Campus Data Packet

for 2008 - 09 Plans



MARK TWAIN VANGUARD

School Number 829

The information in this packert is based on data from the 2007-08 school year.



2008-09 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2.... Contents.......Table of Contents

TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS

4	TAKS Read (1)	TAKS	Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard
6	TAKS Read (3)	TAKS	Reading: Performance by Objective
8	TAKS Math (1)	TAKS	Mathematics: % Meeting Standard
10	TAKS Math (3)	TAKS	Mathematics: % by Objective
12	TAKS Write (1)	TAKS	Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard
14	TAKS Write (3)	TAKS	Writing: Performance by Objective
16	TAKS Science (1)	TAKS	Science: Percentage Meeting Standard
18	TAKS Science (3)	TAKS	Science: Performance by Objective

MEASURES OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY

20.... WMLS...... Woodcock-Muñoz Language Survey

3	Notes	Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
5	TAKS Read (2)	TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
7	TAKS Read (4)	TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
9	TAKS Math (2)	TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
11	TAKS Math (4)	TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
13	TAKS Write (2)	TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
15	TAKS Write (4)	TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
17	TAKS Science (2)	TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
19	TAKS Science (4)	TAKS Science: Percentage Commended

°The TEA will release passing standards for the 2008 TAKS-Modified test in late summer. When they are available, an addendum to the Campus Data Packet with reports for TAKS-M will be available on MyData Portal.

Student results for the 2008 TELPAS will be sent by the TEA in September. An addendum with these results will be posted on MyData Portal by October.

TAKS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2009, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		95.2	*	93.8			*		100.0	92.3	95.5	69.5	22
4	2007		100.0	*	100.0					100.0	100.0	100.0	69.7	17
	2008		94.7	*	95.0		*	*		83.3	100.0	95.7	72.1	23
	2006		100.0	100.0	100.0			*		100.0	100.0	100.0	76.9	34
5	2007		92.0	*	88.9			*		100.0	88.2	92.9	78.3	28
	2008		94.1	*	94.4			*		90.0	100.0	94.7	82.9	19
	2006		100.0	100.0	100.0			*		100.0	100.0	100.0	89.4	28
6	2007												87.2	0
	2008												87.8	0
	2006		98.6	100.0	98.4			90.0		100.0	97.9	98.8	78.1	84
4-6	2007		95.0	*	94.1			*		100.0	92.0	95.6	78.2	45
	2008		94.4	100.0	94.7		*	66.7		87.5	100.0	95.2	80.6	42

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 4-6				
2006	0	70	14	61	0	0	10	0	36	48	84	30,873
2007	0	40	5	34	0	0	2	0	20	25	45	30,831
2008	0	36	6	38	0	1	6	0	16	26	42	30,736

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2009 AEIS reading/ELA minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		1	*	1			*		0	1	1	3,190	22
4	2007		0	*	0					0	0	0	3,189	17
	2008		1	*	1		*	*		1	0	1	2,996	23
	2006		0	0	0			*		0	0	0	2,584	34
5	2007		2	*	2			*		0	2	2	2,258	28
	2008		1	*	1			*		1	0	1	1,827	19
	2006		0	0	0			*		0	0	0	982	28
6	2007												1,269	0
	2008												1,140	0
	2006		1	0	1			1		0	1	1	6,756	84
4-6	2007		2	*	2			*		0	2	2	6,716	45
	2008		2	0	2		*	2		2	0	2	5,963	42

					NUMB	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 4-6				
2006	0	70	14	61	0	0	10	0	36	48	84	30,873
2007	0	40	5	34	0	0	2	0	20	25	45	30,831
2008	0	36	6	38	0	1	6	0	16	26	42	30,736

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2006	85.8	79.0	85.7	76.4
4	2007	90.2	89.0	88.2	86.5
	2008	93.3	89.1	87.0	84.8
	2006	85.3	89.7	84.9	82.4
5	2007	84.3	90.2	83.0	79.7
	2008	90.3	92.1	81.6	83.4
	2006	88.2	83.0	87.1	90.7
6	2007				
	2008				
	2006	86.4	84.7	85.8	83.6
4-6	2007	86.6	89.7	85.0	82.2
	2008	92.0	90.5	84.5	84.2

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		9.5	*	12.5			*		11.1	7.7	9.1	10.0	22
4	2007		33.3	*	37.5					33.3	37.5	35.3	16.6	17
	2008		42.1	*	40.0		*	*		0.0	52.9	39.1	14.4	23
	2006		10.7	0.0	7.4			*		5.9	11.8	8.8	10.8	34
5	2007		20.0	*	38.9			*		45.5	17.6	28.6	13.1	28
	2008		41.2	*	50.0			*		40.0	55.6	47.4	16.5	19
	2006		47.6	28.6	50.0			*		60.0	33.3	42.9	28.7	28
6	2007												37.4	0
	2008												33.8	0
	2006		21.4	14.3	21.3			10.0	_	22.2	18.8	20.2	15.8	84
4-6	2007		25.0	*	38.2			*		40.0	24.0	31.1	22.2	45
	2008		41.7	50.0	44.7		*	0.0		25.0	53.8	42.9	21.0	42

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 4-6				
2006	0	70	14	61	0	0	10	0	36	48	84	30,873
2007	0	40	5	34	0	0	2	0	20	25	45	30,831
2008	0	36	6	38	0	1	6	0	16	26	42	30,736

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		90.5	*	93.8			*		100.0	84.6	90.9	74.0	22
4	2007		100.0	*	100.0					100.0	100.0	100.0	75.7	17
	2008		94.7	*	95.0		*	*		83.3	100.0	95.7	77.9	23
	2006		96.4	100.0	96.3			*		100.0	94.1	97.1	82.2	34
5	2007		96.0	*	94.4			*		90.9	100.0	96.4	82.9	28
	2008		94.1	*	100.0			*		100.0	88.9	94.7	84.0	19
	2006		81.0	100.0	88.9			*		80.0	88.9	85.7	77.2	28
6	2007												70.0	0
	2008												73.8	0
	2006	·	90.0	100.0	93.4			70.0		94.4	89.6	91.7	77.9	84
4-6	2007		97.5	*	97.1			*		95.0	100.0	97.8	76.3	45
	2008		94.4	100.0	97.4		*	66.7		93.8	96.2	95.2	78.8	42

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 4-6				
2006	0	70	14	61	0	0	10	0	36	48	84	31,229
2007	0	40	5	34	0	0	2	0	20	25	45	31,199
2008	0	36	6	38	0	1	6	0	16	26	42	31,212

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2008-09 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 58% are shaded.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		2	*	1			*		0	2	2	2,765	22
4	2007		0	*	0					0	0	0	2,604	17
	2008		1	*	1		*	*		1	0	1	2,436	23
	2006		1	0	1			*		0	1	1	1,997	34
5	2007		1	*	1			*		1	0	1	1,785	28
	2008		1	*	0			*		0	1	1	1,730	19
	2006		4	0	2			*		2	2	4	2,140	28
6	2007												3,012	0
	2008												2,460	0
	2006	·	7	0	4			3		2	5	7	6,902	84
4-6	2007		1	*	1			*		1	0	1	7,401	45
	2008		2	0	1		*	2		1	1	2	6,626	42

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 4-6				
2006	0	70	14	61	0	0	10	0	36	48	84	31,229
2007	0	40	5	34	0	0	2	0	20	25	45	31,199
2008	0	36	6	38	0	1	6	0	16	26	42	31,212

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2006	89.3	92.2	82.6	76.5	81.8	76.7
4	2007	94.1	95.0	76.5	83.3	82.4	84.6
	2008	91.3	88.8	67.4	86.2	94.6	75.0
	2006	89.8	87.8	88.2	90.3	89.0	82.7
5	2007	90.3	83.2	88.8	79.6	87.5	85.3
	2008	91.9	77.4	79.7	88.0	82.9	83.6
	2006	78.6	71.4	81.6	77.1	80.4	80.2
6	2007						
	2008						
	2006	85.9	83.5	84.6	82.3	84.2	80.3
4-6	2007	91.7	87.6	84.1	81.0	85.6	85.0
	2008	91.6	83.7	73.0	87.0	89.3	78.9

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		19.0	*	18.8			*		22.2	15.4	18.2	22.1	22
4	2007		26.7	*	37.5					33.3	37.5	35.3	22.6	17
	2008		21.1	*	20.0		*	*		0.0	23.5	17.4	23.8	23
	2006		53.6	16.7	44.4			*		58.8	35.3	47.1	29.9	34
5	2007		32.0	*	44.4			*		54.5	23.5	35.7	29.3	28
	2008		17.6	*	27.8			*		20.0	33.3	26.3	31.9	19
	2006		33.3	42.9	38.9			*		40.0	33.3	35.7	28.6	28
6	2007												26.5	0
	2008												31.0	0
	2006	·	37.1	28.6	36.1			10.0		44.4	29.2	35.7	26.9	84
4-6	2007		30.0	*	41.2			*		45.0	28.0	35.6	26.1	45
	2008		19.4	33.3	23.7		*	0.0		12.5	26.9	21.4	28.8	42

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 4-6				
2006	0	70	14	61	0	0	10	0	36	48	84	31,229
2007	0	40	5	34	0	0	2	0	20	25	45	31,199
2008	0	36	6	38	0	1	6	0	16	26	42	31,212

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		100.0	*	100.0			*		100.0	100.0	100.0	84.2	22
4	2007		100.0	*	100.0					100.0	100.0	100.0	86.5	17
	2008		100.0	*	100.0		*	*		100.0	100.0	100.0	87.4	23

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4						
2006	0	0 21 1 16 0 0 5 0 9 13 22 10,311												
2007	0	0 15 2 16 0 0 0 9 8 17 10,408												
2008	0	19	4	20	0	1	2	0	6	17	23	10,658		

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2009 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		0	*	0			*		0	0	0	1,631	22
4	2007		0	*	0					0	0	0	1,408	17
	2008		0	*	0		*	*		0	0	0	1,348	23

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2006	0	21	1	16	0	0	5	0	9	13	22	10,311
2007	0	15	2	16	0	0	0	0	9	8	17	10,408
2008	0	19	4	20	0	1	2	0	6	17	23	10,658

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2006	2.3	90.9	88.6	97.7	96.6
4	2007	2.9	98.5	93.4	97.1	94.1
	2008	2.7	93.5	90.8	89.7	91.8

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		23.8	*	18.8			*		44.4	7.7	22.7	10.9	22
4	2007		60.0	*	62.5					66.7	62.5	64.7	18.2	17
	2008		63.2	*	50.0		*	*		16.7	70.6	56.5	21.4	23

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4						
2006	0	0 21 1 16 0 0 5 0 9 13 22 10,311												
2007	0	0 15 2 16 0 0 0 9 8 17 10,408												
2008	0	19	4	20	0	1	2	0	6	17	23	10,658		

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		89.3	100.0	92.6			*		94.1	88.2	91.2	62.1	34
5	2007		60.0	*	61.1			*		72.7	58.8	64.3	62.3	28
	2008		76.5	*	83.3			*		90.0	66.7	78.9	71.9	19

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADE 5												
2006	0	28	6	27	0	0	1	0	17	17	34	11,045		
2007	0	25	3	18	0	0	2	0	11	17	28	10,296		
2008	0	17	2	18	0	0	4	0	10	9	19	10,686		

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 50 percent, the 2009 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		3	0	2			*		1	2	3	4,185	34
5	2007		10	*	7			*		3	7	10	3,881	28
	2008		4	*	3			*		1	3	4	3,005	19

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADE 5												
2006	0	28	6	27	0	0	1	0	17	17	34	11,045		
2007	0	25	3	18	0	0	2	0	11	17	28	10,296		
2008	0	17	2	18	0	0	4	0	10	9	19	10,686		

			OBJE	CTIVE			
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Life Sciences	Physical Sciences	Earth Sciences		
	2006	91.4	92.8	82.4	78.4		
5	2007	81.3	85.3	79.0	70.2		
	2008	88.3	84.8	83.6	60.2		

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		32.1	16.7	29.6			*		35.3	23.5	29.4	14.4	34
5	2007		24.0	*	27.8			*		45.5	11.8	25.0	18.0	28
	2008		5.9	*	5.6			*		0.0	11.1	5.3	24.8	19

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADE 5												
2006	0	28	6	27	0	0	1	0	17	17	34	11,045		
2007	0	25	3	18	0	0	2	0	11	17	28	10,296		
2008	0	17	2	18	0	0	4	0	10	9	19	10,686		

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1	in 2007	Level 2	in 2007	Level 3	in 2007	Levels 1-3 in 2007		
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2008							
4	0	-	0	-	1	0.0	1	0.0	
ALL	0	-	0	-	1	0.0	1	0.0	