Campus Data Packet

for 2009 - 10 Plans



TOM C. GOOCH

School Number 148

The information in this packet is based on data from the 2008-09 school year.

This packet contains corrected statistics for norm-referenced assessments (ITBS and Logramos), including the SCE Compliance report. PSAT reports now contain the average score for all students in a grade.



2009-10 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2 ContentsTable of Contents	3 Notes Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
5 SummarySummary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6 Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7 Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8 Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
9 Teachers Teacher Statistics	
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	
10 TAKS Read (1) TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	11 TAKS Read (2) TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
12 TAKS Read (3) TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	13 TAKS Read (4) TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
14 TAKS Math (1)TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	15 TAKS Math (2) TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
16 TAKS Math (3)TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	17 TAKS Math (4) TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
18 TAKS Write (1)TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	19 TAKS Write (2) TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
20 TAKS Write (3) TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	21 TAKS Write (4) TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
22 TAKS Science (1)TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	23 TAKS Science (2) TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
24 TAKS Science (3)TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	25 TAKS Science (4) TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
26 SPTAKS Read (1) Spanish TAKS Reading: % Meeting Standard	27 SPTAKS Read (2) Spanish TAKS Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
28 SPTAKS Read (3) Spanish TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	29 SPTAKS Read (4) Spanish TAKS Reading: % Commended
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS (MOD)	
30 TAKS-M Read (1) TAKS-M Reading: % Meeting Standard	31 TAKS-M Read (2) TAKS-M Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
32 TAKS-M Read (3) TAKS-M Reading: Performance by Objective	33 TAKS-M Read (4) TAKS-M Reading: Percentage Commended
ITBS/ITED/LOGRAMOS	
34 ComplianceSCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	35 ITBS Read (1) ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
36 ITBS Read (2)ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	37 ITBS Math (1) ITBS Math Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
38 ITBS Math (2) ITBS Math Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	39 Logramos Read (1) Logramos Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
40 Logramos Read (2) Logramos Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	
MEASURES OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
41 WMLSWoodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	42 TELPAS TX English Language Proficiency Assessment System

2009-10 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2008-09. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2007-08 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2007-08 and 2008-09.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

TAKS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- TAKS statistics include both TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated results.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2010, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

TAKS-M (Modified)

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading results for all three years are for the Language Total subtest; all other grades' results reflect Reading Total performance. (The Reading Total summary is not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergarteners in 2009.) All Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The number tested includes kindergarteners.
- Numbers tested in READING for 2007 may not match those reported in campus data packets published in the prior years. Previous totals did not consistently include students in Kindergarten, though documentation included with the reports indicated otherwise.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading and mathematics results for all three years are for the Language subtest and Mathematics subtest. All other grades' results reflect Reading Total or Mathematics Total performance. (The Reading and Mathematics Total summaries are not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergartners.) Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The "number tested" statistics include kindergartners.

TELPAS

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2008 to 2009, the TEA did not report the 2008 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2009, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2008.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
EC	8
PK	43
KN	85
1	91
2	94
3	68
4	68
5	63
ALL	520

STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stud	dents	Teachers				
Ethinoity	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
African American	78	15.0	10	29.4			
American Indian	0	0.0	*	*			
Asian	1	0.2	*	*			
Hispanic	425	81.7	13	38.2			
White	16	3.1	10	29.4			
Other	**	**	1	2.9			

^{*}Included in category "Other."

STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percent
Economically disadvantaged students	470	90.4
Limited English proficient students	301	57.9
Special education students	47	9.0

^{**}All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

		African American		America	ın Indian	Asian		Hispanic		White		
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2007	48	7	14.6	0	0.0	1	2.1	38	79.2	2	4.2
PK	2008	42	3	7.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	38	90.5	1	2.4
	2009	43	2	4.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	41	95.3	0	0.0
	2007	95	12	12.6	0	0.0	2	2.1	76	80.0	5	5.3
KN	2008	75	8	10.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	64	85.3	3	4.0
	2009	85	12	14.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	69	81.2	4	4.7
	2007	86	13	15.1	0	0.0	3	3.5	63	73.3	7	8.1
1	2008	95	14	14.7	0	0.0	1	1.1	79	83.2	1	1.1
	2009	91	12	13.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	77	84.6	2	2.2
	2007	82	11	13.4	0	0.0	2	2.4	65	79.3	4	4.9
2	2008	79	14	17.7	0	0.0	2	2.5	60	75.9	3	3.8
	2009	94	11	11.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	80	85.1	3	3.2
	2007	69	19	27.5	0	0.0	1	1.4	46	66.7	3	4.3
3	2008	78	11	14.1	0	0.0	1	1.3	63	80.8	3	3.8
	2009	68	14	20.6	0	0.0	1	1.5	51	75.0	2	2.9
	2007	60	9	15.0	0	0.0	1	1.7	49	81.7	1	1.7
4	2008	59	14	23.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	43	72.9	2	3.4
	2009	68	13	19.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	52	76.5	3	4.4
	2007	72	15	20.8	0	0.0	4	5.6	49	68.1	4	5.6
5	2008	62	11	17.7	0	0.0	1	1.6	49	79.0	1	1.6
	2009	63	12	19.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	49	77.8	2	3.2
	2007	516	87	16.9	0	0.0	14	2.7	387	75.0	28	5.4
EC-5	2008	498	78	15.7	0	0.0	5	1.0	401	80.5	14	2.8
	2009	520	78	15.0	0	0.0	1	0.2	425	81.7	16	3.1

			Econor Disadva	mically antaged	LE	ĒP	Special E	Education	T	AG	New (to Dallas ISD)		Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2007	48	41	85.4	33	68.8	6	12.5	0	0.0	46	95.8	0.0
PK	2008	42	37	88.1	32	76.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	42	100.0	0.0
	2009	43	40	93.0	39	90.7	1	2.3	0	0.0	43	100.0	0.0
	2007	95	86	90.5	55	57.9	6	6.3	2	2.1	49	51.6	2.1
KN	2008	75	66	88.0	53	70.7	5	6.7	2	2.7	35	46.7	0.0
	2009	85	71	83.5	57	67.1	7	8.2	5	5.9	35	41.2	0.0
	2007	86	75	87.2	45	52.3	7	8.1	4	4.7	15	17.4	5.8
1	2008	95	85	89.5	57	60.0	10	10.5	6	6.3	15	15.8	3.2
	2009	91	84	92.3	62	68.1	7	7.7	5	5.5	15	16.5	11.0
	2007	82	71	86.6	39	47.6	12	14.6	6	7.3	11	13.4	8.5
2	2008	79	70	88.6	41	51.9	10	12.7	6	7.6	14	17.7	8.9
	2009	94	87	92.6	55	58.5	6	6.4	7	7.4	11	11.7	6.4
	2007	69	61	88.4	22	31.9	9	13.0	11	15.9	13	18.8	2.9
3	2008	78	66	84.6	38	48.7	12	15.4	6	7.7	15	19.2	0.0
	2009	68	61	89.7	36	52.9	6	8.8	7	10.3	8	11.8	5.9
	2007	60	58	96.7	24	40.0	6	10.0	10	16.7	8	13.3	5.0
4	2008	59	52	88.1	23	39.0	5	8.5	15	25.4	8	13.6	0.0
	2009	68	63	92.6	30	44.1	6	8.8	5	7.4	11	16.2	1.5
	2007	72	60	83.3	14	19.4	5	6.9	14	19.4	12	16.7	0.0
5	2008	62	56	90.3	21	33.9	6	9.7	12	19.4	12	19.4	1.6
	2009	63	57	90.5	22	34.9	6	9.5	13	20.6	12	19.0	0.0
	2007	516	453	87.8	232	45.0	55	10.7	47	9.1	156	30.2	4.1
EC-5	2008	498	439	88.2	265	53.2	56	11.2	47	9.4	147	29.5	2.6
	2009	520	470	90.4	301	57.9	47	9.0	42	8.1	140	26.9	4.6

Attendance

Grade	Year	_	Average Daily ear Membership (N)		verage Daily	Attendance		Yearly Transactions				uously ed (N)	Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (N)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus	Dallas ISD
	2007	22.8	4,117.2	22.0	96.6	3,933.6	95.5	50	100.0	100.0	42	7,436	100.0	100.0
PK	2008	21.0	4,133.2	19.9	95.1	3,942.7	95.4	53	100.0	100.0	37	7,427	100.0	100.0
	2009	21.1	4,167.8	20.2	95.7	3,975.6	95.4	48	100.0	100.0	39	7,332	100.0	100.0
	2007	94.1	13,945.0	89.8	95.4	13,385.6	96.0	22	23.4	24.3	78	12,035	82.9	86.3
KN	2008	77.6	13,568.1	74.5	96.0	13,004.4	95.8	21	27.1	23.5	65	11,618	83.8	85.6
	2009	83.5	13,515.0	79.6	95.3	12,939.1	95.7	20	23.9	22.5	69	11,501	82.6	85.1
	2007	82.8	14,353.8	80.1	96.8	13,851.4	96.5	32	38.6	23.2	69	12,437	83.3	86.6
1	2008	95.2	14,626.9	91.6	96.1	14,102.7	96.4	17	17.8	21.2	81	12,704	85.0	86.9
	2009	90.7	14,250.8	87.5	96.5	13,719.9	96.3	20	22.1	20.7	77	12,300	84.9	86.3
	2007	81.2	13,403.8	77.8	95.8	12,978.9	96.8	29	35.7	21.7	61	11,729	75.1	87.5
2	2008	83.1	13,708.6	80.3	96.6	13,269.8	96.8	20	24.1	19.7	66	12,043	79.4	87.9
	2009	91.7	13,950.1	88.7	96.8	13,499.0	96.8	20	21.8	18.6	78	12,231	85.1	87.7
	2007	67.0	12,998.4	64.3	96.0	12,633.3	97.2	25	37.3	21.1	54	11,445	80.6	88.0
3	2008	77.6	12,806.9	75.2	96.9	12,425.5	97.0	17	21.9	19.1	66	11,408	85.0	89.1
	2009	70.5	13,095.5	68.5	97.2	12,710.6	97.1	7	9.9	18.3	67	11,634	95.0	88.8
	2007	56.3	12,104.9	55.1	97.7	11,768.3	97.2	20	35.5	19.7	46	10,683	81.6	88.3
4	2008	60.2	12,329.8	57.8	96.1	11,960.3	97.0	13	21.6	19.7	50	10,924	83.0	88.6
	2009	70.0	12,156.4	67.7	96.6	11,789.9	97.0	13	18.6	18.1	61	10,731	87.1	88.3
	2007	68.3	11,757.0	66.6	97.6	11,426.8	97.2	22	32.2	20.9	57	10,362	83.5	88.1
5	2008	59.6	11,874.2	57.7	96.9	11,539.6	97.2	16	26.9	18.8	52	10,608	87.3	89.3
	2009	64.6	11,903.5	62.2	96.4	11,552.9	97.1	16	24.8	17.5	50	10,563	77.4	88.7
	2007	476.6	83,122.6	459.5	96.4	80,387.4	96.7	204	42.8	33.3	410	76,416	86.0	91.9
EC-5	2008	480.9	83,438.7	463.0	96.3	80,606.0	96.6	162	33.7	31.9	421	76,970	87.5	92.2
	2009	497.9	83,476.5	479.8	96.4	80,586.5	96.5	148	29.7	30.9	444	76,517	89.2	91.7

Teachers Teacher Statistics

DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS

Ethnicity	Number	Percent			
African American	10	29.4			
Hispanic	13	38.2			
White	10	29.4			
Other	1	2.9			

Gender	Number	Percent		
Female	27	79.4		
Male	7	20.6		

TOTAL	34

AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES

2007	5.8
2008	8.0
2009	6.8

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	87.5	75.0	79.2	*	66.7	71.8		77.8	83.3	80.0	84.6	60
3	2008	*	50.0	89.3	83.6	*	84.4	84.4		83.3	87.5	85.3	86.2	68
	2009	*	90.9	93.8	92.6		*	89.5		100.0	83.3	93.3	87.3	30
	2007	*	100.0	67.4	72.0	*	47.6	58.6		73.1	73.1	73.1	69.7	52
4	2008	*	76.9	67.5	70.0	*	55.0	51.6	*	62.1	80.8	70.9	72.1	55
	2009	*	53.8	83.3	74.1	*	82.8	65.8		67.5	91.3	76.2	75.8	63
	2007	*	92.3	79.5	80.8	*	55.6	63.6		78.8	87.5	83.1	78.3	65
5	2008	*	90.0	81.8	84.3	*	58.8	69.6		75.0	90.6	83.9	82.9	56
	2009	*	83.3	83.3	82.7	*	62.5	62.5	*	80.0	88.9	84.2	82.9	57
	2007	100.0	91.7	74.0	77.4	*	56.9	65.6		76.8	81.7	79.1	77.6	177
3-5	2008	100.0	74.2	80.7	79.5	100.0	69.6	70.7	*	74.2	86.7	80.4	80.3	179
	2009	85.7	75.0	84.9	81.0	*	75.5	70.4	*	78.4	88.7	82.7	81.5	150

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	8	36	127	155	5	51	90	0	95	82	177	31,814
2008	6	31	140	156	6	69	99	2	89	90	179	31,840
2009	7	36	106	137	4	49	81	2	88	62	150	28,126

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	2	10	11	*	7	11		8	4	12	1,675	60
3	2008	*	4	6	9	*	5	7		6	4	10	1,443	68
	2009	*	1	1	2		*	2		0	2	2	960	30
	2007	*	0	14	14	*	11	12		7	7	14	3,189	52
4	2008	*	3	13	15	*	9	15	*	11	5	16	2,996	55
	2009	*	6	8	15	*	5	13		13	2	15	2,449	63
	2007	*	1	9	10	*	4	8		7	4	11	2,258	65
5	2008	*	1	8	8	*	7	7		6	3	9	1,827	56
	2009	*	2	7	9	*	6	9	*	6	3	9	1,793	57
	2007	0	3	33	35	*	22	31		22	15	37	7,122	177
3-5	2008	0	8	27	32	0	21	29	*	23	12	35	6,266	179
	2009	1	9	16	26	*	12	24	*	19	7	26	5,202	150

					NUMB	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	8	36	127	155	5	51	90	0	95	82	177	31,814
2008	6	31	140	156	6	69	99	2	89	90	179	31,840
2009	7	36	106	137	4	49	81	2	88	62	150	28,126

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2007	72.7	80.5	72.5	72.7
3	2008	78.2	76.5	80.4	72.2
	2009	80.7	80.0	81.1	81.3
	2007	80.5	77.4	72.8	65.8
4	2008	81.1	77.3	75.6	72.0
	2009	83.5	76.8	69.2	79.4
	2007	78.8	85.6	83.3	74.8
5	2008	80.4	82.6	79.0	79.3
	2009	78.5	79.8	81.1	73.4
	2007	77.2	81.4	76.5	71.4
3-5	2008	79.8	78.6	78.5	74.4
	2009	81.0	78.6	76.1	77.5

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	12.5	12.5	15.1	*	0.0	0.0		5.6	29.2	15.0	21.3	60
3	2008	*	12.5	16.1	14.5	*	9.4	6.7		16.7	18.8	17.6	21.5	68
	2009	*	36.4	25.0	25.9		*	15.8		33.3	25.0	30.0	34.1	30
	2007	*	14.3	11.6	12.0	*	4.8	3.4		15.4	15.4	15.4	16.6	52
4	2008	*	15.4	7.5	10.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	6.9	15.4	10.9	14.4	55
	2009	*	7.7	18.8	15.5	*	13.8	10.5		12.5	21.7	15.9	18.4	63
	2007	*	15.4	20.5	23.1	*	0.0	0.0		21.2	18.8	20.0	13.1	65
5	2008	*	10.0	13.6	13.7	*	0.0	4.3		8.3	18.8	14.3	16.5	56
	2009	*	16.7	21.4	21.2	*	0.0	8.3	*	20.0	18.5	19.3	18.5	57
	2007	50.0	13.9	15.0	16.8	*	2.0	1.1		13.7	20.7	16.9	17.1	177
3-5	2008	33.3	12.9	12.9	12.8	16.7	4.3	4.0	*	11.2	17.8	14.5	17.4	179
	2009	14.3	19.4	20.8	19.7	*	10.2	11.1	*	19.3	21.0	20.0	22.7	150

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	8	36	127	155	5	51	90	0	95	82	177	31,814
2008	6	31	140	156	6	69	99	2	89	90	179	31,840
2009	7	36	106	137	4	49	81	2	88	62	150	28,126

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	56.3	56.1	55.6	*	42.9	46.2	*	57.1	60.0	58.3	70.3	60
3	2008	*	22.2	73.2	64.3	*	71.4	64.4		69.4	66.7	68.1	76.6	69
	2009	*	92.3	98.0	96.6	*	100.0	96.2		100.0	94.3	97.0	77.3	66
	2007	*	100.0	65.9	71.2	*	40.9	54.8		74.1	70.4	72.2	75.7	54
4	2008	*	84.6	77.5	80.0	*	70.0	64.5	*	75.9	84.6	80.0	77.9	55
-	2009	*	76.9	89.8	84.7	*	86.7	82.1		82.5	91.7	85.9	79.4	64
	2007	*	92.3	87.0	88.9	*	66.7	86.4		93.9	85.3	89.6	82.9	67
5	2008	*	80.0	91.3	92.2	*	84.2	88.5		88.0	90.9	89.7	84.0	58
	2009	*	100.0	90.2	91.8	*	73.3	85.7	*	92.6	92.6	92.6	87.0	54
	2007	100.0	78.4	70.2	71.9	71.4	47.3	58.7	*	74.7	73.3	74.0	76.2	181
3-5	2008	100.0	65.6	80.3	78.3	88.9	74.3	70.6	*	76.7	80.4	78.6	79.5	182
	2009	83.3	89.2	92.9	91.0	71.4	90.1	89.4	*	90.8	93.0	91.8	81.0	184

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	7	37	131	160	7	55	92	2	95	86	181	32,298
2008	6	32	142	157	9	74	102	2	90	92	182	32,696
2009	6	37	140	167	7	81	113	2	98	86	184	33,546

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 67% are shaded.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	7	18	24	*	12	21	*	15	10	25	3,303	60
3	2008	*	7	15	20	*	10	16		11	11	22	2,542	69
	2009	*	1	1	2	*	0	2		0	2	2	2,781	66
	2007	*	0	15	15	*	13	14		7	8	15	2,604	54
4	2008	*	2	9	10	*	6	11	*	7	4	11	2,436	55
-	2009	*	3	5	9	*	4	7		7	2	9	2,196	64
	2007	*	1	6	6	*	4	3		2	5	7	1,785	67
5	2008	*	2	4	4	*	3	3		3	3	6	1,730	58
	2009	*	0	4	4	*	4	3	*	2	2	4	1,386	54
	2007	0	8	39	45	2	29	38	*	24	23	47	7,692	181
3-5	2008	0	11	28	34	1	19	30	*	21	18	39	6,708	182
	2009	1	4	10	15	2	8	12	*	9	6	15	6,363	184

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	7	37	131	160	7	55	92	2	95	86	181	32,298
2008	6	32	142	157	9	74	102	2	90	92	182	32,696
2009	6	37	140	167	7	81	113	2	98	86	184	33,546

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2007	70.2	74.7	72.5	68.9	72.1	56.9
3	2008	71.9	75.8	80.4	79.5	75.7	57.8
	2009	90.9	87.4	94.2	93.2	90.9	79.5
	2007	79.0	79.4	61.4	71.0	66.2	70.1
4	2008	81.5	83.9	87.0	77.9	76.8	73.9
	2008 81.5 2009 89.9	89.9	83.5	85.9	84.9	91.4	79.9
	2007	87.7	84.0	82.5	82.5	85.1	83.0
5	2008	87.0	81.0	85.7	80.3	87.1	81.9
	2009	88.4	86.2	90.2	81.2	86.6	83.1
	2007	79.3	79.5	72.9	74.6	75.1	70.5
3-5	2008	79.6	79.9	84.1	79.3	79.7	70.3
	2009	89.8	85.7	90.2	86.8	89.8	80.7

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	6.3	14.6	13.0	*	4.8	5.1	*	8.6	16.0	11.7	19.2	60
3	2008	*	0.0	16.1	8.9	*	11.4	13.3		16.7	15.2	15.9	26.3	69
	2009	*	30.8	52.0	45.8	*	52.8	43.4		45.2	48.6	47.0	29.0	66
	2007	*	0.0	9.1	7.7	*	0.0	6.5		7.4	14.8	11.1	22.6	54
4	2008	*	23.1	30.0	28.0	*	15.0	9.7	*	17.2	42.3	29.1	23.8	55
	2009	*	23.1	71.4	57.6	*	66.7	51.3		55.0	70.8	60.9	31.6	64
	2007	*	61.5	45.7	44.4	*	33.3	18.2		45.5	44.1	44.8	29.3	67
5	2008	*	40.0	45.7	47.1	*	10.5	19.2		40.0	51.5	46.6	31.9	58
	2009	*	45.5	51.2	51.0	*	20.0	23.8	*	40.7	63.0	51.9	38.4	54
	2007	28.6	24.3	23.7	21.9	14.3	9.1	8.7	*	21.1	26.7	23.8	23.6	181
3-5	2008	66.7	21.9	29.6	27.4	44.4	12.2	13.7	*	23.3	35.9	29.7	27.3	182
	2009	66.7	32.4	58.6	51.5	42.9	51.9	42.5	*	48.0	59.3	53.3	32.8	184

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	7	37	131	160	7	55	92	2	95	86	181	32,298
2008	6	32	142	157	9	74	102	2	90	92	182	32,696
2009	6	37	140	167	7	81	113	2	98	86	184	33,546

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	100.0	95.0	95.7	*	94.1	92.0		90.9	100.0	95.8	86.5	48
4	2008	*	100.0	97.5	96.0	*	95.0	93.5	*	93.1	100.0	96.4	87.4	55
	2009	*	76.9	89.6	84.5	*	86.2	78.9		77.5	100.0	85.7	87.4	63

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2007	1	6	40	46	1	17	25	0	22	26	48	10,408
2008	2	13	40	50	2	20	31	2	29	26	55	10,658
2009	2	13	48	58	1	29	38	0	40	23	63	10,032

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	0	2	2	*	1	2		2	0	2	1,408	48
4	2008	*	0	1	2	*	1	2	*	2	0	2	1,348	55
	2009	*	3	5	9	*	4	8		9	0	9	1,264	63

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2007	1	6	40	46	1	17	25	0	22	26	48	10,408
2008	2	13	40	50	2	20	31	2	29	26	55	10,658
2009	2	13	48	58	1	29	38	0	40	23	63	10,032

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2007	2.3	85.9	75.5	93.0	93.2
4	2008	2.4	89.1	74.3	87.3	91.1
	2009	2.1	79.0	80.4	87.5	88.7

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	50.0	17.5	21.7	*	5.9	8.0		22.7	26.9	25.0	18.2	48
4	2008	*	23.1	30.0	28.0	*	10.0	6.5	*	17.2	42.3	29.1	21.4	55
	2009	*	15.4	22.9	17.2	*	13.8	10.5		17.5	26.1	20.6	21.0	63

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2007	1	6	40	46	1	17	25	0	22	26	48	10,408
2008	2	13	40	50	2	20	31	2	29	26	55	10,658
2009	2	13	48	58	1	29	38	0	40	23	63	10,032

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	61.5	58.7	55.6	*	41.7	34.8	*	63.6	60.0	61.8	62.3	68
5	2008	*	33.3	56.8	52.0	*	38.9	39.1		60.9	50.0	54.5	71.9	55
	2009	*	75.0	70.7	70.6	*	40.0	54.5	*	78.6	66.7	72.7	75.9	55

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2007	4	13	46	54	1	12	23	1	33	35	68	10,296
2008	1	9	44	50	1	18	23	0	23	32	55	10,686
2009	2	12	41	51	2	15	22	2	28	27	55	10,550

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 55 percent, the 2010 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	5	19	24	*	7	15	*	12	14	26	3,881	68
5	2008	*	6	19	24	*	11	14		9	16	25	3,005	55
	2009	*	3	12	15	*	9	10	*	6	9	15	2,542	55

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2007	4	13	46	54	1	12	23	1	33	35	68	10,296
2008	1	9	44	50	1	18	23	0	23	32	55	10,686
2009	2	12	41	51	2	15	22	2	28	27	55	10,550

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Life Sciences	Physical Sciences	Earth Sciences
	2007	81.7	75.0	77.8	65.7
5	2008	82.8	80.0	76.4	66.1
	2009	87.1	89.5	80.4	66.3

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	23.1	19.6	18.5	*	8.3	8.7	*	24.2	14.3	19.1	18.0	68
5	2008	*	11.1	15.9	16.0	*	5.6	8.7		21.7	15.6	18.2	24.8	55
	2009	*	8.3	24.4	21.6	*	0.0	9.1	*	25.0	22.2	23.6	29.1	55

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5							
2007	4	4 13 46 54 1 12 23 1 33 35 68 10,296													
2008	1	9	44	50	1	18	23	0	23	32	55	10,686			
2009	2	12	41	51	2	15	22	2	28	27	55	10,550			

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												82.9	0
3	2008												85.4	0
	2009			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	89.4	34
	2007													0
ALL	2008	·												0
	2009	·		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0		34

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN GRAD	ES ALL								
2007	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 —														
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
2009	0	0	34	31	0	33	33	0	12	22	34					

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												219	0
3	2008												239	0
	2009			0	0		0	0		0	0	0	514	34
	2007													0
ALL	2008													0
	2009	·		0	0		0	0		0	0	0		34

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN GRAD	ES ALL				
2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2009	0	0	34	31	0	33	33	0	12	22	34	

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2007				
3					
	2009	92.9	91.6	92.6	90.1
	2007				
ALL	2008				
	2009	92.9	91.6	92.6	90.1

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												14.8	0
3	2008												17.2	0
	2009			67.6	67.7		66.7	66.7		41.7	81.8	67.6	30.6	34
	2007													0
ALL	2008	·										•		0
	2009	·		67.6	67.7		66.7	66.7		41.7	81.8	67.6		34

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN ALL G	RADES								
2007	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 —														
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
2009	0	0	34	31	0	33	33	0	12	22	34					

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	*	57.1	57.1	*	*		*	*	57.1	77.7	7
	2009		*		*	*		*		*	*	*	87.7	3
4	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	70.7	3
	2009			*	*	*	*	*			*	*	71.8	1
5	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	73.3	5
	2009			*	*	*	*	*		*		*	80.3	3
3-5	2008		*	70.0	78.6	80.0	*	75.0		90.0	*	80.0	73.7	15
	2009		*	*	100.0	100.0	*	100.0		*	*	100.0	79.2	7

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5							
2008	0	5	10	14	15	5	8	0	10	5	15	1,807			
2009	0	3	4	7	7	3	6	0	5	2	7	1,867			

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	*	3	3	*	*		*	*	3	116	7
	2009		*		*	*		*		*	*	*	60	3
4	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	181	3
	2009			*	*	*	*	*			*	*	192	1
5	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	179	5
	2009			*	*	*	*	*		*		*	137	3
3-5	2008		*	3	3	3	*	2		1	*	3	476	15
	2009		*	*	0	0	*	0		*	*	0	389	7

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5						
2008	0	5	10	14	15	5	8	0	10	5	15	1,807		
2009	0	3	4	7	7	3	6	0	5	2	7	1,867		

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
3	2008	44.0	52.4	40.0	57.1
	2009	*	*	*	*
4	2008	*	*	*	*
	2009	*	*	*	*
5	2008	*	*	*	*
	2009	*	*	*	*
3-5	2008	66.4	68.9	65.3	70.3
	2009	84.5	95.2	94.3	82.8

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	*	0.0	0.0	*	*		*	*	0.0	10.8	7
	2009		*		*	*		*		*	*	*	16.2	3
4	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	9.7	3
	2009			*	*	*	*	*			*	*	8.9	1
5	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	14.9	5
	2009			*	*	*	*	*		*		*	14.1	3
3-5	2008		*	40.0	21.4	26.7	*	37.5		30.0	*	26.7	12.0	15
	2009		*	*	42.9	42.9	*	50.0		*	*	42.9	12.7	7

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2008	0	5	10	14	15	5	8	0	10	5	15	1,807
2009	0	3	4	7	7	3	6	0	5	2	7	1,867

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the IOWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS

			READIN	IG TOTAL (**LANGUAGE	≣)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	30.3	31.4	46.9	35
K**	2008	*	50.0	45.8	57.6	24
	2009	33.3	41.2	37.9	41.9	29
	2007	22.2	41.4	36.8	51.4	38
1	2008	0.0	65.6	53.8	50.2	39
	2009	20.0	70.6	46.9	54.2	32
	2007	21.1	57.7	42.2	44.5	45
2	2008	21.1	72.2	45.9	50.2	37
	2009	34.8	87.5	56.4	47.9	39
	2007	21.4	49.1	39.8	47.6	83
1-2	2008	15.4	68.0	50.0	50.2	76
	2009	28.9	78.8	52.1	51.0	71

	2007	30	88	118	24,696	
Number Tested	2008	30	70	100	21,817	
Toolog	2009	50	50	100	21,148	

			MATHEMATI	CS TOTAL	(**MATHMA	ATICS)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	40.8	15.4	29.5	44.3	88
K**	2008	12.5	32.0	19.2	44.9	73
	2009	67.8	52.4	63.8	52.8	80
	2007	56.3	48.4	53.2	48.8	79
1	2008	39.6	52.6	45.1	55.5	91
	2009	46.7	29.4	37.5	50.4	32
	2007	57.9	73.1	66.7	55.6	45
2	2008	44.2	85.7	56.2	57.5	73
	2009	25.0	57.1	36.8	53.2	38
	2007	50.0	41.7	46.2	49.1	212
K-2	2008	32.7	54.8	40.5	52.7	237
	2009	54.1	46.2	51.3	52.3	150

	2007	116	96	212	34,441	
Number Tested	2008	153	84	237	41,070	
103100	2009	98	52	150	30,563	

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	30.0	30.0	34.5	*	*	*		18.2	37.5	31.4	46.9	35
K**	2008	*	25.0	57.1	45.5	*	*	*		42.9	50.0	45.8	57.6	24
	2009	*	54.5	25.0	27.3	*	42.9	33.3		35.7	40.0	37.9	41.9	29
	2007	*	33.3	40.0	35.5	*	*	22.2		38.5	33.3	36.8	51.4	38
1	2008	*	53.8	50.0	54.3	*	*	0.0		30.8	65.4	53.8	50.2	39
	2009	*	38.5	52.9	43.3	*	*	20.0		28.6	61.1	46.9	54.2	32
	2007	*	20.0	41.4	34.2	*	25.0	21.1		29.2	57.1	42.2	44.5	45
2	2008	*	41.7	45.0	46.9	*	*	21.1		48.1	40.0	45.9	50.2	37
	2009	*	50.0	55.6	56.8	*	14.3	34.8		50.0	60.0	56.4	47.9	39
	2007	66.7	28.0	40.9	34.8	28.6	33.3	21.4		34.0	48.5	39.8	47.6	83
1-2	2008	*	48.0	47.7	50.7	11.1	22.2	15.4		42.5	58.3	50.0	50.2	76
	2009	*	43.5	54.5	50.7	*	25.0	28.9		39.3	60.5	52.1	51.0	71

	2007	12	35	64	98	8	10	30	0	61	57	118	24,696
Number Tested	2008	6	33	58	89	10	12	30	0	54	46	100	21,817
restea	2009	6	34	60	89	6	19	50	0	42	58	100	21,148

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	2	2	4	*	*	*		1	4	5	1,245	35
K**	2008	*	1	4	5	*	*	*		3	3	6	1,687	24
	2009	*	0	0	0	*	0	0		0	0	0	771	29
	2007	*	2	0	1	*	*	0		3	0	3	1,458	38
1	2008	*	1	6	6	*	*	0		1	7	8	1,555	39
	2009	*	3	4	7	*	*	1		3	5	8	1,659	32
	2007	*	0	2	0	*	0	0		0	3	3	896	45
2	2008	*	2	0	4	*	*	0		5	0	5	1,065	37
	2009	*	1	2	3	*	0	0		2	2	4	1,027	39
	2007	2	2	2	1	0	0	0		3	3	6	2,355	83
1-2	2008	*	3	6	10	0	0	0		6	7	13	2,620	76
	2009	*	4	6	10	*	1	1		5	7	12	2,688	71

	2007	12	35	64	98	8	10	30	0	61	57	118	24,696
Number Tested	2008	6	33	58	89	10	12	30	0	54	46	100	21,817
103104	2009	6	34	60	89	6	19	50	0	42	58	100	21,148

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

K** 200 200 200 200	08 *	9.1 25.0 72.7	30.6 17.5	31.6 18.5	*	39.6	40.8					
200	06	+	17.5	18.5			40.6	26.3	32.0	29.5	44.3	88
	09 *	72.7		10.5	*	11.5	12.5	15.2	25.9	19.2	44.9	73
200		1	62.7	63.2	*	66.7	67.8	57.1	71.1	63.8	52.8	80
	50.0	40.0	58.2	53.6	*	62.5	56.3	50.0	58.1	53.2	48.8	79
1 200	80 *	38.5	44.7	43.2	0.0	40.0	39.6	23.8	63.3	45.1	55.5	91
200	09 *	30.8	41.2	36.7	*	*	46.7	50.0	27.8	37.5	50.4	32
200	07 *	36.4	71.4	63.2	*	85.7	57.9	66.7	66.7	66.7	55.6	45
2 200	80 *	58.3	53.6	59.4	*	50.0	44.2	60.0	50.0	56.2	57.5	73
200	09 *	20.0	44.4	37.8	*	42.9	25.0	28.6	41.7	36.8	53.2	38
200	07 61.5	29.7	47.7	46.2	44.4	52.0	50.0	45.5	47.1	46.2	49.1	212
K-2 200	08 83.3	42.4	38.5	40.5	6.7	32.7	32.7	33.1	50.0	40.5	52.7	237
200	09 *	41.2	55.0	50.4	11.1	65.2	54.1	50.0	52.5	51.3	52.3	150

	2007	13	37	155	186	9	100	116	0	110	102	212	34,441
Number Tested	2008	6	33	195	210	15	147	153	0	133	104	237	41,070
restea	2009	5	34	111	135	9	69	98	0	70	80	150	30,563

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	0	5	5	*	5	5		2	3	5	2,583	88
K**	2008	*	1	2	3	*	0	0		3	1	4	2,788	73
	2009	*	2	11	11	*	11	11		6	7	13	2,455	80
	2007	1	2	10	11	*	7	7		10	3	13	1,769	79
1	2008	*	1	3	4	0	2	2		1	4	5	2,800	91
	2009	*	2	1	3	*	*	0		2	2	4	1,577	32
	2007	*	0	7	6	*	1	2		5	4	9	2,098	45
2	2008	*	2	8	9	*	6	7		9	3	12	2,912	73
	2009	*	1	0	1	*	0	0		0	1	1	1,686	38
	2007	2	2	22	22	2	13	14		17	10	27	6,450	212
K-2	2008	2	4	13	16	0	8	9		13	8	21	8,500	237
	2009	*	5	12	15	1	11	11		8	10	18	5,718	150

	2007	13	37	155	186	9	100	116	0	110	102	212	34,441
Number Tested	2008	6	33	195	210	15	147	153	0	133	104	237	41,070
resteu	2009	5	34	111	135	9	69	98	0	70	80	150	30,563

Logramos Read (1)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			54.0	55.3	*	54.0	53.2		44.0	64.0	54.0	84.7	50
K	2008			62.0	61.4	*	61.2	59.1		50.0	83.3	62.0	87.0	50
	2009			88.2	87.0	*	88.0	89.4		78.6	100.0	88.2	88.6	51
	2007			85.4	86.8		85.0	84.6		78.3	94.4	85.4	84.5	41
1	2008			98.0	97.7	*	98.0	97.8		96.4	100.0	98.0	88.0	50
	2009			89.3	92.2	*	89.1	90.6		88.6	90.5	89.3	88.6	56
	2007												89.9	0
2	2008			94.6	97.0	*	94.4	93.9		94.7	94.4	94.6	93.4	37
	2009			97.9	97.7		97.9	97.9		96.2	100.0	97.9	94.0	47
	2007			68.1	69.4	*	67.8	67.4		60.4	76.7	68.1	85.6	91
K-2	2008			83.9	84.3	*	83.7	82.9		77.2	93.1	83.9	89.3	137
	2009			91.6	92.1	100.0	91.4	92.5		87.6	96.9	91.6	90.4	154

	2007	0	0	91	85	2	90	86	0	48	43	91	14,889
Number Tested	2008	0	0	137	121	4	135	123	0	79	58	137	18,257
resteu	2009	0	0	154	140	6	152	147	0	89	65	154	19,076

Logramos Read (2)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			5	5	*	5	5		2	3	5	2,779	50
K	2008			13	10	*	12	10		7	6	13	3,280	50
	2009			31	29	*	31	30		15	16	31	3,525	51
	2007			8	7		7	7		4	4	8	1,782	41
1	2008			23	20	*	23	21		10	13	23	2,412	50
	2009			21	20	*	21	20		9	12	21	2,523	56
	2007												1,196	0
2	2008			14	12	*	14	12		6	8	14	2,596	37
	2009			25	23		25	25		10	15	25	2,909	47
	2007			13	12	*	12	12		6	7	13	5,757	91
K-2	2008			50	42	*	49	43		23	27	50	8,288	137
	2009			77	72	1	77	75		34	43	77	8,957	154

	2007	0	0	91	85	2	90	86	0	48	43	91	14,889
Number Tested	2008	0	0	137	121	4	135	123	0	79	58	137	18,257
resteu	2009	0	0	154	140	6	152	147	0	89	65	154	19,076

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1	in 2008	Level 2	in 2008	Level 3	in 2008	Levels 1-	3 in 2008
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2009						
4	0	-	1	100.0	9	33.3	10	40.0
5	2	0.0	1	0.0	0	-	3	0.0
ALL	2	0.0	2	50.0	9	33.3	13	30.8

PERFORMANCE IN 2009

Composite Grade Domain: Listening Speaking Writing Reading 2009 Level (N Rated) Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % 38 50 86.2 50 86.2 50 86.2 Beginning 15.5 65.5 KN 70.7 2 1.7 Intermediate 16 27.6 3.4 1.7 Advanced 6 10.3 3 5.2 4 6.9 6 10.3 6 10.3 (58)2 Advanced High 3.4 1 1.7 3.4 1.7 1.7 28 45.9 17 27.9 17 27.9 Beginning 11.5 18.0 1 33 Intermediate 29 15 24.6 22 36.1 22 36.1 54.1 47.5 Advanced 13.1 8 13.1 6 9.8 14.8 9 14.8 (61)Advanced High 13 21.3 13 21.3 12 19.7 13 21.3 13 21.3 5 Beginning 16.7 10 18.5 24 44.4 5 9.3 9.3 2 9 Intermediate 16.7 16 29.6 13 24.1 15 27.8 16 29.6 Advanced 25 46.3 23 42.6 10 18.5 18 33.3 19 35.2 (54)Advanced High 20.4 5 9.3 7 13.0 16 29.6 14 25.9 Beginning 10 26.3 11 28.9 12 31.6 2 5.3 2 5.3 3 Intermediate 14 36.8 17 44.7 13 34.2 9 23.7 12 31.6 8 23.7 28.9 36.8 Advanced 10 26.3 21.1 9 11 14 (38)2 Advanced High 10.5 5.3 4 10.5 16 42.1 10 26.3 2 3 10.3 Beginning 13.8 6.9 0.0 0 0.0 4 Intermediate 14 48.3 16 55.2 15 51.7 3.4 2 6.9 7 Advanced 24.1 11 37.9 10 34.5 12 41.4 15 51.7 (29)13.8 0 0.0 3.4 16 55.2 12 41.4 Advanced High

PROGRESSION FROM 2008 TO 2009

Number Rated Both Years			2008	Level	
N (%) Progressed	2009 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High
	Beginning		-	-	
	Intermediate	-		-	
_	Advanced	-	-	-	-
	Advanced High	-	-	-	-
	Beginning		1	3	
54	Intermediate	19		3	
38 (70.4%)	Advanced	7	1	C)
	Advanced High	8	3	()
	Beginning			5	
51	Intermediate	13		3	
42 (82.4%)	Advanced	12	3	1	l
	Advanced High	10	3	1	
	Beginning		2	2	
38	Intermediate	2		10	
19 (50.0%)	Advanced	0	7	7	7
	Advanced High	0	0	1	0
	Beginning		()	
29	Intermediate	0		2	
16 (55.2%)	Advanced	0	4	1	1
	Advanced High	0	1	1	1

PERFORMANCE IN 2009

Grade	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	ıking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Com	posite
(N Rated)	2009 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	3	13.6	3	13.6	4	18.2	1	5.0	2	9.5
5	Intermediate	4	18.2	4	18.2	2	9.1	2	10.0	2	9.5
(22)	Advanced	5	22.7	5	22.7	6	27.3	3	15.0	3	14.3
	Advanced High	10	45.5	10	45.5	10	45.5	14	70.0	14	66.7
	Beginning	42	16.0	75	28.6	121	46.2	75	28.8	76	29.1
ALL	Intermediate	115	43.9	98	37.4	60	22.9	50	19.2	55	21.1
(262)	Advanced	61	23.3	58	22.1	45	17.2	59	22.7	66	25.3
	Advanced High	44	16.8	31	11.8	36	13.7	76	29.2	64	24.5

PROGRESSION FROM 2008 TO 2009

Number Rated Both Years		2008 Level				
N (%) Progressed	2009 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High	
	Beginning		0			
15	Intermediate	0		1		
12 (80.0%)	Advanced	0	1	2		
	Advanced High	0	2	9)	
	Beginning		20 19			
187	Intermediate	34				
127 (67.9%)	Advanced	19	16	2	1	
	Advanced High	18	9	3	1	

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2008 to 2009.