Campus Data Packet

for 2009 - 10 Plans



THOMAS TOLBERT

School Number 277

The information in this packet is based on data from the 2008-09 school year.

This packet contains corrected statistics for norm-referenced assessments (ITBS and Logramos), including the SCE Compliance report. PSAT reports now contain the average score for all students in a grade.



2009-10 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2 ContentsTable of Contents	3 Notes Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
5 SummarySummary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6 Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7 Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8 Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
10 Teachers Teacher Statistics	
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	
11 TAKS Read (1) TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	12 TAKS Read (2) TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
13 TAKS Read (3) TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	14 TAKS Read (4) TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
15 TAKS Math (1)TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	16 TAKS Math (2) TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
17 TAKS Math (3)TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	18 TAKS Math (4)TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
19 TAKS Write (1)TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	20 TAKS Write (2) TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
21 TAKS Write (3) TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	22 TAKS Write (4) TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
23 TAKS Science (1)TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	24 TAKS Science (2) TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
25 TAKS Science (3)TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	26 TAKS Science (4) TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
27 SPTAKS Read (1) Spanish TAKS Reading: % Meeting Standard	28 SPTAKS Read (2) Spanish TAKS Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
29 SPTAKS Read (3) Spanish TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	30 SPTAKS Read (4) Spanish TAKS Reading: % Commended
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS (MOD)	
31 TAKS-M Read (1)TAKS-M Reading: % Meeting Standard	32 TAKS-M Read (2) TAKS-M Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
33 TAKS-M Read (3)TAKS-M Reading: Performance by Objective	34 TAKS-M Read (4) TAKS-M Reading: Percentage Commended
35 TAKS-M Math (1) TAKS-M Math: % Meeting Standard	36 TAKS-M Math (2) TAKS-M Math: # Not Meeting Standard
37 TAKS-M Math (3) TAKS-M Math: Performance by Objective	38 TAKS-M Math (4) TAKS-M Math: Percentage Commended
ITBS/ITED/LOGRAMOS	
39 Compliance SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	40 ITBS Read (1) ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
41 ITBS Read (2)ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	42 ITBS Math (1) ITBS Math Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
43 ITBS Math (2) ITBS Math Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	44 Logramos Read (1) Logramos Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
45 Logramos Read (2) Logramos Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	
MEASURES OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
46 WMLSWoodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	47 TELPAS TX English Language Proficiency Assessment System

2009-10 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2008-09. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2007-08 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2007-08 and 2008-09.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

TAKS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- TAKS statistics include both TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated results.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2010, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

TAKS-M (Modified)

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading results for all three years are for the Language Total subtest; all other grades' results reflect Reading Total performance. (The Reading Total summary is not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergarteners in 2009.) All Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The number tested includes kindergarteners.
- Numbers tested in READING for 2007 may not match those reported in campus data packets published in the prior years. Previous totals did not consistently include students in Kindergarten, though documentation included with the reports indicated otherwise.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading and mathematics results for all three years are for the Language subtest and Mathematics subtest. All other grades' results reflect Reading Total or Mathematics Total performance. (The Reading and Mathematics Total summaries are not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergartners.) Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The "number tested" statistics include kindergartners.

TELPAS

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2008 to 2009, the TEA did not report the 2008 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2009, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2008.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
PK	44
KN	54
1	66
2	80
3	53
4	54
5	52
6	55
ALL	458

STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stud	lents	Teachers			
Ethnicity	Number Percent		Number	Percent		
African American	206	45.0	19	55.9		
American Indian	0	0.0	*	*		
Asian	2	0.4	*	*		
Hispanic	249	54.4	6	17.6		
White	1	0.2	7	20.6		
Other	**	**	2	5.9		

^{*}Included in category "Other."

STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percent
Economically disadvantaged students	428	93.4
Limited English proficient students	161	35.2
Special education students	34	7.4

^{**}All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

			African A	American	America	ın Indian	As	ian	Hisp	anic	White	
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2007	44	19	43.2	0	0.0	1	2.3	24	54.5	0	0.0
PK	2008	41	15	36.6	0	0.0	1	2.4	25	61.0	0	0.0
	2009	44	13	29.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	31	70.5	0	0.0
	2007	75	39	52.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	35	46.7	1	1.3
KN	2008	70	38	54.3	0	0.0	1	1.4	31	44.3	0	0.0
	2009	54	24	44.4	0	0.0	1	1.9	29	53.7	0	0.0
	2007	69	37	53.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	31	44.9	1	1.4
1	2008	88	50	56.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	38	43.2	0	0.0
	2009	66	30	45.5	0	0.0	1	1.5	34	51.5	1	1.5
	2007	62	38	61.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	24	38.7	0	0.0
2	2008	69	39	56.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	30	43.5	0	0.0
	2009	80	42	52.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	38	47.5	0	0.0
	2007	73	48	65.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	25	34.2	0	0.0
3	2008	74	46	62.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	28	37.8	0	0.0
	2009	53	25	47.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	28	52.8	0	0.0
	2007	63	39	61.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	24	38.1	0	0.0
4	2008	67	36	53.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	31	46.3	0	0.0
	2009	54	26	48.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	28	51.9	0	0.0
	2007	65	45	69.2	0	0.0	1	1.5	19	29.2	0	0.0
5	2008	75	46	61.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	29	38.7	0	0.0
	2009	52	20	38.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	32	61.5	0	0.0
	2007	65	48	73.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	17	26.2	0	0.0
6	2008	51	35	68.6	0	0.0	1	2.0	15	29.4	0	0.0
	2009	55	26	47.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	29	52.7	0	0.0
	2007	516	313	60.7	0	0.0	2	0.4	199	38.6	2	0.4
EC-6	2008	535	305	57.0	0	0.0	3	0.6	227	42.4	0	0.0
	2009	458	206	45.0	0	0.0	2	0.4	249	54.4	1	0.2

			Econor Disadva	mically antaged	LE	:P	Special E	ducation	T	AG	New (to D	Dallas ISD)	Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2007	44	44	100.0	21	47.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	44	100.0	0.0
PK	2008	41	41	100.0	21	51.2	1	2.4	0	0.0	40	97.6	2.4
	2009	44	44	100.0	22	50.0	1	2.3	0	0.0	44	100.0	0.0
	2007	75	72	96.0	26	34.7	2	2.7	7	9.3	44	58.7	1.3
KN	2008	70	67	95.7	25	35.7	2	2.9	6	8.6	33	47.1	2.9
	2009	54	52	96.3	20	37.0	2	3.7	4	7.4	24	44.4	3.7
	2007	69	66	95.7	19	27.5	4	5.8	7	10.1	9	13.0	7.2
1	2008	88	84	95.5	28	31.8	2	2.3	6	6.8	19	21.6	5.7
	2009	66	62	93.9	23	34.8	1	1.5	10	15.2	8	12.1	7.6
	2007	62	56	90.3	16	25.8	6	9.7	11	17.7	3	4.8	3.2
2	2008	69	67	97.1	16	23.2	4	5.8	8	11.6	11	15.9	2.9
	2009	80	74	92.5	29	36.3	3	3.8	13	16.3	5	6.3	13.8
	2007	73	67	91.8	14	19.2	11	15.1	12	16.4	6	8.2	2.7
3	2008	74	69	93.2	19	25.7	8	10.8	9	12.2	14	18.9	2.7
	2009	53	51	96.2	15	28.3	6	11.3	10	18.9	4	7.5	9.4
	2007	63	59	93.7	9	14.3	12	19.0	11	17.5	6	9.5	1.6
4	2008	67	63	94.0	16	23.9	10	14.9	11	16.4	8	11.9	0.0
	2009	54	48	88.9	22	40.7	5	9.3	9	16.7	3	5.6	0.0
	2007	65	57	87.7	9	13.8	7	10.8	9	13.8	8	12.3	4.6
5	2008	75	68	90.7	12	16.0	10	13.3	13	17.3	7	9.3	2.7
	2009	52	48	92.3	17	32.7	9	17.3	11	21.2	2	3.8	9.6
	2007	65	57	87.7	4	6.2	8	12.3	10	15.4	4	6.2	0.0
6	2008	51	44	86.3	6	11.8	7	13.7	7	13.7	7	13.7	0.0
	2009	55	49	89.1	13	23.6	7	12.7	7	12.7	4	7.3	0.0
	2007	516	478	92.6	118	22.9	50	9.7	67	13.0	124	24.0	2.7
EC-6	2008	535	503	94.0	143	26.7	44	8.2	60	11.2	139	26.0	2.6
	2009	458	428	93.4	161	35.2	34	7.4	64	14.0	94	20.5	6.1

Grade	Year	Averag Member	e Daily ship (N)	Α	verage Daily	Attendance		Yea	rly Transaction	ns		nuously led (N)	Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (N)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus	Dallas ISD
	2007	21.4	4,117.2	20.7	96.8	3,933.6	95.5	51	100.0	100.0	41	7,436	100.0	100.0
PK	2008	20.5	4,133.2	19.7	96.3	3,942.7	95.4	54	100.0	100.0	39	7,427	100.0	100.0
	2009	21.2	4,167.8	20.1	94.8	3,975.6	95.4	58	100.0	100.0	34	7,332	100.0	100.0
	2007	73.2	13,945.0	70.2	96.0	13,385.6	96.0	19	26.0	24.3	63	12,035	86.1	86.3
KN	2008	69.3	13,568.1	66.7	96.2	13,004.4	95.8	19	27.4	23.5	53	11,618	76.5	85.6
	2009	54.7	13,515.0	52.2	95.5	12,939.1	95.7	16	29.3	22.5	43	11,501	78.6	85.1
	2007	68.8	14,353.8	65.7	95.4	13,851.4	96.5	27	39.2	23.2	53	12,437	77.0	86.6
1	2008	87.3	14,626.9	84.4	96.7	14,102.7	96.4	30	34.4	21.2	73	12,704	83.6	86.9
	2009	65.9	14,250.8	63.3	96.0	13,719.9	96.3	21	31.9	20.7	52	12,300	78.9	86.3
	2007	63.8	13,403.8	61.8	96.8	12,978.9	96.8	16	25.1	21.7	53	11,729	83.0	87.5
2	2008	68.6	13,708.6	66.3	96.7	13,269.8	96.8	25	36.4	19.7	57	12,043	83.1	87.9
	2009	80.5	13,950.1	77.8	96.6	13,499.0	96.8	20	24.9	18.6	71	12,231	88.2	87.7
	2007	70.5	12,998.4	68.5	97.1	12,633.3	97.2	20	28.4	21.1	63	11,445	89.3	88.0
3	2008	71.1	12,806.9	68.7	96.6	12,425.5	97.0	28	39.4	19.1	58	11,408	81.6	89.1
	2009	54.2	13,095.5	52.6	97.0	12,710.6	97.1	12	22.1	18.3	50	11,634	92.2	88.8
	2007	65.5	12,104.9	63.2	96.4	11,768.3	97.2	14	21.4	19.7	54	10,683	82.4	88.3
4	2008	70.3	12,329.8	67.9	96.5	11,960.3	97.0	25	35.5	19.7	57	10,924	81.1	88.6
	2009	57.3	12,156.4	55.7	97.2	11,789.9	97.0	14	24.4	18.1	48	10,731	83.8	88.3
	2007	59.9	11,757.0	57.6	96.3	11,426.8	97.2	23	38.4	20.9	50	10,362	83.5	88.1
5	2008	71.6	11,874.2	69.6	97.3	11,539.6	97.2	18	25.1	18.8	62	10,608	86.6	89.3
	2009	53.2	11,903.5	51.2	96.2	11,552.9	97.1	11	20.7	17.5	44	10,563	82.7	88.7
	2007	64.5	11,264.8	62.5	96.9	10,864.3	96.4	11	17.0	21.9	58	9,788	89.9	86.9
6	2008	51.4	10,450.4	49.9	97.0	10,060.0	96.3	21	40.8	22.0	42	9,055	81.6	86.6
	2009	60.1	10,588.3	57.7	96.0	10,165.3	96.0	8	13.3	19.9	51	9,131	84.8	86.2

Attendance Student Attendance Statistics

Grade	Year	Averag Member	e Daily ship (N)	Average Daily Attendance			Yearly Transactions			Continuously Enrolled (N)		Stability Rate (%)		
		Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (N)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus	Dallas ISD
	2007	487.7	94,387.4	470.2	96.4	91,251.6	96.7	181	37.1	31.9	435	86,204	89.2	91.3
EC-6	2008	510.1	93,889.2	493.3	96.7	90,666.0	96.6	220	43.1	30.8	441	86,025	86.4	91.6
	2009	447.1	94,064.8	430.5	96.3	90,751.7	96.5	160	35.8	29.6	393	85,648	87.9	91.1

Teachers Teacher Statistics

DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS

Ethnicity	Number	Percent
African American	19	55.9
Hispanic	6	17.6
White	7	20.6
Other	2	5.9

Gender	Number	Percent		
Female	30	88.2		
Male	4	11.8		

TOTAL	34

AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES

2007	6.1
2008	3.9
2009	6.8

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		83.3	85.7	82.8	*	80.0	72.0		80.6	87.5	84.1	84.6	63
3	2008		75.0	87.5	79.4	*	87.5	77.8		78.9	80.0	79.4	86.2	68
	2009		81.8	92.3	84.8		*	66.7		82.4	88.9	85.7	87.3	35
	2007		71.0	66.7	67.4		*	50.0		66.7	71.0	69.4	69.7	49
4	2008		65.5	60.7	62.3	*	46.2	34.6	*	48.5	83.3	63.2	72.1	57
	2009		87.5	83.3	83.3	*	77.8	75.0		75.0	95.8	85.4	75.8	48
	2007		66.7	27.8	52.9	*	0.0	30.0		48.1	60.0	54.4	78.3	57
5	2008		87.8	82.6	84.2		57.1	68.0		73.9	92.7	85.9	82.9	64
	2009		81.3	86.2	85.4	*	78.6	76.0	*	87.5	81.0	84.4	82.9	45
	2007		97.6	92.9	95.7	*	*	93.8	*	85.7	100.0	96.4	87.2	55
6	2008		97.0	86.7	93.2	*	*	92.3		95.5	92.6	93.9	87.8	49
	2009		100.0	95.7	97.4		100.0	95.2		100.0	96.2	97.8	86.4	46
	2007		80.4	67.6	74.8	62.5	48.0	62.9	*	68.9	81.3	76.3	79.9	224
3-6	2008		81.6	77.8	79.3	42.9	68.3	69.0	*	72.4	87.7	80.3	82.0	238
	2009		88.2	88.8	87.7	*	82.5	79.3	*	85.9	91.0	88.5	82.7	174

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-6				
2007	0	153	71	202	8	25	105	1	90	134	224	41,727
2008	0	147	90	217	7	41	113	1	116	122	238	41,175
2009	0	85	89	155	4	40	82	1	85	89	174	37,628

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		7	3	10	*	2	7		6	4	10	1,675	63
3	2008		11	3	13	*	2	8		8	6	14	1,443	68
	2009		4	1	5		*	4		3	2	5	960	35
	2007		9	6	15		*	9		6	9	15	3,189	49
4	2008		10	11	20	*	7	17	*	17	4	21	2,996	57
	2009		3	4	7	*	4	6		6	1	7	2,449	48
	2007		13	13	24	*	8	21		14	12	26	2,258	57
5	2008		5	4	9		3	8		6	3	9	1,827	64
	2009		3	4	6	*	3	6	*	3	4	7	1,793	45
	2007		1	1	2	*	*	2	*	2	0	2	1,269	55
6	2008		1	2	3	*	*	2		1	2	3	1,140	49
	2009		0	1	1		0	1		0	1	1	1,295	46
	2007		30	23	51	3	13	39	*	28	25	53	8,391	224
3-6	2008		27	20	45	4	13	35	*	32	15	47	7,406	238
	2009		10	10	19	*	7	17	*	12	8	20	6,497	174

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-6				
2007	0	153	71	202	8	25	105	1	90	134	224	41,727
2008	0	147	90	217	7	41	113	1	116	122	238	41,175
2009	0	85	89	155	4	40	82	1	85	89	174	37,628

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2007	79.9	81.2	74.6	71.8
3	2008	79.1	76.3	78.7	73.3
	2009	80.2	79.6	82.4	86.8
	2007	79.2	82.4	74.9	74.3
4	2008	77.9	70.0	74.2	70.4
	2009	86.4	81.3	80.7	84.4
	2007	64.1	74.1	64.9	67.7
5	2008	78.2	81.3	77.7	78.2
	2009	83.4	78.6	83.6	79.3
	2007	87.4	88.9	80.2	81.4
6	2008	85.7	80.9	80.1	78.5
	2009	84.1	82.9	81.8	86.6
	2007	77.6	81.5	73.6	73.7
3-6	2008	79.9	77.0	77.6	75.0
	2009	83.8	80.7	82.1	84.1

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		28.6	14.3	25.9	*	10.0	4.0		16.1	31.3	23.8	21.3	63
3	2008		27.3	33.3	27.0	*	31.3	16.7		28.9	30.0	29.4	21.5	68
	2009		13.6	61.5	30.3		*	8.3		17.6	44.4	31.4	34.1	35
	2007		32.3	16.7	23.9		*	0.0		11.1	35.5	26.5	16.6	49
4	2008		20.7	14.3	17.0	*	7.7	3.8	*	12.1	25.0	17.5	14.4	57
	2009		25.0	37.5	31.0	*	44.4	33.3		29.2	33.3	31.3	18.4	48
	2007		7.7	0.0	5.9	*	0.0	0.0		7.4	3.3	5.3	13.1	57
5	2008		22.0	13.0	17.5		0.0	0.0		4.3	26.8	18.8	16.5	64
	2009		12.5	34.5	26.8	*	7.1	8.0	*	29.2	23.8	26.7	18.5	45
	2007		51.2	35.7	44.7	*	*	25.0	*	21.4	56.1	47.3	37.4	55
6	2008		30.3	33.3	31.8	*	*	3.8		27.3	33.3	30.6	33.8	49
	2009		34.8	34.8	33.3		14.3	19.0		40.0	30.8	34.8	30.2	46
	2007		30.1	15.5	24.8	0.0	8.0	8.6	*	13.3	33.6	25.4	21.9	224
3-6	2008		25.2	22.2	23.0	0.0	14.6	7.1	*	19.0	28.7	23.9	21.1	238
	2009		22.4	39.3	30.3	*	25.0	18.3	*	29.4	32.6	31.0	24.6	174

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-6				
2007	0	153	71	202	8	25	105	1	90	134	224	41,727
2008	0	147	90	217	7	41	113	1	116	122	238	41,175
2009	0	85	89	155	4	40	82	1	85	89	174	37,628

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		79.1	73.9	75.4	*	58.3	63.0	*	66.7	87.9	77.3	70.3	66
3	2008		81.0	88.0	83.9	*	87.5	85.7		76.3	93.1	83.6	76.6	67
	2009		86.4	91.7	90.7	*	90.9	78.3	*	82.6	95.7	89.1	77.3	46
	2007		75.8	94.4	81.3	*	*	73.7		84.2	81.3	82.4	75.7	51
4	2008		72.4	67.9	67.9	*	61.5	50.0	*	66.7	75.0	70.2	77.9	57
	2009		76.0	83.3	76.7	*	77.8	70.8		80.0	79.2	79.6	79.4	49
	2007		79.1	72.2	78.2	*	62.5	81.3		76.7	77.4	77.0	82.9	61
5	2008		82.9	91.7	84.5		71.4	70.8		73.9	92.9	86.2	84.0	65
	2009		76.5	96.6	88.1	*	92.9	81.5	*	96.0	81.0	89.1	87.0	46
	2007		87.8	73.3	83.3	*	*	75.8	*	73.3	87.8	83.9	70.0	56
6	2008		77.1	100.0	86.7	*	*	85.2		79.2	88.9	84.3	73.8	51
	2009		83.3	95.7	90.0	*	100.0	90.9		90.5	88.5	89.4	73.3	47
	2007		80.6	78.4	79.2	71.4	60.7	73.9	*	74.2	83.9	79.9	74.7	234
3-6	2008		78.9	84.8	80.7	33.3	78.0	74.1	*	73.7	88.5	81.3	78.2	240
	2009		80.7	92.0	86.3	66.7	88.0	80.2	*	87.2	86.2	86.7	79.3	188

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-6				
2007	0	160	74	212	14	28	111	2	97	137	234	42,324
2008	0	147	92	218	9	41	112	1	118	122	240	42,082
2009	0	88	100	168	9	50	96	2	94	94	188	43,106

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 67% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		9	6	15	*	5	10	*	11	4	15	3,303	66
3	2008		8	3	10	*	2	5		9	2	11	2,542	67
	2009		3	2	4	*	1	5	*	4	1	5	2,781	46
	2007		8	1	9	*	*	5		3	6	9	2,604	51
4	2008		8	9	17	*	5	13	*	11	6	17	2,436	57
	2009		6	4	10	*	4	7		5	5	10	2,196	49
	2007		9	5	12	*	3	6		7	7	14	1,785	61
5	2008		7	2	9		2	7		6	3	9	1,730	65
	2009		4	1	5	*	1	5	*	1	4	5	1,386	46
	2007		5	4	8	*	*	8	*	4	5	9	3,012	56
6	2008		8	0	6	*	*	4		5	3	8	2,460	51
	2009		4	1	4	*	0	2		2	3	5	2,552	47
	2007		31	16	44	4	11	29	*	25	22	47	10,704	234
3-6	2008		31	14	42	6	9	29	*	31	14	45	9,168	240
	2009		17	8	23	3	6	19	*	12	13	25	8,915	188

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-6				
2007	0	160	74	212	14	28	111	2	97	137	234	42,324
2008	0	147	92	218	9	41	112	1	118	122	240	42,082
2009	0	88	100	168	9	50	96	2	94	94	188	43,106

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2007	79.1	84.3	82.1	76.3	85.2	65.3
3	2008	80.7	82.1	85.3	79.4	81.7	68.7
	2009	81.1	89.9	92.8	90.2	91.8	72.8
	2007	83.1	85.7	71.2	75.5	71.1	72.3
4	2008	81.2	77.9	79.8	76.6	66.2	70.2
	2009	87.8	86.9	80.6	82.7	78.1	77.3
	2007	82.1	69.6	81.5	67.2	77.5	75.6
5	2008	84.8	75.8	83.1	78.0	76.5	76.0
	2009	85.8	83.5	85.7	73.6	80.4	84.0
	2007	82.9	79.4	85.7	65.4	84.5	73.6
6	2008	78.4	83.7	81.5	71.0	79.4	74.1
	2009	80.6	86.5	87.2	78.3	79.8	73.8
	2007	81.6	79.6	80.4	71.1	80.0	71.5
3-6	2008	81.4	79.7	82.6	76.6	76.1	72.1
	2009	83.9	86.7	86.5	81.2	82.4	77.0

THOMAS TOLBERT (277)

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		27.9	21.7	26.2	*	8.3	3.7	*	18.2	33.3	25.8	19.2	66
3	2008		21.4	44.0	27.4	*	50.0	28.6		31.6	27.6	29.9	26.3	67
	2009		22.7	58.3	39.5	*	36.4	21.7	*	43.5	39.1	41.3	29.0	46
	2007		15.2	22.2	16.7	*	*	5.3		0.0	28.1	17.6	22.6	51
4	2008		24.1	28.6	28.3	*	15.4	15.4	*	30.3	20.8	26.3	23.8	57
	2009		28.0	50.0	37.2	*	44.4	33.3		52.0	25.0	38.8	31.6	49
	2007		25.6	5.6	20.0	*	0.0	12.5		20.0	19.4	19.7	29.3	61
5	2008		31.7	20.8	27.6		14.3	12.5		13.0	35.7	27.7	31.9	65
	2009		23.5	44.8	35.7	*	14.3	18.5	*	32.0	42.9	37.0	38.4	46
	2007		43.9	13.3	35.4	*	*	24.2	*	26.7	39.0	35.7	26.5	56
6	2008		25.7	60.0	37.8	*	*	25.9		29.2	44.4	37.3	31.0	51
	2009		29.2	47.8	37.5	*	42.9	27.3		38.1	38.5	38.3	29.0	47
	2007		28.8	16.2	24.5	14.3	3.6	12.6	*	16.5	30.7	24.8	24.3	234
3-6	2008		25.9	35.9	29.8	33.3	34.1	21.4	*	27.1	32.8	30.0	28.1	240
	2009		26.1	50.0	37.5	22.2	34.0	25.0	*	41.5	36.2	38.8	32.0	188

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-6				
2007	0	160	74	212	14	28	111	2	97	137	234	42,324
2008	0	147	92	218	9	41	112	1	118	122	240	42,082
2009	0	88	100	168	9	50	96	2	94	94	188	43,106

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		93.3	84.2	89.1		*	78.9		89.5	90.0	89.8	86.5	49
4	2008		92.6	86.2	88.5		84.6	76.0	*	84.4	95.8	89.3	87.4	56
	2009	·	95.8	79.2	85.7	*	72.2	75.0		91.7	83.3	87.5	87.4	48

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2007	0	30	19	46	0	5	19	0	19	30	49	10,408
2008	0	27	29	52	0	13	25	1	32	24	56	10,658
2009	0	24	24	42	3	18	24	0	24	24	48	10,032

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		2	3	5		*	4		2	3	5	1,408	49
4	2008		2	4	6		2	6	*	5	1	6	1,348	56
	2009		1	5	6	*	5	6		2	4	6	1,264	48

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2007	0	30	19	46	0	5	19	0	19	30	49	10,408
2008	0	27	29	52	0	13	25	1	32	24	56	10,658
2009	0	24	24	42	3	18	24	0	24	24	48	10,032

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2007	2.1	86.2	83.7	90.1	92.6
4	2008	2.1	81.7	81.5	84.2	83.7
	2009	1.9	84.9	88.8	88.0	95.1

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		10.0	5.3	8.7		*	0.0		0.0	13.3	8.2	18.2	49
4	2008		18.5	13.8	15.4		7.7	4.0	*	15.6	16.7	16.1	21.4	56
	2009		8.3	4.2	7.1	*	0.0	0.0		4.2	8.3	6.3	21.0	48

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2007	0	30	19	46	0	5	19	0	19	30	49	10,408
2008	0	27	29	52	0	13	25	1	32	24	56	10,658
2009	0	24	24	42	3	18	24	0	24	24	48	10,032

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		73.2	38.9	60.4	*	12.5	46.9		67.9	58.1	62.7	62.3	59
5	2008		77.5	78.3	75.0		62.5	56.0		57.1	88.1	77.8	71.9	63
	2009		83.3	93.1	88.4	*	92.9	85.7	*	92.3	85.7	89.4	75.9	47

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2007	0	41	18	53	3	8	32	0	28	31	59	10,296
2008	0	40	23	56	0	8	25	0	21	42	63	10,686
2009	0	18	29	43	4	14	28	1	26	21	47	10,550

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 55 percent, the 2010 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		11	11	21	*	7	17		9	13	22	3,881	59
5	2008		9	5	14		3	11		9	5	14	3,005	63
	2009		3	2	5	*	1	4	*	2	3	5	2,542	47

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 5				
2007	0	41	18	53	3	8	32	0	28	31	59	10,296
2008	0	40	23	56	0	8	25	0	21	42	63	10,686
2009	0	18	29	43	4	14	28	1	26	21	47	10,550

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Life Sciences	Physical Sciences	Earth Sciences
	2007	80.1	77.4	82.7	62.5
5	2008	86.2	86.1	86.4	70.9
	2009	88.9	93.9	87.7	71.4

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		24.4	5.6	18.9	*	0.0	3.1		17.9	19.4	18.6	18.0	59
5	2008		30.0	26.1	28.6		12.5	8.0		19.0	33.3	28.6	24.8	63
	2009		33.3	27.6	27.9	*	21.4	25.0	*	34.6	23.8	29.8	29.1	47

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2007	0	41	18	53	3	8	32	0	28	31	59	10,296
2008	0	40	23	56	0	8	25	0	21	42	63	10,686
2009	0	18	29	43	4	14	28	1	26	21	47	10,550

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			*	*		*	*	*	*		*	82.9	2
3	2008												85.4	0
	2009			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	*	*	*	100.0	89.4	10
	2007			*	*		*	*	*	*		*		2
ALL	2008													0
	2009			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	*	*	*	100.0		10

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN GRAD	ES ALL				
2007	0	0	2	2	0	2	2	1	2	0	2	
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2009	0	0	10	9	0	10	10	1	5	5	10	

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			*	*		*	*	*	*		*	219	2
3	2008												239	0
	2009			0	0		0	0	*	*	*	0	514	10
	2007			*	*		*	*	*	*		*		2
ALL	2008	·												0
	2009	·		0	0		0	0	*	*	*	0		10

2007	0	0	2	2	0	2	2	1	2	0	2	
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2009	0	0	10	9	0	10	10	1	5	5	10	

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2007	*	*	*	*
3	2008				
	2009	92.0	92.9	85.0	93.8
	2007	*	*	*	*
ALL	2008				
	2009	92.0	92.9	85.0	93.8

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			*	*		*	*	*	*		*	14.8	2
3	2008												17.2	0
	2009			60.0	55.6		60.0	60.0	*	*	*	60.0	30.6	10
	2007			*	*		*	*	*	*		*		2
ALL	2008	·		·										0
	2009	·		60.0	55.6		60.0	60.0	*	*	*	60.0		10

		NUMBER TESTED IN ALL GRADES 0 2 2 0 2 2 1 2 0 2 — 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 —										
2007	0	0	2	2	0	2	2	1	2	0	2	
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2009	0	0	10	9	0	10	10	1	5	5	10	

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*			*					*	*	77.7	1
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	87.7	5
4	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	70.7	5
	2009		*		*	*		*		*	*	*	71.8	2
5	2008		*	*	25.0	25.0	*	*		25.0		25.0	73.3	8
	2009		*	*	85.7	85.7	*	83.3		100.0	*	85.7	80.3	7
6	2008		*		*	*		*		*		*	68.6	4
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	70.9	5
3-6	2008		64.3	*	53.3	61.1	*	55.6		58.8	*	61.1	72.3	18
	2009		91.7	85.7	89.5	89.5	83.3	93.3		93.3	*	89.5	77.1	19

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-6													
2008	0	14	4	15	18	3	9	0	17	1	18	2,463			
2009	0	12	7	19	19	6	15	0	15	4	19	2,493			

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*			*					*	*	116	1
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	60	5
4	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	181	5
	2009		*		*	*		*		*	*	*	192	2
5	2008		*	*	6	6	*	*		6		6	179	8
	2009		*	*	1	1	*	1		0	*	1	137	7
6	2008		*		*	*		*		*		*	206	4
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	182	5
					•									
3-6	2008		5	*	7	7	*	4		7	*	7	682	18
	2009		1	1	2	2	1	1		1	*	2	571	19

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-6													
2008	0	14	4	15	18	3	9	0	17	1	18	2,463			
2009	0	12	7	19	19	6	15	0	15	4	19	2,493			

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
3	2008	*	*	*	*
	2009	*	*	*	*
4	2008	*	*	*	*
	2009	*	*	*	*
5	2008	52.3	47.9	58.3	50.0
	2009	74.0	73.8	81.0	71.4
6	2008	*	*	*	*
	2009	*	*	*	*
3-6	2008	64.3	63.9	62.6	62.4
	2009	66.3	75.4	72.1	74.0

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*			*					*	*	10.8	1
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	16.2	5
4	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	9.7	5
	2009		*		*	*		*		*	*	*	8.9	2
5	2008		*	*	12.5	12.5	*	*		12.5		12.5	14.9	8
	2009		*	*	14.3	14.3	*	16.7		16.7	*	14.3	14.1	7
6	2008		*		*	*		*		*		*	7.3	4
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	7.5	5
3-6	2008		14.3	*	13.3	11.1	*	11.1		11.8	*	11.1	10.7	18
	2009		8.3	0.0	5.3	5.3	0.0	6.7		6.7	*	5.3	11.4	19

	NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-6														
2008	0	14	4	15	18	3	9	0	17	1	18	2,463			
2009	0	12	7	19	19	6	15	0	15	4	19	2,493			

THOMAS TOLBERT (277)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*			*					*	*	76.1	1
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	81.2	4
4	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	69.1	5
	2009		*		*	*		*			*	*	75.8	1
5	2008		*	*	87.5	87.5	*	*		87.5		87.5	64.0	8
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	80.2	5
6	2008		*		*	*		*		*		*	57.0	2
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	64.0	4
3-6	2008		83.3	*	85.7	87.5	*	87.5		86.7	*	87.5	66.2	16
	2009		87.5	83.3	85.7	85.7	83.3	81.8		90.0	*	85.7	75.2	14

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-6													
2008	0	12	4	14	16	3	8	0	15	1	16	2,335			
2009	0	8	6	14	14	6	11	0	10	4	14	2,313			

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*			*					*	*	129	1
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	85	4
4	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	173	5
	2009		*		*	*		*			*	*	157	1
5	2008		*	*	1	1	*	*		1		1	227	8
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	127	5
6	2008		*		*	*		*		*		*	260	2
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	205	4
3-6	2008		2	*	2	2	*	1		2	*	2	789	16
	2009		1	1	2	2	1	2		1	*	2	574	14

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-6													
2008	0	12	4	14	16	3	8	0	15	1	16	2,335			
2009	0	8	6	14	14	6	11	0	10	4	14	2,313			

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
3	2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	2008	62.5	66.7	60.4	75.0	58.3	62.5
	2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
6	2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
3-6	2008	63.9	64.4	63.1	67.8	53.3	58.2
	2009	59.6	61.2	52.9	55.2	68.1	59.3

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*			*					*	*	16.1	1
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	22.3	4
4	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	14.3	5
	2009		*		*	*		*			*	*	14.0	1
5	2008		*	*	25.0	25.0	*	*		25.0		25.0	14.0	8
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	17.2	5
6	2008		*		*	*		*		*		*	6.4	2
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	8.4	4
3-6	2008		33.3	*	28.6	25.0	*	25.0		26.7	*	25.0	12.6	16
	2009		12.5	16.7	14.3	14.3	16.7	9.1		20.0	*	14.3	15.1	14

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-6				
2008	0	12	4	14	16	3	8	0	15	1	16	2,335
2009	0	8	6	14	14	6	11	0	10	4	14	2,313

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the IOWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS

			READIN	IG TOTAL (**LANGUAGE	≣)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	50.0	48.1	46.9	54
K**	2008	*	69.8	68.2	57.6	44
	2009	*	23.3	20.0	41.9	35
	2007	47.4	68.8	60.8	51.4	51
1	2008	60.0	67.9	66.7	50.2	63
	2009	16.7	68.4	61.4	54.2	44
	2007	20.7	76.9	47.3	44.5	55
2	2008	44.4	80.8	62.3	50.2	53
	2009	27.0	66.7	42.6	47.9	61
	2007	31.3	72.4	53.8	47.6	106
1-2	2008	48.6	72.2	64.7	50.2	116
	2009	25.6	67.7	50.5	51.0	105

	2007	52	108	160	24,696	
Number Tested	2008	38	122	160	21,817	
103104	2009	48	92	140	21,148	

			MATHEMAT	ICS TOTAL	(**MATHM <i>A</i>	ATICS)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	73.9	48.1	56.0	44.3	75
K**	2008	62.5	48.9	53.6	44.9	69
	2009	50.0	46.7	48.1	52.8	52
	2007	30.0	58.1	47.1	48.8	51
1	2008	67.7	52.7	58.1	55.5	86
	2009	16.7	47.4	43.2	50.4	44
	2007	46.9	70.4	57.6	55.6	59
2	2008	59.0	78.6	67.2	57.5	67
	2009	45.9	66.7	54.1	53.2	61
	2007	50.7	56.4	54.1	49.1	185
K-2	2008	62.8	57.0	59.5	52.7	222
	2009	44.6	52.2	49.0	52.3	157

	2007	75	110	185	34,441	
Number Tested	2008	94	128	222	41,070	
100104	2009	65	92	157	30,563	

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		52.5	35.7	47.1	*	*	*		57.7	39.3	48.1	46.9	54
K**	2008		62.2	100.0	65.9	*		*		72.2	65.4	68.2	57.6	44
	2009		25.0	10.0	21.2	*	*	*		23.8	14.3	20.0	41.9	35
	2007		54.1	78.6	58.3	*	*	47.4		59.1	62.1	60.8	51.4	51
1	2008		70.2	56.3	66.1	*	57.1	60.0		70.6	62.1	66.7	50.2	63
	2009	*	56.7	66.7	61.0	*		16.7		50.0	67.9	61.4	54.2	44
	2007		48.6	45.0	46.0	*	25.0	20.7		38.5	55.2	47.3	44.5	55
2	2008		62.2	62.5	62.7	*	*	44.4		53.8	70.4	62.3	50.2	53
	2009		42.2	43.8	44.2	*	42.9	27.0		45.9	37.5	42.6	47.9	61
	2007		51.4	58.8	52.0	16.7	26.7	31.3		47.9	58.6	53.8	47.6	106
1-2	2008		66.7	59.4	64.5	16.7	50.0	48.6		63.3	66.1	64.7	50.2	116
	2009	*	48.0	53.6	51.6	*	42.9	25.6		47.2	53.8	50.5	51.0	105

	2007	0	112	48	149	7	19	52	0	74	86	160	24,696
Number Tested	2008	0	121	38	151	8	10	38	0	78	82	160	21,817
Toolog	2009	1	99	38	126	7	9	48	0	74	66	140	21,148

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		8	1	7	*	*	*		8	1	9	1,245	54
K**	2008		7	4	11	*		*		3	8	11	1,687	44
	2009		1	0	1	*	*	*		1	0	1	771	35
	2007		6	9	14	*	*	3		5	10	15	1,458	51
1	2008		12	4	14	*	2	2		12	4	16	1,555	63
	2009	*	5	4	11	*		0		4	7	11	1,659	44
	2007		8	3	8	*	1	2		5	6	11	896	55
2	2008		5	6	11	*	*	2		3	8	11	1,065	53
	2009		1	0	0	*	0	0		1	0	1	1,027	61
	2007		14	12	22	0	1	5		10	16	26	2,355	106
1-2	2008		17	10	25	0	2	4		15	12	27	2,620	116
	2009	*	6	4	11	*	0	0		5	7	12	2,688	105

	2007	0	112	48	149	7	19	52	0	74	86	160	24,696
Number Tested	2008	0	121	38	151	8	10	38	0	78	82	160	21,817
103104	2009	1	99	38	126	7	9	48	0	74	66	140	21,148

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		46.2	66.7	55.6	*	76.9	73.9	*	61.0	50.0	56.0	44.3	75
K**	2008		37.8	71.0	54.5	*	68.0	62.5	*	50.0	56.8	53.6	44.9	69
	2009		37.5	59.3	48.0	*	57.9	50.0		50.0	45.8	48.1	52.8	52
	2007		38.9	66.7	47.9	*	*	30.0		50.0	44.8	47.1	48.8	51
1	2008		52.1	65.8	57.3	*	71.4	67.7	*	63.3	51.4	58.1	55.5	86
	2009	*	30.0	66.7	46.3	*		16.7		43.8	42.9	43.2	50.4	44
	2007		54.3	62.5	57.4	*	50.0	46.9		57.1	58.1	57.6	55.6	59
2	2008		68.4	65.5	66.2	*	50.0	59.0	*	67.6	66.7	67.2	57.5	67
	2009		53.3	56.3	55.8	*	71.4	45.9		56.8	50.0	54.1	53.2	61
	2007		46.4	65.3	54.0	33.3	63.0	50.7	*	57.1	51.1	54.1	49.1	185
K-2	2008		52.8	67.3	59.2	37.5	65.2	62.8	*	60.9	57.9	59.5	52.7	222
	2009	*	42.4	60.0	50.3	28.6	61.5	44.6		51.9	46.1	49.0	52.3	157

Number Tested	2007	0	110	75	174	9	46	75	1	91	94	185	34,441
	2008	0	123	98	213	8	69	94	3	115	107	222	41,070
	2009	1	99	55	143	7	26	65	0	81	76	157	30,563

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		9	14	22	*	11	9	*	13	10	23	2,583	75
K**	2008		7	15	22	*	10	9	*	10	13	23	2,788	69
	2009		2	1	3	*	0	0		2	1	3	2,455	52
	2007		3	7	10	*	*	4		3	7	10	1,769	51
1	2008		10	6	14	*	4	4	*	13	3	16	2,800	86
	2009	*	4	3	9	*		0		2	7	9	1,577	44
	2007		11	11	20	*	6	8		10	12	22	2,098	59
2	2008		13	14	26	*	4	9	*	15	12	27	2,912	67
	2009		7	2	6	*	1	2		7	2	9	1,686	61
	2007		23	32	52	1	18	21	*	26	29	55	6,450	185
K-2	2008		30	35	62	2	18	22	*	38	28	66	8,500	222
	2009	*	13	6	18	1	1	2		11	10	21	5,718	157

Number Tested	2007	0	110	75	174	9	46	75	1	91	94	185	34,441
	2008	0	123	98	213	8	69	94	3	115	107	222	41,070
	2009	1	99	55	143	7	26	65	0	81	76	157	30,563

Logramos Read (1) **Logramos: READING TOTAL**

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			90.0	90.0		90.0	87.5		83.3	*	90.0	84.7	10
K	2008			84.0	84.0		84.0	82.6	*	85.7	81.8	84.0	87.0	25
	2009			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	88.6	17
	2007			81.3	81.3	*	80.0	80.0	*	*	81.8	81.3	84.5	16
1	2008			95.2	95.2		100.0	100.0	*	100.0	87.5	95.2	88.0	21
	2009			95.5	95.2		95.5	95.5	*	100.0	90.0	95.5	88.6	22
	2007			*	*		*	*		*	*	*	89.9	4
2	2008			84.6	84.6		84.6	90.9	*	71.4	100.0	84.6	93.4	13
	2009			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	94.0	21
	2007			83.3	83.3	*	82.8	84.6	*	84.6	82.4	83.3	85.6	30
K-2	2008			88.1	88.1		89.7	90.6	*	88.2	88.0	88.1	89.3	59
	2009			98.3	98.3		98.3	98.3	*	100.0	96.2	98.3	90.4	60
	2007	0	0	30	30	1	29	26	1	13	17	30	14,889	
Number Tested	2008	0	0	59	59	0	58	53	3	34	25	59	18,257	
i esteu														

Logramos Read (2) **Logramos: READING TOTAL**

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			1	1		1	1		1	*	1	2,779	10
K	2008			8	8		8	7	*	5	3	8	3,280	25
	2009			16	16		16	16		7	9	16	3,525	17
	2007			7	7	*	7	7	*	*	5	7	1,782	16
1	2008			10	10		10	9	*	7	3	10	2,412	21
	2009			9	8		9	9	*	4	5	9	2,523	22
	2007			*	*		*	*		*	*	*	1,196	4
2	2008			7	7		7	6	*	3	4	7	2,596	13
	2009			12	12		12	12	*	8	4	12	2,909	21
	2007			9	9	*	9	9	*	4	5	9	5,757	30
K-2	2008			25	25		25	22	*	15	10	25	8,288	59
	2009			37	36		37	37	*	19	18	37	8,957	60
	2007	0	0	30	30	1	29	26	1	13	17	30	14,889	
Number Tested	2008	0	0	59	59	0	58	53	3	34	25	59	18,257	

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1 in 2008		Level 2	in 2008	Level 3	in 2008	Levels 1-3 in 2008		
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2009							
4	2	50.0	2	50.0	6	0.0	10	20.0	
5	1	0.0	3	33.3	0	-	4	25.0	
6	3	0.0	1	0.0	0	-	4	0.0	
ALL	6	16.7	6	33.3	6	0.0	18	16.7	

PERFORMANCE IN 2009

Composite Grade Domain: Listening Speaking Writing Reading 2009 Level (N Rated) Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % 55.0 15 75.0 5 25.0 5 25.0 Beginning 45.0 11 KN 7 5 25.0 5 25.0 13 Intermediate 35.0 13 65.0 65.0 Advanced 20.0 4 20.0 0 0.0 2 10.0 2 10.0 (20)Advanced High 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 13.0 8 30.4 7 30.4 Beginning 21.7 34.8 1 Intermediate 14 60.9 15 60.9 15 65.2 15 65.2 65.2 14 Advanced 6 26.1 3 13.0 1 4.3 4.3 4.3 (23)Advanced High 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 2 2 Beginning 0.0 4 13.8 18 62.1 6.9 6.9 2 6 5 17.2 9 Intermediate 6.9 20.7 31.0 31.0 2 Advanced 12 41.4 10 34.5 6.9 14 48.3 14 48.3 (29)Advanced High 51.7 9 31.0 4 13.8 13.8 4 13.8 Beginning 2 13.3 6 40.0 6 40.0 3 20.0 3 20.0 3 Intermediate 8 53.3 4 26.7 5 33.3 6.7 1 6.7 33.3 5 33.3 26.7 40.0 53.3 Advanced 4 8 (15)0 3 Advanced High 0.0 0 0.0 33.3 20.0 0.0 3 2 Beginning 4.8 4.8 14.3 9.5 9.5 4 Intermediate 2 9.5 12 57.1 12 57.1 19.0 4 19.0 5 3 14.3 2 2 9.5 Advanced 15 71.4 23.8 9.5 (21)14.3 3 14.3 14.3 13 61.9 13 61.9 Advanced High

PROGRESSION FROM 2008 TO 2009

Number Rated Both Years			2008	Level	
N (%) Progressed	2009 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High
	Beginning		-	-	
	Intermediate	ı		_	
_	Advanced	ı	-	-	-
	Advanced High	-	-	-	-
	Beginning		7	7	
21	Intermediate	14		0	
14 (66.7%)	Advanced	0	0	()
	Advanced High	0	0	()
	Beginning		2	2	
29	Intermediate	8		1	
25 (86.2%)	Advanced	12	1	1	I
	Advanced High	2	0	2	2
	Beginning			3	
15	Intermediate	1		0	
8 (53.3%)	Advanced	0	4	4	1
	Advanced High	0	0	3	3
	Beginning			2	
21	Intermediate	1		3	
14 (66.7%)	Advanced	0	0	2	2
	Advanced High	0	2	1	1

PERFORMANCE IN 2009

Grade	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Com	posite
(N Rated)	2009 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	1	5.9	1	5.9	2	11.8	1	5.9	1	5.9
5	Intermediate	2	11.8	2	11.8	3	17.6	2	11.8	2	11.8
(17)	Advanced	8	47.1	8	47.1	2	11.8	1	5.9	2	11.8
	Advanced High	6	35.3	6	35.3	10	58.8	13	76.5	12	70.6
	Beginning	4	33.3	4	33.3	3	25.0	1	8.3	1	8.3
6	Intermediate	5	41.7	3	25.0	9	75.0	2	16.7	2	16.7
(12)	Advanced	3	25.0	5	41.7	0	0.0	1	8.3	3	25.0
	Advanced High	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	8	66.7	6	50.0
	Beginning	20	14.6	32	23.4	55	40.1	21	15.3	21	15.3
ALL	Intermediate	40	29.2	47	34.3	53	38.7	46	33.6	46	33.6
(137)	Advanced	53	38.7	40	29.2	12	8.8	27	19.7	32	23.4
	Advanced High	24	17.5	18	13.1	17	12.4	43	31.4	38	27.7

PROGRESSION FROM 2008 TO 2009

Number Rated Both Years			2008	Level		
N (%) Progressed	2009 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High	
	Beginning		,			
17	Intermediate	2		0		
16 (94.1%)	Advanced	0	()		
	Advanced High	0	1	1	1	
	Beginning		,			
10	Intermediate	1		1		
7 (70.0%)	Advanced	0	1	1	l	
	Advanced High	0	0	5	5	
	Beginning		1	6		
114	Intermediate	28		5		
85 (74.6%)	Advanced	12	8	8		
	Advanced High	2	3	3	2	

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2008 to 2009.