Campus Data Packet

for 2008 - 09 Plans



EWELL D. WALKER

School Number 56

The information in this packert is based on data from the 2007-08 school year.



2008-09 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2	. Contents	Table of Contents	3 Notes	Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STUE	DENTS AND STAF	F		
5	. Summary	Summary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6 Enrollment (1)	Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7	. Enrollment (2)	Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8 Attendance	. Student Attendance Statistics
9	. Teachers	Teacher Statistics		
TEXA	AS ASSESSMENT	OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS		
10	. TAKS Read (1)	TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	11 TAKS Read (2)	TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
12	. TAKS Read (3)	TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	13 TAKS Read (4)	TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
14	. TAKS Math (1)	TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	15 TAKS Math (2)	. TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
16	. TAKS Math (3)	TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	17 TAKS Math (4)	. TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
18	. TAKS Write (1)	TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	19 TAKS Write (2)	. TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
20	. TAKS Write (3)	TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	21 TAKS Write (4)	. TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
22	. TAKS Soc.Studies (1)	TAKS Social Studies: % Meeting Standard	23 TAKS Soc.Studies (2)	TAKS Social Studies: # Not Meeting Standard
24	. TAKS Soc.Studies (3)	TAKS Social Studies: Performance by Objective	25 TAKS Soc.Studies (4)	TAKS Social Studies: % Commended
26	. TAKS Science (1)	TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	27 TAKS Science (2)	. TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
28	. TAKS Science (3)	TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	29 TAKS Science (4)	. TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
30	. SPTAKS Read (1)	Spanish TAKS Reading: % Meeting Standard	31 SPTAKS Read (2)	. Spanish TAKS Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
32	. SPTAKS Read (3)	Spanish TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	33 SPTAKS Read (4)	. Spanish TAKS Reading: % Commended
34	. SPTAKS Math (1)	Spanish TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	35 SPTAKS Math (2)	Spanish TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
36	. SPTAKS Math (3)	Spanish TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	37 SPTAKS Math (4)	Spanish TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
<u>IOW</u>	A TESTS OF BASIC	SKILLS (AND RELATED TESTS)		
38	. Compliance	SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	39 ITBS Read (1)	. ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %
40	. ITBS Read (2)	ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %	41 ITBS Math (1)	. ITBS Mathematics Total: % At or Above the 40th %
42	. ITBS Math (2)	ITBS Mathematics Total: # At or Above the 80th %		
MEA:	SURES OF ENGLIS	SH PROFICIENCY		
43	. WMLS	Woodcock-Muñoz Language Survey		

EWELL D. WALKER (56)

°The TEA will release passing standards for the 2008 TAKS-Modified test in late summer. When they are available, an addendum to the Campus Data Packet with reports for TAKS-M will be available on MyData Portal.

Student results for the 2008 TELPAS will be sent by the TEA in September. An addendum with these results will be posted on MyData Portal by October.

2007-08 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2007-08. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2006-07 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2006-07 and 2007-08.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawl transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled on the first day of the second six-weeks grading period and on the last day of testing.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS

Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

TAKS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2009, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

SCE Compliance and ITBS / ITED / Logramos

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
6	286
7	296
8	280
ALL	862

STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stud	dents	Teachers				
Ethnicity	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
African American	229	26.6	20	31.7			
American Indian	1	0.1	*	*			
Asian	18	2.1	*	*			
Hispanic	541	62.8	13	20.6			
White	73	8.5	30	47.6			
Other	**	**	0	0.0			

^{*}Included in category "Other."

STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percent
Economically disadvantaged students	624	72.4
Limited English proficient students	203	23.5
Special education students	88	10.2

^{**}All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

			African American		America	American Indian		Asian		Hispanic		White	
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
	2006												
6	2007	295	75	25.4	2	0.7	4	1.4	183	62.0	31	10.5	
	2008	286	78	27.3	0	0.0	8	2.8	172	60.1	28	9.8	
	2006												
7	2007	255	70	27.5	0	0.0	4	1.6	155	60.8	26	10.2	
	2008	296	74	25.0	0	0.0	5	1.7	189	63.9	28	9.5	
	2006												
8	2007	241	62	25.7	1	0.4	2	0.8	160	66.4	16	6.6	
	2008	280	77	27.5	1	0.4	5	1.8	180	64.3	17	6.1	
	2006												
6-8	2007	791	207	26.2	3	0.4	10	1.3	498	63.0	73	9.2	
	2008	862	229	26.6	1	0.1	18	2.1	541	62.8	73	8.5	

				Economically Disadvantaged		LEP		Special Education		TAG		New (to Dallas ISD)	
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2006												
6	2007	295	221	74.9	76	25.8	33	11.2	47	15.9	51	17.3	0.0
	2008	286	209	73.1	52	18.2	32	11.2	0	0.0	51	17.8	1.7
	2006												
7	2007	255	185	72.5	64	25.1	26	10.2	16	6.3	46	18.0	1.6
	2008	296	225	76.0	78	26.4	29	9.8	50	16.9	51	17.2	2.0
	2006												
8	2007	241	169	70.1	69	28.6	18	7.5	5	2.1	58	24.1	4.1
	2008	280	190	67.9	73	26.1	27	9.6	41	14.6	44	15.7	10.0
	2006												
6-8	2007	791	575	72.7	209	26.4	77	9.7	68	8.6	155	19.6	1.8
	2008	862	624	72.4	203	23.5	88	10.2	91	10.6	146	16.9	4.5

Attendance Student Attendance Statistics

Grade	Year	Average Daily Membership (N)		Average Daily Attendance				Yea	rly Transaction	ns	Continuously Enrolled (N)		Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (N)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus	Dallas ISD
	2006		10,821.1			10,478.8	96.8			23.6		9,467		87.5
6	2007	294.8	11,264.8	284.2	96.4	10,864.3	96.4	71	24.1	21.9	251	9,788	85.1	86.9
	2008	284.1	10,450.4	275.9	97.1	10,060.0	96.3	68	23.9	22.0	242	9,055	85.2	86.6
	2006		11,130.6			10,585.9	95.1			31.8		9,218		82.8
7	2007	250.6	10,085.4	241.4	96.3	9,572.1	94.9	71	28.3	27.7	214	8,404	85.4	83.3
	2008	295.3	10,790.7	283.4	96.0	10,269.4	95.2	69	23.4	26.3	246	9,024	83.3	83.6
	2006		10,838.1			10,206.0	94.2			34.8		8,683		80.1
8	2007	245.0	10,675.2	230.4	94.0	10,054.2	94.2	108	44.1	31.2	183	8,706	74.7	81.6
	2008	278.7	9,895.9	264.1	94.7	9,350.9	94.5	71	25.5	30.5	226	8,046	81.1	81.3
	2006		32,789.8			31,270.8	95.4			30.1		27,368		83.5
6-8	2007	790.5	32,025.4	756.0	95.6	30,490.6	95.2	250	31.6	26.8	648	26,898	82.0	84.0
	2008	858.1	31,137.0	823.4	96.0	29,680.3	95.3	208	24.2	26.1	714	26,125	83.2	83.9

Teachers Teacher Statistics

DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS

Ethnicity	Number	Percent			
African American	20	31.7			
Hispanic	13	20.6			
White	30	47.6			
Other	0	0.0			

Gender	Number	Percent		
Female	44	69.8		
Male	19	30.2		

TOTAL	63
TOTAL	63

AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES

2006	
2007	7.2
2008	7.1

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												89.4	0
6	2007	100.0	82.6	86.5	86.9	71.4	74.0	75.4		84.9	90.4	87.1	87.2	256
	2008	95.8	92.2	92.0	90.5	75.0	71.9	86.5		90.8	94.6	92.6	87.8	231
	2006												65.5	0
7	2007	91.3	69.5	74.6	71.1	*	53.3	60.2		73.4	77.3	75.3	76.0	219
	2008	91.7	76.8	76.8	77.1	35.7	57.9	64.2		75.6	81.3	78.0	77.3	264
	2006												71.2	0
8	2007	81.3	82.8	70.4	73.9	*	40.4	65.4		74.2	76.0	75.0	80.5	220
	2008	100.0	93.2	92.0	93.5	81.8	79.6	90.2	*	89.1	96.9	93.0	90.1	257
	2006												75.2	0
6-8	2007	92.3	78.5	77.5	78.0	58.8	56.3	66.9		78.2	81.2	79.6	81.5	695
	2008	95.2	87.4	86.6	86.4	67.3	69.2	80.9	*	84.4	91.4	87.6	84.9	752

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2006	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28,147
2007	65	186	431	496	17	142	381	0	381	314	695	27,843
2008	62	207	464	538	52	143	382	1	404	347	752	28,091

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2009 AEIS reading/ELA minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

11

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												982	0
6	2007	0	12	21	25	2	13	30		23	10	33	1,269	256
	2008	1	5	11	16	4	9	13		11	6	17	1,140	231
	2006												3,295	0
7	2007	2	18	34	44	*	21	49		29	25	54	2,085	219
' <u> </u>	2008	2	16	38	46	9	24	44		38	20	58	2,207	264
	2006												2,694	0
8	2007	3	10	42	40	*	28	47		31	24	55	1,803	220
	2008	0	5	13	11	4	11	16	*	14	4	18	891	257
	2006												6,971	0
6-8	2007	5	40	97	109	7	62	126		83	59	142	5,157	695
	2008	3	26	62	73	17	44	73	*	63	30	93	4,238	752

					NUMB	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2006	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28,147
2007	65	186	431	496	17	142	381	0	381	314	695	27,843
2008	62	207	464	538	52	143	382	1	404	347	752	28,091

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2006				
6	2007	84.8	86.6	77.1	77.6
	2008	87.0	84.5	82.7	82.5
	2006				
7	2007	75.3	74.2	75.4	72.1
	2008	82.8	84.7	78.5	71.3
	2006				
8	2007	79.1	79.9	79.2	71.8
	2008	86.4	83.7	83.2	83.8
	2006				
6-8	2007	80.0	80.6	77.2	74.0
	2008	85.3	84.3	81.4	79.0

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												28.7	0
6	2007	76.9	43.5	40.6	41.4	28.6	30.0	23.0		45.4	47.1	46.1	37.4	256
	2008	50.0	45.3	40.9	39.6	25.0	15.6	20.8		35.0	51.4	42.9	33.8	231
	2006												11.0	0
7	2007	26.1	16.9	11.2	10.5	*	0.0	4.1		9.2	19.1	14.2	12.7	219
	2008	33.3	21.7	15.9	13.4	0.0	10.5	6.5		19.9	18.7	19.7	19.1	264
	2006												21.8	0
8	2007	50.0	31.0	21.1	22.2	*	8.5	17.6		24.2	30.0	26.8	26.0	220
	2008	57.1	32.4	39.9	33.9	9.1	14.8	23.3	*	34.4	42.6	38.5	37.6	257
	2006												20.4	0
6-8	2007	52.3	31.2	25.1	26.0	11.8	13.4	15.0		28.3	31.8	29.9	25.9	695
	2008	45.2	32.9	31.7	28.1	11.5	13.3	17.3	*	29.0	38.0	33.2	29.9	752

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2006	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28,147
2007	65	186	431	496	17	142	381	0	381	314	695	27,843
2008	62	207	464	538	52	143	382	1	404	347	752	28,091

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												77.2	0
6	2007	85.2	71.0	83.7	78.9	71.4	68.0	66.9		80.1	81.7	80.8	70.0	255
	2008	91.7	81.3	90.0	87.2	52.6	75.8	82.7		86.1	90.2	88.0	73.8	234
	2006												57.4	0
7	2007	82.6	63.9	68.1	66.9	37.5	53.3	60.0		69.4	67.6	68.5	65.9	222
	2008	79.2	64.3	75.5	71.8	30.8	52.6	58.5		74.5	70.8	73.1	64.5	264
	2006												50.2	0
8	2007	68.8	50.0	62.0	58.9	*	40.4	53.3		59.8	59.6	59.7	56.7	221
	2008	86.7	74.3	79.2	78.5	66.7	60.0	71.2		78.7	78.0	78.3	72.8	249
	2006												61.5	0
6-8	2007	80.3	62.2	71.6	69.1	45.0	54.2	59.8		70.6	69.7	70.2	64.3	698
	2008	85.7	73.0	81.2	78.8	48.8	60.7	70.1		79.3	79.7	79.5	70.2	747

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2006	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28,493
2007	66	188	430	495	20	142	383	0	384	314	698	28,025
2008	63	204	462	537	41	140	374	0	401	345	747	28,064

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2008-09 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 58% are shaded.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												2,140	0
6	2007	4	20	25	40	2	16	40		30	19	49	3,012	255
	2008	2	12	14	22	9	8	17		17	11	28	2,460	234
	2006												4,115	0
7	2007	4	22	43	51	5	21	50		34	36	70	2,976	222
	2008	5	25	40	57	9	27	51		40	31	71	3,462	264
	2006												4,704	0
8	2007	5	29	54	62	*	28	64		49	40	89	4,022	221
	2008	2	18	33	35	3	20	44		26	28	54	2,429	249
	2006												10,959	0
6-8	2007	13	71	122	153	11	65	154		113	95	208	10,010	698
	2008	9	55	87	114	21	55	112		83	70	153	8,351	747

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2006	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28,493
2007	66	188	430	495	20	142	383	0	384	314	698	28,025
2008	63	204	462	537	41	140	374	0	401	345	747	28,064

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2006						
6	2007	80.7	76.7	84.7	72.9	81.2	77.7
	2008	83.2	84.3	85.0	76.3	85.0	79.1
	2006						
7	2007	70.0	61.9	66.7	60.4	68.5	69.2
	2008	74.5	67.6	70.8	57.5	67.0	72.1
	2006						
8	2007	63.0	67.1	65.2	49.2	69.1	63.9
	2008	71.4	74.1	78.1	56.1	73.0	72.3
	2006						
6-8	2007	71.7	69.0	72.8	61.4	73.3	70.6
	2008	76.2	75.0	77.7	62.9	74.6	74.4

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												28.6	0
6	2007	66.7	34.8	43.1	41.1	28.6	24.0	23.1		49.7	37.5	44.7	26.5	255
	2008	37.5	37.5	50.7	47.1	5.3	42.4	35.7		44.3	50.0	47.0	31.0	234
	2006												6.6	0
7	2007	34.8	4.9	8.1	7.8	0.0	6.7	3.2		10.8	9.9	10.4	10.9	222
	2008	25.0	8.6	15.3	12.4	0.0	7.0	4.9		17.2	12.3	15.2	11.0	264
	2006												7.3	0
8	2007	31.3	5.2	6.3	7.3	*	0.0	2.9		12.3	6.1	9.5	9.0	221
	2008	46.7	11.4	22.6	18.4	22.2	14.0	11.1		21.3	20.5	20.9	14.1	249
	2006												14.1	0
6-8	2007	47.0	16.0	20.0	20.4	10.0	10.6	9.4		26.6	17.8	22.6	15.9	698
	2008	34.9	18.6	28.6	25.3	7.3	17.9	15.5		26.7	27.5	27.0	18.7	747

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2006	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28,493
2007	66	188	430	495	20	142	383	0	384	314	698	28,025
2008	63	204	462	537	41	140	374	0	401	345	747	28,064

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												79.4	0
7	2007	100.0	90.3	87.7	87.7	*	71.1	83.2		84.9	94.6	89.9	87.5	217
	2008	95.8	80.3	85.6	85.6	38.1	63.8	74.4		81.5	90.7	85.2	83.7	264

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2006	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,483
2007	22	62	130	154	3	45	119	0	106	111	217	8,582
2008	24	66	167	201	21	58	129	0	157	107	264	9,744

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2009 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												1,957	0
7	2007	0	6	16	19	*	13	20		16	6	22	1,075	217
	2008	1	13	24	29	13	21	33		29	10	39	1,584	264

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2006	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,483
2007	22	62	130	154	3	45	119	0	106	111	217	8,582
2008	24	66	167	201	21	58	129	0	157	107	264	9,744

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2006					
7	2007	2.5	86.8	73.8	78.7	77.9
	2008	2.5	77.1	75.1	83.2	77.2

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												23.4	0
7	2007	40.9	22.6	15.4	15.6	*	4.4	6.7		15.1	25.2	20.3	21.8	217
	2008	41.7	30.3	22.2	20.4	0.0	8.6	9.3		19.1	36.4	26.1	20.4	264

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2006	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,483
2007	22	62	130	154	3	45	119	0	106	111	217	8,582
2008	24	66	167	201	21	58	129	0	157	107	264	9,744

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												72.3	0
8	2007	87.5	75.9	65.5	64.5	50.0	29.2	58.1		71.9	68.0	70.1	78.9	221
	2008	100.0	90.9	87.8	89.4	70.0	73.5	85.3		90.6	88.0	89.3	86.6	242

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2006	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,391
2007	16	58	142	152	6	48	136	0	121	100	221	9,206
2008	15	66	156	161	10	49	150	0	117	125	242	8,642

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2009 AEIS social studies minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												2,599	0
8	2007	2	14	49	54	3	34	57		34	32	66	1,942	221
	2008	0	6	19	17	3	13	22		11	15	26	1,157	242

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8				
2006	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,391
2007	16	58	142	152	6	48	136	0	121	100	221	9,206
2008	15	66	156	161	10	49	150	0	117	125	242	8,642

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Issues and Events in U.S. History	Geographic Influences on History	Economic and Social Influences on History	Political Influences on History	Critical-Thinking Skills
	2006					
8	2007	58.6	64.2	68.4	62.3	70.2
	2008	74.3	74.2	77.8	72.5	79.0

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												15.8	0
8	2007	56.3	13.8	16.9	17.1	0.0	2.1	8.8		23.1	16.0	19.9	21.1	221
	2008	40.0	28.8	29.5	28.0	20.0	12.2	18.7		29.9	32.0	31.0	27.5	242

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2006	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,391
2007	16	58	142	152	6	48	136	0	121	100	221	9,206
2008	15	66	156	161	10	49	150	0	117	125	242	8,642

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												58.4	
8	2007	75.0	45.6	38.1	41.2	*	15.6	29.9		50.0	36.7	44.0	52.4	216
	2008	80.0	66.7	65.4	64.6	30.0	44.9	54.7		72.6	61.6	66.9	54.6	242

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2006	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,384
2007	16	57	139	148	4	45	134	0	118	98	216	9,233
2008	15	66	156	161	10	49	150	0	117	125	242	8,703

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 50 percent, the 2009 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade 8 science passing standard has risen to Panel Recommendation for 2008. In 2007, it was 1 SEM below PR; in 2006, it was 2 SEM below PR.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												3,908	
8	2007	4	31	86	87	*	38	94		59	62	121	4,398	216
	2008	3	22	54	57	7	27	68		32	48	80	3,953	242

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2006	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,384
2007	16	57	139	148	4	45	134	0	118	98	216	9,233
2008	15	66	156	161	10	49	150	0	117	125	242	8,703

Grade 8 science passing standard has risen to Panel Recommendation for 2008. In 2007, it was 1 SEM below PR; in 2006, it was 2 SEM below PR.

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Living Systems and the Environment	Structures and Properties of Matter	Motion, Forces, and Energy	Earth and Space Systems
	2006					
8	2007	60.6	58.2	55.0	53.0	48.8
	2008	75.2	70.1	71.9	61.2	65.4

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												5.0	
8	2007	31.3	7.0	2.2	4.7	*	0.0	2.2		9.3	4.1	6.9	6.6	216
	2008	26.7	10.6	16.0	15.5	0.0	12.2	8.7		18.8	12.8	15.7	11.2	242

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2006	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,384
2007	16	57	139	148	4	45	134	0	118	98	216	9,233
2008	15	66	156	161	10	49	150	0	117	125	242	8,703

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												*	0
6	2007												*	0
	2008		*	0.0	0.0		*	*		0.0	*	0.0	18.4	21

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												*	0
6	2007												*	0
	2008		*	15	14		*	*		16	*	21	40	21

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2006				
6	2007				
	2008	17.9	3.6	35.7	30.8

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												*	0
6	2007												*	0
	2008		*	0.0	0.0		*	*		0.0	*	0.0	4.1	21

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												*	0
6	2007												*	0
	2008		*	0.0	0.0		*	*		0.0	*	0.0	2.1	21

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												*	0
6	2007												*	0
	2008		*	15	14		*	*		16	*	21	46	21

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2006						
6	2007						
	2008	27.6	21.7	15.0	1.0	18.3	19.6

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												*	0
6	2007												*	0
	2008		*	0.0	0.0		*	*		0.0	*	0.0	0.0	21

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the IOWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS

				READING T	OTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006				39.2	0
8	2007					0
	2008	20.1	68.9	36.1	37.2	274

	2006	0	0	0	9,714	
Number Tested	2007	0	0	0	0	
. 00100	2008	184	90	274	8,615	

			M	ATHEMATIC	S TOTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006				51.4	0
8	2007					0
	2008	33.3	75.6	47.3	46.7	273

	2006	0	0	0	9,661	
Number Tested	2007	0	0	0	0	
100100	2008	183	90	273	8,493	

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												39.2	0
8	2007													0
	2008	52.9	37.2	32.9	31.8	3.8	9.9	20.1		32.4	40.2	36.1	37.2	274

	2006	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,714
Number Tested	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tostoa	2008	17	78	173	176	26	71	184	0	142	132	274	8,615

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												726	0
8	2007													0
	2008	5	6	10	10	0	1	3		12	10	22	508	274

	2006	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,714
Number Tested	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2008	17	78	173	176	26	71	184	0	142	132	274	8,615

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												51.4	0
8	2007													0
	2008	52.9	39.2	49.7	46.3	15.4	27.5	33.3		47.9	46.6	47.3	46.7	273

	2006	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,661
Number Tested	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2008	17	79	171	175	26	69	183	0	142	131	273	8,493

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												1,181	0
8	2007													0
	2008	5	5	19	14	1	2	7		17	13	30	801	273

Number Tested	2006	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,661
	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2008	17	79	171	175	26	69	183	0	142	131	273	8,493

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1 in 2007		Level 2	in 2007	Level 3	in 2007	Levels 1-3 in 2007		
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2008							
6	7	28.6	7	57.1	0	-	14	42.9	
7	13	38.5	9	77.8	0	-	22	54.5	
8	13	38.5	14	64.3	0	-	27	51.9	
ALL	33	36.4	30	66.7	0	-	63	50.8	