Campus Data Packet

for 2009 - 10 Plans



C. F. CARR

School Number 122

The information in this packet is based on data from the 2008-09 school year.

This packet contains corrected statistics for norm-referenced assessments (ITBS and Logramos), including the SCE Compliance report. PSAT reports now contain the average score for all students in a grade.



2009-10 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2 ContentsTable of Contents	3 Notes Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
5 SummarySummary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6 Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7 Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8 Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
9 Teachers Teacher Statistics	
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	
10 TAKS Read (1) TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	11 TAKS Read (2) TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
12 TAKS Read (3) TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	13 TAKS Read (4) TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
14 TAKS Math (1)TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	15 TAKS Math (2) TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
16 TAKS Math (3)TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	17 TAKS Math (4) TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
18 TAKS Write (1)TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	19 TAKS Write (2) TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
20 TAKS Write (3) TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	21 TAKS Write (4) TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
22 TAKS Science (1)TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	23 TAKS Science (2) TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
24 TAKS Science (3)TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	25 TAKS Science (4) TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
26 SPTAKS Read (1) Spanish TAKS Reading: % Meeting Standard	27 SPTAKS Read (2) Spanish TAKS Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
28 SPTAKS Read (3) Spanish TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	29 SPTAKS Read (4) Spanish TAKS Reading: % Commended
30 SPTAKS Write (1)Spanish TAKS Writing: % Meeting Standard	31 SPTAKS Write (2) Spanish TAKS Writing: # Not Meeting Standard
32 SPTAKS Write (3)Spanish TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	33 SPTAKS Write (4) Spanish TAKS Writing: % Commended
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS (MOD)	
34 TAKS-M Read (1) TAKS-M Reading: % Meeting Standard	35 TAKS-M Read (2) TAKS-M Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
36 TAKS-M Read (3) TAKS-M Reading: Performance by Objective	37 TAKS-M Read (4) TAKS-M Reading: Percentage Commended
38 TAKS-M Math (1) TAKS-M Math: % Meeting Standard	39 TAKS-M Math (2) TAKS-M Math: # Not Meeting Standard
40 TAKS-M Math (3) TAKS-M Math: Performance by Objective	41 TAKS-M Math (4) TAKS-M Math: Percentage Commended
ITBS/ITED/LOGRAMOS	
42 ComplianceSCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	43 ITBS Read (1) ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
44 ITBS Read (2)ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	45 ITBS Math (1) ITBS Math Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
46 ITBS Math (2) ITBS Math Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	47 Logramos Read (1) Logramos Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
48 Logramos Read (2) Logramos Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	
MEASURES OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
49 WMLSWoodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	50 TELPAS TX English Language Proficiency Assessment System

2009-10 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2008-09. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2007-08 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2007-08 and 2008-09.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

TAKS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- TAKS statistics include both TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated results.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2010, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

TAKS-M (Modified)

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading results for all three years are for the Language Total subtest; all other grades' results reflect Reading Total performance. (The Reading Total summary is not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergarteners in 2009.) All Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The number tested includes kindergarteners.
- Numbers tested in READING for 2007 may not match those reported in campus data packets published in the prior years. Previous totals did not consistently include students in Kindergarten, though documentation included with the reports indicated otherwise.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading and mathematics results for all three years are for the Language subtest and Mathematics subtest. All other grades' results reflect Reading Total or Mathematics Total performance. (The Reading and Mathematics Total summaries are not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergartners.) Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The "number tested" statistics include kindergartners.

TELPAS

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2008 to 2009, the TEA did not report the 2008 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2009, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2008.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
PK	44
KN	74
1	80
2	71
3	68
4	54
5	69
ALL	460

STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stud	lents	Teachers				
Ethnicity	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
African American	228	49.6	14	50.0			
American Indian	0	0.0	*	*			
Asian	0	0.0	*	*			
Hispanic	232	50.4	8	28.6			
White	0	0.0	5	17.9			
Other	**	**	1	3.6			

^{*}Included in category "Other."

STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percent
Economically disadvantaged students	441	95.9
Limited English proficient students	172	37.4
Special education students	27	5.9

^{**}All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

			African American		America	ın Indian	As	Asian		anic	White	
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2007	42	19	45.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	23	54.8	0	0.0
PK	2008	42	20	47.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	22	52.4	0	0.0
	2009	44	20	45.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	24	54.5	0	0.0
	2007	69	39	56.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	29	42.0	1	1.4
KN	2008	78	42	53.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	36	46.2	0	0.0
	2009	74	39	52.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	35	47.3	0	0.0
	2007	80	38	47.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	42	52.5	0	0.0
1	2008	66	30	45.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	35	53.0	1	1.5
	2009	80	42	52.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	38	47.5	0	0.0
	2007	63	30	47.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	32	50.8	1	1.6
2	2008	68	36	52.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	32	47.1	0	0.0
	2009	71	33	46.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	38	53.5	0	0.0
	2007	71	30	42.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	40	56.3	1	1.4
3	2008	66	35	53.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	30	45.5	1	1.5
	2009	68	32	47.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	36	52.9	0	0.0
	2007											
4	2008	67	33	49.3	1	1.5	0	0.0	32	47.8	1	1.5
	2009	54	29	53.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	25	46.3	0	0.0
	2007											
5	2008	59	23	39.0	1	1.7	0	0.0	35	59.3	0	0.0
	2009	69	33	47.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	36	52.2	0	0.0
	2007	325	156	48.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	166	51.1	3	0.9
EC-5	2008	446	219	49.1	2	0.4	0	0.0	222	49.8	3	0.7
	2009	460	228	49.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	232	50.4	0	0.0

				mically antaged	LE	ĒP.	Special E	Education	T	TAG		New (to Dallas ISD)	
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2007	42	41	97.6	17	40.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	42	100.0	0.0
PK	2008	42	42	100.0	17	40.5	1	2.4	0	0.0	42	100.0	0.0
	2009	44	41	93.2	21	47.7	1	2.3	0	0.0	44	100.0	0.0
	2007	69	66	95.7	24	34.8	4	5.8	1	1.4	30	43.5	0.0
KN	2008	78	76	97.4	28	35.9	2	2.6	0	0.0	31	39.7	1.3
	2009	74	74	100.0	25	33.8	5	6.8	2	2.7	28	37.8	1.4
	2007	80	77	96.3	27	33.8	4	5.0	2	2.5	9	11.3	5.0
1	2008	66	66	100.0	28	42.4	4	6.1	2	3.0	1	1.5	4.5
	2009	80	77	96.3	29	36.3	2	2.5	2	2.5	5	6.3	2.5
	2007	63	63	100.0	28	44.4	0	0.0	6	9.5	6	9.5	4.8
2	2008	68	63	92.6	23	33.8	3	4.4	5	7.4	3	4.4	8.8
	2009	71	65	91.5	28	39.4	5	7.0	4	5.6	5	7.0	8.5
	2007	71	66	93.0	27	38.0	0	0.0	11	15.5	3	4.2	1.4
3	2008	66	62	93.9	23	34.8	3	4.5	4	6.1	8	12.1	7.6
	2009	68	67	98.5	27	39.7	5	7.4	5	7.4	2	2.9	5.9
	2007												
4	2008	67	64	95.5	20	29.9	5	7.5	11	16.4	5	7.5	0.0
	2009	54	50	92.6	19	35.2	5	9.3	5	9.3	2	3.7	0.0
	2007												
5	2008	59	57	96.6	32	54.2	3	5.1	12	20.3	4	6.8	1.7
	2009	69	67	97.1	23	33.3	4	5.8	10	14.5	4	5.8	2.9
	2007	325	313	96.3	123	37.8	8	2.5	20	6.2	90	27.7	2.5
EC-5	2008	446	430	96.4	171	38.3	21	4.7	34	7.6	94	21.1	3.6
	2009	460	441	95.9	172	37.4	27	5.9	28	6.1	90	19.6	3.3

Grade	Year	Averag Member	e Daily ship (N)	А	verage Daily	Attendance		Yea	rly Transaction	ns		uously ed (N)	Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (N)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus	Dallas ISD
	2007	20.7	4,117.2	20.0	96.6	3,933.6	95.5	46	100.0	100.0	40	7,436	100.0	100.0
PK	2008	20.8	4,133.2	19.8	95.1	3,942.7	95.4	45	100.0	100.0	40	7,427	100.0	100.0
	2009	21.2	4,167.8	20.2	95.3	3,975.6	95.4	46	100.0	100.0	38	7,332	100.0	100.0
	2007	64.5	13,945.0	61.6	95.5	13,385.6	96.0	23	35.7	24.3	56	12,035	86.9	86.3
KN	2008	76.4	13,568.1	73.0	95.5	13,004.4	95.8	15	19.6	23.5	69	11,618	90.3	85.6
	2009	72.0	13,515.0	68.7	95.4	12,939.1	95.7	20	27.8	22.5	63	11,501	87.5	85.1
	2007	82.9	14,353.8	79.7	96.2	13,851.4	96.5	26	31.4	23.2	72	12,437	86.8	86.6
1	2008	63.1	14,626.9	60.6	96.0	14,102.7	96.4	12	19.0	21.2	59	12,704	93.6	86.9
	2009	79.4	14,250.8	76.1	95.8	13,719.9	96.3	13	16.4	20.7	71	12,300	89.4	86.3
	2007	64.6	13,403.8	62.2	96.3	12,978.9	96.8	15	23.2	21.7	55	11,729	85.2	87.5
2	2008	70.1	13,708.6	67.8	96.7	13,269.8	96.8	6	8.6	19.7	64	12,043	91.3	87.9
	2009	69.0	13,950.1	66.6	96.6	13,499.0	96.8	10	14.5	18.6	64	12,231	92.8	87.7
	2007	70.1	12,998.4	68.5	97.8	12,633.3	97.2	11	15.7	21.1	64	11,445	91.3	88.0
3	2008	64.1	12,806.9	61.8	96.4	12,425.5	97.0	8	12.5	19.1	57	11,408	88.9	89.1
	2009	65.4	13,095.5	63.6	97.2	12,710.6	97.1	7	10.7	18.3	63	11,634	96.3	88.8
	2007		12,104.9			11,768.3	97.2			19.7		10,683		88.3
4	2008	66.0	12,329.8	64.3	97.5	11,960.3	97.0	9	13.6	19.7	62	10,924	93.9	88.6
	2009	54.5	12,156.4	52.9	97.1	11,789.9	97.0	6	11.0	18.1	50	10,731	91.8	88.3
	2007		11,757.0			11,426.8	97.2			20.9		10,362		88.1
5	2008	60.2	11,874.2	58.4	97.0	11,539.6	97.2	6	10.0	18.8	56	10,608	93.0	89.3
	2009	65.2	11,903.5	63.2	97.0	11,552.9	97.1	11	16.9	17.5	60	10,563	92.0	88.7
	2007	303.1	83,122.6	292.4	96.5	80,387.4	96.7	121	39.9	33.3	287	76,416	94.7	91.9
EC-5	2008	420.8	83,438.7	405.8	96.4	80,606.0	96.6	101	24.0	31.9	407	76,970	96.7	92.2
	2009	426.7	83,476.5	411.3	96.4	80,586.5	96.5	113	26.5	30.9	409	76,517	95.8	91.7

Teachers Teacher Statistics

DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS

Ethnicity	Number	Percent			
African American	14	50.0			
Hispanic	8	28.6			
White	5	17.9			
Other	1	3.6			

Gender	Number	Percent
Female	25	89.3
Male	3	10.7

TOTAL	28

AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES

2007	7.7
2008	2.0
2009	7.2

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	90.0	95.5	93.9		100.0	89.5		92.6	92.3	92.5	84.6	53
3	2008	*	82.4	75.0	80.0	*	*	75.0		83.3	78.9	81.4	86.2	43
	2009		76.7	90.9	80.0	*	*	78.1		80.0	81.3	80.5	87.3	41
	2007												69.7	0
4	2008	*	53.1	80.0	56.5	*	*	28.6		41.7	76.0	59.2	72.1	49
	2009		55.6	71.4	56.7	*	*	50.0		57.1	61.5	58.8	75.8	34
	2007												78.3	0
5	2008		73.9	71.9	71.7	*	70.0	65.9		66.7	79.3	73.2	82.9	56
	2009		72.4	69.4	71.4	*	58.3	57.9		64.5	76.5	70.8	82.9	65
	2007	*	90.0	95.5	93.9		100.0	89.5		92.6	92.3	92.5	77.6	53
3-5	2008	*	69.7	74.5	69.1	0.0	67.6	60.8		64.0	78.1	70.9	80.3	148
	2009		68.6	74.1	70.7	*	60.7	63.6		67.5	74.6	70.7	81.5	140

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	1	30	22	49	0	10	38	0	27	26	53	31,814
2008	2	89	55	139	6	37	97	0	75	73	148	31,840
2009	0	86	54	133	4	28	88	0	77	63	140	28,126

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	3	1	3		0	4		2	2	4	1,675	53
3	2008	*	6	2	8	*	*	8		4	4	8	1,443	43
	2009		7	1	8	*	*	7		5	3	8	960	41
	2007												3,189	0
4	2008	*	15	3	20	*	*	15		14	6	20	2,996	49
	2009		12	2	13	*	*	9		9	5	14	2,449	34
	2007												2,258	0
5	2008		6	9	15	*	9	15		9	6	15	1,827	56
	2009		8	11	18	*	10	16		11	8	19	1,793	65
	2007	*	3	1	3		0	4		2	2	4	7,122	53
3-5	2008	*	27	14	43	6	12	38		27	16	43	6,266	148
	2009		27	14	39	*	11	32		25	16	41	5,202	140

					NUMB	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	1	30	22	49	0	10	38	0	27	26	53	31,814
2008	2	89	55	139	6	37	97	0	75	73	148	31,840
2009	0	86	54	133	4	28	88	0	77	63	140	28,126

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2007	86.0	87.6	81.1	79.7
3	2008	77.1	75.7	74.8	70.3
	2009	73.2	75.3	78.9	79.0
	2007				
4	2008	74.0	69.1	70.6	72.9
	2009	76.9	64.7	62.6	66.5
	2007				
5	2008	69.9	72.5	73.4	69.9
	2009	69.7	72.7	74.4	65.0
	2007	86.0	87.6	81.1	79.7
3-5	2008	73.3	72.3	72.9	71.0
	2009	72.5	71.5	72.9	69.4

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	16.7	40.9	28.6		50.0	21.1		29.6	23.1	26.4	21.3	53
3	2008	*	14.7	12.5	17.5	*	*	12.5		8.3	26.3	16.3	21.5	43
	2009		13.3	54.5	25.0	*	*	18.8		20.0	31.3	24.4	34.1	41
	2007												16.6	0
4	2008	*	6.3	20.0	10.9	*	*	0.0		0.0	20.0	10.2	14.4	49
	2009		0.0	0.0	0.0	*	*	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	18.4	34
	2007												13.1	0
5	2008		4.3	6.3	5.7	*	6.7	4.5		0.0	10.3	5.4	16.5	56
	2009		10.3	5.6	7.9	*	0.0	0.0		6.5	8.8	7.7	18.5	65
	2007	*	16.7	40.9	28.6		50.0	21.1		29.6	23.1	26.4	17.1	53
3-5	2008	*	9.0	10.9	10.8	0.0	5.4	6.2		2.7	17.8	10.1	17.4	148
	2009		8.1	14.8	11.3	*	3.6	6.8		9.1	12.7	10.7	22.7	140

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	1	30	22	49	0	10	38	0	27	26	53	31,814
2008	2	89	55	139	6	37	97	0	75	73	148	31,840
2009	0	86	54	133	4	28	88	0	77	63	140	28,126

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	66.7	95.5	79.6		100.0	76.3		81.5	76.9	79.2	70.3	53
3	2008	*	47.2	75.0	57.1	*	*	50.0		40.0	70.0	53.3	76.6	45
	2009		62.1	78.8	72.1	*	70.8	67.9		71.4	70.4	71.0	77.3	62
	2007												75.7	0
4	2008	*	40.6	66.7	45.7	*	*	28.6		41.7	56.0	49.0	77.9	49
_	2009		29.6	59.1	44.4	*	58.8	30.3		44.8	40.0	42.9	79.4	49
	2007												82.9	0
5	2008		73.9	71.9	71.7	*	70.0	65.9		66.7	79.3	73.2	84.0	56
	2009		65.5	77.8	73.0	*	75.0	60.5		65.6	78.8	72.3	87.0	65
	2007	*	66.7	95.5	79.6		100.0	76.3		81.5	76.9	79.2	76.2	53
3-5	2008	*	51.6	70.9	58.9	0.0	70.3	52.5		50.0	68.9	59.3	79.5	150
	2009		52.9	73.6	65.1	*	69.2	55.6		61.5	66.3	63.6	81.0	176

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	1	30	22	49	0	10	38	0	27	26	53	32,298
2008	2	91	55	141	7	37	99	0	76	74	150	32,696
2009	0	85	91	169	5	65	124	0	96	80	176	33,546

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 67% are shaded.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	10	1	10		0	9		5	6	11	3,303	53
3	2008	*	19	2	18	*	*	17		15	6	21	2,542	45
	2009		11	7	17	*	7	17		10	8	18	2,781	62
	2007												2,604	0
4	2008	*	19	5	25	*	*	15		14	11	25	2,436	49
	2009		19	9	25	*	7	23		16	12	28	2,196	49
	2007												1,785	0
5	2008		6	9	15	*	9	15		9	6	15	1,730	56
	2009		10	8	17	*	6	15		11	7	18	1,386	65
	2007	*	10	1	10		0	9		5	6	11	7,692	53
3-5	2008	*	44	16	58	7	11	47		38	23	61	6,708	150
	2009		40	24	59	*	20	55		37	27	64	6,363	176

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	1	30	22	49	0	10	38	0	27	26	53	32,298
2008	2	91	55	141	7	37	99	0	76	74	150	32,696
2009	0	85	91	169	5	65	124	0	96	80	176	33,546

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2007	81.5	84.3	85.8	78.9	84.4	64.2
3	2008	73.6	70.4	75.6	68.9	73.9	53.6
	2009	72.6	77.2	84.9	75.5	81.9	65.1
	2007						
4	2008	68.3	64.1	52.7	60.9	59.2	62.2
		66.4	56.0	61.6	62.9	59.7	51.3
	2007						
5	2008	74.4	71.2	78.1	65.8	73.2	71.0
	2009	75.8	72.3	79.1	64.2	76.2	65.2
	2007	81.5	84.3	85.8	78.9	84.4	64.2
3-5	2008	72.1	68.6	69.0	65.1	68.8	62.9
	2009	72.1	69.5	76.3	67.8	73.6	61.3

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	16.7	31.8	24.5		20.0	10.5		25.9	19.2	22.6	19.2	53
3	2008	*	13.9	12.5	14.3	*	*	11.8		8.0	20.0	13.3	26.3	45
	2009		10.3	33.3	23.0	*	29.2	18.9		22.9	22.2	22.6	29.0	62
	2007												22.6	0
4	2008	*	6.3	13.3	8.7	*	*	0.0		4.2	12.0	8.2	23.8	49
-	2009		3.7	4.5	4.4	*	0.0	0.0		3.4	5.0	4.1	31.6	49
	2007												29.3	0
5	2008		8.7	15.6	13.2	*	16.7	11.4		14.8	10.3	12.5	31.9	56
	2009		6.9	13.9	11.1	*	8.3	2.6		6.3	15.2	10.8	38.4	65
	2007	*	16.7	31.8	24.5		20.0	10.5		25.9	19.2	22.6	23.6	53
3-5	2008	*	9.9	14.5	12.1	0.0	13.5	9.1		9.2	13.5	11.3	27.3	150
	2009		7.1	18.7	13.6	*	13.8	8.9		11.5	15.0	13.1	32.8	176

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	1	30	22	49	0	10	38	0	27	26	53	32,298
2008	2	91	55	141	7	37	99	0	76	74	150	32,696
2009	0	85	91	169	5	65	124	0	96	80	176	33,546

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												86.5	0
4	2008	*	80.6	93.3	80.0	*	*	70.0		69.6	92.0	81.3	87.4	48
	2009		84.6	71.4	79.3	*	*	77.8		85.0	76.9	81.8	87.4	33

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10,408
2008	1	31	15	45	3	4	20	0	23	25	48	10,658
2009	0	26	7	29	1	2	18	0	20	13	33	10,032

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												1,408	0
4	2008	*	6	1	9	*	*	6		7	2	9	1,348	48
	2009		4	2	6	*	*	4		3	3	6	1,264	33

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10,408
2008	1	31	15	45	3	4	20	0	23	25	48	10,658
2009	0	26	7	29	1	2	18	0	20	13	33	10,032

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2007					
4	2008	2.0	78.6	80.5	72.1	78.1
	2009	1.8	78.8	79.9	73.1	77.7

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												18.2	0
4	2008	*	9.7	33.3	17.8	*	*	5.0		13.0	20.0	16.7	21.4	48
	2009		3.8	0.0	3.4	*	*	0.0		0.0	7.7	3.0	21.0	33

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10,408
2008	1	31	15	45	3	4	20	0	23	25	48	10,658
2009	0	26	7	29	1	2	18	0	20	13	33	10,032

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												62.3	
5	2008		77.3	62.5	67.3	*	60.0	62.8		69.2	69.0	69.1	71.9	55
	2009		73.3	69.4	71.9	*	66.7	59.0		71.9	70.6	71.2	75.9	66

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10,296
2008	0	22	32	52	2	30	43	0	26	29	55	10,686
2009	0	30	36	64	2	24	39	0	32	34	66	10,550

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 55 percent, the 2010 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												3,881	
5	2008		5	12	17	*	12	16		8	9	17	3,005	55
	2009		8	11	18	*	8	16		9	10	19	2,542	66

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2007	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10,296
2008	0	22	32	52	2	30	43	0	26	29	55	10,686
2009	0	30	36	64	2	24	39	0	32	34	66	10,550

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Life Sciences	Physical Sciences	Earth Sciences
	2007				
5	2008	81.4	88.7	83.6	68.7
	2009	80.7	89.2	83.0	62.8

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												18.0	
5	2008		22.7	25.0	25.0	*	23.3	18.6		23.1	24.1	23.6	24.8	55
	2009		20.0	33.3	28.1	*	25.0	20.5		31.3	23.5	27.3	29.1	66

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5							
2007	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 10,296													
2008	0	22	32	52	2	30	43	0	26	29	55	10,686			
2009	0	30	36	64	2	24	39	0	32	34	66	10,550			

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	82.9	18
3	2008			80.0	77.8		80.0	80.0	*	72.7	88.9	80.0	85.4	20
	2009			95.7	95.7		95.7	95.7		91.7	100.0	95.7	89.4	23
	2007												65.9	0
4	2008			81.3	81.3		81.3	81.3	*	75.0	87.5	81.3	67.0	16
	2009			60.0	60.0	*	60.0	60.0		87.5	28.6	60.0	72.7	15
	2007			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0	100.0		18
ALL	2008			80.6	79.4		80.6	80.6	*	73.7	88.2	80.6		36
	2009			81.6	81.6	*	81.6	81.6		90.0	72.2	81.6		38

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN GRAD	ES ALL							
2007	0	0 0 18 17 0 18 17 1 10 8 18													
2008	0	0	36	34	0	36	36	2	19	17	36				
2009	0	0	38	38	1	38	38	0	20	18	38				

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			0	0		0	0	*	0	0	0	219	18
3	2008			4	4		4	4	*	3	1	4	239	20
	2009			1	1		1	1		1	0	1	514	23
	2007												122	0
4	2008			3	3		3	3	*	2	1	3	215	16
	2009			6	6	*	6	6		1	5	6	268	15
	2007			0	0		0	0	*	0	0	0		18
ALL	2008			7	7		7	7	*	5	2	7		36
	2009			7	7	*	7	7		2	5	7		38

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN GRAD	ES ALL							
2007	0	0 18 17 0 18 17 1 10 8 18													
2008	0	0	36	34	0	36	36	2	19	17	36				
2009	0	0	38	38	1	38	38	0	20	18	38				

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2007	85.2	86.5	81.5	74.3
3	2008	70.3	82.1	71.7	70.0
	2009	79.7	90.1	89.1	82.6
	2007				
4	2008	73.3	71.1	66.1	72.5
	2009	72.0	67.5	62.9	64.7
	2007	85.2	86.5	81.5	74.3
ALL	2008	71.7	77.2	69.2	71.1
	2009	76.7	81.2	78.8	75.5

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			33.3	29.4		33.3	29.4	*	20.0	50.0	33.3	14.8	18
3	2008			10.0	11.1		10.0	10.0	*	9.1	11.1	10.0	17.2	20
	2009			26.1	26.1		26.1	26.1		25.0	27.3	26.1	30.6	23
	2007												14.2	0
4	2008			6.3	6.3		6.3	6.3	*	12.5	0.0	6.3	13.5	16
	2009			20.0	20.0	*	20.0	20.0		25.0	14.3	20.0	21.1	15
	2007			33.3	29.4		33.3	29.4	*	20.0	50.0	33.3		18
ALL	2008			8.3	8.8		8.3	8.3	*	10.5	5.9	8.3		36
	2009			23.7	23.7	*	23.7	23.7		25.0	22.2	23.7		38

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN ALL G	RADES							
2007	0	0 0 18 17 0 18 17 1 10 8 18													
2008	0	0	36	34	0	36	36	2	19	17	36				
2009	0	0	38	38	1	38	38	0	20	18	38				

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												79.1	0
4	2008			93.8	93.8		93.8	93.8	*	100.0	87.5	93.8	81.9	16
	2009			94.1	93.8	*	94.1	94.1		100.0	87.5	94.1	87.1	17

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4								
2007	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 364														
2008	0	0	16	16	0	16	16	1	8	8	16	667				
2009	0	0	17	16	1	17	17	0	9	8	17	981				

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Spec Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												76	0
4	2008			1	1		1	1	*	0	1	1	121	16
	2009			1	1	*	1	1		0	1	1	127	17

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADE 4											
2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	364	
2008	0	0	16	16	0	16	16	1	8	8	16	667	
2009	0	0	17	16	1	17	17	0	9	8	17	981	

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition ¹ : Effectiveness / Command of Conventions	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2007					
4	2008	2.1	89.1	88.3	88.3	93.0
	2009	2.1	89.7	86.8	89.7	89.7

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												13.2	0
4	2008			18.8	18.8		18.8	18.8	*	25.0	12.5	18.8	14.2	16
	2009			5.9	6.3	*	5.9	5.9		11.1	0.0	5.9	20.4	17

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADE 4											
2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	364	
2008	0	0	16	16	0	16	16	1	8	8	16	667	
2009	0	0 17 16 1 17 17 0 9 8 17 981											

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	*	*	*		*			*	*	77.7	2
	2009		*		*	*		*		*		*	87.7	2
4	2008		*		*	*		*		*		*	70.7	2
	2009		*	*	*	*		*			*	*	71.8	3
5	2008			*	*	*		*		*		*	73.3	1
	2009		*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*	80.3	5
3-5	2008		*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*	73.7	5
	2009		75.0	*	80.0	80.0		75.0		66.7	*	80.0	79.2	10

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-5											
2008	0	3	2	5	5	0	5	0	3	2	5	1,807	
2009	0	8	2	10	10	0	8	0	6	4	10	1,867	

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	*	*	*		*			*	*	116	2
	2009		*		*	*		*		*		*	60	2
4	2008		*		*	*		*		*		*	181	2
	2009		*	*	*	*		*			*	*	192	3
5	2008			*	*	*		*		*		*	179	1
	2009		*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*	137	5
3-5	2008	·	*	*	*	*	•	*		*	*	*	476	5
	2009		2	*	2	2		2		2	*	2	389	10

					NUMB	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-5											
2008	0	3	2	5	5	0	5	0	3	2	5	1,807											
2009	0	8	2	10	10	0	8	0	6	4	10	1,867											

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
3	2008	*	*	*	*
	2009	*	*	*	*
4	2008	*	*	*	*
	2009	*	*	*	*
5	2008	*	*	*	*
	2009	*	*	*	*
3-5	2008	*	*	*	*
	2009	65.0	75.0	64.0	66.1

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	*	*	*		*			*	*	10.8	2
	2009		*		*	*		*		*		*	16.2	2
4	2008		*		*	*		*		*		*	9.7	2
	2009		*	*	*	*		*			*	*	8.9	3
5	2008			*	*	*		*		*		*	14.9	1
	2009		*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*	14.1	5
							•							
3-5	2008		*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*	12.0	5
	2009		12.5	*	10.0	10.0		0.0		16.7	*	10.0	12.7	10

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5						
2008	0	0 3 2 5 5 0 5 0 3 2 5 1,807												
2009	0	0 8 2 10 10 0 8 0 6 4 10 1,867												

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008			*	*	*		*			*	*	76.1	1
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	81.2	3
4	2008		*		*	*		*		*		*	69.1	2
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*			*	*	75.8	4
5	2008			*	*	*		*		*		*	64.0	1
	2009		*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*	80.2	5
3-5	2008		*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*	69.4	4
	2009		50.0	*	50.0	50.0	*	60.0		*	71.4	50.0	78.8	12

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-5											
2008	0	0 2 2 4 4 0 4 0 3 1 4 1,730											
2009	0	0 8 4 12 12 2 10 0 5 7 12 1,743											

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008			*	*	*		*			*	*	129	1
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	85	3
4	2008		*		*	*		*		*		*	173	2
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*			*	*	157	4
5	2008			*	*	*		*		*		*	227	1
	2009		*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*	127	5
3-5	2008		*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*	529	4
	2009		4	*	6	6	*	4		*	2	6	369	12

					NUMBE	NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-5											
2008	0	0 2 2 4 4 0 4 0 3 1 4 1,730															
2009	0	0 8 4 12 12 2 10 0 5 7 12 1,743															

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
3	2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
3-5	2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2009	51.9	54.4	63.1	46.9	38.9	40.0

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008			*	*	*		*			*	*	16.1	1
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	22.3	3
4	2008		*		*	*		*		*		*	14.3	2
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*			*	*	14.0	4
5	2008			*	*	*		*		*		*	14.0	1
	2009		*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*	17.2	5
		·												
3-5	2008		*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*	14.7	4
	2009		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		*	0.0	0.0	17.3	12

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5						
2008	0	0 2 2 4 4 0 4 0 3 1 4 1,730												
2009	0	0 8 4 12 12 2 10 0 5 7 12 1,743												

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the IOWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS

			READIN	IG TOTAL (**LANGUAGE	≣)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		35.7	35.7	46.9	42
K**	2008	*	36.7	34.6	57.6	52
	2009	12.5	36.4	32.7	41.9	52
	2007	20.0	44.1	35.2	51.4	54
1	2008	*	42.1	41.0	50.2	39
	2009	19.0	51.6	38.5	54.2	52
	2007	9.1	50.0	25.0	44.5	36
2	2008	23.3	50.0	32.6	50.2	46
	2009	3.4	42.9	16.3	47.9	43
	2007	14.3	45.8	31.1	47.6	90
1-2	2008	22.6	44.4	36.5	50.2	85
	2009	10.0	48.9	28.4	51.0	95

	2007	42	90	132	24,696	
Number Tested	2008	34	103	137	21,817	
Tostoa	2009	58	89	147	21,148	

			MATHEMATI	CS TOTAL	(**MATHMA	TICS)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	16.7	39.5	31.3	44.3	67
K**	2008	16.0	42.3	33.8	44.9	77
	2009	32.3	53.3	44.7	52.8	76
	2007	18.2	40.0	31.6	48.8	57
1	2008	19.2	53.8	40.0	55.5	65
	2009	4.8	38.7	25.0	50.4	52
	2007	26.1	76.9	44.4	55.6	36
2	2008	33.3	37.5	34.3	57.5	67
	2009	0.0	35.7	11.9	53.2	42
	2007	20.3	45.1	34.4	49.1	160
K-2	2008	25.5	45.8	35.9	52.7	209
	2009	13.8	45.6	30.6	52.3	170

	2007	69	91	160	34,441	
Number Tested	2008	102	107	209	41,070	
rested	2009	80	90	170	30,563	

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	29.7	*	33.3	*				27.3	45.0	35.7	46.9	42
K**	2008		36.6	27.3	34.0		*	*		20.8	46.4	34.6	57.6	52
	2009		34.1	27.3	32.7	*	*	12.5		25.9	40.0	32.7	41.9	52
	2007		33.3	40.0	37.3	*	*	20.0		40.6	27.3	35.2	51.4	54
1	2008	*	38.7	57.1	41.0	*		*		35.3	45.5	41.0	50.2	39
	2009		37.5	41.7	40.8		*	19.0		33.3	44.0	38.5	54.2	52
	2007	*	25.9	25.0	25.0		*	9.1		9.5	46.7	25.0	44.5	36
2	2008		27.8	50.0	27.9	*	*	23.3		35.7	27.8	32.6	50.2	46
	2009		14.7	22.2	13.2	*		3.4		9.5	22.7	16.3	47.9	43
	2007	*	30.3	34.8	32.2	*	*	14.3		28.3	35.1	31.1	47.6	90
1-2	2008	*	32.8	52.9	34.1	*	*	22.6		35.6	37.5	36.5	50.2	85
	2009		27.0	33.3	28.7	*	*	10.0		22.9	34.0	28.4	51.0	95

	2007	2	103	27	126	5	5	42	0	75	57	132	24,696
Number Tested	2008	1	108	28	132	3	4	34	0	69	68	137	21,817
Toolog	2009	0	115	32	139	5	4	58	0	75	72	147	21,148

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	3	*	3	*				1	2	3	1,245	42
K**	2008		4	0	4		*	*		1	3	4	1,687	52
	2009		3	0	3	*	*	0		1	2	3	771	52
	2007		2	1	3	*	*	0		1	2	3	1,458	54
1	2008	*	2	0	2	*		*		0	2	2	1,555	39
	2009		5	1	6		*	0		4	2	6	1,659	52
	2007	*	0	0	0		*	0		0	0	0	896	36
2	2008		1	1	2	*	*	0		1	1	2	1,065	46
	2009		0	0	0	*		0		0	0	0	1,027	43
	2007	*	2	1	3	*	*	0		1	2	3	2,355	90
1-2	2008	*	3	1	4	*	*	0		1	3	4	2,620	85
	2009		5	1	6	*	*	0		4	2	6	2,688	95

	2007	2	103	27	126	5	5	42	0	75	57	132	24,696
Number Tested	2008	1	108	28	132	3	4	34	0	69	68	137	21,817
103104	2009	0	115	32	139	5	4	58	0	75	72	147	21,148

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	45.9	13.8	32.8	*	16.7	16.7		35.1	26.7	31.3	44.3	67
K**	2008		46.3	19.4	32.0	*	14.3	16.0	*	30.6	36.6	33.8	44.9	77
	2009		53.7	34.3	44.7	*	32.0	32.3		42.5	47.2	44.7	52.8	76
	2007		26.2	46.7	33.3	*	*	18.2		29.4	34.8	31.6	48.8	57
1	2008	*	51.6	30.3	40.0	*	19.2	19.2		42.9	36.7	40.0	55.5	65
	2009		25.0	25.0	26.5		*	4.8		25.9	24.0	25.0	50.4	52
	2007	*	40.7	62.5	44.4		*	26.1		33.3	60.0	44.4	55.6	36
2	2008		11.1	61.3	32.3	*	56.5	33.3		36.6	30.8	34.3	57.5	67
	2009		8.8	25.0	10.8	*		0.0		9.5	14.3	11.9	53.2	42
	2007	*	36.8	30.8	35.7	14.3	20.7	20.3		32.6	36.8	34.4	49.1	160
K-2	2008	*	36.1	36.0	34.7	22.2	28.6	25.5	*	36.6	35.1	35.9	52.7	209
	2009		30.4	30.9	31.5	16.7	28.6	13.8		29.5	31.7	30.6	52.3	170

	2007	2	106	52	154	7	29	69	0	92	68	160	34,441
Number Tested	2008	1	108	100	202	9	77	102	1	112	97	209	41,070
restea	2009	0	115	55	162	6	28	80	0	88	82	170	30,563

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	3	2	5	*	2	2		2	3	5	2,583	67
K**	2008		10	2	10	*	0	0	*	4	8	12	2,788	77
	2009		7	2	9	*	0	0		4	5	9	2,455	76
	2007		1	3	4	*	*	1		1	3	4	1,769	57
1	2008	*	3	2	5	*	1	1		2	3	5	2,800	65
	2009		3	2	5		*	0		3	2	5	1,577	52
	2007	*	1	0	1		*	0		1	0	1	2,098	36
2	2008		1	4	3	*	3	3		1	4	5	2,912	67
	2009		2	0	2	*		0		1	1	2	1,686	42
	2007	*	5	5	10	0	2	3		4	6	10	6,450	160
K-2	2008	*	14	8	18	0	4	4	*	7	15	22	8,500	209
	2009		12	4	16	1	0	0		8	8	16	5,718	170

	2007	2	106	52	154	7	29	69	0	92	68	160	34,441
Number Tested	2008	1	108	100	202	9	77	102	1	112	97	209	41,070
restea	2009	0	115	55	162	6	28	80	0	88	82	170	30,563

Logramos Read (1)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			66.7	66.7	*	69.6	69.6		50.0	90.0	66.7	84.7	24
K	2008			77.8	77.8	*	77.8	76.5		62.5	90.0	77.8	87.0	18
	2009			69.6	69.6	*	69.6	72.7		61.5	80.0	69.6	88.6	23
	2007			74.1	76.9	*	76.9	76.9		66.7	83.3	74.1	84.5	27
1	2008			72.0	72.0	*	72.0	72.0		64.7	87.5	72.0	88.0	25
	2009			73.1	73.1	*	73.1	73.1		76.9	69.2	73.1	88.6	26
	2007			95.7	95.7		95.7	95.5	*	92.3	100.0	95.7	89.9	23
2	2008			95.5	100.0	*	95.5	95.5		100.0	88.9	95.5	93.4	22
	2009			81.5	80.8	*	81.5	80.0		70.6	100.0	81.5	94.0	27
	2007			78.4	79.5	*	80.6	80.3	*	69.0	90.6	78.4	85.6	74
K-2	2008			81.5	82.5	*	81.5	81.3		76.3	88.9	81.5	89.3	65
	2009			75.0	74.7	14.3	75.0	75.3		69.8	81.8	75.0	90.4	76
		<u> </u>	·					·						
	2007	0	0	74	73	3	72	71	1	42	32	74	14,889	

	2007	0	0	74	73	3	72	71	1	42	32	74	14,889
Number Tested	2008	0	0	65	63	5	65	64	0	38	27	65	18,257
Toolog	2009	0	0	76	75	7	76	73	0	43	33	76	19,076

Logramos Read (2)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			4	4	*	4	4		1	3	4	2,779	24
K	2008			5	5	*	5	5		0	5	5	3,280	18
	2009			6	6	*	6	6		4	2	6	3,525	23
	2007			3	3	*	3	3		0	3	3	1,782	27
1	2008			3	3	*	3	3		3	0	3	2,412	25
	2009			6	6	*	6	6		1	5	6	2,523	26
	2007			7	7		7	7	*	2	5	7	1,196	23
2	2008			9	9	*	9	9		5	4	9	2,596	22
	2009			9	8	*	9	9		7	2	9	2,909	27
	2007			14	14	*	14	14	*	3	11	14	5,757	74
K-2	2008			17	17	*	17	17		8	9	17	8,288	65
	2009			21	20	1	21	21		12	9	21	8,957	76
	000=			- 4	70		70	7.4		40	0.0		4.4.000	

Number Tested	2007	0	0	74	73	3	72	71	1	42	32	74	14,889
	2008	0	0	65	63	5	65	64	0	38	27	65	18,257
	2009	0	0	76	75	7	76	73	0	43	33	76	19,076

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1 in 2008		Level 2	in 2008	Level 3	in 2008	Levels 1-3 in 2008		
Grade	Number Percentage Tested Improved Both Years in 2009		Number Percentage Tested Improved Both Years in 2009		Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2009	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2009	
4	0	-	4	50.0	6	16.7	10	30.0	
5	0	-	8	37.5	0	-	8	37.5	
ALL	0	-	12	41.7	6	16.7	18	33.3	

PERFORMANCE IN 2009

Composite Grade Domain: Listening Speaking Writing Reading 2009 Level (N Rated) Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % 8 32.0 22 88.0 24 96.0 24 96.0 24 96.0 Beginning KN Intermediate 20.0 2 8.0 1 4.0 4.0 4.0 Advanced 48.0 1 4.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 (25)Advanced High 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 39.3 16 57.1 27 96.4 26 92.9 26 92.9 Beginning 1 7 2 7.1 2 7.1 Intermediate 8 28.6 25.0 3.6 0 Advanced 28.6 3 10.7 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 (28)Advanced High 3.6 2 7.1 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 7 Beginning 32.1 12 42.9 17 60.7 25.0 25.0 2 9 7 25.0 9 32.1 Intermediate 25.0 32.1 32.1 Advanced 11 39.3 6 21.4 4 14.3 21.4 8 28.6 (28)Advanced High 3.6 3.6 0 0.0 21.4 4 14.3 Beginning 0 0.0 3 11.1 3 11.1 3 11.1 3 11.1 3 Intermediate 5 18.5 11 40.7 12 44.4 8 29.6 8 29.6 37.0 12 40.7 51.9 Advanced 10 44.4 11 14 14 51.9 (27)3.7 2 2 Advanced High 1 3.7 7.4 7.4 44.4 0 0 Beginning 0.0 5.6 5.6 0.0 0.0 4 Intermediate 2 11.1 1 5.6 7 38.9 22.2 4 22.2 2 22.2 2 7 38.9 Advanced 11.1 11.1 38.9 (18)77.8 12 66.7 44.4 38.9 38.9 Advanced High

PROGRESSION FROM 2008 TO 2009

Number Rated Both Years		2008 Level				
N (%) Progressed	2009 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High	
	Beginning		_	-		
	Intermediate	-		-		
_	Advanced	-	-			
	Advanced High	-	-	-		
	Beginning		2	5		
27	Intermediate	1		1		
1 (3.7%)	Advanced	0	0	0		
	Advanced High	0	0	0		
	Beginning	5				
26	Intermediate	7		2		
19 (73.1%)	Advanced	7	1	()	
	Advanced High	0	1	3	3	
	Beginning			3		
26	Intermediate	3		4		
12 (46.2%)	Advanced	2	5	-	7	
	Advanced High	0	0	2	2	
	Beginning		()		
18	Intermediate	1		3		
9 (50.0%)	Advanced	0	1	6	6	
	Advanced High	0	0	-	7	

PERFORMANCE IN 2009

Grade	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	ıking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Com	posite
(N Rated)	2009 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	1	4.3	1	4.3	1	4.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
5	Intermediate	3	13.0	3	13.0	13	56.5	4	17.4	4	17.4
(23)	Advanced	13	56.5	14	60.9	7	30.4	6	26.1	7	30.4
	Advanced High	6	26.1	5	21.7	2	8.7	13	56.5	12	52.2
	Beginning	29	19.5	55	36.9	73	49.0	60	40.3	60	40.3
ALL	Intermediate	30	20.1	33	22.1	41	27.5	28	18.8	28	18.8
(149)	Advanced	56	37.6	40	26.8	24	16.1	33	22.1	36	24.2
	Advanced High	34	22.8	21	14.1	11	7.4	28	18.8	25	16.8

PROGRESSION FROM 2008 TO 2009

Number Rated Both Years		2008					
N (%) Progressed	2009 Level	Beg Int Ac		Adv	Adv High		
	Beginning		(
23	Intermediate	1 3					
16 (69.6%)	Advanced	0	3	4			
	Advanced High	0	1	1	1		
	Beginning	34					
121	Intermediate	13	13				
57 (47.1%)	Advanced	9	10	1	7		
	Advanced High	0	2	2	3		

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2008 to 2009.