Campus Data Packet

for 2009 - 10 Plans



NATHAN ADAMS

School Number 233

The information in this packet is based on data from the 2008-09 school year.

This packet contains corrected statistics for norm-referenced assessments (ITBS and Logramos), including the SCE Compliance report. PSAT reports now contain the average score for all students in a grade.



2009-10 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2 ContentsTable of Contents	3 Notes Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
5 SummarySummary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6 Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7 Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8 Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
9 Teachers Teacher Statistics	
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	
10 TAKS Read (1) TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	11 TAKS Read (2) TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
12 TAKS Read (3) TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	13 TAKS Read (4) TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
14 TAKS Math (1)TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	15 TAKS Math (2)TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
16 TAKS Math (3)TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	17 TAKS Math (4)TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
18 TAKS Write (1)TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	19 TAKS Write (2) TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
20 TAKS Write (3) TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	21 TAKS Write (4) TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
22 TAKS Science (1)TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	23 TAKS Science (2) TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
24 TAKS Science (3)TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	25 TAKS Science (4) TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
26 SPTAKS Read (1) Spanish TAKS Reading: % Meeting Standard	27 SPTAKS Read (2) Spanish TAKS Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
28 SPTAKS Read (3) Spanish TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	29 SPTAKS Read (4) Spanish TAKS Reading: % Commended
ITBS/ITED/LOGRAMOS	
30 Compliance SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	31 ITBS Read (1)ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
32 ITBS Read (2)ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	33 ITBS Math (1) ITBS Math Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
34 ITBS Math (2) ITBS Math Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	35 Logramos Read (1) Logramos Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
36 Logramos Read (2) Logramos Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	
MEASURES OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
37 WMLSWoodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	38 TELPAS TX English Language Proficiency Assessment System

2009-10 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2008-09. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2007-08 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2007-08 and 2008-09.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

TAKS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- TAKS statistics include both TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated results.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2010, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading results for all three years are for the Language Total subtest; all other grades' results reflect Reading Total performance. (The Reading Total summary is not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergarteners in 2009.) All Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The number tested includes kindergarteners.
- Numbers tested in READING for 2007 may not match those reported in campus data packets published in the prior years. Previous totals did not consistently include students in Kindergarten, though documentation included with the reports indicated otherwise.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading and mathematics results for all three years are for the Language subtest and Mathematics subtest. All other grades' results reflect Reading Total or Mathematics Total performance. (The Reading and Mathematics Total summaries are not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergartners.) Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The "number tested" statistics include kindergartners.

TELPAS

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2008 to 2009, the TEA did not report the 2008 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2009, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2008.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
PK	46
KN	83
1	93
2	78
3	68
4	53
5	59
ALL	480

STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stud	lents	Teachers				
Ethnicity	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
African American	86	17.9	6	18.8			
American Indian	1	0.2	*	*			
Asian	12	2.5	*	*			
Hispanic	348	72.5	7	21.9			
White	33	6.9	17	53.1			
Other	**	**	2	6.3			

^{*}Included in category "Other."

STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percent
Economically disadvantaged students	386	80.4
Limited English proficient students	254	52.9
Special education students	22	4.6

^{**}All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

		African American		America	American Indian		Asian		Hispanic		White	
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2007	39	5	12.8	0	0.0	2	5.1	28	71.8	4	10.3
PK	2008	45	11	24.4	0	0.0	1	2.2	29	64.4	4	8.9
	2009	46	14	30.4	0	0.0	2	4.3	28	60.9	2	4.3
	2007	84	15	17.9	0	0.0	2	2.4	53	63.1	14	16.7
KN	2008	86	11	12.8	0	0.0	1	1.2	69	80.2	5	5.8
	2009	83	15	18.1	0	0.0	2	2.4	60	72.3	6	7.2
	2007	74	12	16.2	0	0.0	1	1.4	51	68.9	10	13.5
1	2008	84	10	11.9	0	0.0	1	1.2	65	77.4	8	9.5
	2009	93	16	17.2	0	0.0	1	1.1	71	76.3	5	5.4
	2007	74	11	14.9	1	1.4	4	5.4	47	63.5	11	14.9
2	2008	69	11	15.9	0	0.0	1	1.4	51	73.9	6	8.7
	2009	78	8	10.3	0	0.0	2	2.6	62	79.5	6	7.7
	2007	61	8	13.1	2	3.3	1	1.6	38	62.3	12	19.7
3	2008	53	10	18.9	0	0.0	3	5.7	37	69.8	3	5.7
	2009	68	13	19.1	0	0.0	1	1.5	49	72.1	5	7.4
	2007	77	14	18.2	0	0.0	2	2.6	49	63.6	12	15.6
4	2008	71	16	22.5	1	1.4	1	1.4	42	59.2	11	15.5
	2009	53	9	17.0	0	0.0	3	5.7	38	71.7	3	5.7
	2007	53	8	15.1	0	0.0	3	5.7	37	69.8	5	9.4
5	2008	66	11	16.7	0	0.0	2	3.0	44	66.7	9	13.6
	2009	59	11	18.6	1	1.7	1	1.7	40	67.8	6	10.2
	2007	462	73	15.8	3	0.6	15	3.2	303	65.6	68	14.7
EC-5	2008	474	80	16.9	1	0.2	10	2.1	337	71.1	46	9.7
	2009	480	86	17.9	1	0.2	12	2.5	348	72.5	33	6.9

			Econor Disadva	mically antaged	LE	ĒP.	Special E	Education	TAG		New (to Dallas ISD)		Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2007	39	38	97.4	24	61.5	3	7.7	0	0.0	39	100.0	0.0
PK	2008	45	43	95.6	23	51.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	45	100.0	0.0
	2009	46	43	93.5	22	47.8	5	10.9	0	0.0	46	100.0	0.0
	2007	84	60	71.4	45	53.6	4	4.8	3	3.6	55	65.5	7.1
KN	2008	86	66	76.7	53	61.6	3	3.5	4	4.7	42	48.8	4.7
	2009	83	73	88.0	49	59.0	5	6.0	2	2.4	39	47.0	4.8
	2007	74	54	73.0	43	58.1	3	4.1	4	5.4	7	9.5	9.5
1	2008	84	62	73.8	49	58.3	5	6.0	8	9.5	7	8.3	10.7
	2009	93	70	75.3	53	57.0	2	2.2	7	7.5	8	8.6	7.5
	2007	74	48	64.9	39	52.7	4	5.4	10	13.5	14	18.9	1.4
2	2008	69	51	73.9	45	65.2	4	5.8	4	5.8	11	15.9	7.2
	2009	78	63	80.8	47	60.3	4	5.1	4	5.1	8	10.3	7.7
	2007	61	36	59.0	20	32.8	2	3.3	15	24.6	8	13.1	0.0
3	2008	53	35	66.0	29	54.7	2	3.8	13	24.5	4	7.5	7.5
	2009	68	58	85.3	41	60.3	3	4.4	4	5.9	10	14.7	2.9
	2007	77	48	62.3	22	28.6	5	6.5	10	13.0	9	11.7	0.0
4	2008	71	46	64.8	12	16.9	9	12.7	14	19.7	9	12.7	4.2
	2009	53	36	67.9	30	56.6	1	1.9	15	28.3	6	11.3	0.0
	2007	53	41	77.4	18	34.0	9	17.0	8	15.1	10	18.9	1.9
5	2008	66	46	69.7	15	22.7	5	7.6	11	16.7	3	4.5	0.0
	2009	59	43	72.9	12	20.3	2	3.4	15	25.4	3	5.1	0.0
	2007	462	325	70.3	211	45.7	30	6.5	50	10.8	142	30.7	3.2
EC-5	2008	474	349	73.6	226	47.7	28	5.9	54	11.4	121	25.5	5.3
	2009	480	386	80.4	254	52.9	22	4.6	47	9.8	120	25.0	4.0

Grade	Year	Averag Member	e Daily rship (N)	Α	verage Daily	Attendance		Yearly Transactions			Continuously Enrolled (N)		Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (N)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus	Dallas ISD
	2007	20.0	4,117.2	18.9	94.5	3,933.6	95.5	50	100.0	100.0	38	7,436	100.0	100.0
PK	2008	21.5	4,133.2	20.5	95.1	3,942.7	95.4	50	100.0	100.0	39	7,427	100.0	100.0
	2009	22.5	4,167.8	21.6	96.2	3,975.6	95.4	47	100.0	100.0	45	7,332	100.0	100.0
	2007	85.4	13,945.0	82.0	96.1	13,385.6	96.0	10	11.7	24.3	79	12,035	92.5	86.3
KN	2008	86.3	13,568.1	83.5	96.8	13,004.4	95.8	12	13.9	23.5	78	11,618	90.4	85.6
	2009	82.9	13,515.0	79.3	95.6	12,939.1	95.7	12	14.5	22.5	73	11,501	88.0	85.1
	2007	75.7	14,353.8	73.0	96.4	13,851.4	96.5	10	13.2	23.2	69	12,437	91.2	86.6
1	2008	84.8	14,626.9	82.1	96.9	14,102.7	96.4	12	14.2	21.2	76	12,704	89.7	86.9
	2009	94.9	14,250.8	92.3	97.3	13,719.9	96.3	8	8.4	20.7	88	12,300	92.8	86.3
	2007	70.6	13,403.8	67.8	96.1	12,978.9	96.8	14	19.8	21.7	63	11,729	89.2	87.5
2	2008	68.3	13,708.6	66.7	97.6	13,269.8	96.8	14	20.5	19.7	59	12,043	86.4	87.9
	2009	77.0	13,950.1	74.9	97.3	13,499.0	96.8	10	13.0	18.6	72	12,231	93.5	87.7
	2007	61.3	12,998.4	60.1	98.0	12,633.3	97.2	11	17.9	21.1	55	11,445	89.7	88.0
3	2008	54.6	12,806.9	53.0	97.1	12,425.5	97.0	5	9.2	19.1	51	11,408	93.4	89.1
	2009	66.4	13,095.5	64.9	97.7	12,710.6	97.1	16	24.1	18.3	57	11,634	85.8	88.8
	2007	75.0	12,104.9	73.1	97.5	11,768.3	97.2	12	16.0	19.7	69	10,683	92.0	88.3
4	2008	69.9	12,329.8	67.3	96.4	11,960.3	97.0	10	14.3	19.7	63	10,924	90.2	88.6
	2009	52.7	12,156.4	51.3	97.2	11,789.9	97.0	7	13.3	18.1	49	10,731	92.9	88.3
	2007	55.0	11,757.0	53.5	97.3	11,426.8	97.2	5	9.1	20.9	50	10,362	90.9	88.1
5	2008	67.2	11,874.2	65.5	97.5	11,539.6	97.2	7	10.4	18.8	61	10,608	90.7	89.3
	2009	59.2	11,903.5	57.6	97.4	11,552.9	97.1	6	10.1	17.5	55	10,563	93.0	88.7
	2007	443.0	83,122.6	428.4	96.7	80,387.4	96.7	112	25.3	33.3	423	76,416	95.5	91.9
EC-5	2008	452.5	83,438.7	438.7	96.9	80,606.0	96.6	110	24.3	31.9	427	76,970	94.4	92.2
	2009	455.6	83,476.5	441.9	97.0	80,586.5	96.5	107	23.5	30.9	439	76,517	96.3	91.7

Teachers Teacher Statistics

DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS

Ethnicity	Number	Percent			
African American	6	18.8			
Hispanic	7	21.9			
White	17	53.1			
Other	2	6.3			

Gender	Number	Percent
Female	30	93.8
Male	2	6.3

TOTAL	2.0
TOTAL	32

AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES

2007	6.3
2008	7.5
2009	6.0

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	91.7	77.8	77.8	75.7	*	55.6	65.2		81.1	82.6	81.7	84.6	60
3	2008	*	100.0	97.1	96.8	*	96.0	96.3		95.8	100.0	97.9	86.2	48
	2009	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	87.3	39
	2007	90.9	53.8	80.4	73.3	*	63.2	65.7		78.1	77.5	77.8	69.7	72
4	2008	100.0	85.7	81.4	84.4	66.7	53.8	61.9		80.5	92.9	85.5	72.1	69
	2009	*	100.0	75.7	74.3		72.4	75.9		73.9	89.3	82.4	75.8	51
	2007	100.0	85.7	76.9	79.3	*	50.0	65.0		80.0	88.2	83.3	78.3	42
5	2008	100.0	100.0	95.0	95.3	*	90.9	96.2		92.6	100.0	96.7	82.9	61
	2009	100.0	90.9	94.9	95.2	*	81.8	83.3		91.7	100.0	94.9	82.9	59
	2007	93.1	69.0	78.7	75.7	100.0	57.8	65.4		79.8	81.3	80.5	77.6	174
3-5	2008	100.0	94.1	90.6	91.6	75.0	83.7	86.5		88.0	97.7	92.7	80.3	178
	2009	100.0	97.1	88.4	89.8	*	80.4	84.8		89.0	95.5	91.9	81.5	149

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	29	29	108	111	9	45	78	0	94	80	174	31,814
2008	21	34	117	119	8	49	74	0	92	86	178	31,840
2009	14	34	95	108	4	51	66	0	82	67	149	28,126

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	1	2	8	9	*	8	8		7	4	11	1,675	60
3	2008	*	0	1	1	*	1	1		1	0	1	1,443	48
	2009	*	0	0	0	*	0	0		0	0	0	960	39
	2007	1	6	9	12	*	7	12		7	9	16	3,189	72
4	2008	0	2	8	7	2	6	8		8	2	10	2,996	69
	2009	*	0	9	9		8	7		6	3	9	2,449	51
	2007	0	1	6	6	*	4	7		5	2	7	2,258	42
5	2008	0	0	2	2	*	1	1		2	0	2	1,827	61
	2009	0	1	2	2	*	2	3		3	0	3	1,793	59
	2007	2	9	23	27	0	19	27		19	15	34	7,122	174
3-5	2008	0	2	11	10	2	8	10		11	2	13	6,266	178
	2009	0	1	11	11	*	10	10		9	3	12	5,202	149

					NUMB	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	29	29	108	111	9	45	78	0	94	80	174	31,814
2008	21	34	117	119	8	49	74	0	92	86	178	31,840
2009	14	34	95	108	4	51	66	0	82	67	149	28,126

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2007	78.8	84.0	73.9	76.9
3	2008	88.9	89.9	90.3	83.9
	2009	79.5	84.6	84.2	86.2
	2007	83.9	81.6	77.0	71.8
4	2008	81.7	82.4	82.0	78.4
	2009	87.1	80.6	82.1	82.0
	2007	78.6	82.7	75.6	74.2
5	2008	82.5	85.7	80.1	79.8
	2009	85.3	85.4	89.6	80.2
	2007	80.8	82.7	75.6	74.1
3-5	2008	83.9	85.5	83.6	80.4
	2009	84.4	83.6	85.6	82.4

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	41.7	11.1	19.4	13.5	*	5.6	4.3		27.0	17.4	23.3	21.3	60
3	2008	*	44.4	50.0	41.9	*	40.0	37.0		50.0	41.7	45.8	21.5	48
	2009	*	21.4	26.3	29.0	*	18.2	10.5		34.8	12.5	25.6	34.1	39
	2007	36.4	7.7	21.7	15.6	*	10.5	11.4		21.9	22.5	22.2	16.6	72
4	2008	70.0	28.6	9.3	11.1	50.0	0.0	0.0		24.4	21.4	23.2	14.4	69
	2009	*	55.6	21.6	25.7		20.7	20.7		39.1	28.6	33.3	18.4	51
	2007	50.0	0.0	15.4	13.8	*	0.0	5.0		16.0	29.4	21.4	13.1	42
5	2008	50.0	0.0	17.5	18.6	*	18.2	7.7		33.3	11.8	21.3	16.5	61
	2009	71.4	36.4	28.2	28.6	*	9.1	5.6		38.9	30.4	35.6	18.5	59
	2007	41.4	6.9	19.4	14.4	0.0	6.7	7.7		22.3	22.5	22.4	17.1	174
3-5	2008	52.4	23.5	23.9	21.8	37.5	24.5	16.2		33.7	23.3	28.7	17.4	178
	2009	57.1	35.3	25.3	27.8	*	17.6	13.6		37.8	25.4	32.2	22.7	149

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	29	29	108	111	9	45	78	0	94	80	174	31,814
2008	21	34	117	119	8	49	74	0	92	86	178	31,840
2009	14	34	95	108	4	51	66	0	82	67	149	28,126

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	92.3	75.0	71.4	68.6	*	50.0	56.5		74.3	83.3	78.0	70.3	59
3	2008	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	76.6	49
	2009	*	92.3	91.5	92.9	*	97.4	93.6		94.6	89.7	92.4	77.3	66
	2007	90.9	100.0	87.0	88.9	*	78.9	82.9		93.8	87.5	90.3	75.7	72
4	2008	100.0	76.9	88.4	84.1	*	76.9	71.4		85.0	92.9	88.2	77.9	68
	2009	*	100.0	86.5	88.6		86.2	82.8		95.7	85.7	90.2	79.4	51
	2007	*	100.0	92.6	93.5	*	75.0	90.5		96.0	93.8	95.1	82.9	41
5	2008	100.0	100.0	92.7	93.3	*	91.7	88.9	*	92.9	97.1	95.2	84.0	62
	2009	100.0	100.0	97.4	97.6	*	100.0	94.4		97.1	100.0	98.3	87.0	58
	2007	93.1	92.6	83.3	83.8	87.5	66.7	77.2		87.0	87.5	87.2	76.2	172
3-5	2008	100.0	91.2	93.3	91.7	87.5	92.2	88.2	*	91.4	96.5	93.9	79.5	179
	2009	100.0	97.0	91.9	93.2	*	93.7	90.4		95.8	91.3	93.7	81.0	175

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	29	27	108	111	8	45	79	0	92	80	172	32,298
2008	20	34	119	121	8	51	76	1	93	86	179	32,696
2009	13	33	123	133	5	79	94	0	95	80	175	33,546

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 67% are shaded.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	1	2	10	11	*	9	10		9	4	13	3,303	59
3	2008	*	0	0	0	*	0	0		0	0	0	2,542	49
	2009	*	1	4	4	*	1	3		2	3	5	2,781	66
	2007	1	0	6	5	*	4	6		2	5	7	2,604	72
4	2008	0	3	5	7	*	3	6		6	2	8	2,436	68
	2009	*	0	5	4		4	5		1	4	5	2,196	51
	2007	*	0	2	2	*	2	2		1	1	2	1,785	41
5	2008	0	0	3	3	*	1	3	*	2	1	3	1,730	62
	2009	0	0	1	1	*	0	1		1	0	1	1,386	58
	2007	2	2	18	18	1	15	18		12	10	22	7,692	172
3-5	2008	0	3	8	10	1	4	9	*	8	3	11	6,708	179
	2009	0	1	10	9	*	5	9		4	7	11	6,363	175

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	29	27	108	111	8	45	79	0	92	80	172	32,298
2008	20	34	119	121	8	51	76	1	93	86	179	32,696
2009	13	33	123	133	5	79	94	0	95	80	175	33,546

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2007	82.4	84.7	88.1	78.0	85.6	65.7
3	2008	92.7	93.5	92.5	89.1	93.9	82.1
	2009	84.4	76.5	92.9	89.4	87.9	72.2
	2007	87.2	91.1	83.8	81.5	77.1	78.3
4	2008	86.9	86.1	77.7	78.9	80.5	77.9
		92.5	88.0	80.4	85.0	87.3	78.9
	2007	90.2	81.2	89.5	83.3	85.4	82.9
5	2008	88.3	83.6	92.9	82.3	88.3	80.6
	2009	90.4	87.2	92.4	85.5	89.2	84.1
	2007	86.3	86.5	86.7	80.7	82.0	75.1
3-5	2008	88.9	87.3	87.0	82.9	86.9	80.0
	2009	88.8	83.4	89.1	86.8	88.1	78.1

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	46.2	12.5	20.0	17.1	*	5.6	4.3		28.6	25.0	27.1	19.2	59
3	2008	*	60.0	60.0	56.3	*	53.8	50.0		64.0	62.5	63.3	26.3	49
	2009	*	38.5	23.4	30.4	*	17.9	17.0		37.8	20.7	30.3	29.0	66
	2007	63.6	15.4	37.0	35.6	*	26.3	20.0		50.0	30.0	38.9	22.6	72
4	2008	30.0	0.0	16.3	13.6	*	0.0	0.0		20.0	10.7	16.2	23.8	68
	2009	*	33.3	43.2	34.3		41.4	41.4		52.2	35.7	43.1	31.6	51
	2007	*	33.3	48.1	45.2	*	25.0	33.3		52.0	56.3	53.7	29.3	41
5	2008	62.5	27.3	48.8	48.9	*	41.7	29.6	*	53.6	44.1	48.4	31.9	62
	2009	66.7	54.5	51.3	47.6	*	27.3	27.8		54.3	56.5	55.2	38.4	58
	2007	58.6	18.5	34.3	32.4	25.0	17.8	19.0		42.4	33.8	38.4	23.6	172
3-5	2008	50.0	26.5	40.3	38.0	0.0	37.3	28.9	*	41.9	38.4	40.2	27.3	179
	2009	61.5	42.4	38.2	36.8	*	27.8	26.6		47.4	36.3	42.3	32.8	175

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	29	27	108	111	8	45	79	0	92	80	172	32,298
2008	20	34	119	121	8	51	76	1	93	86	179	32,696
2009	13	33	123	133	5	79	94	0	95	80	175	33,546

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	90.9	90.9	82.6	81.8	*	68.4	77.1		90.3	82.1	85.7	86.5	70
4	2008	100.0	85.7	92.7	93.0	*	84.6	90.5		87.2	100.0	92.5	87.4	67
	2009	*	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	87.4	50

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2007	11	11	46	44	1	19	35	0	31	39	70	10,408
2008	10	14	41	43	5	13	21	0	39	28	67	10,658
2009	2	9	36	34	0	28	28	0	23	27	50	10,032

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	1	1	8	8	*	6	8		3	7	10	1,408	70
4	2008	0	2	3	3	*	2	2		5	0	5	1,348	67
	2009	*	0	0	0		0	0		0	0	0	1,264	50

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2007	11	11	46	44	1	19	35	0	31	39	70	10,408
2008	10	14	41	43	5	13	21	0	39	28	67	10,658
2009	2	9	36	34	0	28	28	0	23	27	50	10,032

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2007	2.2	85.0	83.6	90.7	91.1
4	2008	2.4	84.7	88.2	87.7	91.2
	2009	2.7	96.5	88.3	90.5	93.8

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	54.5	9.1	23.9	22.7	*	0.0	8.6		32.3	25.6	28.6	18.2	70
4	2008	60.0	42.9	26.8	30.2	*	7.7	19.0		28.2	46.4	35.8	21.4	67
	2009	*	77.8	38.9	38.2		35.7	35.7		56.5	40.7	48.0	21.0	50

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2007	11	11	46	44	1	19	35	0	31	39	70	10,408
2008	10	14	41	43	5	13	21	0	39	28	67	10,658
2009	2	9	36	34	0	28	28	0	23	27	50	10,032

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	50.0	63.0	62.1	*	62.5	55.0		65.2	70.6	67.5	62.3	40
5	2008	100.0	72.7	72.5	71.4	*	54.5	53.8		70.4	82.4	77.0	71.9	61
	2009	100.0	90.9	89.7	90.2	*	72.7	72.2		88.6	95.7	91.4	75.9	58

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2007	4	6	27	29	3	8	20	0	23	17	40	10,296
2008	8	11	40	42	1	11	26	0	27	34	61	10,686
2009	6	11	39	41	2	11	18	0	35	23	58	10,550

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 55 percent, the 2010 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	3	10	11	*	3	9		8	5	13	3,881	40
5	2008	0	3	11	12	*	5	12		8	6	14	3,005	61
	2009	0	1	4	4	*	3	5		4	1	5	2,542	58

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 5				
2007	4	6	27	29	3	8	20	0	23	17	40	10,296
2008	8	11	40	42	1	11	26	0	27	34	61	10,686
2009	6	11	39	41	2	11	18	0	35	23	58	10,550

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Life Sciences	Physical Sciences	Earth Sciences
	2007	79.6	83.3	79.7	73.3
5	2008	87.1	88.3	83.2	66.3
	2009	91.9	92.3	88.5	77.6

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	0.0	14.8	10.3	*	0.0	0.0		17.4	17.6	17.5	18.0	40
5	2008	37.5	18.2	20.0	19.0	*	0.0	3.8		29.6	17.6	23.0	24.8	61
	2009	83.3	27.3	41.0	41.5	*	18.2	11.1		40.0	47.8	43.1	29.1	58

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5					
2007	4	4 6 27 29 3 8 20 0 23 17 40 10,296											
2008	8	11 40 42 1 11 26 0 27 34 61 10,686											
2009	6	6 11 39 41 2 11 18 0 35 23 58 10,550											

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												82.9	0
3	2008												85.4	0
	2009			96.3	96.3		96.3	96.3		100.0	91.7	96.3	89.4	27
	2007													0
ALL	2008												-	0
	2009	·		96.3	96.3		96.3	96.3		100.0	91.7	96.3		27

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN GRAD	ES ALL						
2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
2008	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 -												
2009	0	0	27	27	0	27	27	0	15	12	27			

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												219	0
3	2008												239	0
	2009			1	1		1	1		0	1	1	514	27
	2007													0
ALL	2008	·												0
	2009	·		1	1		1	1		0	1	1		27

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN GRAD	ES ALL						
2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
2008	0	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 -											
2009	0	0	27	27	0	27	27	0	15	12	27			

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2007				
3	2008				
	2009	81.0	91.0	89.5	87.0
	2007				
ALL	2008				
	2009	81.0	91.0	89.5	87.0

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												14.8	0
3	2008												17.2	0
	2009			37.0	37.0		37.0	37.0		26.7	50.0	37.0	30.6	27
	2007													0
ALL	2008													0
	2009			37.0	37.0		37.0	37.0		26.7	50.0	37.0		27

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN ALL G	RADES					
2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
2008	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0											
2009	0	0	27	27	0	27	27	0	15	12	27		

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the IOWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS

			READIN	IG TOTAL (**LANGUAGE	≣)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	62.9	56.4	46.9	39
K**	2008	50.0	71.0	67.6	57.6	37
	2009	25.0	45.8	40.6	41.9	32
	2007	69.2	71.4	70.6	51.4	34
1	2008	66.7	72.4	71.1	50.2	38
	2009	57.1	86.2	76.7	54.2	43
	2007	53.7	87.1	68.1	44.5	72
2	2008	52.9	77.8	65.7	50.2	35
	2009	46.2	85.0	69.7	47.9	33
	2007	57.4	80.8	68.9	47.6	106
1-2	2008	57.7	74.5	68.5	50.2	73
	2009	51.9	85.7	73.7	51.0	76

	2007	58	87	145	24,696	
Number Tested	2008	32	78	110	21,817	
103100	2009	35	73	108	21,148	

			MATHEMATI	CS TOTAL	(**MATHMA	TICS)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	31.1	56.8	42.7	44.3	82
K**	2008	18.0	62.9	36.5	44.9	85
	2009	40.4	80.0	52.4	52.8	82
	2007	31.4	72.7	43.8	48.8	73
1	2008	50.0	81.3	61.6	55.5	86
	2009	64.3	86.2	79.1	50.4	43
	2007	70.7	80.6	75.0	55.6	72
2	2008	83.0	85.7	83.8	57.5	68
	2009	76.9	95.0	87.9	53.2	33
	2007	43.1	68.9	53.3	49.1	227
K-2	2008	49.7	75.0	59.0	52.7	239
	2009	50.0	86.5	67.1	52.3	158

	2007	137	90	227	34,441	
Number Tested	2008	151	88	239	41,070	
100104	2009	84	74	158	30,563	

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	83.3	50.0	27.3	45.0	*	*	*		55.6	57.1	56.4	46.9	39
K**	2008	*	63.6	65.0	64.0	*	50.0	50.0		68.8	66.7	67.6	57.6	37
	2009	66.7	28.6	40.0	46.2	*	*	25.0		33.3	43.5	40.6	41.9	32
	2007	80.0	75.0	54.5	65.0	*	*	69.2		65.2	81.8	70.6	51.4	34
1	2008	88.9	80.0	55.6	69.2	*	*	66.7		62.5	77.3	71.1	50.2	38
	2009	*	81.3	71.4	70.8		*	57.1		76.0	77.8	76.7	54.2	43
	2007	90.9	90.9	56.5	52.2	*	51.4	53.7		67.5	68.8	68.1	44.5	72
2	2008	83.3	90.0	44.4	65.0	*	33.3	52.9		71.4	57.1	65.7	50.2	35
	2009	83.3	62.5	64.7	70.0	*	*	46.2		69.2	70.0	69.7	47.9	33
	2007	85.7	82.6	56.1	56.1	14.3	56.1	57.4		66.7	72.1	68.9	47.6	106
1-2	2008	86.7	85.0	50.0	67.4	62.5	33.3	57.7	_	67.6	69.4	68.5	50.2	73
	2009	81.8	75.0	68.4	70.5	*	*	51.9		73.7	73.7	73.7	51.0	76

	2007	33	37	68	86	10	42	58	0	81	64	145	24,696
Number Tested	2008	20	31	56	71	9	21	32	0	53	57	110	21,817
Tested	2009	17	38	48	70	6	7	35	0	47	61	108	21,148

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	7	2	0	2	*	*	*		4	7	11	1,245	39
K**	2008	*	3	7	7	*	2	2		5	7	12	1,687	37
	2009	1	1	0	2	*	*	0		0	2	2	771	32
	2007	4	2	3	4	*	*	3		7	3	10	1,458	34
1	2008	6	5	2	7	*	*	1		5	9	14	1,555	38
	2009	*	5	8	7		*	3		8	9	17	1,659	43
	2007	7	6	4	4	*	3	3		9	10	19	896	72
2	2008	2	2	2	1	*	1	1		4	3	7	1,065	35
	2009	2	1	2	1	*	*	0		2	4	6	1,027	33
	2007	11	8	7	8	0	4	6		16	13	29	2,355	106
1-2	2008	8	7	4	8	1	1	2		9	12	21	2,620	73
	2009	5	6	10	8	*	*	3		10	13	23	2,688	76

	2007	33	37	68	86	10	42	58	0	81	64	145	24,696
Number Tested	2008	20	31	56	71	9	21	32	0	53	57	110	21,817
Toolog	2009	17	38	48	70	6	7	35	0	47	61	108	21,148

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	75.0	57.1	29.6	33.9	*	27.3	31.1		44.4	41.3	42.7	44.3	82
K**	2008	*	72.7	27.9	32.3	*	17.3	18.0		38.6	34.1	36.5	44.9	85
	2009	50.0	64.3	48.3	50.0	*	36.7	40.4		44.4	58.7	52.4	52.8	82
	2007	70.0	75.0	30.0	38.9	*	23.3	31.4		47.7	37.9	43.8	48.8	73
1	2008	100.0	70.0	54.5	60.9	*	49.0	50.0		60.5	62.5	61.6	55.5	86
	2009	*	75.0	81.0	83.3		*	64.3		84.0	72.2	79.1	50.4	43
	2007	81.8	81.8	71.7	63.0	*	67.6	70.7		72.5	78.1	75.0	55.6	72
2	2008	100.0	70.0	84.3	80.0	*	86.7	83.0		86.8	80.0	83.8	57.5	68
	2009	100.0	87.5	88.2	95.0	*	*	76.9		92.3	85.0	87.9	53.2	33
	2007	75.8	70.3	42.7	44.0	18.2	37.9	43.1		55.0	51.4	53.3	49.1	227
K-2	2008	90.0	71.0	53.0	55.9	41.7	49.3	49.7		60.8	57.1	59.0	52.7	239
	2009	76.5	73.7	62.2	64.7	37.5	40.7	50.0		66.2	67.9	67.1	52.3	158

	2007	33	37	150	159	11	124	137	0	120	107	227	34,441
Number Tested	2008	20	31	185	179	12	146	151	0	120	119	239	41,070
restea -	2009	17	38	98	116	8	54	84	0	74	84	158	30,563

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	9	1	4	5	*	3	3		8	8	16	2,583	82
K**	2008	*	1	7	5	*	1	1		5	6	11	2,788	85
	2009	1	3	8	11	*	5	6		5	7	12	2,455	82
	2007	5	3	3	4	*	1	2		7	4	11	1,769	73
1	2008	4	2	9	11	*	6	7		7	9	16	2,800	86
	2009	*	2	11	8		*	6		8	9	17	1,577	43
	2007	8	5	20	16	*	15	16		18	18	36	2,098	72
2	2008	3	5	24	23	*	22	22		18	14	32	2,912	68
	2009	4	4	10	11	*	*	4		9	10	19	1,686	33
	2007	22	9	27	25	1	19	21		33	30	63	6,450	227
K-2	2008	9	8	40	39	1	29	30		30	29	59	8,500	239
	2009	8	9	29	30	2	7	16		22	26	48	5,718	158

	2007	33	37	150	159	11	124	137	0	120	107	227	34,441
Number Tested	2008	20	31	185	179	12	146	151	0	120	119	239	41,070
rested	2009	17	38	98	116	8	54	84	0	74	84	158	30,563

Logramos Read (1)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			93.0	92.3	*	93.0	95.1		88.9	96.0	93.0	84.7	43
K	2008			83.3	87.5	*	82.6	81.8		78.6	90.0	83.3	87.0	48
	2009			98.0	97.8	*	97.9	98.0		96.3	100.0	98.0	88.6	50
	2007			69.2	70.6		69.2	68.4		71.4	66.7	69.2	84.5	39
1	2008			87.5	86.8	*	89.1	88.9		81.8	92.3	87.5	88.0	48
	2009			95.8	95.5	*	95.8	95.7		92.0	100.0	95.8	88.6	48
	2007												89.9	0
2	2008			97.0	96.7		97.0	96.7		94.1	100.0	97.0	93.4	33
	2009			100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	94.0	44
	2007			81.7	82.2	*	81.7	82.3		79.5	83.7	81.7	85.6	82
K-2	2008	·		88.4	89.8	*	88.8	88.2		83.6	93.5	88.4	89.3	129
	2009			97.9	97.7	*	97.8	97.8		95.7	100.0	97.9	90.4	142
•	2007	0	0	82	73	1	82	79	0	39	43	82	14,889	

	2007	0	0	82	73	1	82	79	0	39	43	82	14,889
Number Tested	2008	0	0	129	108	3	125	119	0	67	62	129	18,257
restea -	2009	0	0	142	132	4	139	139	0	70	72	142	19,076

Logramos Read (2) **Logramos: READING TOTAL**

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			22	19	*	22	22		9	13	22	2,779	43
K	2008			26	22	*	25	25		13	13	26	3,280	48
	2009			38	35	*	35	37		18	20	38	3,525	50
	2007			6	6		6	6		4	2	6	1,782	39
1	2008			14	11	*	14	14		6	8	14	2,412	48
	2009			18	16	*	18	18		9	9	18	2,523	48
	2007												1,196	0
2	2008			11	10		11	10		6	5	11	2,596	33
	2009			20	18	*	20	19		10	10	20	2,909	44
	2007			28	25	*	28	28		13	15	28	5,757	82
K-2	2008			51	43	*	50	49		25	26	51	8,288	129
	2009			76	69	*	73	74		37	39	76	8,957	142
	2007	0	0	82	73	1	82	79	0	39	43	82	14,889	
Number	2008	0	0	129	108	3	125	119	0	67	62	129	18,257	

	2007	0	0	82	73	1	82	79	0	39	43	82	14,889
Number Tested	2008	0	0	129	108	3	125	119	0	67	62	129	18,257
103104	2009	0	0	142	132	4	139	139	0	70	72	142	19,076

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1 in 2008		Level 2	in 2008	Level 3	in 2008	Levels 1-3 in 2008		
Grade	Number Percentage Tested Improved Both Years in 2009		Number Percentage Tested Improved Both Years in 2009		Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2009	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2009	
4	0	-	1	0.0	5	0.0	6	0.0	
5	1	100.0	0	-	0	-	1	100.0	
ALL	1	100.0	1	0.0	5	0.0	7	14.3	

PERFORMANCE IN 2009

Composite Grade Domain: Listening Speaking Writing Reading 2009 Level (N Rated) Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % 43 84.3 49 96.1 49 96.1 49 96.1 Beginning 51.0 KN Intermediate 24 8 2 2 3.9 2 47.1 15.7 3.9 3.9 Advanced 2.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 (51)Advanced High 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 30 57.7 33 50 96.2 46 88.5 46 88.5 Beginning 63.5 1 5 Intermediate 9.6 26.9 1.9 5 9.6 5 9.6 14 0 Advanced 16 30.8 4 7.7 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 (52)Advanced High 1.9 1 1.9 1 1.9 1.9 1 1.9 91.7 7 7 Beginning 60.4 28 58.3 44 14.6 14.6 2 19 3 23 47.9 Intermediate 37.5 39.6 6.3 17 35.4 Advanced 0 0.0 0 0.0 2.1 14 29.2 18 37.5 (48)Advanced High 2.1 2.1 0 0.0 10 20.8 0 0.0 Beginning 5 12.2 3 7.3 7 17.1 0 0.0 0 0.0 3 Intermediate 21 51.2 20 48.8 24 58.5 9 22.0 9 22.0 29.3 16 19.5 31.7 17 41.5 Advanced 39.0 8 13 (41) 2 2 Advanced High 7.3 4.9 4.9 19 46.3 15 36.6 0 0 3.2 0.0 Beginning 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 4 Intermediate 2 6.5 2 6.5 8 25.8 3 9.7 3 9.7 7 22.6 35.5 7 22.6 Advanced 10 32.3 11 22.6 (31)71.0 19 61.3 11 35.5 21 67.7 21 67.7 Advanced High

PROGRESSION FROM 2008 TO 2009

Number Rated Both Years		2008 Level					
N (%) Progressed	2009 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High		
	Beginning		-	-			
	Intermediate	-		-			
_	Advanced	-	-		-		
	Advanced High	-	-	-	-		
	Beginning		4	3			
48	Intermediate	3		1			
4 (8.3%)	Advanced	0	0	C)		
	Advanced High	0	0	1			
	Beginning	6					
44	Intermediate	17		4			
33 (75.0%)	Advanced	11	5	1			
	Advanced High	0	0	()		
	Beginning		()			
39	Intermediate	1		6			
24 (61.5%)	Advanced	0	8	9)		
	Advanced High	0	0	1	5		
	Beginning		()			
30	Intermediate	1		2			
24 (80.0%)	Advanced	0	3	4	ļ		
	Advanced High	0	0	2	0		

PERFORMANCE IN 2009

Grade	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	ıking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Com	posite
(N Rated)	2009 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	36.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
5	Intermediate	0	0.0	4	36.4	4	36.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
(11)	Advanced	8	72.7	6	54.5	2	18.2	5	45.5	6	54.5
	Advanced High	3	27.3	1	9.1	1	9.1	6	54.5	5	45.5
	Beginning	90	38.5	107	45.7	155	66.2	102	43.6	102	43.6
ALL	Intermediate	70	29.9	67	28.6	42	17.9	36	15.4	42	17.9
(234)	Advanced	44	18.8	36	15.4	22	9.4	39	16.7	48	20.5
	Advanced High	30	12.8	24	10.3	15	6.4	57	24.4	42	17.9

PROGRESSION FROM 2008 TO 2009

Number Rated Both Years		2008 Level						
N (%) Progressed	2009 Level	Beg	Int	Int Adv				
	Beginning		(0				
11	Intermediate	0		0				
9 (81.8%)	Advanced	0	4	2	2			
	Advanced High	0	0	Ę	5			
	Beginning		5	51				
175	Intermediate	23	13					
95 (54.3%)	Advanced	11	20	16				
	Advanced High	0	0	4	1			

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2008 to 2009.