Campus Data Packet

for 2009 - 10 Plans



HARRELL BUDD

School Number 115

The information in this packet is based on data from the 2008-09 school year.

This packet contains corrected statistics for norm-referenced assessments (ITBS and Logramos), including the SCE Compliance report. PSAT reports now contain the average score for all students in a grade.



2009-10 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2	Contents	Table of Contents	4	Notes	Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STU	DENTS AND STAF	F			
		Summary of Student and Teacher Statistics	7	Enrollment (1)	Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
8	Enrollment (2)	. Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	9	Attendance	Student Attendance Statistics
10	Teachers	Teacher Statistics			
TEX	AS ASSESSMENT	OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS			
11	TAKS Read (1)	. TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	12	TAKS Read (2)	TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
13	TAKS Read (3)	. TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	14	TAKS Read (4)	TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
15	TAKS Math (1)	TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	16	TAKS Math (2)	TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
17	TAKS Math (3)	TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	18	TAKS Math (4)	TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
19	TAKS Write (1)	TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	20	TAKS Write (2)	TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
21	TAKS Write (3)	TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	22	TAKS Write (4)	TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
23	TAKS Science (1)	TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	24	TAKS Science (2)	TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
25	TAKS Science (3)	TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	26	TAKS Science (4)	TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
27	SPTAKS Read (1)	Spanish TAKS Reading: % Meeting Standard	28	SPTAKS Read (2)	Spanish TAKS Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
29	SPTAKS Read (3)	Spanish TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	30	SPTAKS Read (4)	Spanish TAKS Reading: % Commended
31	SPTAKS Math (1)	Spanish TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	32	SPTAKS Math (2)	Spanish TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
33	SPTAKS Math (3)	. Spanish TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	34	SPTAKS Math (4)	Spanish TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
35	SPTAKS Write (1)	Spanish TAKS Writing: % Meeting Standard	36	SPTAKS Write (2)	Spanish TAKS Writing: # Not Meeting Standard
37	SPTAKS Write (3)	Spanish TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	38	SPTAKS Write (4)	Spanish TAKS Writing: % Commended
39	SPTAKS Science (1)	. Spanish TAKS Science: % Meeting Standard	40	SPTAKS Science (2)	Spanish TAKS Science: # Not Meeting Standard
41	SPTAKS Science (3)	. Spanish TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	42	SPTAKS Science (4)	Spanish TAKS Science: % Commended
TEX	AS ASSESSMENT	OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS (MOD)			
43	TAKS-M Read (1)	TAKS-M Reading: % Meeting Standard	44	TAKS-M Read (2)	TAKS-M Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
45	TAKS-M Read (3)	TAKS-M Reading: Performance by Objective	46	TAKS-M Read (4)	TAKS-M Reading: Percentage Commended
47	TAKS-M Math (1)	TAKS-M Math: % Meeting Standard	48	TAKS-M Math (2)	TAKS-M Math: # Not Meeting Standard
49	TAKS-M Math (3)	TAKS-M Math: Performance by Objective	50	TAKS-M Math (4)	TAKS-M Math: Percentage Commended
ITBS	/ITED/LOGRAMO	<u>os</u>			
51	Compliance	SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	52	ITBS Read (1)	ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
53	ITBS Read (2)	ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	54	ITBS Math (1)	ITBS Math Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
55	ITBS Math (2)	ITBS Math Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	56	Logramos Read (1)	Logramos Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
57	Logramos Read (2)	. Logramos Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile			

HARRELL BUDD (115)

2009-10 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

MEASURES OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY

58.... WMLS...... Woodcock-Muñoz Language Survey

59.... TELPAS...... TX English Language Proficiency Assessment System

2009-10 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2008-09. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2007-08 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2007-08 and 2008-09.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

TAKS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- TAKS statistics include both TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated results.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2010, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

TAKS-M (Modified)

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading results for all three years are for the Language Total subtest; all other grades' results reflect Reading Total performance. (The Reading Total summary is not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergarteners in 2009.) All Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The number tested includes kindergarteners.
- Numbers tested in READING for 2007 may not match those reported in campus data packets published in the prior years. Previous totals did not consistently include students in Kindergarten, though documentation included with the reports indicated otherwise.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading and mathematics results for all three years are for the Language subtest and Mathematics subtest. All other grades' results reflect Reading Total or Mathematics Total performance. (The Reading and Mathematics Total summaries are not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergartners.) Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The "number tested" statistics include kindergartners.

TELPAS

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2008 to 2009, the TEA did not report the 2008 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2009, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2008.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment				
PK	42				
KN	105				
1	86				
2	91				
3	113				
4	93				
5	97				
ALL	627				

STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stud	lents	Teachers				
Ethnicity	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
African American	217	34.6	17	44.7			
American Indian	6	1.0	*	*			
Asian	0	0.0	*	*			
Hispanic	402	64.1	16	42.1			
White	2	0.3	4	10.5			
Other	**	**	1	2.6			

^{*}Included in category "Other."

STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percent
Economically disadvantaged students	609	97.1
Limited English proficient students	310	49.4
Special education students	29	4.6

^{**}All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

			African American		America	ın Indian	As	ian	Hisp	anic	White	
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2007	40	10	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	30	75.0	0	0.0
PK	2008	42	10	23.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	32	76.2	0	0.0
	2009	42	3	7.1	2	4.8	0	0.0	37	88.1	0	0.0
	2007	96	39	40.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	57	59.4	0	0.0
KN	2008	90	36	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	54	60.0	0	0.0
	2009	105	37	35.2	1	1.0	0	0.0	66	62.9	1	1.0
	2007	101	34	33.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	67	66.3	0	0.0
1	2008	93	34	36.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	59	63.4	0	0.0
	2009	86	34	39.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	52	60.5	0	0.0
	2007	101	44	43.6	2	2.0	0	0.0	54	53.5	1	1.0
2	2008	117	37	31.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	80	68.4	0	0.0
	2009	91	31	34.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	60	65.9	0	0.0
	2007	97	34	35.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	63	64.9	0	0.0
3	2008	99	41	41.4	2	2.0	0	0.0	56	56.6	0	0.0
	2009	113	35	31.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	77	68.1	1	0.9
	2007	81	34	42.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	47	58.0	0	0.0
4	2008	104	43	41.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	61	58.7	0	0.0
	2009	93	40	43.0	2	2.2	0	0.0	51	54.8	0	0.0
	2007	84	30	35.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	53	63.1	1	1.2
5	2008	86	32	37.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	54	62.8	0	0.0
	2009	97	37	38.1	1	1.0	0	0.0	59	60.8	0	0.0
	2007	600	225	37.5	2	0.3	0	0.0	371	61.8	2	0.3
EC-5	2008	631	233	36.9	2	0.3	0	0.0	396	62.8	0	0.0
	2009	627	217	34.6	6	1.0	0	0.0	402	64.1	2	0.3

HARRELL BUDD (115)

				mically antaged	LE	ĒP	Special E	Education	T	AG	New (to D	allas ISD)	Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2007	40	40	100.0	24	60.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	40	100.0	0.0
PK	2008	42	40	95.2	27	64.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	42	100.0	0.0
	2009	42	42	100.0	34	81.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	42	100.0	0.0
	2007	96	94	97.9	46	47.9	5	5.2	4	4.2	42	43.8	1.0
KN	2008	90	88	97.8	40	44.4	1	1.1	0	0.0	46	51.1	1.1
	2009	105	104	99.0	57	54.3	3	2.9	5	4.8	41	39.0	1.9
	2007	101	98	97.0	56	55.4	2	2.0	8	7.9	8	7.9	4.0
1	2008	93	92	98.9	41	44.1	3	3.2	7	7.5	7	7.5	0.0
	2009	86	84	97.7	41	47.7	2	2.3	7	8.1	9	10.5	2.3
	2007	101	95	94.1	45	44.6	5	5.0	15	14.9	8	7.9	4.0
2	2008	117	113	96.6	67	57.3	4	3.4	19	16.2	13	11.1	2.6
	2009	91	88	96.7	44	48.4	3	3.3	11	12.1	11	12.1	4.4
	2007	97	89	91.8	48	49.5	6	6.2	12	12.4	7	7.2	3.1
3	2008	99	96	97.0	46	46.5	10	10.1	17	17.2	5	5.1	4.0
	2009	113	113	100.0	62	54.9	4	3.5	27	23.9	3	2.7	0.0
	2007	81	81	100.0	40	49.4	7	8.6	12	14.8	3	3.7	3.7
4	2008	104	97	93.3	33	31.7	9	8.7	15	14.4	7	6.7	0.0
	2009	93	86	92.5	42	45.2	10	10.8	17	18.3	5	5.4	1.1
	2007	84	82	97.6	32	38.1	3	3.6	19	22.6	3	3.6	3.6
5	2008	86	82	95.3	26	30.2	9	10.5	13	15.1	9	10.5	2.3
	2009	97	92	94.8	30	30.9	7	7.2	18	18.6	5	5.2	2.1
	2007	600	579	96.5	291	48.5	28	4.7	70	11.7	111	18.5	3.0
EC-5	2008	631	608	96.4	280	44.4	36	5.7	71	11.3	129	20.4	1.6
	2009	627	609	97.1	310	49.4	29	4.6	85	13.6	116	18.5	1.8

HARRELL BUDD (115) 8

Grade	Year	Averag Member	e Daily ship (N)	А	verage Daily	Attendance		Yea	rly Transaction	ns		uously ed (N)	Stability	Rate (%)
		Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (N)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus	Dallas ISD
	2007	20.1	4,117.2	19.3	96.1	3,933.6	95.5	48	100.0	100.0	39	7,436	100.0	100.0
PK	2008	21.0	4,133.2	20.1	95.7	3,942.7	95.4	48	100.0	100.0	40	7,427	100.0	100.0
	2009	21.0	4,167.8	20.0	95.3	3,975.6	95.4	49	100.0	100.0	37	7,332	100.0	100.0
	2007	91.7	13,945.0	87.9	95.8	13,385.6	96.0	32	34.9	24.3	76	12,035	82.8	86.3
KN	2008	88.0	13,568.1	84.3	95.7	13,004.4	95.8	29	32.9	23.5	72	11,618	81.8	85.6
	2009	103.3	13,515.0	97.5	94.4	12,939.1	95.7	26	25.2	22.5	84	11,501	81.4	85.1
	2007	104.2	14,353.8	100.6	96.6	13,851.4	96.5	26	25.0	23.2	89	12,437	85.4	86.6
1	2008	97.2	14,626.9	93.0	95.7	14,102.7	96.4	21	21.6	21.2	79	12,704	81.3	86.9
	2009	86.7	14,250.8	82.7	95.3	13,719.9	96.3	26	30.0	20.7	66	12,300	76.1	86.3
	2007	98.8	13,403.8	96.2	97.3	12,978.9	96.8	21	21.2	21.7	88	11,729	89.0	87.5
2	2008	116.1	13,708.6	112.8	97.2	13,269.8	96.8	29	25.0	19.7	105	12,043	90.5	87.9
	2009	92.5	13,950.1	88.4	95.6	13,499.0	96.8	17	18.4	18.6	78	12,231	84.3	87.7
	2007	99.8	12,998.4	96.8	97.0	12,633.3	97.2	18	18.0	21.1	86	11,445	86.2	88.0
3	2008	104.3	12,806.9	100.5	96.4	12,425.5	97.0	13	12.5	19.1	90	11,408	86.3	89.1
	2009	115.8	13,095.5	112.1	96.8	12,710.6	97.1	14	12.1	18.3	104	11,634	89.8	88.8
	2007	81.7	12,104.9	79.9	97.8	11,768.3	97.2	14	17.1	19.7	69	10,683	84.5	88.3
4	2008	105.1	12,329.8	102.4	97.4	11,960.3	97.0	32	30.4	19.7	94	10,924	89.4	88.6
	2009	94.0	12,156.4	91.0	96.8	11,789.9	97.0	8	8.5	18.1	87	10,731	92.6	88.3
	2007	85.9	11,757.0	83.6	97.3	11,426.8	97.2	15	17.5	20.9	78	10,362	90.8	88.1
5	2008	86.1	11,874.2	83.4	96.9	11,539.6	97.2	18	20.9	18.8	75	10,608	87.1	89.3
	2009	96.0	11,903.5	93.2	97.1	11,552.9	97.1	20	20.8	17.5	84	10,563	87.5	88.7
	2007	582.2	83,122.6	564.2	96.9	80,387.4	96.7	174	29.9	33.3	525	76,416	90.2	91.9
EC-5	2008	617.7	83,438.7	596.4	96.6	80,606.0	96.6	190	30.8	31.9	555	76,970	89.8	92.2
	2009	609.2	83,476.5	584.9	96.0	80,586.5	96.5	160	26.3	30.9	540	76,517	88.6	91.7

Teachers Teacher Statistics

DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS

Ethnicity	Number	Percent			
African American	17	44.7			
Hispanic	16	42.1			
White	4	10.5			
Other	1	2.6			

Gender	Number	Percent			
Female	33	86.8			
Male	5	13.2			

TOTAL	38

AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES

2007	7.3
2008	9.0
2009	8.0

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		83.3	69.8	74.1	*	60.5	70.4	*	72.0	79.5	75.3	84.6	89
3	2008		71.4	91.2	80.3	33.3	95.7	78.0		73.3	90.9	80.8	86.2	78
	2009		85.3	88.9	86.5	*	*	82.4		83.3	89.7	86.8	87.3	53
	2007		52.9	70.5	62.8	*	67.6	54.4		67.4	56.3	62.8	69.7	78
4	2008		70.7	60.4	66.7	*	47.4	47.4		60.0	70.5	65.6	72.1	90
	2009		37.8	78.6	54.0	*	80.0	46.3		44.4	67.7	54.4	75.8	68
	2007	*	86.2	69.8	76.5	*	59.4	71.6		65.8	84.4	75.9	78.3	83
5	2008		68.8	66.0	67.5	50.0	28.6	45.7		72.7	60.5	67.1	82.9	82
	2009		79.4	62.0	70.4	*	31.8	51.0		51.1	89.7	68.6	82.9	86
	2007	*	73.7	70.0	71.3	60.0	62.6	66.2	*	68.7	75.0	71.6	77.6	250
3-5	2008		70.4	70.5	71.3	47.1	58.7	59.4		68.7	73.0	70.8	80.3	250
	2009		66.7	71.9	69.4	33.3	57.8	58.1		56.1	82.8	68.6	81.5	207

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	1	99	150	240	10	107	195	1	134	116	250	31,814
2008	0	115	132	237	17	63	143	0	134	115	250	31,840
2009	0	105	96	196	9	45	124	0	107	99	207	28,126

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		6	16	21	*	15	21	*	14	8	22	1,675	89
3	2008		12	3	15	4	1	13		12	3	15	1,443	78
	2009		5	2	7	*	*	6		4	3	7	960	53
	2007		16	13	29	*	12	26		15	14	29	3,189	78
4	2008		12	19	27	*	10	20		18	13	31	2,996	90
-	2009		23	6	29	*	4	22		20	10	31	2,449	68
	2007	*	4	16	19	*	13	19		13	7	20	2,258	83
5	2008		10	17	26	4	15	25		12	15	27	1,827	82
	2009		7	19	24	*	15	24		23	4	27	1,793	86
	2007	*	26	45	69	4	40	66	*	42	29	71	7,122	250
3-5	2008		34	39	68	9	26	58		42	31	73	6,266	250
	2009		35	27	60	6	19	52		47	17	65	5,202	207

					NUMB	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	1	99	150	240	10	107	195	1	134	116	250	31,814
2008	0	115	132	237	17	63	143	0	134	115	250	31,840
2009	0	105	96	196	9	45	124	0	107	99	207	28,126

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2007	73.5	78.7	75.7	70.6
3	2008	75.2	76.2	76.3	72.4
	2009	79.4	78.4	78.6	80.2
	2007	71.0	69.7	66.8	63.1
4	2008	77.6	71.3	70.3	71.2
	2009	71.4	64.0	60.9	66.5
	2007	71.3	78.2	75.3	69.4
5	2008	72.5	73.2	71.8	68.9
	2009	71.1	76.0	74.3	67.5
	2007	72.0	75.7	72.8	67.9
3-5	2008	75.2	73.4	72.7	70.9
	2009	73.3	72.7	71.0	70.4

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		27.8	13.2	17.3	*	2.6	12.7	*	14.0	25.6	19.1	21.3	89
3	2008		14.3	17.6	15.8	16.7	21.7	11.9		6.7	27.3	15.4	21.5	78
	2009		32.4	33.3	32.7	*	*	17.6		33.3	34.5	34.0	34.1	53
	2007		2.9	13.6	9.0	*	5.4	5.3		10.9	6.3	9.0	16.6	78
4	2008		17.1	8.3	12.3	*	0.0	5.3		8.9	15.9	12.2	14.4	90
	2009		5.4	17.9	11.1	*	15.0	7.3		5.6	16.1	10.3	18.4	68
	2007	*	6.9	9.4	8.6	*	0.0	3.0		5.3	11.1	8.4	13.1	83
5	2008		6.3	8.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		6.8	7.9	7.3	16.5	82
	2009		20.6	12.0	16.0	*	4.5	10.2		8.5	23.1	15.1	18.5	86
	2007	*	13.1	12.0	11.7	0.0	2.8	7.2	*	10.4	14.7	12.4	17.1	250
3-5	2008		13.0	10.6	11.4	5.9	7.9	6.3		7.5	16.5	11.6	17.4	250
	2009		19.0	17.7	18.9	0.0	13.3	11.3		13.1	24.2	18.4	22.7	207

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	1	99	150	240	10	107	195	1	134	116	250	31,814
2008	0	115	132	237	17	63	143	0	134	115	250	31,840
2009	0	105	96	196	9	45	124	0	107	99	207	28,126

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		72.7	82.7	81.8	*	73.7	78.6	*	70.8	86.8	78.2	70.3	87
3	2008		59.5	90.6	73.9	*	100.0	69.8		72.5	74.2	73.2	76.6	71
	2009		61.8	77.8	72.6	*	86.0	69.3		68.1	76.7	72.9	77.3	107
	2007		58.8	75.6	68.4	*	73.7	64.9		76.6	56.3	68.4	75.7	79
4	2008		63.4	66.7	69.1	*	42.1	39.5		55.6	75.0	65.6	77.9	90
4	2009		45.9	85.7	63.5	*	90.0	56.1		66.7	61.3	64.7	79.4	68
	2007	*	70.0	69.8	69.5	*	62.5	65.7		71.1	69.6	70.2	82.9	84
5	2008		54.8	83.0	71.1	*	63.2	53.5		76.2	66.7	71.8	84.0	78
	2009		84.8	83.7	84.8	*	61.9	74.5		78.3	92.1	84.5	87.0	84
	2007	*	67.0	76.0	73.1	50.0	70.4	70.1	*	72.9	71.6	72.4	76.2	250
3-5	2008		59.6	78.7	71.2	54.5	70.0	56.0		67.7	72.1	69.9	79.5	239
	2009		63.5	81.2	74.2	40.0	81.6	67.6		71.3	77.5	74.5	81.0	259

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	1	97	150	238	12	108	194	1	133	116	250	32,298
2008	0	109	127	226	11	60	134	0	127	111	239	32,696
2009	0	104	149	248	10	98	176	0	129	129	259	33,546

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 67% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		9	9	14	*	10	15	*	14	5	19	3,303	87
3	2008		15	3	18	*	0	16		11	8	19	2,542	71
	2009		13	16	29	*	8	27		15	14	29	2,781	107
	2007		14	11	25	*	10	20		11	14	25	2,604	79
4	2008		15	16	25	*	11	23		20	11	31	2,436	90
	2009		20	4	23	*	2	18		12	12	24	2,196	68
	2007	*	9	16	25	*	12	23		11	14	25	1,785	84
5	2008		14	8	22	*	7	20		10	12	22	1,730	78
	2009		5	8	12	*	8	12		10	3	13	1,386	84
	2007	*	32	36	64	6	32	58	*	36	33	69	7,692	250
3-5	2008		44	27	65	5	18	59		41	31	72	6,708	239
	2009		38	28	64	6	18	57		37	29	66	6,363	259

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	1	97	150	238	12	108	194	1	133	116	250	32,298
2008	0	109	127	226	11	60	134	0	127	111	239	32,696
2009	0	104	149	248	10	98	176	0	129	129	259	33,546

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2007	79.9	83.1	82.0	80.1	82.2	61.8
3	2008	76.8	79.8	81.2	73.0	82.4	61.6
	2009	75.1	77.6	85.7	77.7	78.3	61.6
	2007	81.6	78.7	72.8	70.0	65.2	67.7
4	2008	77.3	76.5	70.6	71.7	70.6	69.0
	2009	78.1	72.3	70.6	69.6	72.8	57.4
	2007	72.4	70.2	74.3	66.2	78.3	70.1
5	2008	72.0	71.8	81.7	72.7	73.7	70.7
	2009	81.0	79.6	85.0	78.9	82.7	75.7
	2007	77.9	77.4	76.5	72.2	75.5	66.5
3-5	2008	75.4	76.0	77.4	72.4	75.1	67.4
	2009	77.8	76.8	81.5	76.0	78.3	65.1

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		21.2	25.0	23.4	*	18.4	20.0	*	18.8	28.9	23.0	19.2	87
3	2008		10.8	31.3	20.3	*	31.8	15.1		22.5	19.4	21.1	26.3	71
	2009		11.8	23.6	19.8	*	26.3	18.2		25.5	16.7	20.6	29.0	107
	2007		8.8	17.8	13.9	*	21.1	12.3		19.1	6.3	13.9	22.6	79
4	2008		17.1	29.2	24.7	*	5.3	13.2		24.4	22.7	23.3	23.8	90
7	2009		2.7	25.0	11.1	*	25.0	12.2		13.9	9.7	11.8	31.6	68
	2007	*	13.3	20.8	18.3	*	18.8	10.4		13.2	21.7	17.9	29.3	84
5	2008		16.1	25.5	21.1	*	5.3	9.3		33.3	8.3	21.8	31.9	78
	2009		27.3	32.7	30.4	*	9.5	10.6		28.3	31.6	29.8	38.4	84
	2007	*	14.4	21.3	18.5	0.0	19.4	14.4	*	17.3	19.8	18.4	23.6	250
3-5	2008		14.7	28.3	22.1	9.1	15.0	12.7		26.8	17.1	22.2	27.3	239
	2009		13.5	26.8	21.0	0.0	22.4	14.8		23.3	19.4	21.2	32.8	259

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2007	1	97	150	238	12	108	194	1	133	116	250	32,298
2008	0	109	127	226	11	60	134	0	127	111	239	32,696
2009	0	104	149	248	10	98	176	0	129	129	259	33,546

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		78.8	88.6	84.4	*	86.8	79.3		88.6	78.8	84.4	86.5	77
4	2008		83.3	91.8	86.7	*	90.0	84.6		84.8	91.1	87.9	87.4	91
	2009		73.0	96.6	84.1	*	95.2	81.0		77.8	90.6	83.8	87.4	68

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2007	0	33	44	77	4	38	58	0	44	33	77	10,408
2008	0	42	49	83	5	20	39	0	46	45	91	10,658
2009	0	37	29	63	5	21	42	0	36	32	68	10,032

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		7	5	12	*	5	12		5	7	12	1,408	77
4	2008		7	4	11	*	2	6		7	4	11	1,348	91
	2009		10	1	10	*	1	8		8	3	11	1,264	68

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2007	0	33	44	77	4	38	58	0	44	33	77	10,408
2008	0	42	49	83	5	20	39	0	46	45	91	10,658
2009	0	37	29	63	5	21	42	0	36	32	68	10,032

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2007	2.2	74.4	75.2	84.4	79.2
4	2008	2.4	79.4	77.3	81.3	80.5
	2009	2.0	77.6	75.4	75.9	86.8

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		12.1	11.4	11.7	*	10.5	6.9		11.4	12.1	11.7	18.2	77
4	2008		26.2	26.5	26.5	*	20.0	17.9		13.0	40.0	26.4	21.4	91
	2009		5.4	17.2	11.1	*	14.3	7.1		2.8	18.8	10.3	21.0	68

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2007	0	33	44	77	4	38	58	0	44	33	77	10,408
2008	0	42	49	83	5	20	39	0	46	45	91	10,658
2009	0	37	29	63	5	21	42	0	36	32	68	10,032

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	60.0	54.7	57.3	*	42.4	52.9		50.0	63.0	57.1	62.3	84
5	2008		51.6	57.4	55.3	*	15.8	25.6		61.9	47.2	55.1	71.9	78
	2009	·	78.8	58.0	65.4	*	31.8	54.2		63.8	65.8	64.7	75.9	85

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2007	1	30	53	82	5	33	68	0	38	46	84	10,296
2008	0	31	47	76	5	19	43	0	42	36	78	10,686
2009	0	33	50	81	5	22	48	0	47	38	85	10,550

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 55 percent, the 2010 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	12	24	35	*	19	32		19	17	36	3,881	84
5	2008		15	20	34	*	16	32		16	19	35	3,005	78
	2009		7	21	28	*	15	22		17	13	30	2,542	85

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 5				
2007	1	30	53	82	5	33	68	0	38	46	84	10,296
2008	0	31	47	76	5	19	43	0	42	36	78	10,686
2009	0	33	50	81	5	22	48	0	47	38	85	10,550

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Life Sciences	Physical Sciences	Earth Sciences
	2007	75.4	77.6	73.9	65.3
5	2008	76.8	77.8	72.2	62.3
	2009	83.9	80.8	80.0	62.0

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	10.0	15.1	13.4	*	12.1	10.3		15.8	10.9	13.1	18.0	84
5	2008		9.7	6.4	7.9	*	0.0	4.7		14.3	0.0	7.7	24.8	78
	2009	·	15.2	22.0	18.5	*	0.0	8.3	·	21.3	15.8	18.8	29.1	85

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5							
2007	1	1 30 53 82 5 33 68 0 38 46 84 10,296													
2008	0	31	47	76	5	19	43	0	42	36	78	10,686			
2009	0	33	50	81	5	22	48	0	47	38	85	10,550			

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			37.5	28.6	*	37.5	37.5		*	*	37.5	82.9	8
3	2008			90.5	90.0		90.5	90.5		85.7	100.0	90.5	85.4	21
	2009			87.3	87.3	*	87.3	87.3		83.3	90.3	87.3	89.4	55
	2007												65.9	0
4	2008			40.0	44.4	*	40.0	33.3		*	*	40.0	67.0	10
	2009			66.7	64.7		66.7	66.7		45.5	100.0	66.7	72.7	18
	2007												75.8	0
5	2008												70.6	0
	2009			57.1	66.7		57.1	57.1		*	*	57.1	79.7	7
	2007			37.5	28.6	*	37.5	37.5		*	*	37.5		8
ALL	2008			74.2	75.9	*	74.2	73.3		73.7	75.0	74.2		31
	2009			80.0	80.8	*	80.0	80.0		69.2	90.2	80.0		80

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN GRAD	ES ALL							
2007	0	0 0 8 7 1 8 8 0 4 4 8 —													
2008	0	0	31	29	1	31	30	0	19	12	31				
2009	0	0	80	78	1	80	80	0	39	41	80				

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			5	5	*	5	5		*	*	5	219	8
3	2008			2	2		2	2		2	0	2	239	21
	2009			7	7	*	7	7		4	3	7	514	55
	2007												122	0
4	2008			6	5	*	6	6		*	*	6	215	10
	2009			6	6		6	6		6	0	6	268	18
	2007												22	0
5	2008												65	0
	2009			3	2		3	3		*	*	3	86	7
	2007			5	5	*	5	5		*	*	5		8
ALL	2008			8	7	*	8	8		5	3	8		31
	2009			16	15	*	16	16		12	4	16		80

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN GRAD	ES ALL				
2007	0	0	8	7	1	8	8	0	4	4	8	
2008	0	0	31	29	1	31	30	0	19	12	31	
2009	0	0	80	78	1	80	80	0	39	41	80	

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2007	54.2	58.9	47.9	43.8
3	2008	80.3	81.6	73.8	70.2
	2009	78.7	87.5	83.0	78.0
	2007				
4		61.3	53.8	42.9	53.0
	2009	74.4	70.1	63.5	66.7
	2007				
5	2008				
	2009	79.1	58.9	80.4	61.5
	2007	54.2	58.9	47.9	43.8
ALL	2008	74.2	72.6	63.8	64.7
	2009	77.8	81.1	78.4	74.0

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0		*	*	0.0	14.8	8
3	2008			19.0	15.0		19.0	19.0		14.3	28.6	19.0	17.2	21
	2009			49.1	49.1	*	49.1	49.1		45.8	51.6	49.1	30.6	55
	2007												14.2	0
4	2008			10.0	11.1	*	10.0	0.0		*	*	10.0	13.5	10
	2009			5.6	0.0		5.6	5.6		9.1	0.0	5.6	21.1	18
	2007												17.6	0
5	2008												19.9	0
	2009			28.6	33.3		28.6	28.6		*	*	28.6	15.3	7
	2007			0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0		*	*	0.0		8
ALL	2008			16.1	13.8	*	16.1	13.3		10.5	25.0	16.1		31
	2009			37.5	37.2	*	37.5	37.5		33.3	41.5	37.5		80

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN ALL G	RADES							
2007	0	0 0 8 7 1 8 8 0 4 4 8													
2008	0	0	31	29	1	31	30	0	19	12	31				
2009	0	0	80	78	1	80	80	0	39	41	80				

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			57.1	50.0	*	57.1	57.1		*	*	57.1	62.1	7
3	2008			95.5	95.2	*	95.5	95.5		93.3	100.0	95.5	71.7	22
	2009												72.9	0
	2007												61.2	0
4	2008			30.0	33.3	*	30.0	22.2		*	*	30.0	63.1	10
	2009			83.3	82.4		83.3	83.3		81.8	85.7	83.3	69.7	18
	2007												38.3	0
5	2008												53.3	0
	2009			28.6	33.3		28.6	28.6		*	*	28.6	50.0	7
	2007			57.1	50.0	*	57.1	57.1		*	*	57.1	60.9	7
ALL	2008			75.0	76.7	*	75.0	74.2		80.0	66.7	75.0	68.2	32
	2009			68.0	69.6		68.0	68.0		66.7	70.0	68.0	64.9	25

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN GRAD	ES ALL				
2007	0	0	7	6	1	7	7	0	4	3	7	1,376
2008	0	0	32	30	2	32	31	0	20	12	32	1,664
2009	0	0	25	23	0	25	25	0	15	10	25	797

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 67% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			3	3	*	3	3		*	*	3	389	7
3	2008			1	1	*	1	1		1	0	1	316	22
	2009												26	0
	2007												112	0
4	2008			7	6	*	7	7		*	*	7	157	10
	2009			3	3		3	3		2	1	3	149	18
	2007												37	0
5	2008												56	0
	2009			5	4		5	5		*	*	5	105	7
	2007			3	3	*	3	3		*	*	3	538	7
ALL	2008			8	7	*	8	8		4	4	8	529	32
	2009			8	7		8	8		5	3	8	280	25

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN GRAD	ES ALL				
2007	0	0	7	6	1	7	7	0	4	3	7	1,376
2008	0	0	32	30	2	32	31	0	20	12	32	1,664
2009	0	0	25	23	0	25	25	0	15	10	25	797

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2007	71.7	66.7	72.2	58.3	79.2	47.9
3	2008	74.3	66.7	69.0	71.4	67.9	46.4
	2009	87.7	86.4	90.2	84.8	87.5	69.3
	2007						
4	2008						
	2009	55.5	54.3	66.7	56.7	50.0	45.0
	2007	71.7	66.7	72.2	58.3	79.2	47.9
ALL	2008	74.3	66.7	69.0	71.4	67.9	46.4
	2009	77.6	76.3	82.8	76.0	75.8	61.7

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			14.3	16.7	*	14.3	14.3		*	*	14.3	15.9	7
3	2008			31.8	28.6	*	31.8	31.8		26.7	42.9	31.8	20.9	22
	2009												15.6	0
	2007												24.6	0
4	2008			10.0	11.1	*	10.0	0.0		*	*	10.0	22.8	10
	2009			27.8	23.5		27.8	27.8		27.3	28.6	27.8	26.3	18
	2007												11.7	0
5	2008												14.2	0
	2009			14.3	16.7		14.3	14.3		*	*	14.3	13.3	7
	2007			14.3	16.7	*	14.3	14.3		*	*	14.3		7
ALL	2008			25.0	23.3	*	25.0	22.6		20.0	33.3	25.0		32
	2009			24.0	21.7		24.0	24.0		20.0	30.0	24.0		25

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN ALL G	NUMBER TESTED IN ALL GRADES													
2007	0	0	7	6	1	7	7	0	4	3	7										
2008	0	0	32	30	2	32	31	0	20	12	32										
2009	0	0	25	23	0	25	25	0	15	10	25										

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												79.1	0
4	2008			75.0	85.7	*	75.0	75.0		*	*	75.0	81.9	8
	2009	·		94.4	94.1		94.4	94.4		90.9	100.0	94.4	87.1	18

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	364
2008	0	0	8	7	1	8	8	0	5	3	8	667
2009	0	0	18	17	0	18	18	0	11	7	18	981

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2010 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

HARRELL BUDD (115)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Spec Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												76	0
4	2008			2	1	*	2	2		*	*	2	121	8
	2009			1	1		1	1		1	0	1	127	18

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4								
2007	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 364														
2008	0	0	8	7	1	8	8	0	5	3	8	667				
2009	0	0	18	17	0	18	18	0	11	7	18	981				

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition ¹ : Effectiveness / Command of Conventions	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2007					
4	2008	2.0	75.0	62.5	78.1	59.4
	2009	2.2	86.1	78.5	86.8	77.8

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												13.2	0
4	2008			12.5	14.3	*	12.5	12.5		*	*	12.5	14.2	8
	2009			16.7	17.6		16.7	16.7		0.0	42.9	16.7	20.4	18

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4							
2007	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 364													
2008	0	0	8	7	1	8	8	0	5	3	8	667			
2009	0	0	18	17	0	18	18	0	11	7	18	981			

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												21.1	0
5	2008												18.1	0
	2009			33.3	*		33.3	33.3		*	*	33.3	35.3	6

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 5				
2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	57
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	105
2009	0	0	6	5	0	6	6	0	3	3	6	201

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 55 percent, the 2010 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												45	0
5	2008												86	0
	2009			4	*		4	4		*	*	4	130	6

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 5				
2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	57
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	105
2009	0	0	6	5	0	6	6	0	3	3	6	201

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Life Sciences	Physical Sciences	Earth Sciences
	2007				
5	2008				
	2009	65.4	61.1	66.7	51.9

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007												5.3	0
5	2008												2.9	0
	2009			0.0	*		0.0	0.0		*	*	0.0	3.5	6

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 5							
2007	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 57													
2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	105			
2009	0	0	6	5	0	6	6	0	3	3	6	201			

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	77.7	2
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	87.7	4
4	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	70.7	4
	2009		*	*	50.0	50.0	*	50.0		*	*	50.0	71.8	6
5	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	73.3	2
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	80.3	4
3-5	2008		*	*	75.0	75.0	*	83.3		*	*	75.0	73.7	8
	2009		*	55.6	50.0	50.0	50.0	46.2		45.5	*	50.0	79.2	14

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5							
2008	0	4	4	8	8	4	6	0	3	5	8	1,807			
2009	0	5	9	14	14	8	13	0	11	3	14	1,867			

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	116	2
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	60	4
4	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	181	4
	2009		*	*	3	3	*	3		*	*	3	192	6
5	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	179	2
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	137	4
3-5	2008		*	*	2	2	*	1		*	*	2	476	8
	2009		*	4	7	7	4	7		6	*	7	389	14

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5						
2008	0	4	4	8	8	4	6	0	3	5	8	1,807		
2009	0	5	9	14	14	8	13	0	11	3	14	1,867		

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
3	2008	*	*	*	*
	2009	*	*	*	*
4	2008	*	*	*	*
	2009	47.2	38.9	41.7	39.6
5	2008	*	*	*	*
	2009	*	*	*	*
3-5	2008	58.1	66.7	58.8	61.8
	2009	51.7	54.8	53.8	49.5

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	10.8	2
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	16.2	4
4	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	9.7	4
	2009		*	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0		*	*	0.0	8.9	6
5	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	14.9	2
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	14.1	4
					•									
3-5	2008		*	*	12.5	12.5	*	0.0		*	*	12.5	12.0	8
	2009		*	11.1	7.1	7.1	0.0	7.7		0.0	*	7.1	12.7	14

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5								
2008	0	4	4	8	8	4	6	0	3	5	8	1,807				
2009	0	5	9	14	14	8	13	0	11	3	14	1,867				

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	*	50.0	50.0	*	*		*	*	50.0	76.1	6
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	81.2	4
4	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	69.1	4
	2009		*	*	83.3	83.3	*	83.3		*	*	83.3	75.8	6
5	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	64.0	4
	2009		*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*	80.2	2
3-5	2008		57.1	28.6	42.9	42.9	28.6	45.5		50.0	37.5	42.9	69.4	14
	2009		*	87.5	83.3	83.3	85.7	83.3		80.0	*	83.3	78.8	12

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5							
2008	0	7	7	14	14	7	11	0	6	8	14	1,730			
2009	0	4	8	12	12	7	12	0	10	2	12	1,743			

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	*	3	3	*	*		*	*	3	129	6
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	85	4
4	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	173	4
	2009		*	*	1	1	*	1		*	*	1	157	6
5	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	227	4
	2009		*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*	127	2
3-5	2008		3	5	8	8	5	6		3	5	8	529	14
	2009		*	1	2	2	1	2		2	*	2	369	12

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5						
2008	0	7	7	14	14	7	11	0	6	8	14	1,730		
2009	0	4	8	12	12	7	12	0	10	2	12	1,743		

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
3	2008	43.8	60.0	63.3	63.3	61.1	36.1
	2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2009	51.9	58.3	73.3	50.0	38.9	58.3
5	2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
3-5	2008	43.4	38.8	55.0	58.3	40.5	49.5
	2009	56.8	60.6	67.8	55.6	38.9	50.8

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
3	2008		*	*	16.7	16.7	*	*		*	*	16.7	16.1	6
	2009		*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	22.3	4
4	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	14.3	4
	2009		*	*	16.7	16.7	*	16.7		*	*	16.7	14.0	6
5	2008		*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	14.0	4
	2009		*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*	17.2	2
3-5	2008		14.3	14.3	14.3	14.3	14.3	18.2		0.0	25.0	14.3	14.7	14
	2009		*	25.0	16.7	16.7	14.3	16.7		0.0	*	16.7	17.3	12

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5								
2008	0	7	7	14	14	7	11	0	6	8	14	1,730				
2009	0	4	8	12	12	7	12	0	10	2	12	1,743				

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the IOWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS

			READIN	IG TOTAL (**LANGUAGI	≣)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	47.9	49.1	46.9	53
K**	2008	*	50.0	47.8	57.6	46
	2009	15.6	22.2	18.6	41.9	59
	2007	21.1	54.8	42.0	51.4	50
1	2008	*	54.9	53.6	50.2	56
	2009	20.0	62.5	52.4	54.2	42
	2007	24.5	65.4	38.7	44.5	75
2	2008	23.3	52.4	35.3	50.2	51
	2009	28.0	76.9	52.9	47.9	51
	2007	23.5	59.6	40.0	47.6	125
1-2	2008	25.7	54.2	44.9	50.2	107
	2009	25.7	69.0	52.7	51.0	93

	2007	73	105	178	24,696	
Number Tested	2008	37	116	153	21,817	
	2009	67	85	152	21,148	

			MATHEMAT	ICS TOTAL	(**MATHMA	ATICS)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	31.1	48.0	40.0	44.3	95
K**	2008	21.1	52.0	38.6	44.9	88
	2009	36.4	53.6	41.0	52.8	105
	2007	26.4	52.9	36.8	48.8	87
1	2008	50.0	57.7	54.3	55.5	94
	2009	16.7	48.6	40.4	50.4	47
	2007	50.7	60.7	53.6	55.6	97
2	2008	52.7	58.3	53.9	57.5	115
	2009	30.8	84.6	57.7	53.2	52
	2007	37.7	52.7	43.7	49.1	279
K-2	2008	45.0	55.6	49.5	52.7	297
	2009	33.0	60.7	45.1	52.3	204

	2007	167	112	279	34,441	
Number Tested	2008	171	126	297	41,070	
rested	2009	115	89	204	30,563	

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		45.9	56.3	48.1	*	*	*		40.7	57.7	49.1	46.9	53
K**	2008		48.6	45.5	45.5	*	*	*		40.9	54.2	47.8	57.6	46
	2009	*	22.2	14.3	17.2	*	16.7	15.6		10.0	27.6	18.6	41.9	59
	2007		43.8	38.9	41.7	*	28.6	21.1		31.8	50.0	42.0	51.4	50
1	2008		57.1	47.6	54.5	*	*	*		46.4	60.7	53.6	50.2	56
2009		53.6	50.0	52.5		*	20.0		54.5	50.0	52.4	54.2	42	
	2007	*	39.0	38.7	35.7	*	30.4	24.5		22.7	61.3	38.7	44.5	75
2	2008		36.1	33.3	36.0	*	*	23.3		22.2	50.0	35.3	50.2	51
	2009		54.8	50.0	52.0	*	*	28.0		45.8	59.3	52.9	47.9	51
	2007	*	41.1	38.8	38.1	*	30.0	23.5		25.8	55.9	40.0	47.6	125
1-2	2008		46.5	41.7	45.7	16.7	42.9	25.7		34.5	55.8	44.9	50.2	107
	2009		54.2	50.0	52.2	*	25.0	25.7		50.0	55.3	52.7	51.0	93

	2007	1	110	65	170	9	34	73	0	93	85	178	24,696
Number Tested	2008	0	106	47	149	7	9	37	0	77	76	153	21,817
resteu	2009	1	95	55	148	4	20	67	0	76	76	152	21,148

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		1	1	2	*	*	*		0	2	2	1,245	53
K**	2008		5	1	6	*	*	*		2	4	6	1,687	46
	2009	*	0	1	1	*	1	1		0	1	1	771	59
	2007		2	4	6	*	1	1		3	3	6	1,458	50
1	2008		8	3	11	*	*	*		5	6	11	1,555	56
	2009		4	3	7		*	0		5	2	7	1,659	42
	2007	*	2	2	3	*	2	2		3	1	4	896	75
2	2008		3	1	4	*	*	1		3	1	4	1,065	51
	2009		2	2	4	*	*	1		2	2	4	1,027	51
	2007	*	4	6	9	*	3	3		6	4	10	2,355	125
1-2	2008		11	4	15	0	0	1		8	7	15	2,620	107
	2009		6	5	11	*	0	1		7	4	11	2,688	93

	2007	1	110	65	170	9	34	73	0	93	85	178	24,696
Number Tested	2008	0	106	47	149	7	9	37	0	77	76	153	21,817
	2009	1	95	55	148	4	20	67	0	76	76	152	21,148

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		50.0	33.3	38.7	*	30.4	31.1		37.0	43.9	40.0	44.3	95
K**	2008		54.3	28.3	37.2	*	20.5	21.1		29.5	47.7	38.6	44.9	88
	2009	*	50.0	35.8	40.8	*	32.8	36.4		30.6	50.0	41.0	52.8	105
	2007		45.7	30.8	38.1	*	31.7	26.4		35.7	37.8	36.8	48.8	87
1	2008		57.1	52.5	53.8	*	51.2	50.0		50.0	59.5	54.3	55.5	94
	2009		34.4	53.3	40.0	*	*	16.7		45.8	34.8	40.4	50.4	47
	2007	*	48.8	56.6	51.6	*	56.8	50.7		52.5	55.3	53.6	55.6	97
2	2008		55.6	53.2	54.1	*	53.0	52.7		42.6	63.9	53.9	57.5	115
	2009		64.5	47.6	56.9	*	*	30.8		52.0	63.0	57.7	53.2	52
	2007	*	48.2	40.1	42.9	9.1	39.7	37.7		42.6	45.2	43.7	49.1	279
K-2	2008		55.7	46.1	49.0	25.0	43.8	45.0		41.3	57.8	49.5	52.7	297
	2009	*	49.5	40.8	44.7	33.3	32.8	33.0		39.8	50.0	45.1	52.3	204

	2007	1	114	162	268	11	131	167	0	155	124	279	34,441
Number Tested	2008	0	106	191	290	8	146	171	0	150	147	297	41,070
resteu	2009	1	99	103	199	6	67	115	0	98	106	204	30,563

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		3	5	8	*	4	4		3	5	8	2,583	95
K**	2008		8	3	11	*	2	2		6	5	11	2,788	88
	2009	*	2	6	8	*	5	5		2	6	8	2,455	105
	2007		2	3	5	*	1	1		4	1	5	1,769	87
1	2008		5	8	13	*	6	6		8	5	13	2,800	94
	2009		4	0	3	*	*	0		2	2	4	1,577	47
	2007	*	4	11	13	*	10	11		9	6	15	2,098	97
2	2008		5	12	17	*	10	13		10	7	17	2,912	115
	2009		3	3	5	*	*	0		2	4	6	1,686	52
	2007	*	9	19	26	0	15	16		16	12	28	6,450	279
K-2	2008		18	23	41	1	18	21		24	17	41	8,500	297
	2009	*	9	9	16	0	5	5	-	6	12	18	5,718	204

	2007	1	114	162	268	11	131	167	0	155	124	279	34,441
Number Tested	2008	0	106	191	290	8	146	171	0	150	147	297	41,070
	2009	1	99	103	199	6	67	115	0	98	106	204	30,563

Logramos Read (1)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		*	76.2	76.2	*	76.7	75.0		78.6	73.3	76.7	84.7	43
K	2008			88.1	88.1		89.2	91.7		81.8	95.0	88.1	87.0	42
	2009			84.8	86.7		84.8	84.4		78.9	88.9	84.8	88.6	46
	2007			86.0	87.8		86.0	85.4		81.8	89.3	86.0	84.5	50
1	2008			94.7	94.7		94.7	94.6		95.8	92.9	94.7	88.0	38
	2009			77.8	77.8		77.8	76.5		66.7	93.3	77.8	88.6	36
	2007			81.8	81.0	*	81.0	81.0		87.5	66.7	81.8	89.9	22
2	2008			87.1	88.1	*	86.9	89.8		84.6	88.9	87.1	93.4	62
	2009			100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	94.0	40
	2007		*	81.6	82.1	*	81.6	80.7		81.8	81.6	81.7	85.6	115
K-2	2008			89.4	89.9	*	89.7	91.7		87.5	91.4	89.4	89.3	142
	2009			87.7	88.2	*	87.7	87.4		82.8	93.1	87.7	90.4	122
	2007	0	1	114	112	2	114	109	0	66	49	115	14,889	

Number Tested	2007	0	1	114	112	2	114	109	0	66	49	115	14,889
	2008	0	0	142	139	1	136	132	0	72	70	142	18,257
	2009	0	0	122	119	1	122	119	0	64	58	122	19,076

Logramos Read (2)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007		*	14	14	*	15	14		10	5	15	2,779	43
K	2008			16	16		14	14		5	11	16	3,280	42
	2009			32	32		32	32		12	20	32	3,525	46
	2007			21	21		21	20		8	13	21	1,782	50
1	2008			15	15		15	15		10	5	15	2,412	38
	2009			9	9		9	9		4	5	9	2,523	36
	2007			7	6	*	7	7		4	3	7	1,196	22
2	2008			28	27	*	28	28		10	18	28	2,596	62
	2009			23	21	*	23	23		11	12	23	2,909	40
	2007		*	42	41	*	43	41		22	21	43	5,757	115
K-2	2008			59	58	*	57	57		25	34	59	8,288	142
	2009			64	62	*	64	64		27	37	64	8,957	122
	2007	0	1	114	112	2	114	109	0	66	49	115	14,889	

Number Tested	2007	0	1	114	112	2	114	109	0	66	49	115	14,889
	2008	0	0	142	139	1	136	132	0	72	70	142	18,257
	2009	0	0	122	119	1	122	119	0	64	58	122	19,076

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1 in 2008		Level 2	in 2008	Level 3	in 2008	Levels 1-3 in 2008		
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2009							
4	3	66.7	5	0.0	16	6.3	24	12.5	
5	3	66.7	6	16.7	0	-	9	33.3	
ALL	6	66.7	11	9.1	16	6.3	33	18.2	

PERFORMANCE IN 2009

Composite Grade Domain: Listening Speaking Writing Reading 2009 Level (N Rated) Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % 92.7 50 90.9 55 100.0 53 96.4 53 96.4 Beginning KN 5 9.1 0 2 2 Intermediate 7.3 0.0 3.6 3.6 Advanced 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 (55)Advanced High 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 67.5 27 25 62.5 26 26 65.0 Beginning 67.5 65.0 1 7 27.5 Intermediate 8 17.5 13 32.5 27.5 20.0 11 11 3 2 2 2 Advanced 7.5 4 10.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 (40)Advanced High 2 5.0 2 5.0 0 0.0 2.5 1 2.5 Beginning 10.0 4 10.0 11 27.5 3 7.1 3 7.7 2 40.0 17 45.0 Intermediate 16 42.5 18 19 45.2 16 41.0 Advanced 15 37.5 13 32.5 5 12.5 21.4 9 23.1 (43)Advanced High 12.5 6 15.0 6 15.0 11 26.2 11 28.2 Beginning 6.9 3 5.2 13 22.4 7 11.7 6 10.3 3 Intermediate 43 74.1 46 79.3 27 46.6 16 26.7 16 27.6 17.2 8 22.4 26.7 22 37.9 Advanced 10 13.8 13 16 (60)5 Advanced High 1.7 8.6 21 35.0 24.1 1.7 14 28.2 2 Beginning 28.2 11 10 25.6 4 9.5 5.1 4 Intermediate 17.9 7 17.9 7 17.9 19.0 8 20.5 3 7.7 3 38.5 13 33.3 Advanced 7.7 15 11 26.2 (42)46.2 18 46.2 17.9 19 45.2 16 41.0 Advanced High

PROGRESSION FROM 2008 TO 2009

Number Rated Both Years			2008	Level			
N (%) Progressed	2009 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High		
	Beginning		-	-			
	Intermediate	Intermediate -					
_	Advanced	ı	-	-	-		
	Advanced High	-	-	-	-		
	Beginning		2	3			
40	Intermediate	8		3			
11 (27.5%)	Advanced	0	2	0			
	Advanced High	1	0	()		
	Beginning		3				
38	Intermediate	9		6			
28 (73.7%)	Advanced	4	4	1	I		
	Advanced High	2	4	5	5		
	Beginning		(6			
54	Intermediate	5		10			
27 (50.0%)	Advanced	1	7	1	1		
	Advanced High	0	3	1	1		
	Beginning			2			
39	Intermediate	2		6			
20 (51.3%)	Advanced	0	2	1	1		
	Advanced High	0	0	1	6		

PERFORMANCE IN 2009

Grade	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Com	posite
(N Rated)	2009 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	2	6.9	6	20.7	3	10.3	2	6.9	1	3.4
5	Intermediate	14	48.3	10	34.5	14	48.3	7	24.1	8	27.6
(29)	Advanced	7	24.1	5	17.2	12	41.4	9	31.0	13	44.8
	Advanced High	6	20.7	8	27.6	0	0.0	11	37.9	7	24.1
	Beginning	99	37.9	101	38.7	117	44.8	95	35.4	91	35.0
ALL	Intermediate	92	35.2	92	35.2	79	30.3	63	23.5	61	23.5
(269)	Advanced	38	14.6	33	12.6	47	18.0	47	17.5	59	22.7
	Advanced High	32	12.3	35	13.4	18	6.9	63	23.5	49	18.8

PROGRESSION FROM 2008 TO 2009

Number Rated Both Years			2008	Level			
N (%) Progressed	2009 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High		
	Beginning		1				
28	Intermediate	4		3			
17 (60.7%)	Advanced	1	5	7	7		
	Advanced High	0	1	6	6		
	Beginning		3	8			
199	Intermediate	28		28			
103 (51.8%)	Advanced	6	20	3	0		
	Advanced High	3	8	3	8		

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2008 to 2009.