Campus Data Packet

for 2009 - 10 Plans



ROGER Q. MILLS

School Number 186

The information in this packet is based on data from the 2008-09 school year.

This packet contains corrected statistics for norm-referenced assessments (ITBS and Logramos), including the SCE Compliance report. PSAT reports now contain the average score for all students in a grade.



2009-10 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2 ContentsTable of Contents	3 Notes Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
5 SummarySummary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6 Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7 Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8 Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
9 Teachers Teacher Statistics	
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	
10 TAKS Read (1) TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	11 TAKS Read (2) TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
12 TAKS Read (3) TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	13 TAKS Read (4) TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
14 TAKS Math (1)TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	15 TAKS Math (2) TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
16 TAKS Math (3)TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	17 TAKS Math (4)TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
18 TAKS Write (1)TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	19 TAKS Write (2) TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
20 TAKS Write (3) TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	21 TAKS Write (4) TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
22 TAKS Science (1)TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	23 TAKS Science (2) TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
24 TAKS Science (3)TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	25 TAKS Science (4) TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
26 SPTAKS Read (1) Spanish TAKS Reading: % Meeting Standard	27 SPTAKS Read (2) Spanish TAKS Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
28 SPTAKS Read (3) Spanish TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	29 SPTAKS Read (4) Spanish TAKS Reading: % Commended
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS (MOD)	
30 TAKS-M Read (1)TAKS-M Reading: % Meeting Standard	31 TAKS-M Read (2) TAKS-M Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
32 TAKS-M Read (3) TAKS-M Reading: Performance by Objective	33 TAKS-M Read (4) TAKS-M Reading: Percentage Commended
34 TAKS-M Math (1) TAKS-M Math: % Meeting Standard	35 TAKS-M Math (2) TAKS-M Math: # Not Meeting Standard
36 TAKS-M Math (3) TAKS-M Math: Performance by Objective	37 TAKS-M Math (4) TAKS-M Math: Percentage Commended
ITBS/ITED/LOGRAMOS	
38 Compliance SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	39 ITBS Read (1) ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
40 ITBS Read (2)ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	41 ITBS Math (1) ITBS Math Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
42 ITBS Math (2) ITBS Math Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	43 Logramos Read (1) Logramos Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
44 Logramos Read (2) Logramos Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	
MEASURES OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
45 WMLS Woodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	46 TELPAS TX English Language Proficiency Assessment System

2009-10 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2008-09. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2007-08 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2007-08 and 2008-09.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

TAKS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- TAKS statistics include both TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated results.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2010, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

TAKS-M (Modified)

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading results for all three years are for the Language Total subtest; all other grades' results reflect Reading Total performance. (The Reading Total summary is not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergarteners in 2009.) All Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The number tested includes kindergarteners.
- Numbers tested in READING for 2007 may not match those reported in campus data packets published in the prior years. Previous totals did not consistently include students in Kindergarten, though documentation included with the reports indicated otherwise.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading and mathematics results for all three years are for the Language subtest and Mathematics subtest. All other grades' results reflect Reading Total or Mathematics Total performance. (The Reading and Mathematics Total summaries are not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergartners.) Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The "number tested" statistics include kindergartners.

TELPAS

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2008 to 2009, the TEA did not report the 2008 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2009, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2008.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
EC	6
PK	52
KN	48
1	72
2	50
3	63
4	58
5	39
ALL	388

STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stud	lents	Teachers				
Ethnicity	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
African American	171	44.1	13	44.8			
American Indian	0	0.0	*	*			
Asian	0	0.0	*	*			
Hispanic	213	54.9	12	41.4			
White	4	1.0	4	13.8			
Other	**	**	0	0.0			

^{*}Included in category "Other."

STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percent
Economically disadvantaged students	379	97.7
Limited English proficient students	159	41.0
Special education students	33	8.5

^{**}All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

			African American		America	ın Indian	As	ian	Hisp	anic	White	
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2007	51	24	47.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	25	49.0	2	3.9
PK	2008	52	25	48.1	1	1.9	0	0.0	26	50.0	0	0.0
	2009	52	16	30.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	36	69.2	0	0.0
	2007	46	21	45.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	25	54.3	0	0.0
KN	2008	55	21	38.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	34	61.8	0	0.0
	2009	48	23	47.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	25	52.1	0	0.0
	2007	55	24	43.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	31	56.4	0	0.0
1	2008	65	31	47.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	34	52.3	0	0.0
	2009	72	32	44.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	40	55.6	0	0.0
	2007	62	31	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	31	50.0	0	0.0
2	2008	60	30	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	30	50.0	0	0.0
	2009	50	25	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	25	50.0	0	0.0
	2007	56	25	44.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	31	55.4	0	0.0
3	2008	63	21	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	42	66.7	0	0.0
	2009	63	31	49.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	30	47.6	2	3.2
	2007	50	25	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	25	50.0	0	0.0
4	2008	49	22	44.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	27	55.1	0	0.0
	2009	58	23	39.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	34	58.6	1	1.7
	2007	55	30	54.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	25	45.5	0	0.0
5	2008	60	33	55.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	27	45.0	0	0.0
	2009	39	17	43.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	21	53.8	1	2.6
	2007	382	186	48.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	194	50.8	2	0.5
EC-5	2008	408	186	45.6	1	0.2	0	0.0	221	54.2	0	0.0
	2009	388	171	44.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	213	54.9	4	1.0

				mically antaged	LE	ĒP	Special E	ducation	T	AG	New (to Dallas ISD)		Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2007	51	51	100.0	19	37.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	51	100.0	0.0
PK	2008	52	52	100.0	22	42.3	3	5.8	0	0.0	52	100.0	0.0
	2009	52	52	100.0	31	59.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	52	100.0	0.0
	2007	46	46	100.0	22	47.8	1	2.2	0	0.0	14	30.4	0.0
KN	2008	55	55	100.0	25	45.5	0	0.0	2	3.6	14	25.5	3.6
	2009	48	47	97.9	23	47.9	2	4.2	0	0.0	12	25.0	0.0
	2007	55	55	100.0	26	47.3	3	5.5	2	3.6	4	7.3	12.7
1	2008	65	64	98.5	28	43.1	3	4.6	0	0.0	12	18.5	7.7
	2009	72	71	98.6	30	41.7	4	5.6	3	4.2	4	5.6	15.3
	2007	62	59	95.2	25	40.3	6	9.7	2	3.2	6	9.7	4.8
2	2008	60	57	95.0	25	41.7	6	10.0	2	3.3	6	10.0	3.3
	2009	50	49	98.0	21	42.0	3	6.0	0	0.0	1	2.0	10.0
	2007	56	51	91.1	27	48.2	0	0.0	5	8.9	5	8.9	5.4
3	2008	63	60	95.2	36	57.1	5	7.9	3	4.8	7	11.1	12.7
	2009	63	59	93.7	24	38.1	7	11.1	3	4.8	8	12.7	6.3
	2007	50	47	94.0	11	22.0	6	12.0	4	8.0	4	8.0	2.0
4	2008	49	47	95.9	12	24.5	6	12.2	4	8.2	5	10.2	0.0
	2009	58	56	96.6	23	39.7	6	10.3	4	6.9	4	6.9	8.6
	2007	55	53	96.4	7	12.7	11	20.0	10	18.2	8	14.5	1.8
5	2008	60	56	93.3	13	21.7	6	10.0	6	10.0	9	15.0	1.7
	2009	39	39	100.0	7	17.9	6	15.4	5	12.8	2	5.1	2.6
	2007	382	369	96.6	137	35.9	34	8.9	23	6.0	95	24.9	5.0
EC-5	2008	408	395	96.8	161	39.5	33	8.1	17	4.2	106	26.0	5.4
	2009	388	379	97.7	159	41.0	33	8.5	15	3.9	86	22.2	7.7

Grade	Year	Averag Member	e Daily ship (N)	А	verage Daily	Attendance		Yea	rly Transaction	ns		uously ed (N)	Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (N)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus	Dallas ISD
	2007	25.2	4,117.2	23.3	92.3	3,933.6	95.5	66	100.0	100.0	40	7,436	100.0	100.0
PK	2008	24.7	4,133.2	23.1	93.4	3,942.7	95.4	64	100.0	100.0	42	7,427	100.0	100.0
	2009	26.1	4,167.8	25.0	95.7	3,975.6	95.4	63	100.0	100.0	47	7,332	100.0	100.0
	2007	47.7	13,945.0	45.3	94.9	13,385.6	96.0	25	52.4	24.3	38	12,035	79.7	86.3
KN	2008	51.6	13,568.1	49.7	96.2	13,004.4	95.8	14	27.1	23.5	44	11,618	85.2	85.6
	2009	51.3	13,515.0	48.9	95.3	12,939.1	95.7	15	29.2	22.5	38	11,501	74.1	85.1
	2007	57.6	14,353.8	54.5	94.7	13,851.4	96.5	17	29.5	23.2	45	12,437	78.2	86.6
1	2008	60.6	14,626.9	57.6	95.0	14,102.7	96.4	25	41.3	21.2	47	12,704	77.6	86.9
	2009	70.5	14,250.8	67.7	96.0	13,719.9	96.3	21	29.8	20.7	54	12,300	76.6	86.3
	2007	67.6	13,403.8	64.2	95.0	12,978.9	96.8	23	34.0	21.7	54	11,729	79.9	87.5
2	2008	54.6	13,708.6	52.4	96.1	13,269.8	96.8	19	34.8	19.7	46	12,043	84.3	87.9
	2009	53.5	13,950.1	51.1	95.5	13,499.0	96.8	11	20.6	18.6	45	12,231	84.1	87.7
	2007	58.5	12,998.4	56.1	95.9	12,633.3	97.2	16	27.3	21.1	51	11,445	87.2	88.0
3	2008	63.2	12,806.9	60.6	95.8	12,425.5	97.0	15	23.7	19.1	55	11,408	87.0	89.1
	2009	61.6	13,095.5	59.0	95.9	12,710.6	97.1	17	27.6	18.3	52	11,634	84.5	88.8
	2007	52.8	12,104.9	50.8	96.2	11,768.3	97.2	11	20.8	19.7	46	10,683	87.1	88.3
4	2008	46.0	12,329.8	44.0	95.8	11,960.3	97.0	22	47.9	19.7	37	10,924	80.5	88.6
	2009	58.0	12,156.4	55.6	95.8	11,789.9	97.0	11	19.0	18.1	48	10,731	82.7	88.3
	2007	54.9	11,757.0	52.6	95.8	11,426.8	97.2	17	31.0	20.9	48	10,362	87.5	88.1
5	2008	55.0	11,874.2	52.9	96.3	11,539.6	97.2	26	47.3	18.8	43	10,608	78.2	89.3
	2009	39.4	11,903.5	38.1	96.8	11,552.9	97.1	9	22.9	17.5	34	10,563	86.3	88.7
	2007	368.3	83,122.6	350.5	95.2	80,387.4	96.7	186	50.5	33.3	322	76,416	87.4	91.9
EC-5	2008	359.9	83,438.7	344.5	95.7	80,606.0	96.6	186	51.7	31.9	318	76,970	88.4	92.2
	2009	365.3	83,476.5	350.1	95.8	80,586.5	96.5	149	40.8	30.9	322	76,517	88.2	91.7

Teachers Teacher Statistics

DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS

Ethnicity	Number	Percent			
African American	13	44.8			
Hispanic	12	41.4			
White	4	13.8			
Other	0	0.0			

Gender	Number	Percent		
Female	21	72.4		
Male	8	27.6		

TOTAL	29

AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES

2007	6.0
2008	6.6
2009	7.3

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		69.2	63.3	64.0		57.7	53.8		62.5	70.8	66.1	84.6	56
3	2008		90.0	64.1	70.9		62.5	71.4		66.7	78.1	72.9	86.2	59
	2009	*	66.7	66.7	64.9	*	71.4	56.7		66.7	69.6	68.3	87.3	41
	2007		57.1	47.6	52.5		14.3	26.3		38.1	63.6	51.2	69.7	43
4	2008		31.6	60.9	47.5		44.4	26.1		41.7	55.6	47.6	72.1	42
	2009	*	85.0	65.5	72.9	*	50.0	64.7		61.9	82.8	74.0	75.8	50
	2007		82.6	84.2	82.9		*	66.7		81.8	85.0	83.3	78.3	42
5	2008		70.0	73.9	70.0		50.0	57.1		69.2	74.1	71.7	82.9	53
	2009	*	85.7	94.4	90.9		*	88.9		89.5	92.9	90.9	82.9	33
	2007		70.0	64.3	66.4		50.0	50.0		61.3	72.7	66.7	77.6	141
3-5	2008		65.2	65.9	64.1		57.1	55.9		59.7	71.4	65.6	80.3	154
	2009	*	77.0	74.6	75.4	*	58.6	67.1		72.4	80.3	76.6	81.5	124

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	0	70	70	131	0	36	76	0	75	66	141	31,814
2008	0	69	85	145	0	49	93	0	77	77	154	31,840
2009	4	61	59	118	2	29	82	0	58	66	124	28,126

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		8	11	18		11	18		12	7	19	1,675	56
3	2008		2	14	16		12	12		9	7	16	1,443	59
	2009	*	9	4	13	*	2	13		6	7	13	960	41
	2007		9	11	19		6	14		13	8	21	3,189	43
4	2008		13	9	21		5	17		14	8	22	2,996	42
	2009	*	3	10	13	*	9	12		8	5	13	2,449	50
	2007		4	3	7		*	6		4	3	7	2,258	42
5	2008		9	6	15		4	12		8	7	15	1,827	53
	2009	*	2	1	3		*	2		2	1	3	1,793	33
	2007		21	25	44		18	38		29	18	47	7,122	141
3-5	2008		24	29	52		21	41		31	22	53	6,266	154
	2009	*	14	15	29	*	12	27		16	13	29	5,202	124

					NUMB	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	0	70	70	131	0	36	76	0	75	66	141	31,814
2008	0	69	85	145	0	49	93	0	77	77	154	31,840
2009	4	61	59	118	2	29	82	0	58	66	124	28,126

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2007	67.6	73.5	66.4	64.5
3	2008	69.4	70.0	72.9	62.3
	2009	66.7	69.3	60.2	69.5
	2007	66.4	68.6	61.5	54.0
4	2008	67.9	54.5	56.5	59.0
	2009	78.5	71.8	68.9	76.4
	2007	79.5	85.7	78.0	74.7
5	2008	70.7	74.3	68.6	71.7
	2009	76.9	82.2	78.4	73.0
	2007	70.8	75.6	68.3	64.3
3-5	2008	69.4	67.2	66.9	64.6
	2009	74.2	73.7	68.5	73.2

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		7.7	10.0	8.0		7.7	5.1		12.5	4.2	8.9	21.3	56
3	2008		5.0	10.3	9.1		6.3	4.8		7.4	9.4	8.5	21.5	59
	2009	*	7.4	8.3	8.1	*	14.3	3.3		11.1	4.3	7.3	34.1	41
	2007		0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	16.6	43
4	2008		0.0	8.7	5.0		0.0	0.0		4.2	5.6	4.8	14.4	42
	2009	*	0.0	10.3	6.3	*	0.0	2.9		9.5	3.4	6.0	18.4	50
	2007		17.4	21.1	19.5		*	16.7		18.2	20.0	19.0	13.1	42
5	2008		13.3	4.3	10.0		0.0	3.6		7.7	11.1	9.4	16.5	53
	2009	*	14.3	11.1	12.1		*	5.6		0.0	28.6	12.1	18.5	33
	2007		8.6	10.0	9.2		5.6	6.6		10.7	7.6	9.2	17.1	141
3-5	2008		7.2	8.2	8.3		4.1	3.2		6.5	9.1	7.8	17.4	154
	2009	*	6.6	10.2	8.5	*	3.4	3.7		6.9	9.1	8.1	22.7	124

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	0	70	70	131	0	36	76	0	75	66	141	31,814
2008	0	69	85	145	0	49	93	0	77	77	154	31,840
2009	4	61	59	118	2	29	82	0	58	66	124	28,126

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		36.0	53.3	44.0		50.0	41.0		42.4	50.0	45.5	70.3	55
3	2008		33.3	43.6	41.8		40.6	41.9		29.6	48.5	40.0	76.6	60
	2009	*	34.5	59.3	48.1	*	61.9	40.0		44.4	45.2	44.8	77.3	58
	2007		28.6	47.8	38.1	*	22.2	14.3		31.8	43.5	37.8	75.7	45
4	2008		52.6	60.9	57.5		33.3	34.8		54.2	61.1	57.1	77.9	42
	2009	*	54.5	81.3	69.8	83.3	76.2	64.9		66.7	74.2	70.9	79.4	55
	2007		76.0	86.4	82.2	*	*	60.0		76.9	85.7	80.9	82.9	47
5	2008		63.3	78.3	69.4		75.0	53.6		61.5	77.8	69.8	84.0	53
	2009	*	78.6	94.4	84.8	*	*	76.5		84.2	85.7	84.8	87.0	33
	2007		47.9	61.3	54.7	*	43.6	38.8		50.6	59.1	54.4	76.2	147
3-5	2008		51.4	57.6	55.6		44.9	43.6		48.1	61.5	54.8	79.5	155
	2009	*	50.8	76.6	65.0	87.5	69.6	55.6		62.9	64.5	63.7	81.0	146

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	0	71	75	137	4	39	80	0	81	66	147	32,298
2008	0	70	85	144	0	49	94	0	77	78	155	32,696
2009	4	65	77	140	8	46	99	0	70	76	146	33,546

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 67% are shaded.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		16	14	28		13	23		19	11	30	3,303	55
3	2008		14	22	32		19	25		19	17	36	2,542	60
	2009	*	19	11	28	*	8	27		15	17	32	2,781	58
	2007		15	12	26	*	7	18		15	13	28	2,604	45
4	2008		9	9	17		6	15		11	7	18	2,436	42
	2009	*	10	6	16	1	5	13		8	8	16	2,196	55
	2007		6	3	8	*	*	8		6	3	9	1,785	47
5	2008		11	5	15		2	13		10	6	16	1,730	53
	2009	*	3	1	5	*	*	4		3	2	5	1,386	33
	2007		37	29	62	*	22	49		40	27	67	7,692	147
3-5	2008		34	36	64		27	53		40	30	70	6,708	155
	2009	*	32	18	49	1	14	44		26	27	53	6,363	146

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	0	71	75	137	4	39	80	0	81	66	147	32,298
2008	0	70	85	144	0	49	94	0	77	78	155	32,696
2009	4	65	77	140	8	46	99	0	70	76	146	33,546

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2007	64.9	63.9	64.5	66.4	68.6	50.2
3	2008	56.0	63.6	69.4	61.4	63.3	45.8
	2009	61.2	70.1	76.1	53.2	61.6	49.8
	2007	64.6	63.5	59.3	54.4	53.3	59.2
4	2008	74.9	74.5	57.5	64.3	66.7	63.4
	2009 78.2	78.2	72.2	73.3	77.9	84.5	61.4
	2007	80.3	73.6	83.0	75.1	75.0	75.3
5	2008	72.7	72.5	79.2	69.0	70.3	67.2
	2009	80.2	79.7	88.3	77.5	72.0	78.0
	2007	69.7	66.9	68.8	65.5	66.0	61.0
3-5	2008	66.8	69.6	69.6	64.8	66.6	57.9
	2009	71.9	73.1	77.8	68.0	72.6	60.5

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		8.0	6.7	6.0		0.0	0.0		9.1	4.5	7.3	19.2	55
3	2008		0.0	5.1	3.6		3.1	2.3		0.0	6.1	3.3	26.3	60
	2009	*	6.9	11.1	9.3	*	9.5	6.7		7.4	9.7	8.6	29.0	58
	2007		4.8	4.3	4.8	*	0.0	0.0		4.5	4.3	4.4	22.6	45
4	2008		10.5	17.4	12.5		0.0	4.3		16.7	11.1	14.3	23.8	42
-	2009	*	9.1	25.0	18.9	16.7	19.0	13.5		25.0	16.1	20.0	31.6	55
	2007		32.0	27.3	31.1	*	*	10.0		23.1	38.1	29.8	29.3	47
5	2008		13.3	26.1	16.3		0.0	0.0		19.2	18.5	18.9	31.9	53
	2009	*	21.4	44.4	33.3	*	*	17.6		26.3	42.9	33.3	38.4	33
	2007		15.5	12.0	13.9	*	2.6	2.5		12.3	15.2	13.6	23.6	147
3-5	2008		8.6	14.1	10.4		2.0	2.1		11.7	11.5	11.6	27.3	155
	2009	*	10.8	24.7	18.6	25.0	15.2	11.1		18.6	18.4	18.5	32.8	146

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	0	71	75	137	4	39	80	0	81	66	147	32,298
2008	0	70	85	144	0	49	94	0	77	78	155	32,696
2009	4	65	77	140	8	46	99	0	70	76	146	33,546

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		68.2	71.4	68.3		28.6	42.1		57.1	78.3	68.2	86.5	44
4	2008		72.2	82.6	79.5		66.7	69.6		75.0	82.4	78.0	87.4	41
	2009	*	80.0	82.1	80.9		72.2	79.4		71.4	89.3	81.6	87.4	49

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2007	0	22	21	41	0	7	19	0	21	23	44	10,408
2008	0	18	23	39	0	9	23	0	24	17	41	10,658
2009	1	20	28	47	0	18	34	0	21	28	49	10,032

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		7	6	13		5	11		9	5	14	1,408	44
4	2008		5	4	8		3	7		6	3	9	1,348	41
	2009	*	4	5	9		5	7		6	3	9	1,264	49

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2007	0	22	21	41	0	7	19	0	21	23	44	10,408
2008	0	18	23	39	0	9	23	0	24	17	41	10,658
2009	1	20	28	47	0	18	34	0	21	28	49	10,032

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2007	2.1	66.5	64.2	76.4	73.9
4	2008	2.0	75.6	77.7	83.5	82.3
	2009	1.9	81.6	74.5	79.1	84.9

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		13.6	9.5	12.2		14.3	10.5		0.0	21.7	11.4	18.2	44
4	2008		11.1	17.4	12.8		0.0	4.3		8.3	23.5	14.6	21.4	41
	2009	*	10.0	3.6	6.4		0.0	5.9		0.0	10.7	6.1	21.0	49

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2007	0	22	21	41	0	7	19	0	21	23	44	10,408
2008	0	18	23	39	0	9	23	0	24	17	41	10,658
2009	1	20	28	47	0	18	34	0	21	28	49	10,032

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		44.0	60.9	52.2	*	*	33.3		51.9	52.4	52.1	62.3	48
5	2008		26.7	47.8	34.0		12.5	21.4		46.2	25.9	35.8	71.9	53
	2009	*	81.3	84.2	83.3	*	*	75.0		85.7	80.0	83.3	75.9	36

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2007	0	25	23	46	3	5	21	0	27	21	48	10,296
2008	0	30	23	50	0	8	28	0	26	27	53	10,686
2009	1	16	19	36	4	5	20	0	21	15	36	10,550

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 55 percent, the 2010 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		14	9	22	*	*	14		13	10	23	3,881	48
5	2008		22	12	33		7	22		14	20	34	3,005	53
	2009	*	3	3	6	*	*	5		3	3	6	2,542	36

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2007	0	25	23	46	3	5	21	0	27	21	48	10,296
2008	0	30	23	50	0	8	28	0	26	27	53	10,686
2009	1	16	19	36	4	5	20	0	21	15	36	10,550

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Life Sciences	Physical Sciences	Earth Sciences
	2007	74.5	76.2	74.8	56.5
5	2008	71.3	71.1	71.1	52.4
	2009	85.0	86.7	79.9	72.8

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		4.0	17.4	10.9	*	*	4.8		11.1	9.5	10.4	18.0	48
5	2008		6.7	4.3	6.0		0.0	0.0		11.5	0.0	5.7	24.8	53
	2009	*	12.5	15.8	16.7	*	*	10.0		19.0	13.3	16.7	29.1	36

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5							
2007	0	0 25 23 46 3 5 21 0 27 21 48 10,296													
2008	0	30	23	50	0	8	28	0	26	27	53	10,686			
2009	1	16	19	36	4	5	20	0	21	15	36	10,550			

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												82.9	0
3	2008												85.4	0
	2009			86.7	86.7		85.7	86.7		75.0	100.0	86.7	89.4	15
	2007												65.9	0
4	2008												67.0	0
	2009			*	*		*	*			*	*	72.7	1
	2007													0
ALL	2008													0
	2009			87.5	87.5		86.7	87.5		75.0	100.0	87.5		16

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN GRAD	ES ALL							
2007	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 -													
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
2009	0	0	16	16	0	15	16	0	8	8	16				

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												219	0
3	2008												239	0
	2009			2	2		2	2		2	0	2	514	15
	2007												122	0
4	2008												215	0
	2009			*	*		*	*			*	*	268	1
	2007													0
ALL	2008													0
	2009			2	2		2	2		2	0	2		16

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN GRAD	ES ALL							
2007	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 -													
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
2009	0	0	16	16	0	15	16	0	8	8	16				

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2007				
3	2008				
	2009	76.0	83.8	76.7	73.3
	2007				
4	2008				
	2009	*	*	*	*
	2007				
ALL	2008				
	2009	75.8	84.0	78.1	74.4

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												14.8	0
3	2008												17.2	0
	2009			26.7	26.7		28.6	26.7		12.5	42.9	26.7	30.6	15
	2007												14.2	0
4	2008												13.5	0
	2009			*	*		*	*			*	*	21.1	1
	2007													0
ALL	2008													0
	2009	·		25.0	25.0		26.7	25.0		12.5	37.5	25.0		16

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN ALL G	RADES							
2007	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 -													
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
2009	0	0	16	16	0	15	16	0	8	8	16				

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	77.7	5
	2009		*	*	83.3	83.3	*	83.3		*	*	83.3	87.7	6
4	2008		*	*	66.7	66.7	*	*		*	*	66.7	70.7	6
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	71.8	5
5	2008		*	*	66.7	57.1	*	*		50.0	*	57.1	73.3	7
	2009		*	*	66.7	66.7	*	*		*	*	66.7	80.3	6
3-5	2008		50.0	50.0	52.9	50.0	50.0	33.3		53.3	*	50.0	73.7	18
	2009		77.8	87.5	82.4	82.4	87.5	78.6		81.8	83.3	82.4	79.2	17

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5							
2008	0	12	6	17	18	6	12	0	15	3	18	1,807			
2009	0	9	8	17	17	8	14	0	11	6	17	1,867			

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	116	5
	2009		*	*	1	1	*	1		*	*	1	60	6
4	2008		*	*	2	2	*	*		*	*	2	181	6
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	192	5
5	2008		*	*	2	3	*	*		3	*	3	179	7
	2009		*	*	2	2	*	*		*	*	2	137	6
3-5	2008		6	3	8	9	3	8		7	*	9	476	18
	2009		2	1	3	3	1	3		2	1	3	389	17

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5						
2008	0	12	6	17	18	6	12	0	15	3	18	1,807		
2009	0	9	8	17	17	8	14	0	11	6	17	1,867		

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
3	2008	*	*	*	*
	2009	56.4	55.6	60.0	63.9
4	2008	50.0	41.7	47.2	60.4
	2009	*	*	*	*
5	2008	53.2	61.9	66.7	57.1
	2009	69.7	63.9	69.4	69.7
3-5	2008	46.3	50.9	52.8	53.5
	2009	71.5	62.7	66.3	72.1

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	10.8	5
	2009		*	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		*	*	0.0	16.2	6
4	2008		*	*	0.0	0.0	*	*		*	*	0.0	9.7	6
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	8.9	5
5	2008		*	*	0.0	0.0	*	*		0.0	*	0.0	14.9	7
	2009		*	*	16.7	16.7	*	*		*	*	16.7	14.1	6
3-5	2008		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	*	0.0	12.0	18
	2009		11.1	37.5	23.5	23.5	37.5	21.4		9.1	50.0	23.5	12.7	17

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5							
2008	0	12	6	17	18	6	12	0	15	3	18	1,807			
2009	0	9	8	17	17	8	14	0	11	6	17	1,867			

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	76.1	5
	2009		*	*	66.7	66.7	*	66.7		*	*	66.7	81.2	6
4	2008		*	*	33.3	33.3	*	*		*	*	33.3	69.1	6
	2009												75.8	0
5	2008		*	*	*	66.7	*	*		*	*	66.7	64.0	6
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	80.2	5
3-5	2008		54.5	50.0	56.3	52.9	50.0	58.3		50.0	*	52.9	69.4	17
	2009		50.0	*	63.6	63.6	*	63.6		71.4	*	63.6	78.8	11

			NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-5													
2008	0	11	6	16	17	6	12	0	14	3	17	1,730				
2009	0	6	5	11	11	5	11	0	7	4	11	1,743				

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	129	5
	2009		*	*	2	2	*	2		*	*	2	85	6
4	2008		*	*	4	4	*	*		*	*	4	173	6
	2009												157	0
5	2008		*	*	*	2	*	*		*	*	2	227	6
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	127	5
3-5	2008		5	3	7	8	3	5		7	*	8	529	17
	2009		3	*	4	4	*	4		2	*	4	369	11

			NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-5													
2008	0	11	6	16	17	6	12	0	14	3	17	1,730				
2009	0	6	5	11	11	5	11	0	7	4	11	1,743				

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
3	2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2009	64.6	50.0	63.3	60.0	55.6	16.7
4	2008	35.2	44.4	36.7	46.7	22.2	47.2
	2009						
5	2008	59.3	41.7	63.9	50.0	61.1	60.0
	2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
3-5	2008	49.5	50.4	50.8	49.4	37.3	47.6
	2009	63.5	56.1	66.4	52.4	66.7	38.2

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	16.1	5
	2009		*	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		*	*	0.0	22.3	6
4	2008		*	*	0.0	0.0	*	*		*	*	0.0	14.3	6
	2009												14.0	0
5	2008		*	*	*	16.7	*	*		*	*	16.7	14.0	6
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	17.2	5
3-5	2008		0.0	16.7	6.3	5.9	16.7	8.3		0.0	*	5.9	14.7	17
	2009		16.7	*	18.2	18.2	*	18.2		14.3	*	18.2	17.3	11

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5								
2008	0	11	6	16	17	6	12	0	14	3	17	1,730				
2009	0	6	5	11	11	5	11	0	7	4	11	1,743				

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the IOWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS

			READIN	IG TOTAL (**LANGUAGE	≣)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		86.4	86.4	46.9	22
K**	2008	*	92.9	89.7	57.6	29
	2009	7.7	27.3	16.7	41.9	24
	2007	26.7	45.5	34.6	51.4	26
1	2008	*	33.3	32.4	50.2	34
	2009	29.4	58.3	46.3	54.2	41
	2007	29.3	84.2	46.7	44.5	60
2	2008	28.6	46.2	35.3	50.2	34
	2009	19.0	66.7	33.3	47.9	30
	2007	28.6	70.0	43.0	47.6	86
1-2	2008	27.3	37.0	33.8	50.2	68
	2009	23.7	60.6	40.8	51.0	71

	2007	56	52	108	24,696	
Number Tested	2008	23	74	97	21,817	
	2009	51	44	95	21,148	

			MATHEMATI	CS TOTAL	(**MATHMA	TICS)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	50.0	82.6	67.4	44.3	43
K**	2008	77.3	75.0	75.9	44.9	54
	2009	63.9	64.3	64.0	52.8	50
	2007	39.0	38.5	38.9	48.8	54
1	2008	55.6	30.6	41.3	55.5	63
	2009	17.6	16.7	17.1	50.4	41
	2007	45.2	83.3	56.7	55.6	60
2	2008	52.4	64.3	55.4	57.5	56
	2009	28.6	44.4	33.3	53.2	30
	2007	43.7	72.2	53.5	49.1	157
K-2	2008	59.3	53.7	56.6	52.7	173
	2009	43.2	36.2	40.5	52.3	121

	2007	103	54	157	34,441	
Number Tested	2008	91	82	173	41,070	
103100	2009	74	47	121	30,563	

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		84.2	*	86.4					71.4	93.3	86.4	46.9	22
K**	2008		95.0	77.8	89.7		*	*		92.9	86.7	89.7	57.6	29
	2009		18.2	*	17.4	*		7.7		20.0	11.1	16.7	41.9	24
	2007		31.6	42.9	34.6		*	26.7		26.3	57.1	34.6	51.4	26
1	2008		33.3	28.6	33.3	*	*	*		15.4	42.9	32.4	50.2	34
	2009		43.8	55.6	46.2	*	*	29.4		25.0	66.7	46.3	54.2	41
	2007		56.7	36.7	47.4	*	33.3	29.3		43.3	50.0	46.7	44.5	60
2	2008		29.6	57.1	34.4	*	*	28.6		45.0	21.4	35.3	50.2	34
	2009		36.0	*	34.5	*	*	19.0		36.4	31.6	33.3	47.9	30
	2007		46.9	37.8	43.4	*	33.3	28.6		36.7	51.4	43.0	47.6	86
1-2	2008		31.5	42.9	33.8	*	*	27.3		33.3	34.3	33.8	50.2	68
	2009		40.4	42.9	41.2	*	*	23.7		29.0	50.0	40.8	51.0	71

	2007	0	68	40	105	5	27	56	0	56	52	108	24,696
Number Tested	2008	0	74	23	94	4	5	23	0	47	50	97	21,817
	2009	0	79	16	91	6	3	51	0	46	49	95	21,148

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		8	*	9					2	7	9	1,245	22
K**	2008		7	3	10		*	*		5	5	10	1,687	29
	2009		1	*	1	*		0		1	0	1	771	24
	2007		0	1	1		*	0		0	1	1	1,458	26
1	2008		2	0	2	*	*	*		0	2	2	1,555	34
	2009		2	1	3	*	*	1		2	1	3	1,659	41
	2007		1	1	2	*	0	0		1	1	2	896	60
2	2008		0	1	1	*	*	0		0	1	1	1,065	34
	2009		0	*	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	1,027	30
	2007		1	2	3	*	0	0		1	2	3	2,355	86
1-2	2008		2	1	3	*	*	0		0	3	3	2,620	68
	2009		2	1	3	*	*	1		2	1	3	2,688	71

	2007	0	68	40	105	5	27	56	0	56	52	108	24,696
Number Tested	2008	0	74	23	94	4	5	23	0	47	50	97	21,817
	2009	0	79	16	91	6	3	51	0	46	49	95	21,148

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		89.5	50.0	67.4	*	47.6	50.0		55.6	76.0	67.4	44.3	43
K**	2008		68.4	80.0	75.9		76.0	77.3		73.9	77.4	75.9	44.9	54
	2009		54.2	73.1	65.3	*	78.3	63.9		71.4	54.5	64.0	52.8	50
	2007		27.3	46.9	38.9	*	44.4	39.0		28.6	57.9	38.9	48.8	54
1	2008		26.7	54.5	42.6	*	59.3	55.6		16.0	57.9	41.3	55.5	63
	2009		15.6	22.2	17.9	*	*	17.6		15.0	19.0	17.1	50.4	41
	2007		44.8	67.7	57.9	16.7	64.0	45.2		53.3	60.0	56.7	55.6	60
2	2008		56.0	54.8	56.6	*	52.0	52.4		56.3	54.2	55.4	57.5	56
	2009		32.0	*	34.5	*	*	28.6		36.4	31.6	33.3	53.2	30
	2007		51.4	55.2	53.9	10.0	52.1	43.7		43.4	64.9	53.5	49.1	157
K-2	2008		47.3	63.6	57.7	37.5	62.3	59.3		48.8	63.4	56.6	52.7	173
	2009		32.1	57.5	41.9	71.4	69.2	43.2		45.8	35.5	40.5	52.3	121

	2007	0	70	87	154	10	73	103	0	83	74	157	34,441
Number Tested	2008	0	74	99	168	8	77	91	0	80	93	173	41,070
rested	2009	0	81	40	117	7	26	74	0	59	62	121	30,563

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		6	3	9	*	2	2		1	8	9	2,583	43
K**	2008		8	17	25		13	11		7	18	25	2,788	54
	2009		2	8	10	*	8	8		5	5	10	2,455	50
	2007		0	3	3	*	2	2		1	2	3	1,769	54
1	2008		1	6	7	*	5	5		1	6	7	2,800	63
	2009		1	0	1	*	*	1		1	0	1	1,577	41
	2007		7	5	11	0	4	5		7	5	12	2,098	60
2	2008		6	6	12	*	3	6		7	5	12	2,912	56
	2009		1	*	1	*	*	1		1	0	1	1,686	30
	2007		13	11	23	0	8	9		9	15	24	6,450	157
K-2	2008		15	29	44	1	21	22		15	29	44	8,500	173
	2009		4	8	12	4	8	10		7	5	12	5,718	121

	2007	0	70	87	154	10	73	103	0	83	74	157	34,441
Number Tested	2008	0	74	99	168	8	77	91	0	80	93	173	41,070
Tested	2009	0	81	40	117	7	26	74	0	59	62	121	30,563

Logramos Read (1)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			95.2	95.2	*	95.2	95.0		90.9	100.0	95.2	84.7	21
K	2008			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	87.0	26
	2009			100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	88.6	24
	2007			79.2	79.2	*	79.2	78.3		76.9	81.8	79.2	84.5	24
1	2008			85.2	84.6	*	85.2	84.6		72.7	93.8	85.2	88.0	27
	2009			86.7	86.7	*	85.2	89.3		83.3	88.9	86.7	88.6	30
	2007												89.9	0
2	2008			87.5	91.3	*	86.4	87.0		76.9	100.0	87.5	93.4	24
	2009			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	94.0	20
	2007			86.7	86.7	*	86.7	86.0		83.3	90.5	86.7	85.6	45
K-2	2008			90.9	92.0	*	90.4	90.0		81.8	97.7	90.9	89.3	77
	2009			94.6	94.6	*	94.3	95.7		93.5	95.3	94.6	90.4	74
•	2007	0	0	45	45	0	45	40	0	0.4	04	45	44.000	

Number Tested	2007	0	0	45	45	2	45	43	0	24	21	45	14,889
	2008	0	0	77	75	3	73	70	0	33	44	77	18,257
	2009	0	0	74	74	3	70	70	0	31	43	74	19,076

Logramos Read (2)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			14	14	*	14	14		6	8	14	2,779	21
K	2008			23	23		23	20		7	16	23	3,280	26
	2009			21	21	*	20	20		12	9	21	3,525	24
	2007			6	6	*	6	5		1	5	6	1,782	24
1	2008			11	11	*	11	10		4	7	11	2,412	27
	2009			11	11	*	11	11		3	8	11	2,523	30
	2007												1,196	0
2	2008			8	8	*	7	7		2	6	8	2,596	24
	2009			18	18		18	18		6	12	18	2,909	20
	2007			20	20	*	20	19		7	13	20	5,757	45
K-2	2008			42	42	*	41	37		13	29	42	8,288	77
	2009			50	50	*	49	49		21	29	50	8,957	74
	2007	0	0	45	45	2	45	43	0	24	21	45	14,889	

Number Tested	2007	0	0	45	45	2	45	43	0	24	21	45	14,889
	2008	0	0	77	75	3	73	70	0	33	44	77	18,257
	2009	0	0	74	74	3	70	70	0	31	43	74	19,076

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1 in 2008		Level 2	in 2008	Level 3	in 2008	Levels 1-3 in 2008		
Grade	Number Percentage Tested Improved Both Years in 2009		Number Percentage Tested Improved Both Years in 2009		Number Percentage Tested Improved Both Years in 2009		Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2009	
4	2	100.0	1	0.0	9	44.4	12	50.0	
5	1	0.0	2	0.0	0	-	3	0.0	
ALL	3	66.7	3	0.0	9	44.4	15	40.0	

PERFORMANCE IN 2009

Composite Grade Domain: Listening Speaking Writing Reading 2009 Level (N Rated) Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % 19 22 88.0 25 100.0 25 100.0 25 100.0 Beginning 76.0 KN 6 3 12.0 0 0.0 0 Intermediate 24.0 0.0 0 0.0 Advanced 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 (25)Advanced High 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 6.1 13 30 90.9 20 20 60.6 Beginning 39.4 60.6 1 Intermediate 13 39.4 10 30.3 1 3.0 33.3 33.3 11 11 Advanced 16 48.5 9 27.3 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 (33)Advanced High 2 6.1 1 3.0 2 6.1 2 6.1 2 6.1 2 3 Beginning 14.3 9.5 10 47.6 3 14.3 14.3 2 7 6 7 33.3 33.3 Intermediate 28.6 28.6 33.3 Advanced 28.6 9 42.9 4 19.0 23.8 5 23.8 (21)Advanced High 6 28.6 4 19.0 0 0.0 28.6 6 28.6 Beginning 2 8.3 4 16.7 4 16.7 3 12.5 3 12.5 3 Intermediate 10 41.7 6 25.0 12 50.0 7 29.2 7 29.2 33.3 8 33.3 5 20.8 Advanced 10 41.7 10 41.7 (24)6 3 Advanced High 16.7 25.0 12.5 16.7 4 16.7 Beginning 4.3 4.3 4.3 4.3 4.3 4 Intermediate 4.3 5 21.7 10 43.5 26.1 6 26.1 52.2 8 43.5 8 Advanced 12 34.8 10 34.8 34.8 (23)39.1 39.1 2 8.7 34.8 8 34.8 Advanced High

PROGRESSION FROM 2008 TO 2009

Number Rated Both Years			2008	Level			
N (%) Progressed	2009 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High		
	Beginning		-	-			
	Intermediate	-		-			
_	Advanced	-	-		-		
	Advanced High	-	-	-	-		
	Beginning		1	,			
30	Intermediate	10		1			
12 (40.0%)	Advanced	0	0	C)		
	Advanced High	1	0	1			
	Beginning	3					
21	Intermediate	4	4 3				
15 (71.4%)	Advanced	3	2	()		
	Advanced High	1	1	4	l		
	Beginning			3			
24	Intermediate	1		6			
8 (33.3%)	Advanced	1	2	7	7		
	Advanced High	0	0	4	l		
	Beginning		()			
19	Intermediate	0		5			
12 (63.2%)	Advanced	0	4	2	2		
	Advanced High	0	1	7	7		

PERFORMANCE IN 2009

Grade	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Com	posite
(N Rated)	2009 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	1	14.3	1	14.3	1	14.3	2	28.6	2	28.6
5	Intermediate	1	14.3	1	14.3	3	42.9	1	14.3	1	14.3
(7)	Advanced	2	28.6	2	28.6	3	42.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	Advanced High	3	42.9	3	<i>4</i> 2.9	0	0.0	4	57.1	4	57.1
	Beginning	28	21.1	43	32.3	71	53.4	54	40.6	54	40.6
ALL	Intermediate	37	27.8	31	23.3	33	24.8	32	24.1	32	24.1
(133)	Advanced	44	33.1	36	27.1	22	16.5	23	17.3	23	17.3
	Advanced High	24	18.0	23	17.3	7	5.3	24	18.0	24	18.0

PROGRESSION FROM 2008 TO 2009

Number Rated Both Years			2008	Level			
N (%) Progressed	2009 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High		
	Beginning	ing 2					
7	Intermediate	0	1				
4 (57.1%)	Advanced	0	0	()		
	Advanced High	0	0	4	ı		
	Beginning	ginning 25					
101	Intermediate	15		16			
51 (50.5%)	Advanced	4	8	9)		
	Advanced High	2	2	2	0		

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2008 to 2009.