# **Campus Data Packet**

for 2009 - 10 Plans



## JAMES B. BONHAM

School Number 111

The information in this packet is based on data from the 2008-09 school year.

This packet contains corrected statistics for norm-referenced assessments (ITBS and Logramos), including the SCE Compliance report. PSAT reports now contain the average score for all students in a grade.



2009-10 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2 ContentsTable of Contents	3 Notes Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
5 SummarySummary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6 Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7 Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8 Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
9 Teachers Teacher Statistics	
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	
10 TAKS Read (1) TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	11 TAKS Read (2) TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
12 TAKS Read (3) TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	13 TAKS Read (4) TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
14 TAKS Math (1)TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	15 TAKS Math (2) TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
16 TAKS Math (3)TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	17 TAKS Math (4) TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
18 SPTAKS Read (1) Spanish TAKS Reading: % Meeting Standard	19 SPTAKS Read (2) Spanish TAKS Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
20 SPTAKS Read (3) Spanish TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	21 SPTAKS Read (4) Spanish TAKS Reading: % Commended
ITBS/ITED/LOGRAMOS	
22 ComplianceSCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	23 ITBS Read (1) ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
24 ITBS Read (2)ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	25 ITBS Math (1) ITBS Math Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
26 ITBS Math (2) ITBS Math Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	27 Logramos Read (1) Logramos Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %-tile
28 Logramos Read (2) Logramos Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %-tile	
MEASURES OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
29 TELPAS TX English Language Proficiency Assessment System	

#### 2009-10 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

#### **ENROLLMENT**

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2008-09. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2007-08 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2007-08 and 2008-09.

#### **ATTENDANCE**

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

#### **TEACHERS**

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

### **TAKS**

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- TAKS statistics include both TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated results.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2010, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

#### SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading results for all three years are for the Language Total subtest; all other grades' results reflect Reading Total performance. (The Reading Total summary is not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergarteners in 2009.) All Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The number tested includes kindergarteners.
- Numbers tested in READING for 2007 may not match those reported in campus data packets published in the prior years. Previous totals did not consistently include students in Kindergarten, though documentation included with the reports indicated otherwise.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading and mathematics results for all three years are for the Language subtest and Mathematics subtest. All other grades' results reflect Reading Total or Mathematics Total performance. (The Reading and Mathematics Total summaries are not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergartners.) Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The "number tested" statistics include kindergartners.

#### **TELPAS**

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2008 to 2009, the TEA did not report the 2008 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2009, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2008.

#### STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
EC	1
PK	40
KN	59
1	60
2	54
3	52
ALL	266

#### STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stud	dents	Teachers				
Etimolty	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
African American	5	1.9	3	13.6			
American Indian	4	1.5	*	*			
Asian	3	1.1	*	*			
Hispanic	244	91.7	13	59.1			
White	10	3.8	6	27.3			
Other	**	**	0	0.0			

<sup>\*</sup>Included in category "Other."

#### STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percent
Economically disadvantaged students	239	89.8
Limited English proficient students	153	57.5
Special education students	12	4.5

<sup>\*\*</sup>All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

			African A	African American		ın Indian	As	ian	Hisp	anic	White	
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2007	57	1	1.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	54	94.7	2	3.5
PK	2008	40	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	38	95.0	2	5.0
	2009	40	2	5.0	0	0.0	1	2.5	36	90.0	1	2.5
	2007	76	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	76	100.0	0	0.0
KN	2008	63	1	1.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	60	95.2	2	3.2
	2009	59	1	1.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	54	91.5	4	6.8
	2007	92	1	1.1	3	3.3	2	2.2	83	90.2	3	3.3
1	2008	69	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	68	98.6	1	1.4
	2009	60	1	1.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	57	95.0	2	3.3
	2007	72	0	0.0	1	1.4	1	1.4	69	95.8	1	1.4
2	2008	58	0	0.0	2	3.4	2	3.4	52	89.7	2	3.4
	2009	54	0	0.0	1	1.9	0	0.0	51	94.4	2	3.7
	2007	73	1	1.4	1	1.4	1	1.4	68	93.2	2	2.7
3	2008	54	1	1.9	1	1.9	1	1.9	49	90.7	2	3.7
	2009	52	1	1.9	3	5.8	1	1.9	46	88.5	1	1.9
	2007	377	4	1.1	5	1.3	4	1.1	355	94.2	9	2.4
EC-3	2008	288	3	1.0	3	1.0	3	1.0	270	93.8	9	3.1
	2009	266	5	1.9	4	1.5	3	1.1	244	91.7	10	3.8

			Econor Disadva		LE	₽	Special E	ducation	T	AG	New (to Dallas ISD)		Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2007	57	50	87.7	32	56.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	57	100.0	0.0
PK	2008	40	38	95.0	24	60.0	2	5.0	0	0.0	40	100.0	0.0
	2009	40	38	95.0	22	55.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	40	100.0	0.0
	2007	76	71	93.4	49	64.5	2	2.6	3	3.9	19	25.0	1.3
KN	2008	63	59	93.7	36	57.1	7	11.1	1	1.6	19	30.2	4.8
	2009	59	50	84.7	38	64.4	3	5.1	4	6.8	15	25.4	3.4
	2007	92	85	92.4	56	60.9	3	3.3	13	14.1	7	7.6	7.6
1	2008	69	64	92.8	46	66.7	5	7.2	6	8.7	4	5.8	7.2
	2009	60	54	90.0	30	50.0	4	6.7	7	11.7	0	0.0	8.3
	2007	72	68	94.4	55	76.4	3	4.2	8	11.1	6	8.3	9.7
2	2008	58	50	86.2	34	58.6	2	3.4	12	20.7	0	0.0	13.8
	2009	54	51	94.4	34	63.0	3	5.6	8	14.8	1	1.9	3.7
	2007	73	69	94.5	46	63.0	3	4.1	17	23.3	2	2.7	0.0
3	2008	54	50	92.6	36	66.7	1	1.9	10	18.5	4	7.4	1.9
	2009	52	45	86.5	29	55.8	1	1.9	16	30.8	1	1.9	0.0
	2007	377	350	92.8	239	63.4	18	4.8	41	10.9	94	24.9	5.0
EC-3	2008	288	265	92.0	177	61.5	21	7.3	29	10.1	70	24.3	6.3
	2009	266	239	89.8	153	57.5	12	4.5	35	13.2	58	21.8	3.4

Grade	Year	Averag Member	e Daily ship (N)	Α	verage Daily	Attendance		Yearly Transactions			Continuously Enrolled (N)		Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (N)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus	Dallas ISD
	2007	28.0	4,117.2	26.9	95.9	3,933.6	95.5	68	100.0	100.0	52	7,436	100.0	100.0
PK	2008	20.2	4,133.2	19.5	96.2	3,942.7	95.4	51	100.0	100.0	37	7,427	100.0	100.0
	2009	19.4	4,167.8	18.8	96.5	3,975.6	95.4	48	100.0	100.0	37	7,332	100.0	100.0
	2007	78.5	13,945.0	76.1	97.0	13,385.6	96.0	16	20.4	24.3	68	12,035	86.7	86.3
KN	2008	63.5	13,568.1	61.5	97.0	13,004.4	95.8	7	11.0	23.5	60	11,618	94.6	85.6
	2009	58.2	13,515.0	56.8	97.6	12,939.1	95.7	9	15.5	22.5	55	11,501	94.5	85.1
	2007	89.7	14,353.8	87.4	97.4	13,851.4	96.5	22	24.5	23.2	81	12,437	90.3	86.6
1	2008	67.9	14,626.9	65.9	97.1	14,102.7	96.4	14	20.6	21.2	61	12,704	89.9	86.9
	2009	60.3	14,250.8	58.6	97.2	13,719.9	96.3	5	8.3	20.7	57	12,300	94.5	86.3
	2007	72.4	13,403.8	70.7	97.6	12,978.9	96.8	6	8.3	21.7	68	11,729	93.9	87.5
2	2008	61.3	13,708.6	59.8	97.7	13,269.8	96.8	3	4.9	19.7	56	12,043	91.4	87.9
	2009	53.8	13,950.1	52.5	97.6	13,499.0	96.8	10	18.6	18.6	49	12,231	91.1	87.7
	2007	72.4	12,998.4	70.5	97.3	12,633.3	97.2	3	4.1	21.1	71	11,445	98.1	88.0
3	2008	53.5	12,806.9	52.4	97.9	12,425.5	97.0	7	13.1	19.1	50	11,408	93.4	89.1
	2009	52.5	13,095.5	51.6	98.3	12,710.6	97.1	2	3.8	18.3	52	11,634	99.0	88.8
	2007	347.2	59,260.8	337.3	97.1	57,192.3	96.5	118	34.0	38.5	346	55,371	99.6	93.4
EC-3	2008	268.6	59,234.7	261.3	97.3	57,106.0	96.4	85	31.6	37.0	264	55,438	98.3	93.6
	2009	244.7	59,416.7	238.6	97.5	57,243.7	96.3	75	30.7	36.1	250	55,223	100.0	92.9

Teachers Teacher Statistics

#### **DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS**

Ethnicity	Number	Percent			
African American	3	13.6			
Hispanic	13	59.1			
White	6	27.3			
Other	0	0.0			

Gender	Number	Percent			
Female	19	86.4			
Male	3	13.6			

TOTAL	22
TOTAL	22

#### **AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES**

2007	3.8
2008	2.7
2009	2.1

#### PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	*	97.9	97.9	*	96.4	97.3		100.0	96.0	98.1	84.6	52
3	2008	*	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	86.2	44
	2009	*	*	100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	87.3	32

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 3				
2007	2	1	48	48	1	28	37	0	27	25	52	10,896
2008	2	1	39	40	0	26	32	0	18	26	44	10,439
2009	1	1	26	25	1	11	16	0	12	20	32	7,558

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

#### **NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	*	1	1	*	1	1		0	1	1	1,675	52
3	2008	*	*	0	0		0	0		0	0	0	1,443	44
	2009	*	*	0	0	*	0	0		0	0	0	960	32

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 3				
2007	2	1	48	48	1	28	37	0	27	25	52	10,896
2008	2	1	39	40	0	26	32	0	18	26	44	10,439
2009	1	1	26	25	1	11	16	0	12	20	32	7,558

#### **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2007	90.9	92.0	84.0	85.6
3	2008	89.7	91.9	93.2	83.8
	2009	91.0	93.3	94.3	94.1

#### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	*	35.4	35.4	*	28.6	32.4		44.4	32.0	38.5	21.3	52
3	2008	*	*	43.6	42.5		46.2	43.8		33.3	50.0	43.2	21.5	44
	2009	*	*	65.4	64.0	*	54.5	50.0		50.0	80.0	68.8	34.1	32

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 3				
2007	2	1	48	48	1	28	37	0	27	25	52	10,896
2008	2	1	39	40	0	26	32	0	18	26	44	10,439
2009	1	1	26	25	1	11	16	0	12	20	32	7,558

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

#### PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	*	100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	70.3	52
3	2008	*	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	76.6	44
	2009	*	*	100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	77.3	52

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 3				
2007	2	1	48	48	1	27	35	0	27	25	52	11,125
2008	2	1	39	40	0	26	32	0	18	26	44	10,870
2009	1	1	46	45	1	29	34	0	20	32	52	12,228

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 67% are shaded.

#### **NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	*	0	0	*	0	0		0	0	0	3,303	52
3	2008	*	*	0	0		0	0		0	0	0	2,542	44
	2009	*	*	0	0	*	0	0		0	0	0	2,781	52

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 3				
2007	2	1	48	48	1	27	35	0	27	25	52	11,125
2008	2	1	39	40	0	26	32	0	18	26	44	10,870
2009	1	1	46	45	1	29	34	0	20	32	52	12,228

#### **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2007	91.9	92.3	94.6	85.6	97.6	82.7
3	2008	95.0	95.5	95.8	93.6	94.9	80.7
	2009	92.7	92.6	96.8	92.0	95.7	83.9

#### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	*	56.3	54.2	*	51.9	48.6		55.6	52.0	53.8	19.2	52
3	2008	*	*	56.4	57.5		61.5	53.1		44.4	69.2	59.1	26.3	44
	2009	*	*	63.0	62.2	*	51.7	52.9		60.0	68.8	65.4	29.0	52

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADE 3												
2007	2	1	48	48	1	27	35	0	27	25	52	11,125		
2008	2	1	39	40	0	26	32	0	18	26	44	10,870		
2009	1	1 1 46 45 1 29 34 0 20 32 52 12,228												

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

#### PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	82.9	18
3	2008			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	*	100.0	85.4	10
	2009			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	89.4	20

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADE 3												
2007	0	0	18	17	0	17	17	0	9	9	18	1,279		
2008	0	0	10	10	0	10	10	0	7	3	10	1,640		
2009	0	0 0 20 20 0 18 18 0 8 12 20 4,836												

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2009-10 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reading target of 73% are shaded.

#### **NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			0	0		0	0		0	0	0	219	18
3	2008			0	0		0	0		0	*	0	239	10
	2009			0	0		0	0		0	0	0	514	20

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADE 3												
2007	0	0	18	17	0	17	17	0	9	9	18	1,279		
2008	0	0	10	10	0	10	10	0	7	3	10	1,640		
2009	0	0 0 20 20 0 18 18 0 8 12 20 4,836												

#### **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2007	88.5	92.1	88.0	81.3
3	2008	91.3	87.1	88.3	80.0
	2009	89.3	92.9	91.7	89.4

#### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			38.9	41.2		41.2	41.2		22.2	55.6	38.9	14.8	18
3	2008			30.0	30.0		30.0	30.0		28.6	*	30.0	17.2	10
	2009			65.0	65.0		61.1	61.1		50.0	75.0	65.0	30.6	20

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADE 3												
2007	0	0	18	17	0	17	17	0	9	9	18	1,279		
2008	0	0	10	10	0	10	10	0	7	3	10	1,640		
2009	0	0 0 20 20 0 18 18 0 8 12 20 4,836												

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2010.

#### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the IOWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS

			READIN	IG TOTAL (	**LANGUAGE	≣)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	*	29.4	28.6	46.9	21
K**	2008	*	59.1	60.9	57.6	23
	2009	14.3	75.0	56.5	41.9	23
	2007	62.5	75.0	70.0	51.4	40
1	2008	*	50.0	50.0	50.2	22
	2009	88.9	73.3	79.2	54.2	24
	2007	50.0	100.0	57.9	44.5	38
2	2008	52.4	92.9	68.6	50.2	35
	2009	41.7	87.5	60.0	47.9	20
	2007	54.2	80.0	64.1	47.6	78
1-2	2008	52.0	68.8	61.4	50.2	57
	2009	61.9	78.3	70.5	51.0	44

	2007	52	47	99	24,696	
Number Tested	2008	26	54	80	21,817	
103100	2009	28	39	67	21,148	

			MATHEMAT	ICS TOTAL	(**MATHMA	ATICS)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007	52.9	40.7	48.7	44.3	78
K**	2008	73.3	59.3	66.7	44.9	57
	2009	71.8	82.4	75.0	52.8	56
	2007	63.8	70.4	66.2	48.8	74
1	2008	74.5	65.0	71.6	55.5	67
	2009	50.0	88.2	74.1	50.4	27
	2007	38.2	100.0	47.5	55.6	40
2	2008	65.1	93.8	72.9	57.5	59
	2009	58.3	100.0	75.0	53.2	20
	2007	53.0	60.0	55.2	49.1	192
K-2	2008	70.8	69.8	70.5	52.7	183
	2009	65.6	88.1	74.8	52.3	103

	2007	132	60	192	34,441	
Number Tested	2008	120	63	183	41,070	
100104	2009	61	42	103	30,563	

#### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			28.6	22.2		*	*		14.3	35.7	28.6	46.9	21
K**	2008	*	*	61.9	57.1	*	*	*		81.8	41.7	60.9	57.6	23
	2009	*	*	50.0	43.8		*	14.3		44.4	64.3	56.5	41.9	23
	2007	*	*	68.8	69.4	*	55.6	62.5		60.0	80.0	70.0	51.4	40
1	2008	*		47.6	38.9	*	*	*		57.1	46.7	50.0	50.2	22
	2009	*		78.3	85.0	*	*	88.9		80.0	78.6	79.2	54.2	24
	2007	*		58.3	57.1	*	52.4	50.0		61.1	55.0	57.9	44.5	38
2	2008	*		65.5	65.5	*	61.5	52.4		53.8	77.3	68.6	50.2	35
	2009	*		58.8	55.6	*	*	41.7		57.1	61.5	60.0	47.9	20
	2007	*	*	63.2	63.4	*	53.3	54.2		60.5	67.5	64.1	47.6	78
1-2	2008	*		58.0	55.3	*	60.0	52.0		55.0	64.9	61.4	50.2	57
	2009	*		70.0	71.1	*	*	61.9		70.6	70.4	70.5	51.0	44
	2007	4	1	89	89	4	32	52	0	45	54	99	24,696	

	2007	4	1	89	89	4	32	52	0	45	54	99	24,696
Number Tested	2008	4	1	71	68	4	16	26	0	31	49	80	21,817
Tostoa	2009	5	1	60	54	5	8	28	0	26	41	67	21,148

#### NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			2	1		*	*		1	1	2	1,245	21
K**	2008	*	*	5	4	*	*	*		2	4	6	1,687	23
	2009	*	*	1	1		*	1		0	3	3	771	23
	2007	*	*	6	8	*	1	1		3	8	11	1,458	40
1	2008	*		3	3	*	*	*		0	4	4	1,555	22
	2009	*		12	11	*	*	3		6	7	13	1,659	24
	2007	*		5	5	*	2	3		2	3	5	896	38
2	2008	*		9	9	*	2	2		4	8	12	1,065	35
	2009	*		5	5	*	*	1		2	4	6	1,027	20
	2007	*	*	11	13	*	3	4		5	11	16	2,355	78
1-2	2008	*		12	12	*	2	2		4	12	16	2,620	57
	2009	*		17	16	*	*	4		8	11	19	2,688	44

	2007	4	1	89	89	4	32	52	0	45	54	99	24,696
Number Tested	2008	4	1	71	68	4	16	26	0	31	49	80	21,817
103104	2009	5	1	60	54	5	8	28	0	26	41	67	21,148

#### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			48.7	46.6	*	51.0	52.9		43.2	53.7	48.7	44.3	78
K**	2008	*	*	67.3	66.0	*	71.9	73.3		65.4	67.7	66.7	44.9	57
	2009	*	*	72.5	72.3		71.4	71.8		74.1	75.9	75.0	52.8	56
	2007	*	*	64.6	65.7	*	69.2	63.8		69.0	62.5	66.2	48.8	74
1	2008	*		71.2	72.6	*	75.6	74.5		74.2	69.4	71.6	55.5	67
	2009	*	*	76.0	73.9	*	*	50.0		61.5	85.7	74.1	50.4	27
	2007	*		48.6	45.9	*	39.1	38.2		50.0	45.5	47.5	55.6	40
2	2008	*		69.8	70.6	*	62.9	65.1		69.2	75.8	72.9	57.5	59
	2009	*		76.5	72.2	*	*	58.3		71.4	76.9	75.0	53.2	20
	2007	*	*	54.4	53.7	0.0	54.9	53.0		55.7	54.7	55.2	49.1	192
K-2	2008	*	*	69.5	69.9	28.6	70.5	70.8		69.9	71.0	70.5	52.7	183
	2009	100.0	*	74.2	72.7	*	66.7	65.6		70.2	78.6	74.8	52.3	103
	2007	4	1	180	177	7	113	132	0	97	95	192	34,441	

	2007	4	1	180	177	7	113	132	0	97	95	192	34,441
Number Tested	2008	4	1	174	166	7	112	120	0	83	100	183	41,070
Tostoa	2009	7	2	93	88	5	39	61	0	47	56	103	30,563

#### NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			23	19	*	14	15		10	13	23	2,583	78
K**	2008	*	*	16	15	*	9	8		8	9	17	2,788	57
	2009	*	*	14	14		13	14		10	7	17	2,455	56
	2007	*	*	21	21	*	13	14		15	9	24	1,769	74
1	2008	*		23	22	*	17	17		12	11	23	2,800	67
	2009	*	*	11	10	*	*	3		6	6	12	1,577	27
	2007	*		6	6	*	3	4		2	5	7	2,098	40
2	2008	*		18	19	*	9	11		8	15	23	2,912	59
	2009	*		5	5	*	*	2		2	5	7	1,686	20
	2007	*	*	50	46	0	30	33		27	27	54	6,450	192
K-2	2008	*	*	57	56	1	35	36		28	35	63	8,500	183
	2009	6	*	30	29	*	13	19		18	18	36	5,718	103

	2007	4	1	180	177	7	113	132	0	97	95	192	34,441
Number Tested	2008	4	1	174	166	7	112	120	0	83	100	183	41,070
Tostoa	2009	7	2	93	88	5	39	61	0	47	56	103	30,563

Logramos Read (1)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

#### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			94.7	94.5	*	95.9	95.7		96.7	92.6	94.7	84.7	57
K	2008			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	87.0	38
	2009	*		96.8	96.8		96.8	96.9		94.4	100.0	97.0	88.6	33
	2007			98.0	100.0	*	97.8	97.9		96.7	100.0	98.0	84.5	50
1	2008			100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	88.0	45
	2009			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	88.6	30
	2007			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	89.9	31
2	2008			95.8	95.5		95.5	95.5		100.0	90.9	95.8	93.4	24
	2009			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	94.0	34
	2007			97.1	97.7	*	97.6	97.6		97.5	96.6	97.1	85.6	138
K-2	2008			99.1	99.0	*	99.0	98.9		100.0	98.1	99.1	89.3	107
	2009	*		98.9	98.9		98.9	98.9		97.9	100.0	99.0	90.4	97
·														
	2007	0	0	120	400	4	400	405	0	70	F0	420	44.000	

	2007	0	0	138	132	4	126	125	0	79	59	138	14,889
Number Tested	2008	0	0	107	102	3	96	94	0	53	54	107	18,257
Tostoa	2009	2	0	95	91	0	91	91	0	47	50	97	19,076

Logramos Read (2)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

#### NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2007			36	35	*	31	30		17	19	36	2,779	57
к	2008			32	31		27	25		12	20	32	3,280	38
	2009	*		20	20		20	21		9	13	22	3,525	33
	2007			26	24	*	25	25		11	15	26	1,782	50
1	2008			27	27	*	26	26		15	12	27	2,412	45
	2009			22	20		20	20		10	12	22	2,523	30
	2007			19	19		19	19		11	8	19	1,196	31
2	2008			9	9		7	7		5	4	9	2,596	24
	2009			28	26		26	25		15	13	28	2,909	34
	2007			81	78	*	75	74		39	42	81	5,757	138
K-2	2008			68	67	*	60	58		32	36	68	8,288	107
	2009	*		70	66		66	66		34	38	72	8,957	97

	2007	0	0	138	132	4	126	125	0	79	59	138	14,889
Number Tested	2008	0	0	107	102	3	96	94	0	53	54	107	18,257
restea	2009	2	0	95	91	0	91	91	0	47	50	97	19,076

#### **PERFORMANCE IN 2009**

#### Composite Grade Domain: Listening Speaking Writing Reading 2009 Level (N Rated) Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % Ν % 21 58.3 34 94.4 30 83.3 30 83.3 Beginning 61.1 KN 22.2 30.6 2 5 13.9 5 Intermediate 11 5.6 13.9 Advanced 6 16.7 4 11.1 0 0.0 2.8 1 2.8 (36)Advanced High 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 13.3 9 20.0 16.7 5 16.7 Beginning 30.0 6 1 Intermediate 16 53.3 13 43.3 19 46.7 14 46.7 63.3 14 2 Advanced 23.3 5 16.7 6.7 26.7 8 26.7 (30)Advanced High 3 10.0 3 10.0 3 10.0 3 10.0 3 10.0 Beginning 14.7 15 44.1 19 55.9 2.9 2.9 2 32.4 38.2 Intermediate 47.1 14 41.2 11 13 38.2 13 Advanced 9 26.5 3 8.8 4 11.8 10 29.4 13 38.2 (34)Advanced High 2 5.9 0 0.0 10 29.4 7 20.6 11.8 Beginning 5 17.2 11 37.9 5 17.2 0 0.0 0 0.0 3 Intermediate 11 37.9 7 24.1 14 48.3 2 6.9 4 13.8 20.7 3 10.3 7 24.1 31.0 37.9 Advanced 9 11 (29)3 Advanced High 24.1 8 27.6 10.3 18 62.1 14 48.3 27.9 Beginning 27.9 56 43.4 64 49.6 36 36 27.9 ALL Intermediate 51 39.5 45 34.9 46 35.7 34 26.4 36 27.9 28 10.1 33 25.6 Advanced 21.7 15 11.6 13 28 21.7 (129)10.9 13 10.1 6 4.7 31 24.0 24 18.6 Advanced High

# PROGRESSION FROM 2008 TO 2009

Number Rated Both Years		2008 Level				
N (%) Progressed	2009 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High	
	Beginning		-			
	Intermediate	ı		_		
_	Advanced	1	1	_		
	Advanced High	ı	ı		-	
	Beginning		5			
29	Intermediate	13		0		
24 (82.8%)	Advanced	7	1	C	)	
	Advanced High	2	1	C	)	
	Beginning		1			
33	Intermediate	12		0		
31 (93.9%)	Advanced	12	0	1		
	Advanced High	5	2	(	)	
	Beginning		0			
29	Intermediate	0		4		
19 (65.5%)	Advanced	0	5	6	6	
	Advanced High	0	0	1	4	
	Beginning	7				
92	Intermediate	25		4		
74 (80.4%)	Advanced	19	6	7	,	
	Advanced High	7	3	1	4	

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2008 to 2009.