Campus Data Packet

for 2009 - 10 Plans



JOHN J. PERSHING

School Number 193

The information in this packet is based on data from the 2008-09 school year.

This packet contains corrected statistics for norm-referenced assessments (ITBS and Logramos), including the SCE Compliance report. PSAT reports now contain the average score for all students in a grade.



2009-10 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2 ContentsTable of Contents	3 Notes Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
5 SummarySummary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6 Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7 Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8 Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
9 Teachers Teacher Statistics	
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	
10 TAKS Read (1) TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	11 TAKS Read (2) TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
12 TAKS Read (3) TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	13 TAKS Read (4) TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
14 TAKS Math (1)TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	15 TAKS Math (2) TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
16 TAKS Math (3)TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	17 TAKS Math (4) TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
18 TAKS Write (1) TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	19 TAKS Write (2) TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
20 TAKS Write (3) TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	21 TAKS Write (4) TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
22 TAKS Science (1)TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	23 TAKS Science (2) TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
24 TAKS Science (3)TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	25 TAKS Science (4) TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
26 SPTAKS Read (1) Spanish TAKS Reading: % Meeting Standard	27 SPTAKS Read (2) Spanish TAKS Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
28 SPTAKS Read (3) Spanish TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	29 SPTAKS Read (4) Spanish TAKS Reading: % Commended
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS (MOD)	
30 TAKS-M Read (1) TAKS-M Reading: % Meeting Standard	31 TAKS-M Read (2) TAKS-M Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
32 TAKS-M Read (3) TAKS-M Reading: Performance by Objective	33 TAKS-M Read (4) TAKS-M Reading: Percentage Commended
34 TAKS-M Math (1) TAKS-M Math: % Meeting Standard	35 TAKS-M Math (2) TAKS-M Math: # Not Meeting Standard
36 TAKS-M Math (3) TAKS-M Math: Performance by Objective	37 TAKS-M Math (4) TAKS-M Math: Percentage Commended
38 TAKS-M Science (1) TAKS-M Science: % Meeting Standard	39 TAKS-M Science (2) TAKS-M Science: # Not Meeting Standard
40 TAKS-M Science (3) TAKS-M Science: Performance by Objective	41 TAKS-M Science (4) TAKS-M Science: Percentage Commended
ITBS/ITED/LOGRAMOS	
42 ComplianceSCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	43 ITBS Read (1) ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
44 ITBS Read (2)ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	45 ITBS Math (1) ITBS Math Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
46 ITBS Math (2) ITBS Math Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	47 Logramos Read (1) Logramos Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
48 Logramos Read (2) Logramos Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	
MEASURES OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
49 WMLSWoodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	50 TELPAS TX English Language Proficiency Assessment System

2009-10 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2008-09. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2007-08 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2007-08 and 2008-09.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

<u>TEACHERS</u>

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

TAKS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- TAKS statistics include both TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated results.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2010, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

TAKS-M (Modified)

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading results for all three years are for the Language Total subtest; all other grades' results reflect Reading Total performance. (The Reading Total summary is not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergarteners in 2009.) All Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The number tested includes kindergarteners.
- Numbers tested in READING for 2007 may not match those reported in campus data packets published in the prior years. Previous totals did not consistently include students in Kindergarten, though documentation included with the reports indicated otherwise.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading and mathematics results for all three years are for the Language subtest and Mathematics subtest. All other grades' results reflect Reading Total or Mathematics Total performance. (The Reading and Mathematics Total summaries are not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergartners.) Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The "number tested" statistics include kindergartners.

TELPAS

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2008 to 2009, the TEA did not report the 2008 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2009, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2008.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
EC	24
PK	55
KN	69
1	76
2	79
3	59
4	60
5	64
ALL	486

STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stud	dents	Teachers				
Ethinicity	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
African American	60	12.3	8	22.2			
American Indian	2	0.4	*	*			
Asian	6	1.2	*	*			
Hispanic	390	80.2	16	44.4			
White	28	5.8	11	30.6			
Other	**	**	1	2.8			

^{*}Included in category "Other."

STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percent
Economically disadvantaged students	422	86.8
Limited English proficient students	286	58.8
Special education students	56	11.5

^{**}All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

			African American		America	ın Indian	As	Asian		anic	White	
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2007	68	3	4.4	0	0.0	3	4.4	61	89.7	1	1.5
PK	2008	55	7	12.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	46	83.6	2	3.6
	2009	55	5	9.1	0	0.0	1	1.8	48	87.3	1	1.8
	2007	64	10	15.6	0	0.0	2	3.1	45	70.3	7	10.9
KN	2008	61	10	16.4	0	0.0	1	1.6	48	78.7	2	3.3
	2009	69	4	5.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	60	87.0	5	7.2
	2007	59	8	13.6	0	0.0	1	1.7	43	72.9	7	11.9
1	2008	52	9	17.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	40	76.9	3	5.8
	2009	76	12	15.8	0	0.0	2	2.6	56	73.7	6	7.9
	2007	45	5	11.1	1	2.2	0	0.0	35	77.8	4	8.9
2	2008	53	9	17.0	0	0.0	2	3.8	41	77.4	1	1.9
	2009	79	11	13.9	1	1.3	0	0.0	61	77.2	6	7.6
	2007	49	9	18.4	0	0.0	2	4.1	36	73.5	2	4.1
3	2008	52	10	19.2	0	0.0	1	1.9	38	73.1	3	5.8
	2009	59	6	10.2	1	1.7	2	3.4	48	81.4	2	3.4
	2007	49	9	18.4	0	0.0	1	2.0	33	67.3	6	12.2
4	2008	46	8	17.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	37	80.4	1	2.2
	2009	60	11	18.3	0	0.0	1	1.7	45	75.0	3	5.0
	2007	49	10	20.4	0	0.0	1	2.0	31	63.3	7	14.3
5	2008	57	14	24.6	0	0.0	1	1.8	36	63.2	6	10.5
	2009	64	9	14.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	53	82.8	2	3.1
	2007	394	57	14.5	1	0.3	10	2.5	292	74.1	34	8.6
EC-5	2008	389	70	18.0	0	0.0	5	1.3	294	75.6	20	5.1
	2009	486	60	12.3	2	0.4	6	1.2	390	80.2	28	5.8

			Econor Disadva	mically antaged	LE	ĒP.	Special E	Education	TAG		New (to Dallas ISD)		Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2007	68	65	95.6	51	75.0	3	4.4	0	0.0	66	97.1	0.0
PK	2008	55	50	90.9	40	72.7	1	1.8	0	0.0	55	100.0	0.0
	2009	55	49	89.1	38	69.1	3	5.5	0	0.0	55	100.0	0.0
	2007	64	51	79.7	31	48.4	11	17.2	3	4.7	24	37.5	6.3
KN	2008	61	54	88.5	38	62.3	5	8.2	3	4.9	22	36.1	3.3
	2009	69	58	84.1	52	75.4	2	2.9	5	7.2	28	40.6	2.9
	2007	59	50	84.7	36	61.0	2	3.4	9	15.3	6	10.2	6.8
1	2008	52	45	86.5	31	59.6	2	3.8	7	13.5	7	13.5	5.8
	2009	76	67	88.2	46	60.5	4	5.3	5	6.6	12	15.8	2.6
	2007	45	38	84.4	27	60.0	5	11.1	7	15.6	6	13.3	6.7
2	2008	53	47	88.7	32	60.4	2	3.8	7	13.2	7	13.2	5.7
	2009	79	69	87.3	49	62.0	6	7.6	10	12.7	7	8.9	7.6
	2007	49	40	81.6	27	55.1	4	8.2	8	16.3	5	10.2	4.1
3	2008	52	44	84.6	29	55.8	4	7.7	5	9.6	6	11.5	5.8
	2009	59	54	91.5	33	55.9	4	6.8	8	13.6	7	11.9	6.8
	2007	49	35	71.4	24	49.0	6	12.2	9	18.4	9	18.4	6.1
4	2008	46	43	93.5	21	45.7	7	15.2	8	17.4	5	10.9	2.2
	2009	60	51	85.0	35	58.3	8	13.3	8	13.3	6	10.0	0.0
	2007	49	40	81.6	15	30.6	8	16.3	10	20.4	7	14.3	4.1
5	2008	57	45	78.9	26	45.6	13	22.8	15	26.3	9	15.8	3.5
	2009	64	59	92.2	33	51.6	5	7.8	11	17.2	10	15.6	6.3
	2007	394	330	83.8	211	53.6	50	12.7	46	11.7	129	32.7	5.8
EC-5	2008	389	338	86.9	217	55.8	47	12.1	45	11.6	117	30.1	5.4
	2009	486	422	86.8	286	58.8	56	11.5	47	9.7	140	28.8	5.6

Grade	Year	Averag Member	e Daily rship (N)	Α	verage Daily	Attendance		Yea	rly Transactio	ns		nuously led (N)	Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (N)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus	Dallas ISD
	2007	32.4	4,117.2	30.5	94.2	3,933.6	95.5	78	100.0	100.0	57	7,436	100.0	100.0
PK	2008	26.4	4,133.2	24.9	94.6	3,942.7	95.4	71	100.0	100.0	47	7,427	100.0	100.0
	2009	26.5	4,167.8	24.7	93.2	3,975.6	95.4	65	100.0	100.0	46	7,332	100.0	100.0
	2007	63.6	13,945.0	60.6	95.4	13,385.6	96.0	19	29.9	24.3	53	12,035	83.4	86.3
KN	2008	60.1	13,568.1	57.1	94.9	13,004.4	95.8	12	20.0	23.5	52	11,618	86.5	85.6
	2009	67.0	13,515.0	63.5	94.8	12,939.1	95.7	20	29.9	22.5	55	11,501	82.1	85.1
	2007	61.4	14,353.8	58.8	95.8	13,851.4	96.5	9	14.7	23.2	54	12,437	88.0	86.6
1	2008	51.5	14,626.9	49.2	95.5	14,102.7	96.4	10	19.4	21.2	45	12,704	87.4	86.9
	2009	78.3	14,250.8	74.5	95.2	13,719.9	96.3	13	16.6	20.7	67	12,300	85.6	86.3
	2007	44.7	13,403.8	43.4	96.9	12,978.9	96.8	6	13.4	21.7	41	11,729	91.7	87.5
2	2008	53.7	13,708.6	51.4	95.6	13,269.8	96.8	18	33.5	19.7	42	12,043	78.2	87.9
	2009	79.5	13,950.1	76.1	95.8	13,499.0	96.8	14	17.6	18.6	69	12,231	86.8	87.7
	2007	47.7	12,998.4	46.2	96.9	12,633.3	97.2	9	18.9	21.1	44	11,445	92.3	88.0
3	2008	49.5	12,806.9	48.2	97.4	12,425.5	97.0	12	24.3	19.1	45	11,408	91.0	89.1
	2009	61.8	13,095.5	58.9	95.3	12,710.6	97.1	10	16.2	18.3	51	11,634	82.6	88.8
	2007	49.2	12,104.9	47.6	96.8	11,768.3	97.2	12	24.4	19.7	43	10,683	87.5	88.3
4	2008	46.3	12,329.8	44.6	96.3	11,960.3	97.0	8	17.3	19.7	40	10,924	86.3	88.6
	2009	59.3	12,156.4	57.7	97.4	11,789.9	97.0	15	25.3	18.1	50	10,731	84.3	88.3
	2007	49.1	11,757.0	47.1	95.9	11,426.8	97.2	11	22.4	20.9	45	10,362	91.6	88.1
5	2008	57.3	11,874.2	55.8	97.5	11,539.6	97.2	10	17.5	18.8	53	10,608	92.6	89.3
	2009	64.0	11,903.5	62.0	96.9	11,552.9	97.1	14	21.9	17.5	56	10,563	87.4	88.7
	2007	356.3	83,122.6	341.9	96.0	80,387.4	96.7	151	42.4	33.3	342	76,416	96.0	91.9
EC-5	2008	355.9	83,438.7	341.1	95.8	80,606.0	96.6	148	41.6	31.9	329	76,970	92.4	92.2
	2009	456.4	83,476.5	436.0	95.5	80,586.5	96.5	170	37.2	30.9	404	76,517	88.5	91.7

Teachers Teacher Statistics

DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS

Ethnicity	Number	Percent			
African American	8	22.2			
Hispanic	16	44.4			
White	11	30.6			
Other	1	2.8			

Gender	Number	Percent		
Female	30	83.3		
Male	6	16.7		

TOTAL	36

AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES

2007	6.0
2008	7.1
2009	7.1

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	55.6	93.8	88.6	*	87.0	84.8		86.4	87.0	86.7	84.6	45
3	2008	*	100.0	83.3	84.6	*	85.7	81.8		81.0	92.3	87.2	86.2	47
	2009	*	83.3	92.9	95.2	*	*	70.0		76.9	100.0	88.0	87.3	25
	2007	100.0	100.0	84.0	85.2	*	73.3	75.0		100.0	83.3	89.7	69.7	39
4	2008	*	*	88.6	83.3		83.3	77.3		100.0	60.0	84.6	72.1	39
	2009	*	88.9	84.2	88.1	*	88.9	87.1		85.0	87.1	86.3	75.8	51
	2007	100.0	100.0	84.0	87.5	*	50.0	69.2		90.0	90.0	90.0	78.3	40
5	2008	100.0	91.7	85.2	88.6	100.0	75.0	77.3		80.0	96.2	89.1	82.9	46
	2009	*	100.0	77.8	76.6	*	62.5	70.0		82.1	76.9	79.6	82.9	54
	2007	100.0	84.6	87.8	87.2	*	76.1	79.0		91.2	86.6	88.7	77.6	124
3-5	2008	100.0	86.4	85.7	85.5	72.7	82.3	79.2		87.7	86.6	87.1	80.3	132
	2009	85.7	90.9	82.5	84.5	*	75.5	77.5		82.0	85.5	83.8	81.5	130

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	14	26	82	94	5	46	62	0	57	67	124	31,814
2008	11	22	98	110	11	62	77	0	65	67	132	31,840
2009	7	22	97	110	5	53	71	0	61	69	130	28,126

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	4	2	4	*	3	5		3	3	6	1,675	45
3	2008	*	0	6	6	*	4	6		4	2	6	1,443	47
	2009	*	1	1	1	*	*	3		3	0	3	960	25
	2007	0	0	4	4	*	4	4		0	4	4	3,189	39
4	2008	*	*	4	6		3	5		0	6	6	2,996	39
	2009	*	1	6	5	*	3	4		3	4	7	2,449	51
	2007	0	0	4	4	*	4	4		2	2	4	2,258	40
5	2008	0	1	4	4	0	4	5		4	1	5	1,827	46
	2009	*	0	10	11	*	9	9		5	6	11	1,793	54
	2007	0	4	10	12	*	11	13		5	9	14	7,122	124
3-5	2008	0	3	14	16	3	11	16		8	9	17	6,266	132
	2009	1	2	17	17	*	13	16		11	10	21	5,202	130

					NUMB	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	14	26	82	94	5	46	62	0	57	67	124	31,814
2008	11	22	98	110	11	62	77	0	65	67	132	31,840
2009	7	22	97	110	5	53	71	0	61	69	130	28,126

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2007	80.0	82.5	77.0	75.6
3	2008	79.6	77.8	83.0	78.7
	2009	78.4	76.0	81.3	83.0
	2007	86.0	85.6	82.8	78.7
4	2008	83.4	85.6	79.9	80.5
	2009	86.9	82.4	76.2	84.5
	2007	80.8	87.5	80.6	75.6
5	2008	80.1	83.2	79.1	78.3
	2009	75.6	78.9	77.5	74.2
	2007	82.1	85.1	80.0	76.6
3-5	2008	80.9	82.0	80.7	79.1
	2009	80.6	79.7	77.7	79.9

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	11.1	28.1	17.1	*	8.7	9.1		27.3	30.4	28.9	21.3	45
3	2008	*	28.6	16.7	15.4	*	7.1	6.1		19.0	26.9	23.4	21.5	47
	2009	*	16.7	14.3	14.3	*	*	0.0		7.7	33.3	20.0	34.1	25
	2007	50.0	62.5	24.0	33.3	*	20.0	18.8		46.7	29.2	35.9	16.6	39
4	2008	*	*	14.3	13.9		5.6	4.5		25.0	0.0	15.4	14.4	39
-	2009	*	11.1	18.4	16.7	*	18.5	16.1		25.0	16.1	19.6	18.4	51
	2007	66.7	22.2	16.0	15.6	*	0.0	7.7		20.0	30.0	25.0	13.1	40
5	2008	42.9	25.0	7.4	14.3	0.0	6.3	4.5		15.0	19.2	17.4	16.5	46
	2009	*	0.0	11.1	10.6	*	0.0	0.0		10.7	7.7	9.3	18.5	54
	2007	57.1	30.8	23.2	21.3	*	10.9	11.3		29.8	29.9	29.8	17.1	124
3-5	2008	45.5	27.3	13.3	14.5	0.0	6.5	5.2		20.0	17.9	18.9	17.4	132
	2009	42.9	9.1	14.4	13.6	*	9.4	7.0		14.8	15.9	15.4	22.7	130

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	14	26	82	94	5	46	62	0	57	67	124	31,814
2008	11	22	98	110	11	62	77	0	65	67	132	31,840
2009	7	22	97	110	5	53	71	0	61	69	130	28,126

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	28.6	84.4	75.8	*	78.3	66.7		90.0	60.9	74.4	70.3	43
3	2008	*	80.0	85.7	85.0	*	85.2	84.8		86.4	85.2	85.7	76.6	49
	2009	*	*	68.1	71.2	*	62.5	64.1		75.0	72.7	73.7	77.3	57
	2007	100.0	88.9	92.3	93.1	*	94.1	88.9		100.0	88.0	92.7	75.7	41
4	2008	*	*	91.4	88.9		83.3	86.4		95.8	80.0	89.7	77.9	39
	2009	*	88.9	89.7	90.7	*	92.9	93.8		90.5	90.3	90.4	79.4	52
	2007	100.0	75.0	96.0	90.3	*	87.5	92.3		85.0	100.0	92.3	82.9	39
5	2008	100.0	76.9	81.5	77.8	85.7	82.4	69.6		85.7	80.8	83.0	84.0	47
	2009	*	71.4	82.2	80.9	*	75.0	76.7		92.9	69.2	81.5	87.0	54
	2007	92.9	66.7	90.4	86.0	100.0	85.4	78.1		91.1	82.1	86.2	76.2	123
3-5	2008	100.0	76.9	86.6	83.9	66.7	83.9	80.8		89.6	82.4	85.9	79.5	135
	2009	100.0	85.7	79.4	80.3	*	76.2	77.2		86.3	77.8	81.6	81.0	163

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	14	24	83	93	7	48	64	0	56	67	123	32,298
2008	11	26	97	112	9	62	78	0	67	68	135	32,696
2009	7	21	131	142	5	84	101	0	73	90	163	33,546

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 67% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	5	5	8	*	5	11		2	9	11	3,303	43
3	2008	*	2	5	6	*	4	5		3	4	7	2,542	49
	2009	*	*	15	15	*	12	14		6	9	15	2,781	57
	2007	0	1	2	2	*	1	2		0	3	3	2,604	41
4	2008	*	*	3	4		3	3		1	3	4	2,436	39
	2009	*	1	4	4	*	2	2		2	3	5	2,196	52
	2007	0	2	1	3	*	1	1		3	0	3	1,785	39
5	2008	0	3	5	8	1	3	7		3	5	8	1,730	47
	2009	*	2	8	9	*	6	7		2	8	10	1,386	54
	2007	1	8	8	13	0	7	14		5	12	17	7,692	123
3-5	2008	0	6	13	18	3	10	15		7	12	19	6,708	135
	2009	0	3	27	28	*	20	23		10	20	30	6,363	163

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	14	24	83	93	7	48	64	0	56	67	123	32,298
2008	11	26	97	112	9	62	78	0	67	68	135	32,696
2009	7	21	131	142	5	84	101	0	73	90	163	33,546

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2007	78.6	82.2	78.3	76.4	79.1	63.4
3	2008	82.4	87.4	88.4	84.0	91.3	73.2
	2009	77.7	78.4	87.4	77.5	82.5	66.7
	2007	89.1	92.3	81.3	85.4	77.4	79.3
4	2008	86.7	89.0	81.6	77.4	84.0	72.8
	2008 86.7 2009 88.3	88.3	84.9	84.6	80.4	82.7	75.0
	2007	89.3	81.3	85.7	82.8	85.9	82.4
5	2008	81.6	79.3	84.2	77.2	78.7	73.9
	2009	84.5	79.1	81.2	76.2	77.3	77.5
	2007	85.5	85.3	81.6	81.4	80.7	74.7
3-5	2008	83.4	85.1	85.0	79.7	84.8	73.3
	2009	83.3	80.7	84.5	78.0	80.8	72.9

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	14.3	18.8	15.2	*	13.0	9.1		25.0	17.4	20.9	19.2	43
3	2008	*	20.0	42.9	37.5	*	37.0	36.4		45.5	40.7	42.9	26.3	49
	2009	*	*	27.7	26.9	*	21.9	20.5		29.2	27.3	28.1	29.0	57
	2007	50.0	44.4	26.9	27.6	*	23.5	22.2		56.3	20.0	34.1	22.6	41
4	2008	*	*	34.3	36.1		27.8	27.3		50.0	13.3	35.9	23.8	39
	2009	*	22.2	38.5	37.2	*	32.1	31.3		33.3	41.9	38.5	31.6	52
	2007	83.3	25.0	36.0	38.7	*	37.5	30.8		40.0	42.1	41.0	29.3	39
5	2008	42.9	23.1	22.2	16.7	14.3	17.6	13.0		33.3	19.2	25.5	31.9	47
	2009	*	28.6	35.6	31.9	*	20.8	20.0		53.6	15.4	35.2	38.4	54
	2007	64.3	29.2	26.5	26.9	0.0	20.8	17.2		39.3	25.4	31.7	23.6	123
3-5	2008	63.6	23.1	34.0	30.4	11.1	29.0	26.9		43.3	26.5	34.8	27.3	135
	2009	71.4	23.8	33.6	31.7	*	25.0	23.8		39.7	28.9	33.7	32.8	163

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	14	24	83	93	7	48	64	0	56	67	123	32,298
2008	11	26	97	112	9	62	78	0	67	68	135	32,696
2009	7	21	131	142	5	84	101	0	73	90	163	33,546

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	100.0	100.0	96.0	96.3	*	93.3	94.1		93.8	100.0	97.4	86.5	39
4	2008	*	*	97.1	97.2		94.4	100.0		100.0	93.3	97.4	87.4	39
	2009	*	88.9	97.5	95.5	*	100.0	100.0		95.5	96.8	96.2	87.4	53

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2007	6	8	25	27	3	15	17	0	16	23	39	10,408
2008	1	3	35	36	0	18	22	0	24	15	39	10,658
2009	3	9	40	44	2	28	32	0	22	31	53	10,032

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	0	0	1	1	*	1	1		1	0	1	1,408	39
4	2008	*	*	1	1		1	0		0	1	1	1,348	39
	2009	*	1	1	2	*	0	0		1	1	2	1,264	53

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2007	6	8	25	27	3	15	17	0	16	23	39	10,408
2008	1	3	35	36	0	18	22	0	24	15	39	10,658
2009	3	9	40	44	2	28	32	0	22	31	53	10,032

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2007	2.4	88.5	90.1	93.9	95.2
4	2008	2.4	85.3	90.7	91.7	92.6
	2009	2.2	89.2	86.6	92.7	94.8

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	50.0	25.0	32.0	29.6	*	26.7	23.5		25.0	39.1	33.3	18.2	39
4	2008	*	*	28.6	27.8		22.2	22.7		41.7	13.3	30.8	21.4	39
	2009	*	22.2	15.0	13.6	*	17.9	15.6		27.3	16.1	20.8	21.0	53

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2007	6	8	25	27	3	15	17	0	16	23	39	10,408
2008	1	3	35	36	0	18	22	0	24	15	39	10,658
2009	3	9	40	44	2	28	32	0	22	31	53	10,032

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	100.0	50.0	60.0	54.8	*	25.0	38.5		65.0	63.2	64.1	62.3	39
5	2008	100.0	85.7	66.7	69.7	*	56.3	57.1		83.3	73.1	77.3	71.9	44
	2009	*	85.7	69.6	70.8	*	54.2	56.7		82.8	61.5	72.7	75.9	55

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2007	6	8	25	31	1	8	13	0	20	19	39	10,296
2008	6	14	24	33	3	16	21	0	18	26	44	10,686
2009	2	7	46	48	1	24	30	0	29	26	55	10,550

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 55 percent, the 2010 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	0	4	10	14	*	6	8		7	7	14	3,881	39
5	2008	0	2	8	10	*	7	9		3	7	10	3,005	44
	2009	*	1	14	14	*	11	13		5	10	15	2,542	55

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2007	6	8	25	31	1	8	13	0	20	19	39	10,296
2008	6	14	24	33	3	16	21	0	18	26	44	10,686
2009	2	7	46	48	1	24	30	0	29	26	55	10,550

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Life Sciences	Physical Sciences	Earth Sciences
	2007	79.3	83.8	78.3	63.5
5	2008	86.4	88.9	83.8	77.0
	2009	84.9	86.7	83.8	70.1

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	50.0	12.5	8.0	6.5	*	0.0	0.0		15.0	15.8	15.4	18.0	39
5	2008	66.7	50.0	29.2	39.4	*	25.0	23.8		44.4	38.5	40.9	24.8	44
	2009	*	28.6	32.6	29.2	*	20.8	23.3		48.3	15.4	32.7	29.1	55

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5							
2007	6	6 8 25 31 1 8 13 0 20 19 39 10,296													
2008	6	14	24	33	3	16	21	0	18	26	44	10,686			
2009	2	7	46	48	1	24	30	0	29	26	55	10,550			

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												82.9	0
3	2008												85.4	0
	2009			80.0	78.8		78.1	78.1		76.9	81.8	80.0	89.4	35
	2007													0
ALL	2008													0
	2009	·		80.0	78.8	·	78.1	78.1		76.9	81.8	80.0		35

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN GRAD	ES ALL							
2007	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 -													
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
2009	0	0	35	33	0	32	32	0	13	22	35				

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												219	0
3	2008												239	0
	2009			7	7		7	7		3	4	7	514	35
	2007													0
ALL	2008	·												0
	2009	·		7	7		7	7		3	4	7		35

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN GRAD	ES ALL				
2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2009	0	0	35	33	0	32	32	0	13	22	35	

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2007				
3					
	2009	72.2	86.5	77.6	70.7
	2007				
ALL	2008				
	2009	72.2	86.5	77.6	70.7

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												14.8	0
3	2008												17.2	0
	2009			25.7	27.3		21.9	21.9		15.4	31.8	25.7	30.6	35
	2007													0
ALL	2008	·		·										0
	2009			25.7	27.3		21.9	21.9		15.4	31.8	25.7		35

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN ALL G	RADES							
2007	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 -													
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
2009	0	0	35	33	0	32	32	0	13	22	35				

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	77.7	2
	2009			*	*	*	*	*		*		*	87.7	1
4	2008		*	*	100.0	100.0	*	*		*	*	100.0	70.7	6
	2009		*	*	28.6	28.6	*	16.7		33.3	*	28.6	71.8	7
5	2008		*	*	66.7	66.7	*	*		*	*	66.7	73.3	6
	2009		*	*	100.0	100.0	*	*		*	*	100.0	80.3	6
3-5	2008		*	66.7	78.6	78.6	85.7	87.5		77.8	*	78.6	73.7	14
	2009		*	60.0	64.3	64.3	66.7	58.3		60.0	*	64.3	79.2	14

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5							
2008	0	5	9	14	14	7	8	0	9	5	14	1,807			
2009	0	4	10	14	14	9	12	0	10	4	14	1,867			

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	116	2
	2009			*	*	*	*	*		*		*	60	1
4	2008		*	*	0	0	*	*		*	*	0	181	6
	2009		*	*	5	5	*	5		4	*	5	192	7
5	2008		*	*	2	2	*	*		*	*	2	179	6
	2009		*	*	0	0	*	*		*	*	0	137	6
3-5	2008	·	*	3	3	3	1	1		2	*	3	476	14
	2009		*	4	5	5	3	5		4	*	5	389	14

					NUMB	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2008	0	5	9	14	14	7	8	0	9	5	14	1,807
2009	0	4	10	14	14	9	12	0	10	4	14	1,867

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
3	2008	*	*	*	*
	2009	*	*	*	*
4	2008	66.7	75.0	77.8	70.8
	2009	40.5	45.2	45.2	41.1
5	2008	59.1	75.0	77.8	60.6
	2009	74.2	80.6	69.4	60.6
3-5	2008	60.5	72.6	71.0	62.3
	2009	54.8	60.7	56.7	50.1

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	10.8	2
	2009			*	*	*	*	*		*		*	16.2	1
4	2008		*	*	16.7	16.7	*	*		*	*	16.7	9.7	6
	2009		*	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	8.9	7
5	2008		*	*	16.7	16.7	*	*		*	*	16.7	14.9	6
	2009		*	*	0.0	0.0	*	*		*	*	0.0	14.1	6
3-5	2008		*	11.1	14.3	14.3	14.3	12.5		11.1	*	14.3	12.0	14
	2009		*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	12.7	14

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2008	0	5	9	14	14	7	8	0	9	5	14	1,807
2009	0	4	10	14	14	9	12	0	10	4	14	1,867

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	76.1	4
	2009			*	*	*	*	*		*		*	81.2	1
													·	
4	2008		*	*	100.0	100.0	*	*		*	*	100.0	69.1	6
	2009		*	*	66.7	66.7	*	*		*	*	66.7	75.8	6
5	2008		*	*	66.7	66.7	*	*		*	*	66.7	64.0	6
	2009		*	*	100.0	100.0	*	*		*	*	100.0	80.2	6
3-5	2008		*	81.8	75.0	75.0	85.7	90.0		72.7	*	75.0	69.4	16
	2009		*	88.9	84.6	84.6	87.5	81.8		77.8	*	84.6	78.8	13

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-5											
2008	0	5	11	16	16	7	10	0	11	5	16	1,730	
2009	0	4	9	13	13	8	11	0	9	4	13	1,743	

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	129	4
	2009			*	*	*	*	*		*		*	85	1
4	2008		*	*	0	0	*	*		*	*	0	173	6
	2009		*	*	2	2	*	*		*	*	2	157	6
5	2008		*	*	2	2	*	*		*	*	2	227	6
	2009		*	*	0	0	*	*		*	*	0	127	6
3-5	2008		*	2	4	4	1	1		3	*	4	529	16
	2009		*	1	2	2	1	2		2	*	2	369	13

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-5											
2008	0	5	11	16	16	7	10	0	11	5	16	1,730	
2009	0	4	9	13	13	8	11	0	9	4	13	1,743	

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
3	2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	2008	64.8	77.8	86.7	53.3	55.6	52.8
	2009	61.1	58.3	50.0	36.7	33.3	25.0
5	2008	61.1	61.1	66.7	69.4	66.7	66.7
	2009	79.6	72.2	58.3	75.0	50.0	63.3
3-5	2008	58.9	69.6	71.3	63.5	50.0	52.1
	2009	71.7	64.9	56.2	54.6	43.6	45.9

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	16.1	4
	2009			*	*	*	*	*		*		*	22.3	1
4	2008		*	*	0.0	0.0	*	*		*	*	0.0	14.3	6
	2009		*	*	0.0	0.0	*	*		*	*	0.0	14.0	6
5	2008		*	*	16.7	16.7	*	*		*	*	16.7	14.0	6
	2009		*	*	0.0	0.0	*	*		*	*	0.0	17.2	6
3-5	2008		*	9.1	6.3	6.3	0.0	10.0		9.1	*	6.3	14.7	16
	2009		*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	17.3	13

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5						
2008	0	0 5 11 16 16 7 10 0 11 5 16 1,730												
2009	0	0 4 9 13 13 8 11 0 9 4 13 1,743												

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
5	2008		*	55.6	60.0	60.0	*	66.7		85.7	*	60.0	39.2	10
	2009		*	*	*	83.3	*	*		*	*	83.3	37.5	6

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5					
2008	0	0 1 9 10 10 4 6 0 7 3 10 668											
2009	0	0 1 5 5 6 4 5 0 4 2 6 637											

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
5	2008		*	4	4	4	*	2		1	*	4	406	10
	2009		*	*	*	1	*	*		*	*	1	398	6

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5					
2008	0	0 1 9 10 10 4 6 0 7 3 10 668											
2009	0	0 1 5 5 6 4 5 0 4 2 6 637											

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Life Sciences	Physical Sciences	Earth Sciences
5	2008	81.8	80.0	74.3	60.0
	2009	81.8	73.8	83.3	71.4

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
5	2008		*	44.4	40.0	40.0	*	50.0		57.1	*	40.0	5.2	10
	2009		*	*	*	0.0	*	*		*	*	0.0	4.9	6

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2007												
2008	0	1	9	10	10	4	6	0	7	3	10	668
2009	0	1	5	5	6	4	5	0	4	2	6	637

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the IOWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS

			READIN	IG TOTAL (**LANGUAGE	≣)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	50.0	47.6	46.9	21
K**	2008	*	52.4	50.0	57.6	22
	2009	16.7	50.0	40.0	41.9	20
	2007	*	84.2	79.2	51.4	24
1	2008	*	76.5	70.0	50.2	20
	2009	61.5	83.3	74.2	54.2	31
	2007	38.1	85.7	50.0	44.5	28
2	2008	55.6	75.0	66.7	50.2	21
	2009	30.0	73.9	60.6	47.9	33
	2007	42.3	84.6	63.5	47.6	52
1-2	2008	50.0	75.9	68.3	50.2	41
	2009	47.8	78.0	67.2	51.0	64

	2007	27	46	73	24,696	
Number Tested	2008	13	50	63	21,817	
103100	2009	29	55	84	21,148	

			MATHEMAT	ICS TOTAL	(**MATHMA	ATICS)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	32.3	54.5	41.5	44.3	53
K**	2008	48.6	52.4	50.0	44.9	58
	2009	35.2	37.5	35.7	52.8	70
	2007	25.0	78.9	42.4	48.8	59
1	2008	53.1	72.2	60.0	55.5	50
	2009	35.7	72.2	56.3	50.4	32
	2007	84.6	70.0	80.6	55.6	36
2	2008	59.5	76.5	64.8	57.5	54
	2009	45.5	87.5	74.3	53.2	35
	2007	43.3	66.7	51.4	49.1	148
K-2	2008	53.8	66.1	58.0	52.7	162
	2009	36.7	69.0	50.4	52.3	137

	2007	97	51	148	34,441	
Number Tested	2008	106	56	162	41,070	
Tostod	2009	79	58	137	30,563	

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	33.3	44.4	35.3		*	*		55.6	41.7	47.6	46.9	21
K**	2008	*	50.0	45.5	41.2	*	*	*		53.3	42.9	50.0	57.6	22
	2009	50.0	*	36.4	25.0	*	*	16.7		41.7	37.5	40.0	41.9	20
	2007	100.0	50.0	87.5	68.8	*	*	*		76.9	81.8	79.2	51.4	24
1	2008	*	57.1	70.0	68.8	*	*	*		57.1	76.9	70.0	50.2	20
	2009	83.3	54.5	83.3	73.9	*	*	61.5		75.0	72.7	74.2	54.2	31
	2007	*	*	38.1	40.9	*	30.8	38.1		46.2	53.3	50.0	44.5	28
2	2008	*	66.7	77.8	81.3	*	*	55.6		54.5	80.0	66.7	50.2	21
	2009	*	30.0	76.5	53.8	*	*	30.0		47.4	78.6	60.6	47.9	33
	2007	100.0	58.3	51.7	52.6	*	28.6	42.3		61.5	65.4	63.5	47.6	52
1-2	2008	*	62.5	73.7	75.0	*	*	50.0		55.6	78.3	68.3	50.2	41
	2009	81.8	42.9	79.3	63.3	*	62.5	47.8		61.5	76.0	67.2	51.0	64

	2007	13	21	38	55	3	15	27	0	35	38	73	24,696
Number Tested	2008	6	24	30	49	5	5	13	0	33	30	63	21,817
restea	2009	17	24	40	61	8	10	29	0	51	33	84	21,148

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	1	1	1		*	*		2	1	3	1,245	21
K**	2008	*	2	2	2	*	*	*		3	2	5	1,687	22
	2009	1	*	1	1	*	*	0		2	0	2	771	20
	2007	6	2	2	5	*	*	*		5	5	10	1,458	24
1	2008	*	2	3	5	*	*	*		2	5	7	1,555	20
	2009	1	4	2	4	*	*	1		5	2	7	1,659	31
	2007	*	*	2	2	*	0	1		1	5	6	896	28
2	2008	*	1	2	3	*	*	1		0	4	4	1,065	21
	2009	*	2	4	5	*	*	2		5	4	9	1,027	33
	2007	9	3	4	7	*	0	2		6	10	16	2,355	52
1-2	2008	*	3	5	8	*	*	2		2	9	11	2,620	41
	2009	4	6	6	9	*	1	3		10	6	16	2,688	64

	2007	13	21	38	55	3	15	27	0	35	38	73	24,696
Number Tested	2008	6	24	30	49	5	5	13	0	33	30	63	21,817
resteu	2009	17	24	40	61	8	10	29	0	51	33	84	21,148

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	44.4	37.5	38.6	*	32.3	32.3		50.0	34.5	41.5	44.3	53
K**	2008	*	37.5	48.9	45.1	*	47.4	48.6		52.9	45.8	50.0	44.9	58
	2009	50.0	*	34.4	33.9	*	32.7	35.2		34.2	37.5	35.7	52.8	70
	2007	100.0	62.5	30.2	38.0	*	22.2	25.0		46.2	39.4	42.4	48.8	59
1	2008	*	42.9	60.0	58.1	*	48.4	53.1		54.5	64.3	60.0	55.5	50
	2009	50.0	45.5	61.5	54.2	*	*	35.7		60.0	50.0	56.3	50.4	32
	2007	*	*	81.5	79.3	*	78.9	84.6		68.4	94.1	80.6	55.6	36
2	2008	*	66.7	66.7	66.7	*	62.5	59.5		60.9	67.7	64.8	57.5	54
	2009	83.3	50.0	88.9	66.7	*	*	45.5		65.0	86.7	74.3	53.2	35
	2007	92.9	59.1	45.5	48.0	0.0	38.4	43.3		53.6	49.4	51.4	49.1	148
K-2	2008	100.0	50.0	58.1	56.3	42.9	52.5	53.8		55.7	60.2	58.0	52.7	162
	2009	61.1	45.8	48.9	46.7	20.0	34.4	36.7		48.7	52.5	50.4	52.3	137

	2007	14	22	110	123	6	86	97	0	69	79	148	34,441
Number Tested	2008	6	24	129	142	7	101	106	0	79	83	162	41,070
Tostoa	2009	18	24	92	107	10	61	79	0	78	59	137	30,563

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	1	3	4	*	2	2		2	4	6	2,583	53
K**	2008	*	0	8	8	*	6	6		6	5	11	2,788	58
	2009	3	*	3	3	*	2	2		6	0	6	2,455	70
	2007	6	1	5	7	*	2	2		7	5	12	1,769	59
1	2008	*	1	4	5	*	2	3		3	4	7	2,800	50
	2009	0	3	2	4	*	*	1		5	1	6	1,577	32
	2007	*	*	14	12	*	8	12		9	9	18	2,098	36
2	2008	*	2	11	13	*	9	10		4	10	14	2,912	54
	2009	2	1	7	6	*	*	2		6	4	10	1,686	35
	2007	11	3	22	23	0	12	16		18	18	36	6,450	148
K-2	2008	5	3	23	26	1	17	19		13	19	32	8,500	162
	2009	5	4	12	13	1	3	5		17	5	22	5,718	137

	2007	14	22	110	123	6	86	97	0	69	79	148	34,441
Number Tested	2008	6	24	129	142	7	101	106	0	79	83	162	41,070
restea	2009	18	24	92	107	10	61	79	0	78	59	137	30,563

Logramos Read (1)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			93.5	92.6	*	96.7	96.7		86.7	100.0	93.8	84.7	32
K	2008			94.4	94.1	*	94.3	94.3		90.0	100.0	94.4	87.0	36
	2009			83.7	81.4		83.7	83.0		76.0	91.7	83.7	88.6	49
	2007			85.7	88.2		85.7	85.7		84.6	86.4	85.7	84.5	35
1	2008			100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	88.0	30
	2009			90.5	90.2	*	90.0	90.2		84.6	100.0	90.5	88.6	42
	2007			89.5	89.5	*	89.5	88.9		83.3	100.0	89.5	89.9	19
2	2008			96.9	96.8		100.0	100.0		91.7	100.0	96.9	93.4	32
	2009			95.6	97.6	*	95.6	95.5		96.6	93.8	95.6	94.0	45
	2007			89.4	90.0	*	90.5	90.4		85.0	93.5	89.5	85.6	86
K-2	2008			96.9	96.7	*	97.9	97.8		93.6	100.0	96.9	89.3	98
	2009			89.7	89.7	*	89.6	89.4		86.3	94.6	89.7	90.4	136
•	2007	0	0	0.5	00	2	0.4	00	0	40	40	0.0	44.000	

	2007	0	0	85	80	3	84	83	0	40	46	86	14,889
Number Tested	2008	0	0	98	92	2	95	91	0	47	51	98	18,257
restea	2009	0	0	136	126	3	134	132	0	80	56	136	19,076

Logramos Read (2)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			23	19	*	22	22		11	12	23	2,779	32
K	2008			22	20	*	22	21		13	9	22	3,280	36
	2009			27	24		27	26		11	16	27	3,525	49
	2007			6	6		6	6		0	6	6	1,782	35
1	2008			9	8	*	9	9		4	5	9	2,412	30
	2009			22	22	*	21	21		14	8	22	2,523	42
	2007			9	9	*	9	9		7	2	9	1,196	19
2	2008			23	23		22	19		7	16	23	2,596	32
	2009			11	11	*	11	11		6	5	11	2,909	45
	2007			38	34	*	37	37		18	20	38	5,757	86
K-2	2008			54	51	*	53	49		24	30	54	8,288	98
	2009			60	57	*	59	58		31	29	60	8,957	136

Number Tested	2007	0	0	85	80	3	84	83	0	40	46	86	14,889
	2008	0	0	98	92	2	95	91	0	47	51	98	18,257
	2009	0	0	136	126	3	134	132	0	80	56	136	19,076

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1 in 2008		Level 2	in 2008	Level 3	in 2008	Levels 1-3 in 2008		
Grade	Number Percentage Tested Improved Both Years in 2009		Number Percentage Tested Improved Both Years in 2009		Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2009	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2009	
4	5	100.0	1	100.0	7	42.9	13	69.2	
5	3	33.3	2	0.0	0	-	5	20.0	
ALL	8	75.0	3	33.3	7	42.9	18	55.6	

PERFORMANCE IN 2009

Composite Grade Domain: Listening Speaking Writing Reading 2009 Level (N Rated) Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % 35 74.5 47 100.0 39 83.0 39 83.0 Beginning 83.0 KN 8 17.0 Intermediate 17.0 12 25.5 0 0.0 8 8 17.0 Advanced 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 (47)Advanced High 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 23.9 23.9 26 56.5 45.7 21 45.7 Beginning 1 52.2 Intermediate 29 63.0 29 63.0 18 39.1 24 24 52.2 2 Advanced 6 13.0 6 13.0 4.3 2.2 2.2 (46)Advanced High 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 Beginning 6.4 11 23.4 17 36.2 3 6.4 3 6.5 2 25 26 55.3 39.1 Intermediate 44.7 53.2 19 40.4 18 Advanced 23 48.9 11 23.4 4 8.5 18 38.3 18 39.1 (48)Advanced High 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 14.9 7 15.2 Beginning 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 5 15.2 5 15.2 3 Intermediate 2 6.1 5 15.2 16 48.5 12 36.4 12 36.4 28 22 30.3 30.3 Advanced 84.8 66.7 14 42.4 10 10 (33)6 3 Advanced High 9.1 18.2 9.1 18.2 6 18.2 7 21.2 21.2 9.1 3 Beginning 21.2 9.1 4 Intermediate 3 9.1 4 12.1 16 48.5 12.1 5 15.2 15.2 8 Advanced 18 54.5 16 48.5 5 24.2 24.2 (33)15.2 6 18.2 5 15.2 18 54.5 17 51.5 Advanced High

PROGRESSION FROM 2008 TO 2009

Number Rated Both Years		2008 Level					
N (%) Progressed	2009 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High		
	Beginning		-	-			
	Intermediate	-		-			
_	Advanced	ı	1	I			
	Advanced High	-	-	-			
	Beginning		1	9			
43	Intermediate	20		3			
21 (48.8%)	Advanced	0	1	C)		
	Advanced High	0	0	0			
	Beginning	3					
45	Intermediate	12 6					
34 (75.6%)	Advanced	5	10	2	2		
	Advanced High	1	4	2	2		
	Beginning	4					
32	Intermediate	1		11			
12 (37.5%)	Advanced	0	5	5	5		
	Advanced High	0	0	6	5		
	Beginning	2					
29	Intermediate	2		2			
21 (72.4%)	Advanced	0	3	3 4			
	Advanced High	0	0	1	6		

PERFORMANCE IN 2009

Grade	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	ıking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Com	posite
(N Rated)	2009 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	0	0.0	2	6.3	4	12.1	3	9.1	3	9.4
5	Intermediate	3	9.1	5	15.6	4	12.1	7	21.2	7	21.9
(33)	Advanced	8	24.2	12	37.5	21	63.6	10	30.3	9	28.1
	Advanced High	22	66.7	13	40.6	4	12.1	13	39.4	13	40.6
	Beginning	60	25.1	66	27.7	101	42.3	74	31.0	74	31.2
ALL	Intermediate	66	27.6	80	33.6	80	33.5	74	31.0	74	31.2
(240)	Advanced	83	34.7	67	28.2	46	19.2	47	19.7	46	19.4
	Advanced High	30	12.6	25	10.5	12	5.0	44	18.4	43	18.1

PROGRESSION FROM 2008 TO 2009

Number Rated Both Years							
N (%) Progressed	2009 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High		
	Beginning		,	1			
26	Intermediate	9 0 3					
13 (50.0%)	Advanced	0	0	9	9		
	Advanced High	0	1	1	2		
	Beginning		2	9			
177	Intermediate	37		25			
103 (58.2%)	Advanced	5	19	2	0		
	Advanced High	1	5	3	6		

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2008 to 2009.