# **Campus Data Packet**

for 2009 - 10 Plans



# **GEORGE PEABODY**

School Number 190

The information in this packet is based on data from the 2008-09 school year.

This packet contains corrected statistics for norm-referenced assessments (ITBS and Logramos), including the SCE Compliance report. PSAT reports now contain the average score for all students in a grade.



2009-10 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2 ContentsTable of Contents	3 Notes Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
5 SummarySummary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6 Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7 Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8 Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
10 TeachersTeacher Statistics	
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	
11 TAKS Read (1) TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	12 TAKS Read (2) TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
13 TAKS Read (3) TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	14 TAKS Read (4) TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
15 TAKS Math (1)TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	16 TAKS Math (2) TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
17 TAKS Math (3)TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	18 TAKS Math (4)TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
19 TAKS Write (1)TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	20 TAKS Write (2) TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
21 TAKS Write (3)TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	22 TAKS Write (4) TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
23 TAKS Science (1)TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	24 TAKS Science (2) TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
25 TAKS Science (3)TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	26 TAKS Science (4) TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
27 SPTAKS Read (1) Spanish TAKS Reading: % Meeting Standard	28 SPTAKS Read (2) Spanish TAKS Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
29 SPTAKS Read (3) Spanish TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	30 SPTAKS Read (4) Spanish TAKS Reading: % Commended
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS (MOD)	
31 TAKS-M Read (1)TAKS-M Reading: % Meeting Standard	32 TAKS-M Read (2) TAKS-M Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
33 TAKS-M Read (3)TAKS-M Reading: Performance by Objective	34 TAKS-M Read (4) TAKS-M Reading: Percentage Commended
35 TAKS-M Math (1) TAKS-M Math: % Meeting Standard	36 TAKS-M Math (2) TAKS-M Math: # Not Meeting Standard
37 TAKS-M Math (3) TAKS-M Math: Performance by Objective	38 TAKS-M Math (4) TAKS-M Math: Percentage Commended
ITBS/ITED/LOGRAMOS	
39 Compliance SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	40 ITBS Read (1) ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
41 ITBS Read (2)ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	42 ITBS Math (1) ITBS Math Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
43 ITBS Math (2) ITBS Math Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	44 Logramos Read (1) Logramos Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
45 Logramos Read (2) Logramos Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	
MEASURES OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
46 WMLS Woodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	47 TELPAS TX English Language Proficiency Assessment System

GEORGE PEABODY (190)

#### 2009-10 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

#### **ENROLLMENT**

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2008-09. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2007-08 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2007-08 and 2008-09.

#### **ATTENDANCE**

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

### **TEACHERS**

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

## **TAKS**

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- TAKS statistics include both TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated results.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2010, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

#### TAKS-M (Modified)

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

### SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading results for all three years are for the Language Total subtest; all other grades' results reflect Reading Total performance. (The Reading Total summary is not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergarteners in 2009.) All Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The number tested includes kindergarteners.
- Numbers tested in READING for 2007 may not match those reported in campus data packets published in the prior years. Previous totals did not consistently include students in Kindergarten, though documentation included with the reports indicated otherwise.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading and mathematics results for all three years are for the Language subtest and Mathematics subtest. All other grades' results reflect Reading Total or Mathematics Total performance. (The Reading and Mathematics Total summaries are not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergartners.) Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The "number tested" statistics include kindergartners.

#### **TELPAS**

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2008 to 2009, the TEA did not report the 2008 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2009, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2008.

### STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
PK	42
KN	78
1	80
2	80
3	77
4	70
5	68
6	74
ALL	569

#### STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stud	lents	Teachers			
Ethnicity	Number Percent		Number	Percent		
African American	10	1.8	4	11.1		
American Indian	4	0.7	*	*		
Asian	0	0.0	*	*		
Hispanic	550	96.7	11	30.6		
White	5	0.9	19	52.8		
Other	**	**	2	5.6		

<sup>\*</sup>Included in category "Other."

### STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percent
Economically disadvantaged students	544	95.6
Limited English proficient students	215	37.8
Special education students	58	10.2

<sup>\*\*</sup>All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

			African A	American	America	ın Indian	As	ian	Hisp	anic	Wh	nite
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2007	42	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	42	100.0	0	0.0
PK	2008	39	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	39	100.0	0	0.0
	2009	42	1	2.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	41	97.6	0	0.0
	2007	72	1	1.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	71	98.6	0	0.0
KN	2008	63	0	0.0	1	1.6	0	0.0	62	98.4	0	0.0
	2009	78	1	1.3	1	1.3	0	0.0	75	96.2	1	1.3
	2007	82	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	80	97.6	2	2.4
1	2008	77	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	77	100.0	0	0.0
	2009	80	1	1.3	1	1.3	0	0.0	78	97.5	0	0.0
	2007	84	3	3.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	77	91.7	4	4.8
2	2008	78	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	76	97.4	2	2.6
	2009	80	1	1.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	79	98.8	0	0.0
	2007	72	0	0.0	1	1.4	0	0.0	70	97.2	1	1.4
3	2008	71	3	4.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	67	94.4	1	1.4
	2009	77	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	74	96.1	3	3.9
	2007	81	2	2.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	79	97.5	0	0.0
4	2008	65	0	0.0	1	1.5	0	0.0	63	96.9	1	1.5
	2009	70	3	4.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	67	95.7	0	0.0
	2007	68	1	1.5	1	1.5	0	0.0	65	95.6	1	1.5
5	2008	79	3	3.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	76	96.2	0	0.0
	2009	68	0	0.0	1	1.5	0	0.0	66	97.1	1	1.5
	2007	66	1	1.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	65	98.5	0	0.0
6	2008	68	1	1.5	1	1.5	0	0.0	66	97.1	0	0.0
	2009	74	3	4.1	1	1.4	0	0.0	70	94.6	0	0.0
	2007	567	8	1.4	2	0.4	0	0.0	549	96.8	8	1.4
EC-6	2008	540	7	1.3	3	0.6	0	0.0	526	97.4	4	0.7
	2009	569	10	1.8	4	0.7	0	0.0	550	96.7	5	0.9

			Econor Disadva	mically antaged	LE	P	Special E	ducation	T	٩G	New (to D	Dallas ISD)	Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2007	42	40	95.2	26	61.9	2	4.8	0	0.0	42	100.0	0.0
PK	2008	39	38	97.4	18	46.2	2	5.1	0	0.0	39	100.0	0.0
	2009	42	41	97.6	22	52.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	42	100.0	0.0
	2007	72	71	98.6	43	59.7	2	2.8	4	5.6	34	47.2	0.0
KN	2008	63	61	96.8	41	65.1	5	7.9	6	9.5	19	30.2	0.0
	2009	78	74	94.9	32	41.0	4	5.1	2	2.6	36	46.2	0.0
	2007	82	79	96.3	35	42.7	5	6.1	9	11.0	6	7.3	8.5
1	2008	77	73	94.8	42	54.5	3	3.9	12	15.6	5	6.5	11.7
	2009	80	78	97.5	49	61.3	6	7.5	7	8.8	5	6.3	12.5
	2007	84	71	84.5	40	47.6	11	13.1	15	17.9	4	4.8	10.7
2	2008	78	75	96.2	34	43.6	7	9.0	8	10.3	4	5.1	14.1
	2009	80	78	97.5	45	56.3	6	7.5	12	15.0	7	8.8	3.8
	2007	72	69	95.8	25	34.7	8	11.1	15	20.8	1	1.4	5.6
3	2008	71	64	90.1	28	39.4	12	16.9	14	19.7	1	1.4	5.6
	2009	77	74	96.1	29	37.7	7	9.1	9	11.7	5	6.5	0.0
	2007	81	76	93.8	9	11.1	8	9.9	12	14.8	5	6.2	7.4
4	2008	65	61	93.8	6	9.2	8	12.3	16	24.6	2	3.1	1.5
	2009	70	64	91.4	23	32.9	11	15.7	15	21.4	3	4.3	1.4
	2007	68	60	88.2	11	16.2	7	10.3	8	11.8	5	7.4	2.9
5	2008	79	73	92.4	13	16.5	15	19.0	9	11.4	2	2.5	0.0
	2009	68	65	95.6	8	11.8	11	16.2	13	19.1	1	1.5	0.0
	2007	66	61	92.4	5	7.6	7	10.6	18	27.3	2	3.0	1.5
6	2008	68	62	91.2	8	11.8	8	11.8	7	10.3	5	7.4	4.4
	2009	74	70	94.6	7	9.5	13	17.6	11	14.9	2	2.7	0.0
	2007	567	527	92.9	194	34.2	50	8.8	81	14.3	99	17.5	5.1
EC-6	2008	540	507	93.9	190	35.2	60	11.1	72	13.3	77	14.3	5.2
	2009	569	544	95.6	215	37.8	58	10.2	69	12.1	101	17.8	2.5

Grade	Year	Averag Member	e Daily ship (N)	Α	verage Daily	Attendance		Yea	rly Transaction	ns		nuously led (N)	Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (N)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus	Dallas ISD
	2007	20.5	4,117.2	19.6	95.9	3,933.6	95.5	49	100.0	100.0	40	7,436	100.0	100.0
PK	2008	19.5	4,133.2	18.6	95.4	3,942.7	95.4	44	100.0	100.0	35	7,427	100.0	100.0
	2009	20.6	4,167.8	19.6	94.7	3,975.6	95.4	46	100.0	100.0	37	7,332	100.0	100.0
	2007	69.3	13,945.0	66.8	96.3	13,385.6	96.0	14	20.2	24.3	63	12,035	90.9	86.3
KN	2008	65.5	13,568.1	63.0	96.3	13,004.4	95.8	8	12.2	23.5	58	11,618	88.6	85.6
	2009	76.8	13,515.0	73.4	95.6	12,939.1	95.7	13	16.9	22.5	67	11,501	87.2	85.1
	2007	80.5	14,353.8	77.6	96.5	13,851.4	96.5	13	16.2	23.2	76	12,437	94.4	86.6
1	2008	79.9	14,626.9	76.9	96.2	14,102.7	96.4	11	13.8	21.2	68	12,704	85.1	86.9
	2009	77.7	14,250.8	74.9	96.3	13,719.9	96.3	9	11.6	20.7	73	12,300	93.9	86.3
	2007	82.9	13,403.8	80.5	97.2	12,978.9	96.8	8	9.7	21.7	78	11,729	94.1	87.5
2	2008	78.4	13,708.6	76.2	97.3	13,269.8	96.8	13	16.6	19.7	73	12,043	93.2	87.9
	2009	79.2	13,950.1	76.3	96.4	13,499.0	96.8	9	11.4	18.6	72	12,231	91.0	87.7
	2007	70.2	12,998.4	67.9	96.8	12,633.3	97.2	14	20.0	21.1	62	11,445	88.4	88.0
3	2008	73.1	12,806.9	71.1	97.3	12,425.5	97.0	5	6.8	19.1	67	11,408	91.7	89.1
	2009	76.4	13,095.5	74.2	97.1	12,710.6	97.1	6	7.9	18.3	75	11,634	98.2	88.8
	2007	80.4	12,104.9	78.2	97.2	11,768.3	97.2	14	17.4	19.7	73	10,683	90.8	88.3
4	2008	65.2	12,329.8	63.0	96.6	11,960.3	97.0	5	7.7	19.7	60	10,924	92.0	88.6
	2009	69.8	12,156.4	68.1	97.5	11,789.9	97.0	7	10.0	18.1	67	10,731	95.9	88.3
	2007	67.6	11,757.0	65.7	97.2	11,426.8	97.2	16	23.7	20.9	62	10,362	91.7	88.1
5	2008	79.9	11,874.2	77.6	97.1	11,539.6	97.2	10	12.5	18.8	74	10,608	92.6	89.3
	2009	66.9	11,903.5	65.1	97.2	11,552.9	97.1	5	7.5	17.5	63	10,563	94.1	88.7
	2007	69.5	11,264.8	67.6	97.2	10,864.3	96.4	7	10.1	21.9	62	9,788	89.2	86.9
6	2008	70.2	10,450.4	68.4	97.5	10,060.0	96.3	9	12.8	22.0	64	9,055	91.2	86.6
	2009	76.3	10,588.3	74.5	97.5	10,165.3	96.0	7	9.2	19.9	72	9,131	94.3	86.2

Attendance Student Attendance Statistics

Grade	Year	_	e Daily ship (N)	Average Daily Attendance			Yearly Transactions			Continuously Enrolled (N)		Stability Rate (%)		
		Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (N)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus	Dallas ISD
	2007	540.8	94,387.4	523.9	96.9	91,251.6	96.7	135	25.0	31.9	516	86,204	95.4	91.3
EC-6	2008	531.6	93,889.2	514.9	96.9	90,666.0	96.6	105	19.8	30.8	499	86,025	93.9	91.6
	2009	543.9	94,064.8	526.0	96.7	90,751.7	96.5	102	18.8	29.6	526	85,648	96.7	91.1

Teachers Teacher Statistics

### **DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS**

Ethnicity	Number	Percent
African American	4	11.1
Hispanic	11	30.6
White	19	52.8
Other	2	5.6

Gender	Number	Percent
Female	28	77.8
Male	8	22.2

TOTAL	0.0
TOTAL	36

### **AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES**

2007	5.5
2008	1.8
2009	5.4

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*		85.9	84.4	*	87.5	79.1		76.5	93.9	85.1	84.6	67
3	2008	*	*	93.3	96.4	*	90.9	92.9		91.9	96.2	93.7	86.2	63
	2009	*		91.1	91.1	*	*	85.2		95.2	88.5	91.5	87.3	47
	2007			86.3	86.6		*	68.4		88.6	84.2	86.3	69.7	73
4	2008	*		83.3	82.7	*	*	57.1		82.1	85.7	83.9	72.1	56
	2009		*	88.7	88.0	*	71.4	77.3		80.0	100.0	89.3	75.8	56
	2007	*	*	94.5	94.3	*	*	86.4		89.3	100.0	94.9	78.3	59
5	2008		*	96.8	96.6		100.0	90.0		96.7	97.1	96.9	82.9	64
	2009	*		94.6	94.5	*	*	85.0		93.3	96.4	94.8	82.9	58
	2007		*	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	87.2	61
6	2008			100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	87.8	59
	2009		*	96.6	96.5	*	*	88.9		93.5	100.0	96.7	86.4	61
	2007	*	*	91.3	90.8	75.0	80.0	83.0		88.5	93.8	91.2	79.9	260
3-6	2008	*	*	93.6	94.0	85.7	93.8	88.9		92.9	94.8	93.8	82.0	242
	2009	*	*	93.0	92.8	75.0	76.0	83.9		90.2	96.4	93.2	82.7	222

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-6				
2007	4	2	252	240	8	35	106	0	131	129	260	41,727
2008	2	3	235	218	7	32	99	0	126	116	242	41,175
2009	2	4	213	207	8	25	87	0	112	110	222	37,628

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*		9	10	*	3	9		8	2	10	1,675	67
3	2008	*	*	4	2	*	2	3		3	1	4	1,443	63
	2009	*		4	4	*	*	4		1	3	4	960	47
	2007			10	9		*	6		4	6	10	3,189	73
4	2008	*		9	9	*	*	6		5	4	9	2,996	56
	2009		*	6	6	*	4	5		6	0	6	2,449	56
	2007	*	*	3	3	*	*	3		3	0	3	2,258	59
5	2008		*	2	2		0	2		1	1	2	1,827	64
	2009	*		3	3	*	*	3		2	1	3	1,793	58
	2007		*	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	1,269	61
6	2008			0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	1,140	59
	2009		*	2	2	*	*	2		2	0	2	1,295	61
	2007	*	*	22	22	2	7	18		15	8	23	8,391	260
3-6	2008	*	*	15	13	1	2	11		9	6	15	7,406	242
	2009	*	*	15	15	2	6	14		11	4	15	6,497	222

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-6				
2007	4	2	252	240	8	35	106	0	131	129	260	41,727
2008	2	3	235	218	7	32	99	0	126	116	242	41,175
2009	2	4	213	207	8	25	87	0	112	110	222	37,628

### **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2007	80.5	83.8	80.6	77.4
3	2008	84.7	85.7	88.4	80.8
	2009	78.4	81.8	84.8	86.4
	2007	83.7	78.9	76.3	75.2
4	2008	86.5	81.7	81.1	79.6
	2009	88.3	81.0	72.7	85.7
	2007	78.4	86.7	82.6	80.3
5	2008	85.0	87.1	82.0	83.3
	2009	84.0	81.0	88.4	80.0
	2007	89.3	91.4	83.6	84.2
6	2008	92.6	89.0	89.6	89.0
	2009	90.2	80.5	89.3	89.2
	2007	83.0	84.9	80.6	79.1
3-6	2008	87.1	86.0	85.3	83.2
	2009	85.6	81.0	83.9	85.3

### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*		17.2	12.5	*	8.3	11.6		11.8	21.2	16.4	21.3	67
3	2008	*	*	21.7	21.8	*	9.1	14.3		24.3	19.2	22.2	21.5	63
	2009	*		31.1	33.3	*	*	25.9		28.6	34.6	31.9	34.1	47
	2007			16.4	14.9		*	5.3		20.0	13.2	16.4	16.6	73
4	2008	*		24.1	21.2	*	*	7.1		25.0	21.4	23.2	14.4	56
	2009		*	20.8	20.0	*	7.1	4.5		23.3	15.4	19.6	18.4	56
	2007	*	*	14.5	7.5	*	*	4.5		10.7	16.1	13.6	13.1	59
5	2008		*	28.6	27.6		0.0	5.0		40.0	17.6	28.1	16.5	64
	2009	*		30.4	27.3	*	*	10.0		30.0	28.6	29.3	18.5	58
	2007		*	55.0	53.6	*	*	27.3		52.9	55.6	54.1	37.4	61
6	2008			62.1	62.3	*	*	56.5		48.4	75.0	61.0	33.8	59
	2009		*	47.5	49.1	*	*	22.2		58.1	40.0	49.2	30.2	61
	2007	*	*	25.4	21.7	0.0	5.7	12.3		24.4	24.8	24.6	21.9	260
3-6	2008	*	*	34.0	33.0	0.0	9.4	21.2		34.1	32.8	33.5	21.1	242
	2009	*	*	32.9	32.9	0.0	12.0	16.1		35.7	30.0	32.9	24.6	222

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-6				
2007	4	2	252	240	8	35	106	0	131	129	260	41,727
2008	2	3	235	218	7	32	99	0	126	116	242	41,175
2009	2	4	213	207	8	25	87	0	112	110	222	37,628

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*		96.9	96.8	*	95.8	95.2		100.0	93.9	97.0	70.3	66
3	2008	*	*	100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	76.6	59
	2009	*		95.7	95.7	*	96.3	94.1		100.0	92.9	95.8	77.3	72
	2007			93.2	94.2	*	*	90.0		88.2	97.5	93.2	75.7	74
4	2008	*		90.7	90.4	*	*	78.6		89.3	92.9	91.1	77.9	56
	2009		*	94.6	94.3	*	93.3	91.3		90.6	100.0	94.9	79.4	59
	2007	*	*	91.2	92.7	*	50.0	80.0	*	87.1	96.7	91.8	82.9	61
5	2008		*	95.2	94.8		100.0	85.0		93.3	97.1	95.3	84.0	64
	2009	*		100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	87.0	58
	2007		*	89.8	89.1	*	*	71.4		90.9	88.9	90.0	70.0	60
6	2008			96.6	96.4	*	*	92.0		96.9	96.4	96.7	73.8	60
	2009		*	93.3	93.1	*	*	78.9		93.8	93.3	93.5	73.3	62
	2007	*	*	92.9	93.4	63.6	84.6	86.1	*	91.6	94.6	93.1	74.7	261
3-6	2008	*	*	95.7	95.4	83.3	96.8	91.8		95.2	96.5	95.8	78.2	239
	2009	*	*	95.9	95.8	81.8	93.9	92.0		96.0	96.1	96.0	79.3	251

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-6				
2007	2	2	254	242	11	39	108	1	131	130	261	42,324
2008	2	3	232	217	6	31	98	0	125	114	239	42,082
2009	3	4	242	236	11	49	113	0	124	127	251	43,106

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 67% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*		2	2	*	1	2		0	2	2	3,303	66
3	2008	*	*	0	0	*	0	0		0	0	0	2,542	59
	2009	*		3	3	*	1	3		0	3	3	2,781	72
	2007			5	4	*	*	2		4	1	5	2,604	74
4	2008	*		5	5	*	*	3		3	2	5	2,436	56
	2009		*	3	3	*	1	2		3	0	3	2,196	59
	2007	*	*	5	4	*	4	5	*	4	1	5	1,785	61
5	2008		*	3	3		0	3		2	1	3	1,730	64
	2009	*		0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	1,386	58
	2007		*	6	6	*	*	6		3	3	6	3,012	60
6	2008			2	2	*	*	2		1	1	2	2,460	60
	2009		*	4	4	*	*	4		2	2	4	2,552	62
	2007	*	*	18	16	4	6	15	*	11	7	18	10,704	261
3-6	2008	*	*	10	10	1	1	8		6	4	10	9,168	239
	2009	*	*	10	10	2	3	9		5	5	10	8,915	251

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-6				
2007	2	2	254	242	11	39	108	1	131	130	261	42,324
2008	2	3	232	217	6	31	98	0	125	114	239	42,082
2009	3	4	242	236	11	49	113	0	124	127	251	43,106

### **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2007	86.2	89.1	89.6	87.6	88.3	77.8
3	2008	94.9	95.8	96.6	94.6	97.5	80.1
	2009	87.8	89.6	93.1	93.1	93.1	80.4
	2007	86.2	83.8	85.8	80.2	76.4	81.3
4	2008	88.8	86.2	89.0	88.4	84.4	78.3
	2009	94.0	88.9	84.7	89.5	86.9	85.2
	2007	87.0	80.3	88.8	82.7	80.3	82.6
5	2008	86.4	83.9	90.8	83.3	76.2	86.1
	2009	88.9	87.4	87.9	87.2	90.5	89.7
	2007	82.7	76.7	86.9	79.7	80.3	84.8
6	2008	91.3	91.3	91.4	85.7	86.7	87.6
	2009	85.8	89.4	85.0	79.0	90.1	86.9
	2007	85.6	82.7	87.7	82.5	81.2	81.5
3-6	2008	90.3	89.2	92.0	87.9	86.0	83.2
	2009	89.0	88.9	87.9	87.4	90.3	85.3

GEORGE PEABODY (190)

### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*		37.5	36.5	*	25.0	19.0		45.5	30.3	37.9	19.2	66
3	2008	*	*	67.9	69.2	*	75.0	64.1		65.7	70.8	67.8	26.3	59
	2009	*		50.0	52.9	*	59.3	45.1		56.7	47.6	51.4	29.0	72
	2007			23.0	21.7	*	*	0.0		38.2	10.0	23.0	22.6	74
4	2008	*		42.6	42.3	*	*	28.6		46.4	39.3	42.9	23.8	56
	2009		*	57.1	54.7	*	46.7	39.1		59.4	51.9	55.9	31.6	59
	2007	*	*	40.4	40.0	*	0.0	16.0	*	32.3	50.0	41.0	29.3	61
5	2008		*	46.0	46.6		14.3	10.0		60.0	35.3	46.9	31.9	64
	2009	*		51.8	50.9	*	*	25.0		60.0	46.4	53.4	38.4	58
	2007		*	42.4	40.0	*	*	23.8		39.4	44.4	41.7	26.5	60
6	2008			67.8	67.3	*	*	60.0		65.6	67.9	66.7	31.0	60
	2009		*	60.0	60.3	*	*	21.1		68.8	50.0	59.7	29.0	62
	2007	*	*	35.0	33.9	9.1	15.4	15.7	*	38.9	31.5	35.2	24.3	261
3-6	2008	*	*	56.0	56.2	66.7	54.8	46.9		60.0	51.8	56.1	28.1	239
	2009	*	*	54.5	54.7	27.3	49.0	36.3		61.3	48.8	55.0	32.0	251

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-6				
2007	2	2	254	242	11	39	108	1	131	130	261	42,324
2008	2	3	232	217	6	31	98	0	125	114	239	42,082
2009	3	4	242	236	11	49	113	0	124	127	251	43,106

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			98.6	98.5		*	94.7		97.1	100.0	98.6	86.5	72
4	2008	*		100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	87.4	55
	2009	·	*	94.5	96.1	*	86.7	90.5		93.5	96.2	94.7	87.4	57

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2007	0	0	72	66	0	5	19	0	34	38	72	10,408
2008	1	0	53	51	1	2	14	0	27	28	55	10,658
2009	0	2	55	51	1	15	21	0	31	26	57	10,032

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			1	1		*	1		1	0	1	1,408	72
4	2008	*		0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	1,348	55
	2009		*	3	2	*	2	2		2	1	3	1,264	57

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2007	0	0	72	66	0	5	19	0	34	38	72	10,408
2008	1	0	53	51	1	2	14	0	27	28	55	10,658
2009	0	2	55	51	1	15	21	0	31	26	57	10,032

### **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions <sup>1</sup>	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2007	2.3	89.2	86.6	94.8	90.5
4	2008	2.5	85.5	90.0	92.0	88.2
	2009	2.5	95.6	90.4	93.6	94.7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			26.4	27.3		*	10.5		32.4	21.1	26.4	18.2	72
4	2008	*		37.7	35.3	*	*	35.7		44.4	32.1	38.2	21.4	55
	2009	·	*	50.9	51.0	*	13.3	28.6		51.6	53.8	52.6	21.0	57

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2007	0	0	72	66	0	5	19	0	34	38	72	10,408
2008	1	0	53	51	1	2	14	0	27	28	55	10,658
2009	0	2	55	51	1	15	21	0	31	26	57	10,032

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	*	92.7	92.5		*	86.4		89.7	96.6	93.1	62.3	58
5	2008		*	93.4	92.9		100.0	89.5		96.7	90.6	93.5	71.9	62
	2009	*		92.7	92.7	*	*	85.0		100.0	85.2	93.0	75.9	57

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2007	1	1	55	53	0	5	22	0	29	29	58	10,296
2008	0	1	61	56	0	7	19	0	30	32	62	10,686
2009	1	0	55	55	1	5	20	0	30	27	57	10,550

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 55 percent, the 2010 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	*	4	4		*	3		3	1	4	3,881	58
5	2008		*	4	4		0	2		1	3	4	3,005	62
	2009	*		4	4	*	*	3		0	4	4	2,542	57

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2007	1	1	55	53	0	5	22	0	29	29	58	10,296
2008	0	1	61	56	0	7	19	0	30	32	62	10,686
2009	1	0	55	55	1	5	20	0	30	27	57	10,550

### **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Life Sciences	Physical Sciences	Earth Sciences
	2007	88.7	90.4	88.3	87.2
5	2008	91.3	93.2	90.0	79.7
	2009	93.8	96.1	93.0	80.3

### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	*	49.1	45.3		*	18.2		44.8	51.7	48.3	18.0	58
5	2008		*	42.6	46.4		42.9	21.1		50.0	37.5	43.5	24.8	62
	2009	*		61.8	60.0	*	*	50.0		73.3	44.4	59.6	29.1	57

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2007	1	1	55	53	0	5	22	0	29	29	58	10,296
2008	0	1	61	56	0	7	19	0	30	32	62	10,686
2009	1	0	55	55	1	5	20	0	30	27	57	10,550

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												82.9	0
3	2008			*	*		*	*		*	*	*	85.4	2
	2009			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	89.4	25
	2007												65.9	0
4	2008												67.0	0
	2009			*	*		*	*			*	*	72.7	1
	2007												*	0
6	2008												18.4	0
	2009			*	*		*				*	*	57.1	1
	2007													0
ALL	2008			*	*		*	*		*	*	*		2
	2009			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0		27

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN GRAD	ES ALL				
2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2008	0	0	2	2	0	1	2	0	1	1	2	
2009	0	0	27	27	0	26	25	0	7	20	27	

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												219	0
3	2008			*	*		*	*		*	*	*	239	2
	2009			0	0		0	0		0	0	0	514	25
	2007												122	0
4	2008												215	0
	2009			*	*		*	*			*	*	268	1
	2007												*	0
6	2008												40	0
	2009			*	*		*				*	*	3	1
	2007													0
ALL	2008			*	*		*	*		*	*	*		2
	2009			0	0		0	0		0	0	0		27

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN GRAD	ES ALL		0 0 0 1 1 2			
2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
2008	0	0	2	2	0	1	2	0	1	1	2		
2009	0	0	27	27	0	26	25	0	7	20	27		

### **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2007				
3	2008	*	*	*	*
	2009	88.3	94.3	88.7	93.5
	2007				
4	2008				
	2009	*	*	*	*
	2007				
6	2008				
	2009	*	*	*	*
	2007				
ALL	2008	*	*	*	*
	2009	87.4	93.3	88.6	92.8

### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												14.8	0
3	2008			*	*		*	*		*	*	*	17.2	2
	2009			60.0	60.0		58.3	58.3		57.1	61.1	60.0	30.6	25
	2007												14.2	0
4	2008												13.5	0
	2009			*	*		*	*			*	*	21.1	1
	2007												*	0
6	2008												4.1	0
	2009			*	*		*				*	*	14.3	1
	2007													0
ALL	2008			*	*		*	*		*	*	*		2
	2009			59.3	59.3		57.7	60.0		57.1	60.0	59.3		27

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN ALL G	RADES				
2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2008	0	0	2	2	0	1	2	0	1	1	2	
2009	0	0	27	27	0	26	25	0	7	20	27	

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	85.7	87.5	87.5	*	85.7		*	*	87.5	77.7	8
	2009	*		*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	87.7	5
4	2008			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	70.7	5
	2009			87.5	87.5	87.5	*	83.3		*	*	87.5	71.8	8
5	2008		*	88.9	90.0	90.0	*	88.9		*	*	90.0	73.3	10
	2009			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	80.3	5
6	2008			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	68.6	4
	2009		*	85.7	75.0	75.0	*	75.0		*	*	75.0	70.9	8
3-6	2008		*	84.0	85.2	85.2	83.3	87.5		92.3	78.6	85.2	72.3	27
	2009	*	*	91.7	88.0	88.5	86.7	87.5		93.8	80.0	88.5	77.1	26

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-6													
2008	0	2	25	27	27	12	24	0	13	14	27	2,463			
2009	1	1	24	25	26	15	24	0	16	10	26	2,493			

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	1	1	1	*	1		*	*	1	116	8
	2009	*		*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	60	5
4	2008			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	181	5
	2009			1	1	1	*	1		*	*	1	192	8
5	2008		*	1	1	1	*	1		*	*	1	179	10
	2009			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	137	5
6	2008			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	206	4
	2009		*	1	2	2	*	2		*	*	2	182	8
3-6	2008		*	4	4	4	2	3		1	3	4	682	27
	2009	*	*	2	3	3	2	3		1	2	3	571	26

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-6													
2008	0	2	25	27	27	12	24	0	13	14	27	2,463			
2009	1	1	24	25	26	15	24	0	16	10	26	2,493			

### **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
3	2008	69.2	83.3	72.5	68.8
	2009	*	*	*	*
4	2008	*	*	*	*
	2009	67.7	64.6	58.3	65.6
5	2008	80.0	78.3	81.7	76.4
	2009	*	*	*	*
6	2008	*	*	*	*
	2009	72.7	85.4	77.1	65.9
3-6	2008	74.2	74.7	71.5	69.3
	2009	72.8	77.6	73.7	68.3

### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	14.3	25.0	25.0	*	28.6		*	*	25.0	10.8	8
	2009	*		*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	16.2	5
4	2008			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	9.7	5
	2009			12.5	12.5	12.5	*	16.7		*	*	12.5	8.9	8
5	2008		*	44.4	40.0	40.0	*	44.4		*	*	40.0	14.9	10
	2009			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	14.1	5
6	2008			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	7.3	4
	2009		*	14.3	12.5	12.5	*	12.5		*	*	12.5	7.5	8
3-6	2008		*	28.0	29.6	29.6	8.3	33.3		15.4	42.9	29.6	10.7	27
	2009	*	*	20.8	20.0	23.1	20.0	25.0		25.0	20.0	23.1	11.4	26

	NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-6														
2008	0	2	25	27	27	12	24	0	13	14	27	2,463			
2009	1	1	24	25	26	15	24	0	16	10	26	2,493			

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	88.9	90.0	90.0	83.3	88.9		*	*	90.0	76.1	10
	2009	*		*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	81.2	4
4	2008			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	69.1	5
	2009			75.0	75.0	75.0	*	66.7		*	*	75.0	75.8	8
5	2008		*	88.9	90.0	90.0	*	88.9		*	*	90.0	64.0	10
	2009			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	80.2	5
6	2008			*	*	*	*	*			*	*	57.0	3
	2009		*	83.3	85.7	85.7	*	85.7		*	*	85.7	64.0	7
3-6	2008		*	88.5	88.9	89.3	83.3	87.5		92.3	86.7	89.3	66.2	28
	2009	*	*	77.3	78.3	79.2	71.4	77.3		78.6	80.0	79.2	75.2	24

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-6													
2008	0	2	26	27	28	12	24	0	13	15	28	2,335			
2009	1	1	22	23	24	14	22	0	14	10	24	2,313			

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	1	1	1	1	1		*	*	1	129	10
	2009	*		*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	85	4
4	2008			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	173	5
	2009			2	2	2	*	2		*	*	2	157	8
5	2008		*	1	1	1	*	1		*	*	1	227	10
	2009			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	127	5
6	2008			*	*	*	*	*			*	*	260	3
	2009		*	1	1	1	*	1		*	*	1	205	7
3-6	2008		*	3	3	3	2	3		1	2	3	789	28
	2009	*	*	5	5	5	4	5		3	2	5	574	24

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-6													
2008	0	2	26	27	28	12	24	0	13	15	28	2,335			
2009	1	1	22	23	24	14	22	0	14	10	24	2,313			

### **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
3	2008	85.0	68.0	82.0	86.0	80.0	70.0
	2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2009	70.8	56.3	47.5	60.0	50.0	47.9
5	2008	61.1	61.7	61.7	70.0	63.3	70.0
	2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
6	2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2009	64.3	59.2	61.9	67.9	71.4	44.9
3-6	2008	68.6	60.5	73.3	73.2	72.4	65.7
	2009	72.2	64.2	61.4	69.7	72.2	55.3

#### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	55.6	60.0	60.0	50.0	66.7		*	*	60.0	16.1	10
	2009	*		*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	22.3	4
4	2008			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	14.3	5
	2009			25.0	25.0	25.0	*	16.7		*	*	25.0	14.0	8
5	2008		*	33.3	30.0	30.0	*	33.3		*	*	30.0	14.0	10
	2009			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	17.2	5
6	2008			*	*	*	*	*			*	*	6.4	3
	2009		*	16.7	14.3	14.3	*	14.3		*	*	14.3	8.4	7
3-6	2008		*	38.5	40.7	39.3	33.3	45.8		30.8	46.7	39.3	12.6	28
	2009	*	*	31.8	30.4	33.3	28.6	31.8		35.7	30.0	33.3	15.1	24

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-6				
2008	0	2	26	27	28	12	24	0	13	15	28	2,335
2009	1	1	22	23	24	14	22	0	14	10	24	2,313

### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the IOWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS

			READIN	IG TOTAL (	**LANGUAGE	≣)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	20.0	60.0	48.6	46.9	35
K**	2008	100.0	90.9	92.9	57.6	28
	2009	*	52.5	48.8	41.9	43
	2007	28.6	69.4	58.0	51.4	50
1	2008	55.6	50.0	51.3	50.2	39
	2009	40.0	72.2	57.6	54.2	33
	2007	20.0	57.7	32.1	44.5	81
2	2008	40.0	73.7	53.1	50.2	49
	2009	17.4	76.5	42.5	47.9	40
	2007	21.7	64.5	42.0	47.6	131
1-2	2008	43.6	59.2	52.3	50.2	88
	2009	26.3	74.3	49.3	51.0	73

	2007	79	87	166	24,696	
Number Tested	2008	45	71	116	21,817	
Toolog	2009	41	75	116	21,148	

			MATHEMAT	ICS TOTAL	(**MATHMA	TICS)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	71.1	84.0	75.7	44.3	70
K**	2008	92.5	78.3	87.3	44.9	63
	2009	78.8	66.7	72.0	52.8	75
	2007					0
1	2008					0
	2009					0
	2007	63.6	84.6	70.4	55.6	81
2	2008	65.5	75.0	67.9	57.5	78
	2009	34.8	76.5	52.5	53.2	40
	2007	61.9	70.8	65.8	49.1	202
K-2	2008	79.9	70.7	76.7	52.7	219
	2009	60.7	69.5	65.2	52.3	115

	2007	113	89	202	34,441	
Number Tested	2008	144	75	219	41,070	
100104	2009	56	59	115	30,563	

### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		*	50.0	48.5		*	20.0		37.5	57.9	48.6	46.9	35
K**	2008			92.6	92.6		85.7	100.0		86.7	100.0	92.9	57.6	28
	2009	*	*	52.5	47.5	*	*	*		45.5	52.4	48.8	41.9	43
	2007	*		57.1	62.2	*	*	28.6		56.5	59.3	58.0	51.4	50
1	2008			51.3	54.3		*	55.6		33.3	66.7	51.3	50.2	39
	2009		*	58.1	57.6		44.4	40.0		50.0	63.2	57.6	54.2	33
	2007	*	*	31.1	30.4	20.0	13.2	20.0		40.4	20.6	32.1	44.5	81
2	2008	*		51.1	50.0	50.0	0.0	40.0		60.9	46.2	53.1	50.2	49
	2009		*	43.6	42.1	*	0.0	17.4		37.5	45.8	42.5	47.9	40
	2007	*	*	41.5	43.0	35.7	12.2	21.7		45.7	37.7	42.0	47.6	131
1-2	2008	*		51.2	51.9	50.0	18.2	43.6		48.8	55.3	52.3	50.2	88
	2009		*	50.0	49.3	*	23.5	26.3		43.3	53.5	49.3	51.0	73

	2007	5	4	157	147	14	46	79	0	86	80	166	24,696
Number Tested	2008	2	0	113	108	6	18	45	0	56	60	116	21,817
Toolog	2009	1	3	110	111	5	18	41	0	52	64	116	21,148

### NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		*	8	7		*	1		1	7	8	1,245	35
K**	2008			12	12		3	3		5	7	12	1,687	28
	2009	*	*	2	2	*	*	*		0	2	2	771	43
	2007	*		6	7	*	*	1		3	4	7	1,458	50
1	2008			8	7		*	2		1	7	8	1,555	39
	2009		*	11	11		2	3		4	7	11	1,659	33
	2007	*	*	3	1	0	0	0		3	0	3	896	81
2	2008	*		3	3	1	0	1		4	0	4	1,065	49
	2009		*	3	2	*	0	0		0	3	3	1,027	40
	2007	*	*	9	8	2	0	1		6	4	10	2,355	131
1-2	2008	*		11	10	1	1	3		5	7	12	2,620	88
	2009		*	14	13	*	2	3		4	10	14	2,688	73

	2007	5	4	157	147	14	46	79	0	86	80	166	24,696
Number Tested	2008	2	0	113	108	6	18	45	0	56	60	116	21,817
Toolog	2009	1	3	110	111	5	18	41	0	52	64	116	21,148

### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		*	75.4	75.4	*	68.3	71.1		67.7	82.1	75.7	44.3	70
K**	2008			87.1	86.9	*	88.1	92.5		83.3	92.6	87.3	44.9	63
	2009	*	*	72.2	71.8	*	84.4	78.8		58.8	82.9	72.0	52.8	75
	2007	*	*	71.6	69.6	60.0	65.8	63.6		72.3	67.6	70.4	55.6	81
2	2008	*		68.4	68.0	57.1	76.5	65.5		68.6	67.4	67.9	57.5	78
	2009		*	51.3	52.6	*	25.0	34.8		62.5	45.8	52.5	53.2	40
	2007	50.0	*	66.1	66.8	68.8	65.4	61.9		64.4	67.3	65.8	49.1	202
K-2	2008	*		76.9	77.0	73.3	84.2	79.9		74.1	79.3	76.7	52.7	219
	2009	*	*	64.9	65.1	33.3	72.5	60.7		60.0	69.2	65.2	52.3	115

	2007	6	4	192	184	16	81	113	0	101	101	202	34,441
Number Tested	2008	2	0	216	209	15	120	144	0	108	111	219	41,070
Toolog	2009	1	2	111	109	6	40	56	0	50	65	115	30,563

### NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		*	30	30	*	20	22		9	21	30	2,583	70
K**	2008			48	46	*	32	32		25	23	48	2,788	63
	2009	*	*	24	24	*	17	17		8	17	25	2,455	75
	2007	*	*	16	15	1	6	8		11	6	17	2,098	81
2	2008	*		14	14	1	6	8		7	7	14	2,912	78
	2009		*	6	6	*	0	1		3	3	6	1,686	40
	2007	0	*	48	47	3	26	30		21	28	49	6,450	202
K-2	2008	*		88	84	6	54	58		40	48	88	8,500	219
	2009	*	*	30	30	0	17	18		11	20	31	5,718	115

	2007	6	4	192	184	16	81	113	0	101	101	202	34,441
Number Tested	2008	2	0	216	209	15	120	144	0	108	111	219	41,070
Tostoa	2009	1	2	111	109	6	40	56	0	50	65	115	30,563

Logramos Read (1)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			94.4	94.4	*	94.4	94.3		93.8	95.0	94.4	84.7	36
К	2008			94.3	94.1	*	94.3	94.1		90.5	100.0	94.3	87.0	35
	2009			90.0	89.7		90.0	93.1		80.0	95.0	90.0	88.6	30
	2007			93.5	93.5	*	93.5	93.5		84.6	100.0	93.5	84.5	31
1	2008			97.4	97.3	*	97.4	100.0		100.0	95.0	97.4	88.0	38
	2009			97.5	97.4	*	97.4	97.4		95.8	100.0	97.5	88.6	40
	2007			*	*		*	*			*	*	89.9	1
2	2008			100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	93.4	29
	2009			94.4	94.4	*	94.4	97.1		100.0	87.5	94.4	94.0	36
	2007			94.1	94.1	*	94.1	94.0		89.7	97.4	94.1	85.6	68
K-2	2008			97.1	97.0	87.5	97.0	98.0		96.1	98.0	97.1	89.3	102
	2009			94.3	94.2	85.7	94.3	96.1		94.4	94.2	94.3	90.4	106

	2007	0	0	68	68	3	68	67	0	29	39	68	14,889
Number Tested	2008	0	0	102	100	8	101	98	0	51	51	102	18,257
Tostoa	2009	0	0	106	103	7	105	103	0	54	52	106	19,076

Logramos Read (2)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

### NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			31	31	*	31	31		14	17	31	2,779	36
K	2008			31	30	*	31	31		18	13	31	3,280	35
	2009			27	26		27	27		8	19	27	3,525	30
	2007			12	12	*	12	12		5	7	12	1,782	31
1	2008			24	24	*	24	24		9	15	24	2,412	38
	2009			27	26	*	27	27		16	11	27	2,523	40
	2007			*	*		*	*			*	*	1,196	1
2	2008			16	16	*	15	15		7	9	16	2,596	29
	2009			19	19	*	19	19		7	12	19	2,909	36
	2007			44	44	*	44	44		19	25	44	5,757	68
K-2	2008			71	70	6	70	70		34	37	71	8,288	102
	2009			73	71	4	73	73		31	42	73	8,957	106

	2007	0	0	68	68	3	68	67	0	29	39	68	14,889
Number Tested	2008	0	0	102	100	8	101	98	0	51	51	102	18,257
restea	2009	0	0	106	103	7	105	103	0	54	52	106	19,076

### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1	in 2008	Level 2	in 2008	Level 3	in 2008	Levels 1-	3 in 2008
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2009						
4	1	0.0	1	0.0	9	11.1	11	9.1
6	3	0.0	0	-	0	-	3	0.0
ALL	4	0.0	1	0.0	9	11.1	14	7.1

#### **PERFORMANCE IN 2009**

#### Composite Grade Domain: Listening Speaking Writing Reading 2009 Level (N Rated) Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % 21 31 96.9 31 96.9 31 96.9 Beginning 65.6 65.6 KN 7 7 21.9 0 0.0 0 Intermediate 21.9 0.0 0 0.0 Advanced 12.5 4 12.5 1 3.1 3.1 1 3.1 (32)Advanced High 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 65.3 34 38 77.6 34 69.4 Beginning 69.4 69.4 1 7 Intermediate 8 4 8.2 6 12.2 6 12.2 16.3 14.3 3 2 Advanced 8.2 6.1 4.1 8.2 8.2 (49)Advanced High 5 10.2 5 10.2 5 10.2 5 10.2 5 10.2 Beginning 17.8 9 20.0 15 33.3 0.0 0 0.0 2 44.4 18 37.8 Intermediate 40.0 17 10 22.2 12 26.7 Advanced 10 22.2 11 24.4 8 17.8 22 48.9 24 53.3 (45)Advanced High 15.6 7 15.6 5 13 28.9 9 20.0 11.1 Beginning 13.3 5 16.7 9 30.0 2 6.7 2 6.7 3 Intermediate 12 40.0 12 40.0 10 33.3 3 10.0 4 13.3 23.3 26.7 36.7 46.7 Advanced 26.7 8 11 14 (30)3 Advanced High 6 6 20.0 10.0 14 46.7 10 33.3 20.0 2 8.7 17.4 Beginning 8.7 4 4.3 4.3 4 Intermediate 4.3 2 8.7 3 13.0 21.7 5 21.7 6 7 30.4 Advanced 26.1 6 26.1 6 26.1 6 26.1 (23)

60.9

13

56.5

39.1

11

47.8

## PROGRESSION FROM 2008 TO 2009

Number Rated Both Years			2008	Level	
N (%) Progressed	2009 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High
	Beginning		-	-	
	Intermediate	-		-	
_	Advanced	-	-	-	=.
	Advanced High	-	-	-	-
	Beginning		3	3	
48	Intermediate	4		2	
12 (25.0%)	Advanced	2	1	,	I
	Advanced High	0	3	2	2
	Beginning		(	)	
45	Intermediate	10		2	
42 (93.3%)	Advanced	13	10	,	I
	Advanced High	5	3	,	1
	Beginning		2	2	
28	Intermediate	0		3	
13 (46.4%)	Advanced	0	4	1	0
	Advanced High	0	0	Ç	9
	Beginning		(	)	
21	Intermediate	2		2	
13 (61.9%)	Advanced	0	0	(	6
	Advanced High	0	2	Ç	9

Advanced High

11

47.8

#### **PERFORMANCE IN 2009**

Grade	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	ıking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Com	posite
(N Rated)	2009 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
5	Intermediate	2	25.0	2	25.0	2	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
(8)	Advanced	5	62.5	5	62.5	5	62.5	2	25.0	2	25.0
	Advanced High	1	12.5	1	12.5	1	12.5	6	75.0	6	75.0
	Beginning	68	35.4	72	37.5	99	51.6	69	35.9	69	35.9
ALL	Intermediate	52	27.1	49	25.5	38	19.8	25	13.0	28	14.6
(192)	Advanced	37	19.3	37	19.3	32	16.7	47	24.5	52	27.1
	Advanced High	35	18.2	34	17.7	23	12.0	51	26.6	43	22.4

# PROGRESSION FROM 2008 TO 2009

Number Rated Both Years			2008	Level	
N (%) Progressed	2009 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High
	Beginning		(	)	
8	Intermediate	0		0	
8 (100.0%)	Advanced	0	2	(	)
	Advanced High	0	1	Ę	5
	Beginning		3	6	
153	Intermediate	16		9	
90 (58.8%)	Advanced	15	17	1	8
	Advanced High	5	10	2	7

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2008 to 2009.