## **Campus Data Packet**

for 2009 - 10 Plans



# JILL STONE AT VICKERY MEADOW

School Number 141

The information in this packet is based on data from the 2008-09 school year.

This packet contains corrected statistics for norm-referenced assessments (ITBS and Logramos), including the SCE Compliance report. PSAT reports now contain the average score for all students in a grade.



2009-10 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2 ContentsTable of Contents	3 Notes Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
5 SummarySummary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6 Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7 Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8 Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
9 Teachers Teacher Statistics	
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	
10 TAKS Read (1) TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	11 TAKS Read (2) TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
12 TAKS Read (3) TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	13 TAKS Read (4) TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
14 TAKS Math (1)TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	15 TAKS Math (2)TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
16 TAKS Math (3)TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	17 TAKS Math (4)TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
18 TAKS Write (1)TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	19 TAKS Write (2) TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
20 TAKS Write (3) TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	21 TAKS Write (4) TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
22 TAKS Science (1)TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	23 TAKS Science (2) TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
24 TAKS Science (3)TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	25 TAKS Science (4) TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
26 SPTAKS Read (1) Spanish TAKS Reading: % Meeting Standard	27 SPTAKS Read (2) Spanish TAKS Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
28 SPTAKS Read (3) Spanish TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	29 SPTAKS Read (4) Spanish TAKS Reading: % Commended
ITBS/ITED/LOGRAMOS	
30 Compliance SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	31 ITBS Read (1)ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
32 ITBS Read (2)ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	33 ITBS Math (1) ITBS Math Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
34 ITBS Math (2) ITBS Math Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	35 Logramos Read (1) Logramos Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
36 Logramos Read (2) Logramos Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	
MEASURES OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
37 WMLSWoodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	38 TELPAS TX English Language Proficiency Assessment System

#### 2009-10 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

#### **ENROLLMENT**

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2008-09. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2007-08 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2007-08 and 2008-09.

#### **ATTENDANCE**

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

#### **TEACHERS**

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

#### **TAKS**

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- TAKS statistics include both TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated results.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2010, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

#### SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading results for all three years are for the Language Total subtest; all other grades' results reflect Reading Total performance. (The Reading Total summary is not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergarteners in 2009.) All Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The number tested includes kindergarteners.
- Numbers tested in READING for 2007 may not match those reported in campus data packets published in the prior years. Previous totals did not consistently include students in Kindergarten, though documentation included with the reports indicated otherwise.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading and mathematics results for all three years are for the Language subtest and Mathematics subtest. All other grades' results reflect Reading Total or Mathematics Total performance. (The Reading and Mathematics Total summaries are not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergartners.) Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The "number tested" statistics include kindergartners.

#### **TELPAS**

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2008 to 2009, the TEA did not report the 2008 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2009, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2008.

#### STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
PK	42
KN	61
1	62
2	42
3	36
4	32
5	23
ALL	298

#### STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stud	dents	Teachers				
Etimoty	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
African American	37	12.4	1	4.2			
American Indian	2	0.7	*	*			
Asian	0	0.0	*	*			
Hispanic	255	85.6	11	45.8			
White	4	1.3	9	37.5			
Other	**	**	3	12.5			

<sup>\*</sup>Included in category "Other."

#### STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percent
Economically disadvantaged students	291	97.7
Limited English proficient students	207	69.5
Special education students	9	3.0

<sup>\*\*</sup>All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

			African A	American	America	ın Indian	As	ian	Hisp	anic	Wh	nite
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2007	37	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	37	100.0	0	0.0
PK	2008	44	3	6.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	41	93.2	0	0.0
	2009	42	5	11.9	1	2.4	0	0.0	36	85.7	0	0.0
	2007	56	7	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	48	85.7	1	1.8
KN	2008	59	7	11.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	50	84.7	2	3.4
	2009	61	4	6.6	1	1.6	0	0.0	54	88.5	2	3.3
	2007	49	10	20.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	37	75.5	2	4.1
1	2008	63	4	6.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	55	87.3	4	6.3
	2009	62	7	11.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	55	88.7	0	0.0
	2007	38	6	15.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	30	78.9	2	5.3
2	2008	42	10	23.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	32	76.2	0	0.0
	2009	42	5	11.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	37	88.1	0	0.0
	2007	38	7	18.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	28	73.7	3	7.9
3	2008	40	12	30.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	27	67.5	1	2.5
	2009	36	6	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	29	80.6	1	2.8
	2007	31	7	22.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	24	77.4	0	0.0
4	2008	40	14	35.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	24	60.0	2	5.0
	2009	32	5	15.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	26	81.3	1	3.1
	2007	32	1	3.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	29	90.6	2	6.3
5	2008	24	3	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	21	87.5	0	0.0
	2009	23	5	21.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	18	78.3	0	0.0
	2007	281	38	13.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	233	82.9	10	3.6
EC-5	2008	312	53	17.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	250	80.1	9	2.9
	2009	298	37	12.4	2	0.7	0	0.0	255	85.6	4	1.3

				mically antaged	LE	ĒP.	Special E	Education	T/	AG	New (to Dallas ISD)		Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2007	37	37	100.0	35	94.6	1	2.7	0	0.0	37	100.0	0.0
PK	2008	44	44	100.0	34	77.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	44	100.0	0.0
	2009	42	39	92.9	27	64.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	42	100.0	0.0
	2007	56	55	98.2	37	66.1	1	1.8	3	5.4	25	44.6	0.0
KN	2008	59	58	98.3	48	81.4	1	1.7	0	0.0	23	39.0	0.0
	2009	61	61	100.0	38	62.3	2	3.3	1	1.6	26	42.6	6.6
	2007	49	49	100.0	33	67.3	2	4.1	1	2.0	8	16.3	10.2
1	2008	63	62	98.4	54	85.7	2	3.2	2	3.2	2	3.2	14.3
	2009	62	60	96.8	51	82.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	11.3	9.7
	2007	38	38	100.0	28	73.7	1	2.6	6	15.8	2	5.3	5.3
2	2008	42	42	100.0	31	73.8	0	0.0	5	11.9	1	2.4	7.1
	2009	42	42	100.0	35	83.3	0	0.0	1	2.4	1	2.4	4.8
	2007	38	38	100.0	26	68.4	1	2.6	7	18.4	1	2.6	2.6
3	2008	40	40	100.0	28	70.0	1	2.5	4	10.0	4	10.0	15.0
	2009	36	35	97.2	23	63.9	2	5.6	5	13.9	8	22.2	2.8
	2007	31	30	96.8	21	67.7	1	3.2	3	9.7	3	9.7	6.5
4	2008	40	39	97.5	10	25.0	2	5.0	11	27.5	4	10.0	2.5
	2009	32	31	96.9	25	78.1	4	12.5	4	12.5	1	3.1	3.1
	2007	32	31	96.9	13	40.6	2	6.3	4	12.5	2	6.3	0.0
5	2008	24	24	100.0	15	62.5	2	8.3	1	4.2	2	8.3	4.2
	2009	23	23	100.0	8	34.8	1	4.3	9	39.1	0	0.0	0.0
	2007	281	278	98.9	193	68.7	9	3.2	24	8.5	78	27.8	3.6
EC-5	2008	312	309	99.0	220	70.5	8	2.6	23	7.4	80	25.6	6.4
	2009	298	291	97.7	207	69.5	9	3.0	20	6.7	85	28.5	4.7

#### **Attendance**

Grade	Year	Averag Member	e Daily rship (N)	А	verage Daily	Attendance		Yea	rly Transaction	ns		uously ed (N)	Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (N)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus	Dallas ISD
	2007	19.3	4,117.2	18.5	95.6	3,933.6	95.5	50	100.0	100.0	32	7,436	100.0	100.0
PK	2008	20.6	4,133.2	19.4	94.3	3,942.7	95.4	86	100.0	100.0	38	7,427	100.0	100.0
	2009	20.5	4,167.8	19.5	95.1	3,975.6	95.4	52	100.0	100.0	31	7,332	100.0	100.0
	2007	59.2	13,945.0	56.2	94.9	13,385.6	96.0	24	40.5	24.3	50	12,035	84.4	86.3
KN	2008	62.6	13,568.1	59.7	95.2	13,004.4	95.8	16	25.5	23.5	48	11,618	76.6	85.6
	2009	62.8	13,515.0	60.1	95.7	12,939.1	95.7	15	23.9	22.5	50	11,501	79.6	85.1
	2007	47.2	14,353.8	45.0	95.3	13,851.4	96.5	24	50.8	23.2	36	12,437	76.3	86.6
1	2008	62.7	14,626.9	59.9	95.5	14,102.7	96.4	22	35.1	21.2	53	12,704	84.5	86.9
	2009	64.8	14,250.8	62.4	96.3	13,719.9	96.3	22	34.0	20.7	51	12,300	78.7	86.3
	2007	39.1	13,403.8	37.9	96.9	12,978.9	96.8	15	38.4	21.7	31	11,729	79.3	87.5
2	2008	40.4	13,708.6	39.1	96.8	13,269.8	96.8	12	29.7	19.7	32	12,043	79.2	87.9
	2009	48.5	13,950.1	46.9	96.6	13,499.0	96.8	9	18.5	18.6	39	12,231	80.3	87.7
	2007	39.0	12,998.4	37.7	96.6	12,633.3	97.2	7	17.9	21.1	35	11,445	89.7	88.0
3	2008	42.0	12,806.9	40.9	97.3	12,425.5	97.0	18	42.9	19.1	32	11,408	76.2	89.1
	2009	39.5	13,095.5	38.3	96.8	12,710.6	97.1	12	30.4	18.3	29	11,634	73.4	88.8
	2007	33.3	12,104.9	32.3	97.3	11,768.3	97.2	11	33.1	19.7	27	10,683	81.2	88.3
4	2008	37.9	12,329.8	36.9	97.3	11,960.3	97.0	13	34.3	19.7	30	10,924	79.1	88.6
	2009	33.7	12,156.4	32.7	97.2	11,789.9	97.0	8	23.7	18.1	26	10,731	77.1	88.3
	2007	32.8	11,757.0	31.9	97.2	11,426.8	97.2	11	33.5	20.9	27	10,362	82.3	88.1
5	2008	26.6	11,874.2	25.9	97.2	11,539.6	97.2	11	41.3	18.8	18	10,608	67.6	89.3
	2009	26.5	11,903.5	25.7	97.2	11,552.9	97.1	3	11.3	17.5	21	10,563	79.3	88.7
	2007	269.9	83,122.6	259.5	96.1	80,387.4	96.7	142	52.6	33.3	238	76,416	88.2	91.9
EC-5	2008	292.9	83,438.7	281.7	96.2	80,606.0	96.6	178	60.8	31.9	251	76,970	85.7	92.2
	2009	296.3	83,476.5	285.5	96.4	80,586.5	96.5	121	40.8	30.9	247	76,517	83.4	91.7

Teachers Teacher Statistics

#### **DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS**

Ethnicity	Number	Percent		
African American	1	4.2		
Hispanic	11	45.8		
White	9	37.5		
Other	3	12.5		

Gender	Number	Percent		
Female	15	62.5		
Male	9	37.5		

TOTAL	0.4
TOTAL	24

#### **AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES**

2007	8.4
2008	7.1
2009	9.2

#### PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	57.1	87.0	75.8	*	81.0	71.4		76.5	75.0	75.8	84.6	33
3	2008	*	91.7	87.5	89.2	*	88.0	86.2		88.2	90.0	89.2	86.2	37
	2009		*	50.0	45.5	*	*	*		50.0	*	45.5	87.3	11
	2007		42.9	57.1	55.6	*	55.6	52.2		41.2	72.7	53.6	69.7	28
4	2008	*	85.7	77.3	77.8	*	62.5	63.2		80.0	72.2	76.3	72.1	38
	2009	*	*	60.0	68.0	*	60.0	72.2		64.3	66.7	65.4	75.8	26
	2007	*	*	53.3	61.3	*	23.1	47.8		58.8	52.9	55.9	78.3	34
5	2008		*	77.8	81.0	*	63.6	75.0		80.0	83.3	81.0	82.9	21
	2009		*	75.0	80.0		50.0	80.0		76.9	85.7	80.0	82.9	20
	2007	*	53.3	64.9	64.8	*	57.7	58.1		58.8	65.9	62.1	77.6	95
3-5	2008	*	89.7	81.3	83.0	50.0	77.3	76.6		82.7	81.8	82.3	80.3	96
	2009	*	71.4	64.3	67.9	*	55.2	68.4		65.7	68.2	66.7	81.5	57

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	5	15	74	91	4	52	74	0	51	44	95	31,814
2008	3	29	64	94	6	44	64	0	52	44	96	31,840
2009	1	14	42	56	3	29	38	0	35	22	57	28,126

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

#### **NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	3	3	8	*	4	8		4	4	8	1,675	33
3	2008	*	1	3	4	*	3	4		2	2	4	1,443	37
	2009		*	3	6	*	*	*		4	*	6	960	11
	2007		4	9	12	*	8	11		10	3	13	3,189	28
4	2008	*	2	5	8	*	3	7		4	5	9	2,996	38
	2009	*	*	8	8	*	8	5		5	4	9	2,449	26
	2007	*	*	14	12	*	10	12		7	8	15	2,258	34
5	2008		*	4	4	*	4	4		3	1	4	1,827	21
	2009		*	4	4		3	3		3	1	4	1,793	20
	2007	*	7	26	32	*	22	31		21	15	36	7,122	95
3-5	2008	*	3	12	16	3	10	15		9	8	17	6,266	96
	2009	*	4	15	18	*	13	12		12	7	19	5,202	57

					NUMB	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	5	15	74	91	4	52	74	0	51	44	95	31,814
2008	3	29	64	94	6	44	64	0	52	44	96	31,840
2009	1	14	42	56	3	29	38	0	35	22	57	28,126

#### **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2007	70.3	78.4	65.7	68.2
3	2008	76.9	76.4	80.2	75.7
	2009	62.4	67.5	56.1	70.5
	2007	68.6	62.9	61.2	59.3
4	2008	85.1	80.3	79.3	75.8
	2009	81.8	73.1	68.7	78.5
	2007	63.1	75.4	64.7	58.6
5	2008	76.9	75.0	78.0	74.4
	2009	81.5	83.8	81.9	73.5
	2007	67.2	72.7	64.0	62.1
3-5	2008	80.2	77.6	79.4	75.4
	2009	78.0	75.8	70.9	75.2

#### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	14.3	13.0	12.1	*	14.3	10.7		17.6	6.3	12.1	21.3	33
3	2008	*	33.3	4.2	13.5	*	8.0	6.9		5.9	20.0	13.5	21.5	37
	2009		*	33.3	27.3	*	*	*		37.5	*	27.3	34.1	11
	2007		0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	16.6	28
4	2008	*	35.7	13.6	19.4	*	12.5	10.5		15.0	27.8	21.1	14.4	38
	2009	*	*	10.0	12.0	*	15.0	16.7		7.1	16.7	11.5	18.4	26
	2007	*	*	10.0	9.7	*	0.0	4.3		0.0	17.6	8.8	13.1	34
5	2008		*	5.6	4.8	*	0.0	0.0		0.0	16.7	4.8	16.5	21
	2009		*	12.5	15.0		0.0	0.0		15.4	14.3	15.0	18.5	20
	2007	*	6.7	8.1	7.7	*	5.8	5.4		5.9	9.1	7.4	17.1	95
3-5	2008	*	31.0	7.8	13.8	0.0	6.8	6.3		7.7	22.7	14.6	17.4	96
	2009	*	21.4	14.3	16.1	*	13.8	10.5		17.1	13.6	15.8	22.7	57

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	5	15	74	91	4	52	74	0	51	44	95	31,814
2008	3	29	64	94	6	44	64	0	52	44	96	31,840
2009	1	14	42	56	3	29	38	0	35	22	57	28,126

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

#### PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	71.4	75.0	67.6	*	72.7	65.5		76.5	58.8	67.6	70.3	34
3	2008	*	61.5	87.0	78.4	*	87.5	82.1		76.5	80.0	78.4	76.6	37
	2009	*	50.0	72.4	66.7	*	76.0	74.1		60.9	76.9	66.7	77.3	36
	2007		28.6	61.9	55.6	*	55.6	47.8		41.2	72.7	53.6	75.7	28
4	2008	*	85.7	86.4	83.3	*	87.5	73.7		95.0	72.2	84.2	77.9	38
	2009	*	*	68.2	73.1	*	71.4	73.7		85.7	57.1	71.4	79.4	28
	2007	*	*	84.6	85.7	*	70.0	81.0		86.7	85.7	86.2	82.9	29
5	2008		*	82.4	80.0	*	72.7	75.0		71.4	100.0	80.0	84.0	20
	2009		*	87.5	90.0		100.0	86.7		100.0	71.4	90.0	87.0	20
	2007	*	53.3	74.6	69.7	*	66.0	64.4		67.3	71.4	69.2	76.2	91
3-5	2008	*	73.3	85.5	80.6	66.7	83.7	77.8		82.4	79.5	81.1	79.5	95
	2009	*	73.3	74.6	74.4	50.0	76.9	77.0		78.0	67.6	73.8	81.0	84

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	5	15	71	89	4	50	73	0	49	42	91	32,298
2008	3	30	62	93	6	43	63	0	51	44	95	32,696
2009	2	15	67	82	6	52	61	0	50	34	84	33,546

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 67% are shaded.

#### **NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	2	6	11	*	6	10		4	7	11	3,303	34
3	2008	*	5	3	8	*	3	5		4	4	8	2,542	37
	2009	*	3	8	12	*	6	7		9	3	12	2,781	36
	2007		5	8	12	*	8	12		10	3	13	2,604	28
4	2008	*	2	3	6	*	1	5		1	5	6	2,436	38
	2009	*	*	7	7	*	6	5		2	6	8	2,196	28
	2007	*	*	4	4	*	3	4		2	2	4	1,785	29
5	2008		*	3	4	*	3	4		4	0	4	1,730	20
	2009		*	2	2		0	2		0	2	2	1,386	20
	2007	*	7	18	27	*	17	26		16	12	28	7,692	91
3-5	2008	*	8	9	18	2	7	14		9	9	18	6,708	95
	2009	*	4	17	21	3	12	14		11	11	22	6,363	84

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	5	15	71	89	4	50	73	0	49	42	91	32,298
2008	3	30	62	93	6	43	63	0	51	44	95	32,696
2009	2	15	67	82	6	52	61	0	50	34	84	33,546

#### **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2007	78.8	81.4	80.4	75.5	79.4	63.6
3	2008	78.6	78.4	80.6	77.9	86.5	64.2
	2009	73.3	79.2	81.0	74.1	75.7	64.6
	2007	72.7	68.9	69.0	58.3	53.6	60.3
4	2008	84.0	87.2	80.3	74.6	80.3	78.0
	2008	84.4	74.0	69.0	71.4	70.5	60.7
	2007	82.4	78.3	86.7	79.3	85.3	75.4
5	2008	74.5	77.1	87.9	72.9	80.0	70.0
	2009	83.6	82.9	97.9	85.0	87.5	81.9
	2007	78.1	76.6	78.9	71.4	73.4	66.3
3-5	2008	79.9	81.7	82.0	75.5	82.6	70.9
	2009	79.5	78.3	81.0	75.8	76.8	67.4

#### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	14.3	45.8	35.3	*	45.5	37.9		41.2	29.4	35.3	19.2	34
3	2008	*	7.7	21.7	18.9	*	29.2	21.4		23.5	15.0	18.9	26.3	37
	2009	*	16.7	41.4	36.1	*	40.0	37.0		30.4	46.2	36.1	29.0	36
	2007		0.0	19.0	14.8	*	16.7	13.0		11.8	18.2	14.3	22.6	28
4	2008	*	21.4	40.9	33.3	*	25.0	15.8		35.0	27.8	31.6	23.8	38
	2009	*	*	13.6	15.4	*	19.0	15.8		14.3	14.3	14.3	31.6	28
	2007	*	*	23.1	28.6	*	10.0	14.3		33.3	21.4	27.6	29.3	29
5	2008		*	23.5	20.0	*	0.0	0.0		14.3	33.3	20.0	31.9	20
	2009		*	56.3	55.0		50.0	46.7		61.5	42.9	55.0	38.4	20
	2007	*	13.3	29.6	27.0	*	28.0	23.3		28.6	23.8	26.4	23.6	91
3-5	2008	*	13.3	29.0	24.7	0.0	20.9	14.3		25.5	22.7	24.2	27.3	95
	2009	*	26.7	35.8	34.1	16.7	32.7	32.8		34.0	32.4	33.3	32.8	84

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	5	15	71	89	4	50	73	0	49	42	91	32,298
2008	3	30	62	93	6	43	63	0	51	44	95	32,696
2009	2	15	67	82	6	52	61	0	50	34	84	33,546

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

#### PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		28.6	78.9	68.0	*	75.0	66.7		40.0	100.0	65.4	86.5	26
4	2008	*	85.7	85.7	82.9	*	71.4	72.2		84.2	83.3	83.8	87.4	37
	2009		*	72.2	72.7	*	72.2	70.6		81.8	66.7	73.9	87.4	23

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2007	0	7	19	25	1	16	21	0	15	11	26	10,408
2008	2	14	21	35	2	7	18	0	19	18	37	10,658
2009	0	5	18	22	1	18	17	0	11	12	23	10,032

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

#### **NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		5	4	8	*	4	7		9	0	9	1,408	26
4	2008	*	2	3	6	*	2	5		3	3	6	1,348	37
	2009		*	5	6	*	5	5		2	4	6	1,264	23

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2007	0	7	19	25	1	16	21	0	15	11	26	10,408
2008	2	14	21	35	2	7	18	0	19	18	37	10,658
2009	0	5	18	22	1	18	17	0	11	12	23	10,032

#### **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions <sup>1</sup>	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2007	1.7	69.2	66.3	84.6	77.4
4	2008	2.0	83.8	82.8	86.8	85.8
	2009	1.9	80.4	73.9	83.7	81.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

#### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		14.3	10.5	12.0	*	12.5	14.3		6.7	18.2	11.5	18.2	26
4	2008	*	28.6	9.5	14.3	*	0.0	5.6		5.3	27.8	16.2	21.4	37
	2009		*	5.6	4.5	*	5.6	5.9		9.1	0.0	4.3	21.0	23

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2007	0	7	19	25	1	16	21	0	15	11	26	10,408
2008	2	14	21	35	2	7	18	0	19	18	37	10,658
2009	0	5	18	22	1	18	17	0	11	12	23	10,032

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

#### PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	*	46.4	50.0	*	30.0	42.9		50.0	46.7	48.4	62.3	31
5	2008		*	82.4	85.7	*	72.7	81.3		92.9	71.4	85.7	71.9	21
	2009		*	93.8	95.0		83.3	93.3		100.0	85.7	95.0	75.9	20

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 5				
2007	2	1	28	28	3	10	21	0	16	15	31	10,296
2008	0	4	17	21	3	11	16	0	14	7	21	10,686
2009	0	4	16	20	0	6	15	0	13	7	20	10,550

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 55 percent, the 2010 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

#### **NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	*	15	14	*	7	12		8	8	16	3,881	31
5	2008		*	3	3	*	3	3		1	2	3	3,005	21
	2009		*	1	1		1	1		0	1	1	2,542	20

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 5				
2007	2	1	28	28	3	10	21	0	16	15	31	10,296
2008	0	4	17	21	3	11	16	0	14	7	21	10,686
2009	0	4	16	20	0	6	15	0	13	7	20	10,550

#### **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Life Sciences	Physical Sciences	Earth Sciences
	2007	78.7	76.0	77.1	54.1
5	2008	85.3	89.4	86.8	75.1
	2009	93.8	92.8	91.7	77.8

#### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	*	10.7	10.7	*	10.0	9.5		18.8	0.0	9.7	18.0	31
5	2008		*	29.4	33.3	*	18.2	31.3		42.9	14.3	33.3	24.8	21
	2009		*	50.0	55.0		50.0	46.7		69.2	28.6	55.0	29.1	20

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 5						
2007	2	2         1         28         28         3         10         21         0         16         15         31         10,296												
2008	0	0 4 17 21 3 11 16 0 14 7 21 10,686												
2009	0	4	16	20	0	6	15	0	13	7	20	10,550		

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

#### PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			*	*		*	*			*	*	82.9	4
3	2008												85.4	0
	2009			86.4	86.4		85.7	85.7		91.7	80.0	86.4	89.4	22
	2007			*	*		*	*			*	*		4
ALL	2008	·		·								•		0
	2009			86.4	86.4		85.7	85.7		91.7	80.0	86.4		22

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN GRAD	ES ALL						
2007	0	0 0 4 4 0 3 3 0 0 4 4 —												
2008	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 -												
2009	0	0	22	22	0	21	21	0	12	10	22			

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

### **NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			*	*		*	*			*	*	219	4
3	2008												239	0
	2009			3	3		3	3		1	2	3	514	22
	2007			*	*		*	*			*	*		4
ALL	2008	·		·										0
	2009	·		3	3		3	3		1	2	3		22

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN GRAD	ES ALL					
2007	0	0	4	4	0	3	3	0	0	4	4		
2008	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 -											
2009	0	0	22	22	0	21	21	0	12	10	22	_	

#### **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2007	*	*	*	*
3	2008				
	2009	78.8	81.8	78.0	72.7
	2007	*	*	*	*
ALL	2008				
	2009	78.8	81.8	78.0	72.7

#### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			*	*		*	*			*	*	14.8	4
3	2008												17.2	0
	2009			18.2	18.2		19.0	19.0		0.0	40.0	18.2	30.6	22
	2007			*	*		*	*			*	*		4
ALL	2008													0
	2009			18.2	18.2		19.0	19.0		0.0	40.0	18.2		22

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN ALL G	RADES						
2007	0	0	4	4	0	3	3	0	0	4	4			
2008	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0												
2009	0	0	22	22	0	21	21	0	12	10	22			

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

#### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the IOWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS

			READIN	IG TOTAL (	**LANGUAGE	≣)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		16.7	16.7	46.9	18
K**	2008	*	44.4	36.4	57.6	11
	2009	14.3	*	16.7	41.9	12
	2007	50.0	*	61.5	51.4	13
1	2008	*	50.0	40.0	50.2	10
	2009	*	50.0	41.7	54.2	12
	2007	54.5	*	60.0	44.5	15
2	2008	14.3	*	30.0	50.2	10
	2009	*	*	28.6	47.9	7
	2007	52.6	77.8	60.7	47.6	28
1-2	2008	18.2	55.6	35.0	50.2	20
	2009	22.2	50.0	36.8	51.0	19

	2007	19	27	46	24,696	
Number Tested	2008	13	18	31	21,817	
103104	2009	16	15	31	21,148	

			MATHEMAT	ICS TOTAL	(**MATHMA	ATICS)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	19.2	13.8	16.4	44.3	55
K**	2008	4.3	18.2	7.0	44.9	57
	2009	19.2	11.1	18.0	52.8	61
	2007	41.7	40.0	41.3	48.8	46
1	2008	69.8	55.6	67.7	55.5	62
	2009	*	37.5	33.3	50.4	12
	2007	81.8	*	73.3	55.6	15
2	2008	60.0	*	60.0	57.5	40
	2009	*	*	14.3	53.2	7
	2007	39.7	23.3	33.6	49.1	116
K-2	2008	44.8	40.0	44.0	52.7	159
	2009	19.7	21.1	20.0	52.3	80

	2007	73	43	116	34,441	
Number Tested	2008	134	25	159	41,070	
Toolog	2009	61	19	80	30,563	

#### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	0.0	22.2	13.3	*	*			0.0	37.5	16.7	46.9	18
K**	2008	*	50.0	*	30.0		*	*		37.5	*	36.4	57.6	11
	2009	*	*	*	16.7	*	*	14.3		0.0	33.3	16.7	41.9	12
	2007	*	62.5	*	61.5	*	*	50.0		60.0	*	61.5	51.4	13
1	2008	*	*	*	40.0	*	*	*		42.9	*	40.0	50.2	10
	2009		42.9	*	45.5		*	*		*	0.0	41.7	54.2	12
	2007	*	57.1	83.3	60.0		*	54.5		*	63.6	60.0	44.5	15
2	2008		25.0	*	30.0		*	14.3		33.3	*	30.0	50.2	10
	2009		*	*	28.6		*	*		*	*	28.6	47.9	7
	2007	*	60.0	80.0	60.7	*	42.9	52.6		57.1	64.3	60.7	47.6	28
1-2	2008	*	33.3	50.0	35.0	*	*	18.2		38.5	28.6	35.0	50.2	20
	2009		41.7	28.6	38.9		*	22.2		66.7	10.0	36.8	51.0	19

	2007	6	21	19	43	2	8	19	0	24	22	46	24,696
Number Tested	2008	4	18	9	30	1	7	13	0	21	10	31	21,817
Tostoa	2009	2	16	12	30	1	5	16	0	15	16	31	21,148

#### NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	0	1	1	*	*			0	1	1	1,245	18
K**	2008	*	0	*	1		*	*		1	*	1	1,687	11
	2009	*	*	*	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	771	12
	2007	*	2	*	3	*	*	1		2	*	3	1,458	13
1	2008	*	*	*	1	*	*	*		1	*	1	1,555	10
	2009		2	*	2		*	*		*	0	2	1,659	12
	2007	*	0	1	1		*	0		*	1	1	896	15
2	2008		1	*	2		*	1		2	*	2	1,065	10
	2009		*	*	0		*	*		*	*	0	1,027	7
	2007	*	2	2	4	*	1	1		2	2	4	2,355	28
1-2	2008	*	2	1	3	*	*	1		3	0	3	2,620	20
	2009		2	0	2		*	0		2	0	2	2,688	19

	2007	6	21	19	43	2	8	19	0	24	22	46	24,696
Number Tested	2008	4	18	9	30	1	7	13	0	21	10	31	21,817
Tested	2009	2	16	12	30	1	5	16	0	15	16	31	21,148

#### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	16.7	15.2	15.1	*	13.9	19.2		5.3	22.2	16.4	44.3	55
K**	2008	*	16.7	4.1	7.1	*	4.3	4.3		3.0	12.5	7.0	44.9	57
	2009	*	*	16.7	18.0	*	18.4	19.2		21.2	14.3	18.0	52.8	61
	2007	*	50.0	40.5	41.3	*	43.8	41.7		40.0	42.9	41.3	48.8	46
1	2008	*	*	69.6	68.9	*	70.4	69.8		61.5	72.2	67.7	55.5	62
	2009		42.9	*	36.4		*	*		*	0.0	33.3	50.4	12
	2007	*	71.4	83.3	73.3		*	81.8		*	63.6	73.3	55.6	15
2	2008		37.5	65.6	60.0		67.7	60.0		70.0	50.0	60.0	57.5	40
	2009		*	*	14.3		*	*		*	*	14.3	53.2	7
	2007	33.3	47.6	30.3	33.3	*	31.5	39.7		31.3	35.3	33.6	49.1	116
K-2	2008	*	33.3	45.3	44.6	*	46.6	44.8		39.2	48.8	44.0	52.7	159
	2009	*	25.0	18.0	20.3	*	19.0	19.7		26.2	13.2	20.0	52.3	80

	2007	6	21	89	114	3	73	73	0	48	68	116	34,441
Number Tested	2008	4	18	137	157	3	131	134	0	79	80	159	41,070
restea	2009	2	16	61	79	2	42	61	0	42	38	80	30,563

#### NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	0	1	1	*	0	0		0	1	1	2,583	55
K**	2008	*	0	1	1	*	1	1		0	1	1	2,788	57
	2009	*	*	1	1	*	1	1		0	1	1	2,455	61
	2007	*	2	8	10	*	8	9		6	4	10	1,769	46
1	2008	*	*	13	15	*	12	13		8	7	15	2,800	62
	2009		0	*	0		*	*		*	0	0	1,577	12
	2007	*	1	2	3		*	3		*	3	3	2,098	15
2	2008		1	8	9		8	7		7	2	9	2,912	40
	2009		*	*	0		*	*		*	*	0	1,686	7
	2007	0	3	11	14	*	11	12		6	8	14	6,450	116
K-2	2008	*	3	22	25	*	21	21		15	10	25	8,500	159
	2009	*	0	1	1	*	1	1		0	1	1	5,718	80

	2007	6	21	89	114	3	73	73	0	48	68	116	34,441
Number Tested	2008	4	18	137	157	3	131	134	0	79	80	159	41,070
Tested	2009	2	16	61	79	2	42	61	0	42	38	80	30,563

Logramos Read (1)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

#### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			50.0	50.0		48.3	45.5		42.9	52.0	50.0	84.7	32
K	2008			58.5	58.5	*	61.5	61.5		61.9	55.0	58.5	87.0	41
	2009			57.5	57.5		60.0	55.3		63.6	50.0	57.5	88.6	40
	2007			78.1	78.1		79.3	85.2		71.4	83.3	78.1	84.5	32
1	2008			82.4	82.0	*	82.0	81.6		73.7	87.5	82.4	88.0	51
	2009			75.0	74.0		74.5	74.5		78.6	70.8	75.0	88.6	52
	2007			86.4	86.4		90.0	85.7		83.3	90.0	86.4	89.9	22
2	2008			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	93.4	30
	2009			97.1	97.1		97.0	97.1		90.0	100.0	97.1	94.0	35
	2007			69.8	69.8		70.5	72.9		69.7	69.8	69.8	85.6	86
K-2	2008	·		78.7	78.5	*	79.8	79.3		75.9	80.9	78.7	89.3	122
	2009			75.6	75.2		77.2	75.0		75.0	76.1	75.6	90.4	127

	2007	0	0	86	86	0	78	70	0	33	53	86	14,889
Number Tested	2008	0	0	122	121	2	119	116	0	54	68	122	18,257
restea	2009	0	0	127	125	0	114	124	0	60	67	127	19,076

Logramos Read (2)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

#### NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			1	1		1	1		0	1	1	2,779	32
K	2008			7	7	*	7	7		4	3	7	3,280	41
	2009			6	6		5	6		3	3	6	3,525	40
	2007			9	9		9	9		4	5	9	1,782	32
1	2008			24	24	*	23	23		6	18	24	2,412	51
	2009			10	9		10	10		4	6	10	2,523	52
	2007			8	8		8	8		6	2	8	1,196	22
2	2008			15	15		15	14		7	8	15	2,596	30
	2009			8	8		7	8		1	7	8	2,909	35
	2007			18	18		18	18		10	8	18	5,757	86
K-2	2008			46	46	*	45	44		17	29	46	8,288	122
	2009			24	23		22	24		8	16	24	8,957	127

Number Tested	2007	0	0	86	86	0	78	70	0	33	53	86	14,889
	2008	0	0	122	121	2	119	116	0	54	68	122	18,257
	2009	0	0	127	125	0	114	124	0	60	67	127	19,076

### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1 in 2008		Level 2	in 2008	Level 3	in 2008	Levels 1-3 in 2008		
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2009							
4	3	33.3	3	66.7	8	62.5	14	57.1	
5	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	-	2	100.0	
ALL	4	50.0	4	75.0	8	62.5	16	62.5	

#### **PERFORMANCE IN 2009**

#### Domain: Composite Grade Listening Speaking Writing Reading 2009 Level (N Rated) Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Beginning 74.5 39 83.0 45 95.7 93.6 44 93.6 44 KN Intermediate 12 25.5 8 2 3 3 17.0 4.3 6.4 6.4 Advanced 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 (47)Advanced High 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 32 65.3 34 48 98.0 39 79.6 39 79.6 Beginning 69.4 1 Intermediate 17 34.7 15 30.6 1 2.0 10 20.4 10 20.4 0 0 0.0 Advanced 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0 0 0.0 (49)Advanced High 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 5 Beginning 20.6 9 26.5 25 73.5 5 14.7 14.7 2 14 26.5 52.9 Intermediate 35.3 41.2 9 18 52.9 18 Advanced 12 35.3 9 26.5 0 0.0 10 29.4 11 32.4 (34)Advanced High 8.8 2 5.9 0 0.0 2.9 0 0.0 Beginning 8 36.4 10 45.5 10 45.5 5 22.7 5 22.7 3 Intermediate 12 54.5 9 40.9 9 40.9 2 9.1 5 22.7 9.1 3 3 13.6 36.4 9 40.9 Advanced 13.6 (22)0 7 3 Advanced High 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 31.8 13.6 0 2 9.1 3 Beginning 0.0 4.5 13.6 13.6 4 Intermediate 3 13.6 3 13.6 3 13.6 4.5 4.5 6 27.3 5 22.7 45.5 3 3 13.6 Advanced 10 13.6 (22)59.1 13 59.1 31.8 15 68.2 15 68.2 Advanced High

## PROGRESSION FROM 2008 TO 2009

Number Rated Both Years		2008 Level						
N (%) Progressed	2009 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High			
	Beginning		_	-				
	Intermediate	-		_				
_	Advanced	-	-	-	-			
	Advanced High	-	-	-	-			
	Beginning		3	36				
45	Intermediate	8		1				
8 (17.8%)	Advanced	0	0	(	)			
	Advanced High	0	0	(	)			
	Beginning	5						
31	Intermediate	7		10				
12 (38.7%)	Advanced	2	3	4	ļ.			
	Advanced High	0	0	(	)			
	Beginning		۷	ļ				
18	Intermediate	2		2				
9 (50.0%)	Advanced	1	4	3	3			
	Advanced High	0	0	2	2			
	Beginning		2	2				
21	Intermediate	1		0				
17 (81.0%)	Advanced	0	1	2	2			
	Advanced High	0	3	1	2			

#### **PERFORMANCE IN 2009**

Grade	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	ıking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Com	posite
(N Rated)	2009 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	0	0.0	1	14.3	2	28.6	1	14.3	1	14.3
5	Intermediate	2	28.6	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
(7)	Advanced	2	28.6	3	42.9	1	14.3	1	14.3	1	14.3
	Advanced High	3	<i>4</i> 2.9	2	28.6	4	57.1	5	71.4	5	71.4
	Beginning	82	45.3	94	51.9	132	72.9	97	53.6	97	53.6
ALL	Intermediate	58	32.0	50	27.6	24	13.3	34	18.8	37	20.4
(181)	Advanced	22	12.2	20	11.0	14	7.7	22	12.2	24	13.3
	Advanced High	19	10.5	17	9.4	11	6.1	28	15.5	23	12.7

## PROGRESSION FROM 2008 TO 2009

Number Rated Both Years		2008 Level					
N (%) Progressed	2009 Level	Beg	Int	Adv Ad Hig			
	Beginning		0				
6	Intermediate	0	0				
6 (100.0%)	Advanced	1	0	0			
	Advanced High	0	1	4	ı		
	Beginning		5	50			
124	Intermediate	18	13				
52 (41.9%)	Advanced	4	8	9	)		
	Advanced High	0	4	1	8		

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2008 to 2009.