Campus Data Packet

for 2009 - 10 Plans



T. W. BROWNE

School Number 43

The information in this packet is based on data from the 2008-09 school year.

This packet contains corrected statistics for norm-referenced assessments (ITBS and Logramos), including the SCE Compliance report. PSAT reports now contain the average score for all students in a grade.



2009-10 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2 ContentsTable of Contents	4 Notes Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STUDENTS AND STAFF	·
6 SummarySummary of Student and Teacher Statistics	7 Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
8 Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	9 Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
10 TeachersTeacher Statistics	
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	
11 TAKS Read (1) TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	12 TAKS Read (2) TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
13 TAKS Read (3) TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	14 TAKS Read (4) TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
15 TAKS Math (1)TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	16 TAKS Math (2) TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
17 TAKS Math (3)TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	18 TAKS Math (4) TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
19 TAKS Write (1)TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	20 TAKS Write (2) TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
21 TAKS Write (3) TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	22 TAKS Write (4) TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
23 TAKS Soc.Studies (1) TAKS Social Studies: % Meeting Standard	24 TAKS Soc.Studies (2) TAKS Social Studies: # Not Meeting Standard
25 TAKS Soc.Studies (3) TAKS Social Studies: Performance by Objective	26 TAKS Soc.Studies (4) TAKS Social Studies: % Commended
27 TAKS Science (1)TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	28 TAKS Science (2) TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
29 TAKS Science (3)TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	30 TAKS Science (4) TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS (MOD)	
31 TAKS-M Read (1) TAKS-M Reading: % Meeting Standard	32 TAKS-M Read (2) TAKS-M Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
33 TAKS-M Read (3) TAKS-M Reading: Performance by Objective	34 TAKS-M Read (4) TAKS-M Reading: Percentage Commended
35 TAKS-M Math (1) TAKS-M Math: % Meeting Standard	36 TAKS-M Math (2) TAKS-M Math: # Not Meeting Standard
37 TAKS-M Math (3) TAKS-M Math: Performance by Objective	38 TAKS-M Math (4) TAKS-M Math: Percentage Commended
39 TAKS-M Science (1) TAKS-M Science: % Meeting Standard	40 TAKS-M Science (2) TAKS-M Science: # Not Meeting Standard
41 TAKS-M Science (3) TAKS-M Science: Performance by Objective	42 TAKS-M Science (4) TAKS-M Science: Percentage Commended
43 TAKS-M Soc.Studies (1) TAKS-M Social Studies: % Meeting Standard	44 TAKS-M Soc.Studies (2) TAKS-M Social Studies: # Not Meeting Standard
45 TAKS-M Soc.Studies (3) TAKS-M Social Studies: Performance by Objective	46 TAKS-M Soc.Studies (4) TAKS-M Social Studies: % Commended
ITBS/ITED/LOGRAMOS	
47 Compliance SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	48 ITBS Read (1) ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
49 ITBS Read (2)ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	50 ITBS Math (1) ITBS Math Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
51 ITBS Math (2) ITBS Math Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	
MEASURES OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
52 WMLSWoodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	53 TELPAS TX English Language Proficiency Assessment System
COLLEGE READINESS	
54 PSAT Mean Standard Scores for Juniors	

2009-10 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

LOCAL ACCESSMENT

55.... ACP...... Assessment of Course Performance

T. W. BROWNE (43)

2009-10 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2008-09. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2007-08 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2007-08 and 2008-09.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

TAKS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- TAKS statistics include both TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated results.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2010, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

TAKS-M (Modified)

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.

TELPAS

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2008 to 2009, the TEA did not report the 2008 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2009, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2008.

COLLEGE READINESS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Statistics based on student-reported school location, ethnicity, and graduation year.
- For SAT/ACT "Percent Tested" is computed with "Number Tested" as numerator and, as demoninator, the Grade 12 "Enrollment" reported on Enrollment (1) and Enrollment (2) reports. For PSAT the denominator for "Percent Tested" is from the appropriate grade/year on the Enrollment reports.
- PSAT scores range from 20 to 80. PSAT is administered once in the fall of each year. The district tests all grade 10 students. Other students sit for the PSAT voluntarily.

ACP

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- The ACPs are given as final course exams in grades 7-12. They account for a portion of students' final semester grades: 15 percent for high school and 10 percent for middle school. "Average course mark (no ACP)" is computed from semester grades before factoring in ACP scores. Any statistic involving course marks uses only those of at least 50, because district policy requires a student earn at least a 50 to receive course credit.
- Raw scores on the ACPs (percentage of items correct) are scaled each year to maintain similar annual passing rates for the district.
- A scale score of at least 70 percent is required to pass an ACP. A course mark of at least 70 percent is required to pass a course.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
7	398
8	473
ALL	871

STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stud	lents	Teachers				
Ethnicity	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
African American	258	29.6	53	84.1			
American Indian	1	0.1	*	*			
Asian	10	1.1	*	*			
Hispanic	600	68.9	4	6.3			
White	2	0.2	4	6.3			
Other	**	**	2	3.2			

^{*}Included in category "Other."

STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percent
Economically disadvantaged students	739	84.8
Limited English proficient students	230	26.4
Special education students	82	9.4

^{**}All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

		African American		American Indian		Asian		Hispanic		White		
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2007	424	134	31.6	1	0.2	2	0.5	282	66.5	5	1.2
7	2008	471	143	30.4	3	0.6	6	1.3	317	67.3	2	0.4
	2009	398	119	29.9	0	0.0	3	0.8	275	69.1	1	0.3
	2007	468	194	41.5	3	0.6	3	0.6	262	56.0	6	1.3
8	2008	420	141	33.6	0	0.0	2	0.5	276	65.7	1	0.2
	2009	473	139	29.4	1	0.2	7	1.5	325	68.7	1	0.2
	2007	892	328	36.8	4	0.4	5	0.6	544	61.0	11	1.2
7-8	2008	891	284	31.9	3	0.3	8	0.9	593	66.6	3	0.3
	2009	871	258	29.6	1	0.1	10	1.1	600	68.9	2	0.2

		Economically Disadvantaged		LE	LEP		Special Education		TAG		New (to Dallas ISD)		
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2007	424	363	85.6	95	22.4	32	7.5	25	5.9	31	7.3	2.4
7	2008	471	392	83.2	105	22.3	43	9.1	57	12.1	37	7.9	3.0
	2009	398	346	86.9	112	28.1	34	8.5	43	10.8	30	7.5	2.8
	2007	468	389	83.1	83	17.7	49	10.5	23	4.9	39	8.3	1.3
8	2008	420	354	84.3	88	21.0	42	10.0	57	13.6	42	10.0	1.2
	2009	473	393	83.1	118	24.9	48	10.1	60	12.7	40	8.5	1.3
	2007	892	752	84.3	178	20.0	81	9.1	48	5.4	70	7.8	1.8
7-8	2008	891	746	83.7	193	21.7	85	9.5	114	12.8	79	8.9	2.1
	2009	871	739	84.8	230	26.4	82	9.4	103	11.8	70	8.0	2.0

T. W. BROWNE (43)

Attendance Student Attendance Statistics

Grade	Year	Averag Member	•	Α	Average Daily Attendance				Yearly Transactions			Continuously Enrolled (N)		Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (N)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus	Dallas ISD	
	2007	428.5	10,085.4	408.8	95.4	9,572.1	94.9	90	21.0	27.7	366	8,404	85.4	83.3	
7	2008	472.3	10,790.7	456.3	96.6	10,269.4	95.2	116	24.6	26.3	413	9,024	87.4	83.6	
	2009	399.5	10,135.8	383.2	95.9	9,601.8	94.7	101	25.3	26.0	334	8,389	83.6	82.8	
	2007	460.2	10,675.2	437.5	95.1	10,054.2	94.2	143	31.1	31.2	373	8,706	81.1	81.6	
8	2008	417.9	9,895.9	402.8	96.4	9,350.9	94.5	106	25.4	30.5	362	8,046	86.6	81.3	
	2009	463.1	10,551.1	445.4	96.2	9,945.8	94.3	121	26.1	28.2	395	8,477	85.3	80.3	
	2007	888.7	20,760.6	846.3	95.2	19,626.3	94.5	233	26.2	29.5	739	17,110	83.2	82.4	
7-8	2008	890.1	20,686.6	859.1	96.5	19,620.3	94.8	222	24.9	28.3	775	17,070	87.1	82.5	
	2009	862.7	20,686.9	828.7	96.1	19,547.6	94.5	222	25.7	27.1	729	16,866	84.5	81.5	

Teachers Teacher Statistics

DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS

Ethnicity	Number	Percent			
African American	53	84.1			
Hispanic	4	6.3			
White	4	6.3			
Other	2	3.2			

Gender	Number	Percent		
Female	42	66.7		
Male	21	33.3		

TOTAL	63

AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES

2007	6.7
2008	7.5
2009	7.2

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	67.5	70.7	67.2	*	40.0	59.8	*	65.6	74.7	69.9	76.0	369
7	2008	*	79.1	76.4	76.4	53.3	58.3	71.2	*	70.0	83.5	77.6	77.3	433
	2009		74.8	70.6	71.4	35.7	42.0	60.0		71.9	71.9	71.9	77.1	370
	2007	66.7	81.1	80.2	80.4	66.7	52.5	75.2	*	76.8	84.3	80.6	80.5	413
8	2008	*	95.3	89.3	91.7	61.9	72.3	88.1		90.4	92.0	91.2	90.1	386
	2009	*	90.3	89.6	89.8	81.8	75.3	87.4	*	89.0	90.8	90.0	91.2	412
	2007	66.7	75.5	75.3	74.1	60.0	46.0	68.6	*	71.4	79.9	75.6	78.3	782
7-8	2008	*	86.9	82.5	83.5	58.3	65.0	79.1	*	80.4	87.2	84.0	83.5	819
	2009	*	82.7	80.6	80.9	56.0	57.1	75.4	*	79.8	82.9	81.5	84.3	782

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 7-8				
2007	9	286	478	656	10	126	541	2	398	384	782	17,930
2008	3	267	537	674	36	137	537	1	388	431	819	18,756
2009	1	243	527	643	25	161	505	1	372	410	782	18,508

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	38	72	103	*	39	94	*	67	44	111	2,085	369
7	2008	*	29	67	85	7	30	82	*	57	40	97	2,207	433
	2009		30	73	89	9	51	88		56	48	104	2,065	370
	2007	2	32	46	67	2	29	76	*	47	33	80	1,803	413
8	2008	*	6	27	26	8	18	30		19	15	34	891	386
	2009	*	12	29	34	2	18	36	*	19	22	41	834	412
	2007	3	70	118	170	4	68	170	*	114	77	191	3,888	782
7-8	2008	*	35	94	111	15	48	112	*	76	55	131	3,098	819
	2009	*	42	102	123	11	69	124	*	75	70	145	2,899	782

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 7-8				
2007	9	286	478	656	10	126	541	2	398	384	782	17,930
2008	3	267	537	674	36	137	537	1	388	431	819	18,756
2009	1	243	527	643	25	161	505	1	372	410	782	18,508

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2007	72.4	71.6	73.7	69.0
7	2008	82.5	83.3	75.8	68.5
	2009	76.6	80.2	73.8	72.8
	2007	79.7	80.9	83.5	72.1
8	2008	85.0	82.2	81.7	82.7
	2009	86.3	83.3	81.4	83.3
	2007	76.2	76.5	78.9	70.6
7-8	2008	83.7	82.8	78.6	75.2
	2009	81.7	81.9	77.8	78.4

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	4.3	9.8	8.0	*	3.1	2.6	*	10.3	5.7	8.1	12.7	369
7	2008	*	10.1	15.8	14.2	6.7	5.6	5.6	*	11.6	16.9	14.5	19.1	433
	2009		14.3	12.9	11.9	0.0	3.4	5.5		12.1	14.6	13.2	19.6	370
	2007	16.7	15.4	16.8	15.2	0.0	3.3	8.8	*	13.8	18.6	16.2	26.0	413
8	2008	*	22.7	33.6	28.0	14.3	4.6	18.3		27.3	32.4	29.8	37.6	386
	2009	*	24.2	28.0	25.6	18.2	13.7	17.2	*	24.3	30.5	27.9	36.6	412
	2007	11.1	10.8	13.2	11.7	0.0	3.2	6.1	*	12.1	12.8	12.4	19.6	782
7-8	2008	*	16.1	24.2	20.6	11.1	5.1	11.5	*	19.6	23.7	21.7	28.0	819
	2009	*	19.3	20.9	19.0	8.0	8.1	12.1	*	17.7	23.9	21.0	28.3	782

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 7-8				
2007	9	286	478	656	10	126	541	2	398	384	782	17,930
2008	3	267	537	674	36	137	537	1	388	431	819	18,756
2009	1	243	527	643	25	161	505	1	372	410	782	18,508

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	49.1	66.7	60.3	22.2	36.2	49.4	*	57.7	65.3	61.3	65.9	372
7	2008	*	55.8	63.1	60.1	26.3	45.9	49.5	*	56.0	64.6	60.8	64.5	436
	2009		45.5	63.1	57.6	50.0	46.2	42.7		52.9	62.2	57.2	67.2	376
	2007	50.0	37.1	53.2	48.4	25.0	28.1	37.5	*	43.4	50.2	46.9	56.7	414
8	2008	*	51.9	74.4	67.2	13.0	55.2	57.9		63.8	69.9	66.8	72.8	385
	2009	*	56.9	68.9	65.5	41.7	60.8	57.7	*	65.9	66.4	66.0	72.3	415
	2007	50.0	42.0	60.1	54.1	23.5	32.3	42.6	*	50.4	57.1	53.7	61.1	786
7-8	2008	*	53.9	68.3	63.4	19.0	50.4	53.4	*	59.9	66.9	63.6	68.5	821
	2009	*	51.2	66.2	61.6	46.9	52.7	51.1	*	58.9	64.6	61.8	69.8	791

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 7-8				
2007	10	283	484	656	17	133	544	2	401	385	786	17,999
2008	3	267	537	672	42	141	539	1	392	429	821	18,678
2009	1	244	535	649	32	165	511	1	377	413	791	18,178

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 67% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	59	83	125	7	44	120	*	83	61	144	2,976	372
7	2008	*	61	106	144	14	40	145	*	85	86	171	3,462	436
	2009		66	93	134	10	49	129		96	65	161	2,966	376
	2007	3	105	110	176	6	46	192	*	116	104	220	4,022	414
8	2008	*	62	64	102	20	30	106		72	56	128	2,429	385
	2009	*	53	88	115	7	29	121	*	59	81	141	2,530	415
	2007	5	164	193	301	13	90	312	*	199	165	364	6,998	786
7-8	2008	*	123	170	246	34	70	251	*	157	142	299	5,891	821
	2009	*	119	181	249	17	78	250	*	155	146	302	5,496	791

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 7-8				
2007	10	283	484	656	17	133	544	2	401	385	786	17,999
2008	3	267	537	672	42	141	539	1	392	429	821	18,678
2009	1	244	535	649	32	165	511	1	377	413	791	18,178

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2007	66.8	58.5	61.0	55.8	64.5	67.0
7	2008	64.4	59.3	64.5	46.2	58.1	64.1
	2009	64.7	58.3	61.7	53.1	58.9	67.3
	2007	52.4	60.7	62.5	49.0	64.2	58.0
8	2008	64.7	67.0	67.6	45.0	63.4	67.7
	2009	61.7	63.3	67.0	43.8	64.1	66.0
	2007	59.2	59.6	61.8	52.2	64.4	62.3
7-8	2008	64.6	62.9	66.0	45.7	60.6	65.8
	2009	63.1	60.9	64.5	48.2	61.6	66.6

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	2.6	10.0	7.9	0.0	1.4	2.5	*	6.6	8.5	7.5	10.9	372
7	2008	*	1.4	6.3	5.0	0.0	2.7	1.7	*	4.1	5.3	4.8	11.0	436
	2009		2.5	7.9	6.0	0.0	4.4	1.8		4.9	7.6	6.1	11.3	376
-	2007	0.0	0.6	3.8	3.2	0.0	3.1	1.3	*	2.4	3.8	3.1	9.0	414
8	2008	*	2.3	11.6	8.4	0.0	4.5	2.8		9.0	7.5	8.3	14.1	385
	2009	*	2.4	4.2	3.3	8.3	1.4	0.7	*	5.2	3.3	4.1	15.4	415
	2007	0.0	1.4	7.0	5.5	0.0	2.3	1.8	*	4.5	6.0	5.2	10.0	786
7-8	2008	*	1.9	8.8	6.5	0.0	3.5	2.2	*	6.6	6.3	6.5	12.5	821
	2009	*	2.5	6.0	4.6	3.1	3.0	1.2	*	5.0	5.1	5.1	13.4	791

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 7-8				
2007	10	283	484	656	17	133	544	2	401	385	786	17,999
2008	3	267	537	672	42	141	539	1	392	429	821	18,678
2009	1	244	535	649	32	165	511	1	377	413	791	18,178

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	89.7	82.2	84.6	*	57.4	80.3		80.6	89.6	84.9	87.5	364
7	2008	*	84.4	81.5	81.4	42.9	60.5	76.1	*	71.8	90.5	82.2	83.7	437
	2009		87.2	83.7	83.7	55.6	65.9	77.0		81.2	89.3	84.9	88.6	365

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2007	3	117	241	312	3	61	229	0	191	173	364	8,582
2008	1	135	292	360	21	76	289	1	195	242	437	9,744
2009	0	117	246	307	9	82	213	0	197	168	365	8,988

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	12	43	48	*	26	45		37	18	55	1,075	364
7	2008	*	21	54	67	12	30	69	*	55	23	78	1,584	437
	2009		15	40	50	4	28	49		37	18	55	1,023	365

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2007	3	117	241	312	3	61	229	0	191	173	364	8,582
2008	1	135	292	360	21	76	289	1	195	242	437	9,744
2009	0	117	246	307	9	82	213	0	197	168	365	8,988

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2007	2.3	82.8	72.5	76.1	76.4
7	2008	2.2	72.2	76.0	81.1	78.5
	2009	2.1	75.8	74.3	78.2	79.7

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	14.5	17.8	15.7	*	1.6	7.0		10.5	23.7	16.8	21.8	364
7	2008	*	17.8	18.2	18.6	0.0	5.3	9.0	*	10.8	25.2	18.8	20.4	437
	2009	·	11.1	11.4	10.7	0.0	2.4	6.1		10.7	11.9	11.2	22.3	365

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2007	3	117	241	312	3	61	229	0	191	173	364	8,582
2008	1	135	292	360	21	76	289	1	195	242	437	9,744
2009	0	117	246	307	9	82	213	0	197	168	365	8,988

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	83.3	85.5	83.5	84.0	62.5	63.3	80.2	*	80.6	88.0	84.4	78.9	409
8	2008	*	84.8	88.7	88.0	65.0	74.6	83.8		87.2	87.8	87.5	86.6	377
	2009	*	82.8	84.1	81.7	63.6	73.6	77.3	*	82.6	84.6	83.8	88.3	408

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2007	6	166	231	338	8	60	303	1	201	208	409	9,206
2008	1	125	247	309	20	59	228	0	196	181	377	8,642
2009	1	122	277	328	11	72	273	1	167	241	408	9,233

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010 AEIS social studies minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	1	24	38	54	3	22	60	*	39	25	64	1,942	409
8	2008	*	19	28	37	7	15	37		25	22	47	1,157	377
	2009	*	21	44	60	4	19	62	*	29	37	66	1,078	408

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8				
2007	6	166	231	338	8	60	303	1	201	208	409	9,206
2008	1	125	247	309	20	59	228	0	196	181	377	8,642
2009	1	122	277	328	11	72	273	1	167	241	408	9,233

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Issues and Events in U.S. History	Geographic Influences on History	Economic and Social Influences on History	Political Influences on History	Critical-Thinking Skills
	2007	71.9	68.1	74.5	65.2	73.1
8	2008	71.0	72.6	75.0	72.3	78.8
	2009	69.0	72.2	70.5	68.9	75.6

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	16.7	16.9	19.5	17.5	0.0	6.7	11.6	*	20.9	16.3	18.6	21.1	409
8	2008	*	21.6	33.2	27.5	0.0	8.5	19.3		33.7	23.8	28.9	27.5	377
	2009	*	19.7	19.9	21.3	9.1	9.7	9.9	*	20.4	20.7	20.6	31.7	408

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8							
2007	6	6 166 231 338 8 60 303 1 201 208 409 9,206													
2008	1	125	247	309	20	59	228	0	196	181	377	8,642			
2009	1	122	277	328	11	72	273	1	167	241	408	9,233			

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	50.0	41.7	49.1	47.6	37.5	29.5	37.2	*	47.3	45.7	46.5	52.4	411
8	2008	*	45.2	58.3	52.6	14.3	27.1	41.7		51.8	54.9	53.3	54.6	379
	2009	*	42.4	49.6	48.6	30.8	31.5	36.6	*	48.0	48.6	48.3	59.2	414

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8							
2007	6	6 168 232 338 8 61 304 1 203 208 411 9,233													
2008	1	126	247	310	21	59	228	0	195	184	379	8,703			
2009	1	125	280	333	13	73	279	1	171	243	414	9,292			

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 55 percent, the 2010 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade 8 science passing standard has risen to Panel Recommendation for 2009. In 2008, it was 1 SEM below PR; in 2007, it was 2 SEM below PR.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	3	98	118	177	5	43	191	*	107	113	220	4,398	411
8	2008	*	69	103	147	18	43	133		94	83	177	3,953	379
	2009	*	72	141	171	9	50	177	*	89	125	214	3,794	414

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8							
2007	6	6 168 232 338 8 61 304 1 203 208 411 9,233													
2008	1	126	247	310	21	59	228	0	195	184	379	8,703			
2009	1	125	280	333	13	73	279	1	171	243	414	9,292			

Grade 8 science passing standard has risen to Panel Recommendation for 2009. In 2008, it was 1 SEM below PR; in 2007, it was 2 SEM below PR.

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Living Systems and the Environment	Structures and Properties of Matter	Motion, Forces, and Energy	Earth and Space Systems
	2007	58.0	58.7	57.1	53.2	49.4
8	2008	67.8	65.3	62.9	55.3	57.7
	2009	67.1	66.6	60.7	68.6	55.7

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	0.0	0.6	4.3	3.0	0.0	1.6	1.3	*	3.0	2.4	2.7	6.6	411
8	2008	*	3.2	10.5	7.4	0.0	1.7	2.2		10.3	5.4	7.9	11.2	379
	2009	*	4.0	4.6	5.4	0.0	1.4	0.7	*	5.3	4.9	5.1	13.3	414

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8							
2007	6	6 168 232 338 8 61 304 1 203 208 411 9,233													
2008	1	126	247	310	21	59	228	0	195	184	379	8,703			
2009	1	125	280	333	13	73	279	1	171	243	414	9,292			

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
7	2008		54.5	63.2	56.5	61.3	64.3	64.0		58.3	71.4	61.3	67.1	31
	2009		100.0	66.7	76.5	80.0	87.5	76.5		83.3	*	80.0	72.6	20
8	2008		47.1	*	52.6	54.5	*	52.9		47.1	*	54.5	64.8	22
	2009		60.0	69.2	66.7	66.7	66.7	70.6		70.0	50.0	66.7	77.8	36
7-8	2008		50.0	65.2	54.8	58.5	64.7	59.5		53.7	75.0	58.5	66.0	53
	2009		76.5	68.4	70.0	71.4	72.4	72.5		75.0	50.0	71.4	75.2	56

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 7-8							
2008	0	28	23	42	53	17	42	0	41	12	53	1,133			
2009	0	17	38	50	56	29	51	0	48	8	56	1,306			

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
7	2008		5	7	10	12	5	9		10	2	12	201	31
	2009		0	4	4	4	1	4		3	*	4	181	20
8	2008		9	*	9	10	*	8		9	*	10	184	22
	2009		4	8	11	12	7	10		9	3	12	143	36
7-8	2008	·	14	8	19	22	6	17		19	3	22	385	53
	2009	·	4	12	15	16	8	14		12	4	16	324	56

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 7-8				
2008	0	28	23	42	53	17	42	0	41	12	53	1,133
2009	0	17	38	50	56	29	51	0	48	8	56	1,306

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
7	2008	59.7	60.1	63.3	55.4
	2009	64.5	69.4	66.9	65.8
8	2008	58.6	58.0	59.7	53.4
	2009	65.3	59.7	65.6	52.1
7-8	2008	59.2	59.2	61.8	54.6
	2009	65.0	63.2	66.1	57.0

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
7	2008		9.1	5.3	8.7	6.5	0.0	8.0		4.2	14.3	6.5	5.9	31
	2009		14.3	8.3	17.6	15.0	12.5	17.6		16.7	*	15.0	8.9	20
8	2008		5.9	*	10.5	13.6	*	11.8		17.6	*	13.6	6.3	22
	2009		0.0	3.8	3.0	2.8	0.0	2.9		3.3	0.0	2.8	7.1	36
7-8	2008	·	7.1	13.0	9.5	9.4	5.9	9.5		9.8	8.3	9.4	6.1	53
	2009		5.9	5.3	8.0	7.1	3.4	7.8		8.3	0.0	7.1	8.0	56

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 7-8													
2008	0	28	23	42	53	17	42	0	41	12	53	1,133			
2009	0	17	38	50	56	29	51	0	48	8	56	1,306			

T. W. BROWNE (43) 34

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
7	2008		45.5	29.4	31.8	37.9	30.8	39.1		36.4	42.9	37.9	53.7	29
	2009		*	25.0	20.0	25.0	*	20.0		18.2	*	25.0	62.0	12
8	2008		28.6	*	37.5	38.9	*	26.7		30.8	*	38.9	45.0	18
	2009		50.0	65.4	60.6	61.1	61.9	61.8		65.5	42.9	61.1	67.1	36
		·											_	
7-8	2008		36.0	35.0	34.2	38.3	33.3	34.2		34.3	50.0	38.3	49.4	47
	2009	·	42.9	55.9	51.2	52.1	52.0	52.3	·	52.5	50.0	52.1	64.5	48

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 7-8				
2008	0	25	20	38	47	15	38	0	35	12	47	1,192
2009	0	14	34	43	48	25	44	0	40	8	48	1,290

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
7	2008		6	12	15	18	9	14		14	4	18	279	29
	2009		*	6	8	9	*	8		9	*	9	248	12
8	2008		10	*	10	11	*	11		9	*	11	324	18
	2009		5	9	13	14	8	13		10	4	14	210	36
7-8	2008		16	13	25	29	10	25		23	6	29	603	47
	2009	·	8	15	21	23	12	21		19	4	23	458	48

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 7-8				
2008	0	25	20	38	47	15	38	0	35	12	47	1,192
2009	0	14	34	43	48	25	44	0	40	8	48	1,290

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
7	2008	36.6	44.4	40.8	41.4	38.5	46.0
	2009	41.7	32.3	34.7	39.6	30.6	40.3
8	2008	38.9	50.0	42.6	38.9	42.6	38.2
	2009	42.0	49.3	44.4	45.8	49.1	44.4
7-8	2008	37.5	46.5	41.5	40.4	40.1	43.0
	2009	41.9	45.1	42.0	44.3	44.4	43.4

T. W. BROWNE (43) 37

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
7	2008		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	29
	2009		*	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	8.0	12
8	2008		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	3.2	18
	2009		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	5.8	36
7-8	2008		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9	47
	2009		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	6.9	48

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 7-8								
2008	0	25	20	38	47	15	38	0	35	12	47	1,192				
2009	0	14	34	43	48	25	44	0	40	8	48	1,290				

T. W. BROWNE (43) 38

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
8	2008		27.8	*	26.3	23.8	*	27.8		31.3	*	23.8	30.0	21
	2009		0.0	42.3	28.1	31.4	38.1	31.3		35.7	14.3	31.4	40.1	35

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8								
2008	0	0 18 3 19 21 2 18 0 16 5 21 636														
2009	0	9	26	32	35	21	32	0	28	7	35	631				

Grade 8 science passing standard has risen to Panel Recommendation for 2009. In 2008, it was 1 SEM below PR; in 2007, it was 2 SEM below PR.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
8	2008		13	*	14	16	*	13		11	*	16	445	21
	2009		9	15	23	24	13	22		18	6	24	378	35

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8							
2008	0	18	3	19	21	2	18	0	16	5	21	636			
2009	0	9	26	32	35	21	32	0	28	7	35	631			

Grade 8 science passing standard has risen to Panel Recommendation for 2009. In 2008, it was 1 SEM below PR; in 2007, it was 2 SEM below PR.

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Living Systems and the Environment	Structures and Properties of Matter	Motion, Forces, and Energy	Earth and Space Systems
8	2008	51.5	49.5	42.9	38.1	45.0
	2009	58.2	53.4	47.4	45.1	50.8

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
8	2008		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	0.9	21
	2009		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	35

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8								
2007																
2008	0	0 18 3 19 21 2 18 0 16 5 21 636														
2009	0	9	26	32	35	21	32	0	28	7	35	631				

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
8														
	2009		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	34

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2009	0	9	25	31	34	20	31	0	27	7	34	618

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
8														
	2009		9	25	31	34	20	31		27	7	34	618	34

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8				
2009	0	9	25	31	34	20	31	0	27	7	34	618

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Issues and Events in U.S. History	Geographic Influences on History	Economic and Social Influences on History	Political Influences on History	Critical-Thinking Skills
8						
	2009	43.5	47.1	55.0	46.2	61.8

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
8														
Ü	2009		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	34

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2009	0	9	25	31	34	20	31	0	27	7	34	618

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the IOWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS

				READING T	OTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007					0
8	2008	17.1	60.7	28.8	37.2	399
	2009	13.5	58.7	25.2	33.6	420

	2007	0	0	0	0	
Number Tested	2008	292	107	399	8,615	
resteu	2009	311	109	420	9,813	

			M	ATHEMATIC	S TOTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007					0
8	2008	24.3	72.0	37.2	46.7	395
	2009	28.6	65.8	38.3	48.3	426

	2007	0	0	0	0	
Number Tested	2008	288	107	395	8,493	
rested	2009	315	111	426	9,737	

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007													0
8	2008	*	21.2	33.0	27.5	0.0	10.7	17.1		26.9	31.0	28.8	37.2	399
	2009	*	27.0	22.8	24.3	2.4	6.3	13.5	*	19.1	30.5	25.2	33.6	420

	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Tested	2008	2	132	264	320	37	84	292	0	212	187	399	8,615
restea	2009	1	126	285	346	41	96	311	1	194	226	420	9,813

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007													0
8	2008	*	1	7	6	0	0	0		6	2	8	508	399
	2009	*	4	6	8	0	0	0	*	5	5	10	575	420

	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Tested	2008	2	132	264	320	37	84	292	0	212	187	399	8,615
100104	2009	1	126	285	346	41	96	311	1	194	226	420	9,813

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007													0
8	2008	*	28.0	42.5	38.1	0.0	16.9	24.3		34.1	40.9	37.2	46.7	395
	2009	*	32.6	38.9	36.8	7.5	19.4	28.6	*	33.5	42.4	38.3	48.3	426

	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Tested	2008	2	132	259	318	38	83	288	0	214	181	395	8,493
restea	2009	1	129	288	353	40	103	315	1	197	229	426	9,737

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007													0
8	2008	*	7	16	19	0	1	1		15	8	23	801	395
	2009	*	8	21	25	0	2	10	*	11	19	30	1,219	426

	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Tested	2008	2	132	259	318	38	83	288	0	214	181	395	8,493
100100	2009	1	129	288	353	40	103	315	1	197	229	426	9,737

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1	in 2008	Level 2	in 2008	Level 3	in 2008	Levels 1-	3 in 2008
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2009						
7	14	64.3	13	76.9	0	-	27	70.4
8	26	30.8	16	62.5	0	-	42	42.9
ALL	40	42.5	29	69.0	0	-	69	53.6

PERFORMANCE IN 2009

Writing Domain: Composite Grade Listening Speaking Reading 2009 Level (N Rated) Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % 0.9 0 0.0 3 2.8 2 1.9 2 Beginning 1.9 7 Intermediate 13 16 17 15.7 17 17 12.0 14.8 16.5 16.5 Advanced 31.5 33 30.6 33 30.6 29 28.2 29 28.2 (108)Advanced High 60 55.6 59 54.6 55 50.9 55 53.4 55 53.4 **Beginning** 6 5.5 7 10 9.1 10.1 10.1 6.4 11 11 8 21.8 20.2 22 Intermediate 20 18.2 16 24 22 20.2 14.5 32.7 30.9 25.7 Advanced 32.7 36 34 28 28 25.7 (110)**Advanced High** 43.6 51 46.4 42 38.2 48 44.0 48 44.0 7 Beginning 3.2 3.2 13 6.0 13 6.1 13 6.1 ALL 32 Intermediate 15.1 14.7 41 18.8 39 18.4 39 18.4 70 32.1 69 31.7 30.7 57 26.9 57 26.9 Advanced (218)108 49.5 110 50.5 97 44.5 103 48.6 103 48.6 Advanced High

PROGRESSION FROM 2008 TO 2009

Number Rated Both Years			2008	Level	
N (%) Progressed	2009 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High
	Beginning		,	1	
87	Intermediate	4		12	
57 (65.5%)	Advanced	0	7	1	7
	Advanced High	0	3	4	3
	Beginning		į	5	
89	Intermediate	4		14	
49 (55.1%)	Advanced	2	1	2	1
	Advanced High	0	1	4	1
	Beginning		(6	
176	Intermediate	8		26	
106 (60.2%)	Advanced	2	8	3	8
	Advanced High	0	4	8	4

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2008 to 2009.

PSAT Mean Standard Scores

				All		African A	American	Hisp	anic	WI	nite	Otl	her	Dis	trict
Grade	Subtest	Year	N Tested	Mean	%Tested	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean
		2006												157	32.3
	Critical Reading	2007	30	28.5	6.4	5	*	25	28.3	0		0		412	29.6
	reading	2008	21	26.9	5.3	15	26.9	5	*	0		1	*	493	29.4
		2006												157	35.7
7	Mathematics	2007	30	32.2	6.4	5	*	25	32.2	0		0		412	33.5
		2008	21	33.2	5.3	15	32.7	5	*	0		1	*	493	33.4
	Maritin a	2006												157	32.3
	Writing	2007	30	31.2	6.4	5	*	25	30.8	0		0		412	30.5
		2008	21	31.6	5.3	15	31.3	5	*	0		1	*	493	31.3
	Critical	2006	147	32.9	31.4	70	32.9	74	33.0	1	*	2	*	2,798	34.2
	Reading	2007	99	34.1	23.6	20	33.5	79	34.2	0		0		2,527	33.5
ļ	ŭ	2008	108	32.1	22.8	25	31.7	78	32.2	0		5	*	2,932	33.8
	Mathematics	2006	147	35.2	31.4	70	34.3	74	36.1	1	*	2	*	2,798	36.8
8	Mathematics	2007	99	37.7	23.6	20	38.3	79	37.6	0		0		2,527	37.2
		2008	108	35.6	22.8	25	36.0	78	35.6	0		5	*	2,932	37.2
	Writing	2006	147	30.7	31.4	70	29.6	74	31.6	1	*	2	*	2,798	34.4
	vviiung	2007	99	35.9	23.6	20	35.8	79	36.0	0		0		2,527	34.5
		2008	108	33.9	22.8	25	35.0	78	34.0	0		5	*	2,932	35.1

T. W. BROWNE (43) 54

					SEMESTI	ER 1								SEMEST	ER 2			
			Ave	rages		% Pa	assing	District %	% Passing			Ave	rages		% Pa	assing	District %	% Passing
	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course
AL	GEBRA I	I PRE-AP(tested w	ith 2009)						ALGEBRA	PRE-AP (tested w	ith 2009)					
	67	55.4	73.2	78.1	78.6	70.1	100.0	80.7	96.4	68	51.4	67.6	80.6	82.1	39.7	100.0	82.6	97.4
CO	MPUTER	R APPLICA	TIONS (tested w	ith 2009)					COMPUTER	R APPLICA	TIONS (tested w	ith 2009)				
	184	61.2	74.2	78.4	78.8	65.2	81.0	69.6	95.2	198	65.3	76.9	78.2	78.4	76.3	78.8	76.4	93.8
HE	ALTH E	DUCATION	(tested	with 200	9)					HEALTH E	DUCATION	(tested	with 2009	9)				
	132	61.3	74.2	84.9	86.1	71.2	100.0	78.7	98.0	119	63.2	76.5	85.8	86.8	79.0	99.2	80.6	93.1
LA	NGUAGE	E ARTS 7	tested w	rith 2009)						LANGUAGI	EARTS 7 (tested w	ith 2009)					
	270	54.6	72.8	80.7	81.6	65.6	97.8	67.6	90.0	255	69.7	79.8	77.0	76.7	83.1	89.0	81.7	88.4
LA	NGUAGE	E ARTS 7 P	RE-AP (tested w	ith 2009)					LANGUAGI	E ARTS 7 P	RE-AP (tested w	ith 2009)				
	83	61.8	74.6	82.4	83.3	73.5	98.8	82.5	97.7	67	59.1	73.9	79.6	80.2	73.1	89.6	81.4	95.2
LA	NGUAGE	E ARTS 8 (tested w	rith 2009)						LANGUAGI	EARTS8 (tested w	ith 2009)					
	292	62.9	75.3	81.2	81.8	72.6	94.9	74.0	87.8	289	67.5	78.3	84.6	85.3	79.9	97.2	78.4	87.0
LA	NGUAGE	E ARTS 8 P	RE-AP (tested w	ith 2009)					LANGUAGI	E ARTS 8 P	RE-AP (tested w	ith 2009)				
	100	47.1	72.6	85.6	87.1	58.0	100.0	74.7	95.6	100	51.9	71.7	87.4	89.2	58.0	99.0	73.6	93.5
MA	THEMA	TICS 7 (te	sted with	2009)						MATHEMA [*]	TICS 7 (tes	sted with	2009)					
	277	54.7	72.3	77.8	78.4	62.5	91.7	58.7	86.6	269	52.4	71.4	74.6	75.0	61.0	72.9	58.4	84.2
MA	THEMA	TICS 7 PRI	E-AP (te	sted with	2009)					MATHEMA [*]	TICS 7 PRE	E-AP (tes	sted with	2009)				
	90	62.5	75.0	85.8	87.0	72.2	97.8	73.2	95.3	88	57.4	73.4	84.5	85.7	72.7	94.3	77.3	96.0
MA	THEMA	TICS 8 (te	sted with	a 2009)						MATHEMA	TICS 8 (tes	sted with	2009)					
	344	43.2	70.1	76.4	77.1	52.0	81.1	60.1	83.5	344	42.0	66.5	76.3	77.4	39.5	84.0	52.0	82.6

					SEMEST	ER 1								SEMEST	ER 2			
			Ave	rages		% Pa	essing	District %	5 Passing			Ave	rages		% Pa	assing	District %	% Passing
	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course
MS	ADV RE	ADING-WI	RITING F	OR ESL	(tested with 2	2009)				MS ADV RE	ADING-WF	RITING FO	OR ESL	(tested with 2	2009)			
	21	48.4	65.6	85.1	87.2	23.8	100.0	58.5	95.1	19	60.5	73.6	83.9	85.0	68.4	100.0	69.5	90.0
MS	ADVAN	CED LA FO	OR ESL (tested w	ith 2009)					MS ADVAN	CED LA FO	OR ESL (tested w	ith 2009)				
	21	46.4	64.3	81.2	83.0	33.3	100.0	56.2	91.4	18	65.9	79.5	84.7	85.2	88.9	100.0	65.8	91.4
MS	BEGINN	IING LA FO	OR ESL (tested w	ith 2009)					MS BEGINN	IING LA FO	OR ESL (ested wi	ith 2009)				
	11	54.4	71.5	86.5	88.1	54.5	100.0	65.3	96.6	16	58.9	75.4	86.2	87.4	87.5	100.0	51.4	95.4
MS	INTERN	IEDIATE L	A FOR E	SL (teste	ed with 2009)					MS INTERN	IEDIATE LA	A FOR ES	SL (teste	d with 2009)				
	13	47.4	71.3	79.6	80.5	46.2	92.3	51.2	94.2	12	56.3	70.9	82.8	84.1	50.0	91.7	52.1	95.4
MS	INTERM	EDIATE RI	EADING	FOR ESL	. (tested with	2009)				MS INTERM	EDIATE RI	EADING I	OR ESL	(tested with	2009)			
	13	45.6	69.2	80.6	81.9	38.5	92.3	56.3	95.6	12	59.5	73.0	83.8	84.9	50.0	91.7	60.6	94.4
RE	ADING 7	(tested w	ith 2009))						READING 7	(tested w	ith 2009)						
	135	68.5	82.5	89.6	90.4	95.6	99.3	84.2	94.1	133	71.6	81.1	87.3	88.0	84.2	97.7	80.2	92.9
RE	ADING 8	(tested w	ith 2009))						READING 8	(tested w	ith 2009)						
	169	74.3	82.8	83.6	83.7	94.7	96.4	86.3	94.0	173	78.0	85.3	88.0	88.3	98.8	97.1	88.0	93.4
RE	ADING N	ASTERY 7	7 (tested	with 200)9)					READING N	ASTERY 7	7 (tested	with 200	9)				
	208	63.7	78.2	83.0	83.5	81.3	98.1	71.9	88.5	207	69.4	79.6	81.7	81.9	80.7	95.7	72.0	87.1
RE	ADING N	ASTERY 8	8 (tested	with 200)9)					READING N	ASTERY 8	3 (tested	with 200	9)				
	217	73.5	82.3	78.6	78.2	90.8	87.1	77.3	89.0	220	75.2	83.4	82.1	82.0	89.1	93.6	85.1	89.8
SC	ENCE 7	(tested w	ith 2009))						SCIENCE 7	(tested w	ith 2009)						
	362	65.8	77.2	79.0	79.2	78.5	88.7	79.8	90.7	353	61.7	76.1	80.6	81.0	75.4	91.5	78.6	88.6

	_				SEMEST	ER 1									SEMEST	ER 2			
			Ave	rages		% Pa	ssing	District %	% Passing				Ave	rages		% Pa	ssing	District %	% Passing
	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course		Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course
sc	IENCE 8	(tested w	ith 2009)							sc	IENCE 8	(tested wi	ith 2009)						
	406	46.8	72.5	78.5	79.2	66.7	88.7	72.7	87.9		414	50.3	71.3	79.0	79.8	54.6	85.7	64.9	87.2
SP	ANISH I	7 (tested	with 2009	9)						SP	ANISHI	7 (tested v	with 2009))					
	93	77.6	85.1	86.8	87.0	87.1	100.0	69.8	94.2		89	73.7	84.9	84.6	84.6	85.4	96.6	76.5	92.2
SP	ANISH I	8 (tested	with 2009	9)						SP	ANISHI	8 (tested v	with 2009))					
	57	77.1	87.5	87.9	88.0	98.2	100.0	77.8	94.1		57	78.8	87.8	84.8	84.4	89.5	98.2	77.1	91.8
TE	XAS STU	JDIES 7 (te	ested wit	h 2009)						TE	XAS STU	DIES 7 (te	ested wit	h 2009)					
	328	50.2	72.8	82.1	83.1	60.7	97.9	56.9	89.4		326	60.7	76.0	82.7	83.4	75.2	96.0	63.3	87.2
TE	XAS STU	JDIES 7 PR	E-AP (te	ested wit	h 2009)					TE	XAS STU	DIES 7 PR	E-AP (te	sted witl	h 2009)				
	27	61.2	74.1	87.4	88.9	63.0	100.0	76.4	97.5		27	64.4	76.2	85.5	86.5	66.7	96.3	86.3	96.6
UN	ITED ST	ATES STU	DIES 8 (tested wi	th 2009)					UN	IITED ST	ATES STU	DIES 8 (t	ested wi	th 2009)				
	327	52.2	68.1	77.3	78.3	45.0	82.3	54.6	86.7		324	54.7	72.3	77.1	77.7	56.8	79.6	71.9	88.4
UN	ITED ST	ATES STU	DIES 8 P	RE-AP (t	ested with 20	009)				UN	IITED STA	ATES STU	DIES 8 PI	RE-AP (t	ested with 20	009)			
	85	70.1	80.0	84.8	85.3	85.9	97.6	89.9	97.7		83	75.6	83.7	83.7	83.6	85.5	95.2	93.9	96.2