing			Ave	rages		% Pa	assing	District %	% Passing
	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course
ADING-WF	RITING F	OR ESL	(tested with 2	2009)				MS ADV RE	ADING-WF	RITING F	OR ESL	(tested with 2	2009)			
77.2	84.8	89.5	90.0	83.3	100.0	58.5	95.1	6	76.1	84.0	90.0	90.7	83.3	100.0	69.5	90.0
CED LA FO	OR ESL (	tested w	ith 2009)					MS ADVAN	CED LA FO	OR ESL (	tested w	ith 2009)				
75.4	83.6	89.8	90.5	83.3	100.0	56.2	91.4	6	70.2	82.1	90.0	90.9	83.3	100.0	65.8	91.4
IING LA FO	OR ESL (	tested w	ith 2009)				_	MS BEGINN	ING LA FO	R ESL (	tested wi	ith 2009)				
63.4	77.1	83.7	84.4	83.3	100.0	65.3	96.6	7	62.6	77.6	87.9	89.0	100.0	100.0	51.4	95.4
IEDIATE L	A FOR ES	SL (teste	d with 2009)					MS INTERM	EDIATE L	A FOR ES	SL (teste	d with 2009)				
50.9	73.2	80.6	81.5	56.3	87.5	51.2	94.2	13	63.1	75.4	82.5	83.3	61.5	100.0	52.1	95.4
EDIATE RI	EADING I	FOR ESL	. (tested with	2009)				MS INTERM	EDIATE RI	EADING I	FOR ESL	(tested with	2009)			
57.3	75.9	81.6	82.2	62.5	87.5	56.3	95.6	13	67.9	78.6	83.1	83.6	76.9	100.0	60.6	94.4
(tested w	ith 2009)	)						READING 7	(tested w	ith 2009)	ı					
64.7	80.4	80.8	80.9	87.0	88.3	84.2	94.1	164	75.1	83.4	83.8	83.8	92.7	95.7	80.2	92.9
(tested w	ith 2009)	)						READING 8	(tested w	ith 2009)						
72.1	81.4	82.7	82.8	90.1	97.1	86.3	94.0	241	76.2	84.1	84.6	84.7	95.0	99.6	88.0	93.4
IASTERY 7	7 (tested	with 200	9)					READING N	IASTERY 7	7 (tested	with 200	9)				
62.3	77.4	77.4	77.4	77.6	80.9	71.9	88.5	234	69.4	79.6	80.1	80.2	79.5	87.6	72.0	87.1
IASTERY 8	3 (tested	with 200	9)					READING N	IASTERY 8	3 (tested	with 200	9)				
65.6	77.1	79.0	79.3	80.4	96.1	77.3	89.0	142	74.0	82.7	79.7	79.4	87.3	90.8	85.1	89.8
(tested w	ith 2009)							SCIENCE 7	(tested w	ith 2009)						
66.9	77.9	83.9	84.5	81.1	94.7	79.8	90.7	404	64.6	77.9	82.8	83.4	79.2	93.8	78.6	88.6
	77.2  CED LA FO 75.4  IING LA FO 63.4  IEDIATE LA 50.9  IEDIATE RI 57.3  (tested w 72.1  MASTERY 7 62.3  MASTERY 8 65.6  (tested w	77.2 84.8  CED LA FOR ESL ( 75.4 83.6  ING LA FOR ESL ( 63.4 77.1  IEDIATE LA FOR ES 50.9 73.2  IEDIATE READING   57.3 75.9  7 (tested with 2009) 64.7 80.4  8 (tested with 2009) 72.1 81.4  MASTERY 7 (tested 62.3 77.4  MASTERY 8 (tested 65.6 77.1  (tested with 2009)	77.2 84.8 89.5  CED LA FOR ESL (tested w 75.4 83.6 89.8  ING LA FOR ESL (tested w 63.4 77.1 83.7  IEDIATE LA FOR ESL (tested 50.9 73.2 80.6  IEDIATE READING FOR ESL 57.3 75.9 81.6  7 (tested with 2009) 64.7 80.4 80.8  8 (tested with 2009) 72.1 81.4 82.7  IASTERY 7 (tested with 2006) 65.6 77.1 79.0  (tested with 2009)	77.2 84.8 89.5 90.0  CED LA FOR ESL (tested with 2009)  75.4 83.6 89.8 90.5  ING LA FOR ESL (tested with 2009)  63.4 77.1 83.7 84.4  IEDIATE LA FOR ESL (tested with 2009)  50.9 73.2 80.6 81.5  IEDIATE READING FOR ESL (tested with 57.3 75.9 81.6 82.2  7 (tested with 2009)  64.7 80.4 80.8 80.9  6 (tested with 2009)  72.1 81.4 82.7 82.8  MASTERY 7 (tested with 2009)  62.3 77.4 77.4 77.4  MASTERY 8 (tested with 2009)  65.6 77.1 79.0 79.3  (tested with 2009)	CED LA FOR ESL (tested with 2009)  75.4 83.6 89.8 90.5 83.3  INING LA FOR ESL (tested with 2009)  63.4 77.1 83.7 84.4 83.3  IEDIATE LA FOR ESL (tested with 2009)  50.9 73.2 80.6 81.5 56.3  IEDIATE READING FOR ESL (tested with 2009)  57.3 75.9 81.6 82.2 62.5  7 (tested with 2009)  64.7 80.4 80.8 80.9 87.0  8 (tested with 2009)  72.1 81.4 82.7 82.8 90.1  MASTERY 7 (tested with 2009)  62.3 77.4 77.4 77.4 77.6  MASTERY 8 (tested with 2009)  65.6 77.1 79.0 79.3 80.4  (tested with 2009)	77.2 84.8 89.5 90.0 83.3 100.0  CED LA FOR ESL (tested with 2009)  75.4 83.6 89.8 90.5 83.3 100.0  INING LA FOR ESL (tested with 2009)  63.4 77.1 83.7 84.4 83.3 100.0  IEDIATE LA FOR ESL (tested with 2009)  50.9 73.2 80.6 81.5 56.3 87.5  IEDIATE READING FOR ESL (tested with 2009)  57.3 75.9 81.6 82.2 62.5 87.5  (tested with 2009)  64.7 80.4 80.8 80.9 87.0 88.3  I (tested with 2009)  72.1 81.4 82.7 82.8 90.1 97.1  MASTERY 7 (tested with 2009)  62.3 77.4 77.4 77.4 77.6 80.9  MASTERY 8 (tested with 2009)  65.6 77.1 79.0 79.3 80.4 96.1  (tested with 2009)	77.2 84.8 89.5 90.0 83.3 100.0 58.5  CED LA FOR ESL (tested with 2009)  75.4 83.6 89.8 90.5 83.3 100.0 56.2  INING LA FOR ESL (tested with 2009)  63.4 77.1 83.7 84.4 83.3 100.0 65.3  IEDIATE LA FOR ESL (tested with 2009)  50.9 73.2 80.6 81.5 56.3 87.5 51.2  IEDIATE READING FOR ESL (tested with 2009)  57.3 75.9 81.6 82.2 62.5 87.5 56.3  7 (tested with 2009)  64.7 80.4 80.8 80.9 87.0 88.3 84.2  8 (tested with 2009)  72.1 81.4 82.7 82.8 90.1 97.1 86.3  MASTERY 7 (tested with 2009)  62.3 77.4 77.4 77.4 77.6 80.9 71.9  MASTERY 8 (tested with 2009)  65.6 77.1 79.0 79.3 80.4 96.1 77.3  (tested with 2009)	77.2 84.8 89.5 90.0 83.3 100.0 58.5 95.1  CED LA FOR ESL (tested with 2009)  75.4 83.6 89.8 90.5 83.3 100.0 56.2 91.4  INING LA FOR ESL (tested with 2009)  63.4 77.1 83.7 84.4 83.3 100.0 65.3 96.6  IEDIATE LA FOR ESL (tested with 2009)  50.9 73.2 80.6 81.5 56.3 87.5 51.2 94.2  IEDIATE READING FOR ESL (tested with 2009)  57.3 75.9 81.6 82.2 62.5 87.5 56.3 95.6  7 (tested with 2009)  64.7 80.4 80.8 80.9 87.0 88.3 84.2 94.1  8 (tested with 2009)  72.1 81.4 82.7 82.8 90.1 97.1 86.3 94.0  MASTERY 7 (tested with 2009)  62.3 77.4 77.4 77.4 77.6 80.9 71.9 88.5  MASTERY 8 (tested with 2009)  65.6 77.1 79.0 79.3 80.4 96.1 77.3 89.0  (tested with 2009)	T7.2	T7.2	T7.2   84.8   89.5   90.0   83.3   100.0   58.5   95.1     6   76.1   84.0	T7.2   84.8   89.5   90.0   83.3   100.0   58.5   95.1     6   76.1   84.0   90.0	Tr.	T7.2   84.8   89.5   90.0   83.3   100.0   58.5   95.1     6   76.1   84.0   90.0   90.7   83.3     T7.4   83.6   89.8   90.5   83.3   100.0   56.2   91.4     6   70.2   82.1   90.0   90.7   83.3     T7.4   83.7   84.4   83.3   100.0   65.3   96.6     85.0   96.6     77.6   87.9   89.0   100.0     T8.5   T7.1   83.7   84.4   83.3   100.0   65.3   96.6     77.6   87.9   89.0   100.0     T8.5   T7.1   83.7   84.4   83.3   100.0   65.3   96.6     77.6   87.9   89.0   100.0     T8.5   T7.5   75.9   73.2   80.6   81.5   56.3   87.5   51.2   94.2     13   63.1   75.4   82.5   83.3   61.5     T8.5   T8.5   T8.5   T8.5   T8.5   T8.5   56.3   95.6     13   67.9   75.4   82.5   83.3   61.5     T8.5   T8.5	T7.2   84.8   89.5   90.0   83.3   100.0   58.5   95.1     T7.3   84.8   89.8   90.5   83.3   100.0   56.2   91.4     T7.4   83.6   89.8   90.5   83.3   100.0   56.2   91.4     T7.4   83.7   84.4   83.3   100.0   56.2   91.4     T8.4   83.3   100.0   65.3   96.6     T8.4   83.3   100.0   65.3   96.6     T8.4   83.4   83.5   84.2   62.5   87.5   56.3   95.6     T8.4   80.4   80.8   80.9   87.0   88.3   84.2   94.1     T8.4   82.7   82.8   90.1   97.1   86.3   94.0     T8.4   82.7   82.8   90.1   97.1   86.3   94.0     T8.4   82.7   82.8   90.1   97.1   86.3   94.0     T8.4   83.4   83.8   83.8   93.7   93.3   100.0     T8.4   83.4   83.8   83.9   83.3   100.0     T8.4   83.4   83.8   83.9   83.3   100.0     T8.4   83.4   83.8   83.8   83.8   93.7   93.3   100.0     T8.4   83.4   83.8   83.3   100.0     T8.4   83.4   83.8   83.8   83.8   93.7   93.3   100.0     T8.4   83.4   83.3   100.0   65.2   91.4     T8.4   83.4   83.3   100.0   65.3   96.6     T8.4   83.4   83.3   100.0   65.3   96.6     T8.4   83.4   83.3   100.0   65.3   96.6     T8.4   83.4   83.3   100.0   65.3   96.6     T8.4   83.3   100.0   83.3   100.0     T8.4   83.4   83.3   83.3   100.0     T8.4   83.4   83.8   83.8   83.3   61.5   100.0     T8.4   83.4   83.8   83.8   83.8   93.7   95.7     T8.4   84.6   84.7   95.0   83.3   100.0     T8.4   84.6   84.7   95.0   83.3   100.0     T8.4   84.6   84.7   95.0   95.6     T8.4   75.1   83.4   83.8   83.8   83.8   92.7   95.7     T8.4   76.2   84.1   84.6   84.7   95.0   95.6     T8.4   84.6   84.7   95.0   96.6     T8.4   84.6	T7.2

					SEMEST	ER 1								SEMEST	ER 2			
			Ave	rages		% Pa	assing	District %	% Passing			Ave	rages		% Pa	assing	District %	% Passing
	mber sted	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course
SCIEN	ICE 8	(tested w	ith 2009)							SCIENCE 8	(tested w	ith 2009)						
4	110	47.2	72.7	78.9	79.6	62.0	88.8	72.7	87.9	398	52.7	72.7	80.7	81.5	61.1	90.2	64.9	87.2
TEXA	s stu	IDIES 7 (te	ested wit	h 2009)						TEXAS STU	JDIES 7 (te	ested wit	h 2009)					
3	314	48.0	71.6	81.8	83.0	56.7	95.9	56.9	89.4	299	55.5	72.7	81.4	82.4	64.2	92.0	63.3	87.2
TEXA	s stu	IDIES 7 PR	E-AP (te	ested wit	h 2009)					TEXAS STU	JDIES 7 PR	E-AP (te	ested wit	h 2009)				
	94	63.3	75.6	84.5	85.4	80.9	96.8	76.4	97.5	103	66.5	77.7	87.3	88.4	79.6	100.0	86.3	96.6
UNITE	D ST	ATES STU	DIES 8 (1	tested w	th 2009)					UNITED ST	ATES STU	DIES 8 (	tested wi	th 2009)				
3	347	56.5	71.0	75.7	76.2	56.8	77.8	54.6	86.7	336	64.6	78.3	78.5	78.5	78.3	87.2	71.9	88.4
UNITE	D ST	ATES STU	DIES 8 P	RE-AP (1	ested with 20	009)				UNITED ST	ATES STU	DIES 8 P	RE-AP (t	ested with 20	009)			
(	64	76.8	84.5	86.4	86.6	90.6	100.0	89.9	97.7	64	85.3	90.2	82.0	81.1	98.4	89.1	93.9	96.2