Campus Data Packet

for 2008 - 09 Plans



HOLMES & HOLMES ACADEMY

The information in this packert is based on data from the 2007-08 school year.



2008-09 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2 ContentsTable of Contents	3 Notes Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
5 SummarySummary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6 Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7 Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8 Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
9 TeachersTeacher Statistics	
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	
10 TAKS Read (1) TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	11 TAKS Read (2) TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
12 TAKS Read (3) TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	13 TAKS Read (4) TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
14 TAKS Math (1)TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	15 TAKS Math (2) TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
16 TAKS Math (3)TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	17 TAKS Math (4) TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
18 TAKS Write (1)TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	19 TAKS Write (2) TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
20 TAKS Write (3)TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	21 TAKS Write (4) TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
22 TAKS Soc.Studies (1) TAKS Social Studies: % Meeting Standard	23 TAKS Soc.Studies (2) TAKS Social Studies: # Not Meeting Standard
24 TAKS Soc.Studies (3) TAKS Social Studies: Performance by Objective	25 TAKS Soc.Studies (4) TAKS Social Studies: % Commended
26 TAKS Science (1)TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	27 TAKS Science (2) TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
28 TAKS Science (3)TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	29 TAKS Science (4) TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
IOWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS (AND RELATED TESTS)	
30 Compliance SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	31 ITBS Read (1) ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %
32 ITBS Read (2)ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %	33 ITBS Math (1) ITBS Mathematics Total: % At or Above the 40th %
34 ITBS Math (2) ITBS Mathematics Total: # At or Above the 80th %	
MEASURES OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	

35.... WMLS...... Woodcock-Muñoz Language Survey

°The TEA will release passing standards for the 2008 TAKS-Modified test in late summer. When they are available, an addendum to the Campus Data Packet with reports for TAKS-M will be available on MyData Portal.

Student results for the 2008 TELPAS will be sent by the TEA in September. An addendum with these results will be posted on MyData Portal by October.

2007-08 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2007-08. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2006-07 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2006-07 and 2007-08.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawl transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled on the first day of the second six-weeks grading period and on the last day of testing.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

TAKS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2009, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

SCE Compliance and ITBS / ITED / Logramos

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment					
6	342					
7	426					
8	384					
ALL	1,152					

STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stud	lents	Teachers				
Ethnicity	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
African American	883	76.6	90	84.9			
American Indian	1	0.1	*	*			
Asian	0	0.0	*	*			
Hispanic	265	23.0	0	0.0			
White	3	0.3	15	14.2			
Other	**	**	1	0.9			

^{*}Included in category "Other."

STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percent
Economically disadvantaged students	1,016	88.2
Limited English proficient students	89	7.7
Special education students	182	15.8

^{**}All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

			African A	American	nerican American Indian		Asian		Hispanic		White	
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2006											
6	2007	440	329	74.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	108	24.5	3	0.7
	2008	342	252	73.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	87	25.4	3	0.9
	2006	426	353	82.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	71	16.7	2	0.5
7	2007	426	341	80.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	85	20.0	0	0.0
	2008	426	323	75.8	1	0.2	0	0.0	102	23.9	0	0.0
	2006	488	410	84.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	76	15.6	2	0.4
8	2007	394	326	82.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	66	16.8	2	0.5
	2008	384	308	80.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	76	19.8	0	0.0
	2006	914	763	83.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	147	16.1	4	0.4
6-8	2007	1,260	996	79.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	259	20.6	5	0.4
	2008	1,152	883	76.6	1	0.1	0	0.0	265	23.0	3	0.3

		Economically Disadvantaged		LE	LEP		Special Education		TAG		New (to Dallas ISD)		
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2006												
6	2007	440	406	92.3	43	9.8	66	15.0	43	9.8	31	7.0	0.5
	2008	342	309	90.4	24	7.0	63	18.4	0	0.0	31	9.1	0.0
	2006	426	390	91.5	16	3.8	55	12.9	73	17.1	92	21.6	4.5
7	2007	426	382	89.7	41	9.6	59	13.8	9	2.1	25	5.9	5.2
	2008	426	377	88.5	37	8.7	57	13.4	29	6.8	36	8.5	0.5
	2006	488	403	82.6	17	3.5	67	13.7	70	14.3	100	20.5	1.6
8	2007	394	347	88.1	41	10.4	50	12.7	8	2.0	35	8.9	1.5
	2008	384	330	85.9	28	7.3	62	16.1	47	12.2	18	4.7	1.8
	2006	914	793	86.8	33	3.6	122	13.3	143	15.6	192	21.0	3.0
6-8	2007	1,260	1,135	90.1	125	9.9	175	13.9	60	4.8	91	7.2	2.4
	2008	1,152	1,016	88.2	89	7.7	182	15.8	76	6.6	85	7.4	0.8

Grade	Year	Average Daily Membership (N)		Average Daily Attendance				Yearly Transactions			Continuously Enrolled (N)		Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (N)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus	Dallas ISD
	2006		10,821.1			10,478.8	96.8			23.6		9,467		87.5
6	2007	454.6	11,264.8	427.4	94.0	10,864.3	96.4	122	26.8	21.9	353	9,788	77.7	86.9
	2008	333.9	10,450.4	318.0	95.2	10,060.0	96.3	95	28.5	22.0	273	9,055	81.8	86.6
	2006	425.7	11,130.6	394.2	92.6	10,585.9	95.1	145	34.1	31.8	318	9,218	74.7	82.8
7	2007	428.4	10,085.4	396.6	92.6	9,572.1	94.9	129	30.1	27.7	330	8,404	77.0	83.3
	2008	424.6	10,790.7	399.8	94.2	10,269.4	95.2	106	25.0	26.3	353	9,024	83.1	83.6
	2006	486.2	10,838.1	444.1	91.4	10,206.0	94.2	140	28.8	34.8	372	8,683	76.5	80.1
8	2007	405.0	10,675.2	371.0	91.6	10,054.2	94.2	183	45.2	31.2	289	8,706	71.4	81.6
	2008	396.0	9,895.9	363.8	91.9	9,350.9	94.5	119	30.0	30.5	295	8,046	74.5	81.3
	2006	911.9	32,789.8	838.3	91.9	31,270.8	95.4	285	31.3	30.1	690	27,368	75.7	83.5
6-8	2007	1,287.9	32,025.4	1,195.0	92.8	30,490.6	95.2	434	33.7	26.8	972	26,898	75.5	84.0
	2008	1,154.5	31,137.0	1,081.6	93.7	29,680.3	95.3	320	27.7	26.1	921	26,125	79.8	83.9

Teachers Teacher Statistics

DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS

Ethnicity	Number	Percent			
African American	90	84.9			
Hispanic	0	0.0			
White	15	14.2			
Other	1	0.9			

Gender	Number	Percent			
Female	74	69.8			
Male	32	30.2			

TOTAL	106

AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES

2006	8.3
2007	8.6
2008	5.1

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												89.4	0
6	2007	*	82.6	90.6	83.8	*	81.8	73.1		80.8	88.1	84.8	87.2	369
	2008	*	83.5	91.1	87.2	36.4	66.7	73.3	*	79.5	92.7	85.8	87.8	288
	2006	*	51.1	56.3	52.0	18.2	7.7	37.3		48.0	56.6	52.1	65.5	378
7	2007		74.5	74.0	73.3	42.9	63.3	67.1	*	67.4	82.1	74.4	76.0	363
	2008		74.8	80.2	75.7	31.3	62.5	65.1		70.9	81.2	76.3	77.3	384
	2006	*	59.2	68.8	59.9	20.0	20.0	44.3		52.7	68.0	60.7	71.2	427
8	2007	*	71.3	67.2	69.9	*	60.5	63.9	*	66.5	73.8	70.5	80.5	356
	2008		89.4	92.9	89.9	80.0	81.8	88.0	*	87.3	93.0	90.1	90.1	344
	2006	*	55.5	62.5	56.0	19.2	14.3	40.9		50.4	62.9	56.6	75.2	805
6-8	2007	*	76.0	79.0	75.9	53.3	68.3	67.5	*	71.4	81.4	76.7	81.5	1,088
	2008	*	82.4	87.3	83.6	45.9	69.6	76.3	*	79.1	88.2	83.7	84.9	1,016

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2006	4	667	128	680	26	28	447	0	401	404	805	28,147
2007	5	849	233	967	15	101	618	4	518	570	1,088	27,843
2008	3	766	245	880	37	69	591	4	506	510	1,016	28,091

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2009 AEIS reading/ELA minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												982	0
6	2007	*	47	9	55	*	6	45		32	24	56	1,269	369
	2008	*	34	7	33	7	5	36	*	31	10	41	1,140	288
	2006	*	150	28	159	9	12	133		102	79	181	3,295	378
7	2007		74	19	85	4	11	70	*	62	31	93	2,085	363
	2008		72	19	82	11	12	75		53	38	91	2,207	384
	2006	*	147	20	140	12	12	131		97	71	168	2,694	427
8	2007	*	83	21	93	*	15	86	*	54	51	105	1,803	356
	2008		29	5	29	2	4	29	*	22	12	34	891	344
	2006	*	297	48	299	21	24	264		199	150	349	6,971	805
6-8	2007	*	204	49	233	7	32	201	*	148	106	254	5,157	1,088
	2008	*	135	31	144	20	21	140	*	106	60	166	4,238	1,016

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2006	4	667	128	680	26	28	447	0	401	404	805	28,147
2007	5	849	233	967	15	101	618	4	518	570	1,088	27,843
2008	3	766	245	880	37	69	591	4	506	510	1,016	28,091

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2006				
6	2007	81.1	84.2	74.5	74.0
	2008	81.9	78.6	78.7	76.2
	2006	68.4	75.4	70.6	62.7
7	2007	76.0	74.0	74.7	72.0
	2008	81.9	83.6	76.2	68.0
	2006	73.8	73.2	73.0	66.6
8	2007	76.8	77.6	79.2	69.9
	2008	84.1	81.8	79.6	80.7
	2006	71.3	74.2	71.9	64.8
6-8	2007	78.0	78.6	76.1	72.0
	2008	82.7	81.6	78.1	74.6

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												28.7	0
6	2007	*	35.2	38.5	34.7	*	18.2	15.0		26.9	44.1	36.3	37.4	369
	2008	*	28.2	34.2	31.1	9.1	6.7	8.1	*	21.2	40.9	30.6	33.8	288
	2006	*	4.9	10.9	5.7	0.0	0.0	1.4		4.1	7.7	5.8	11.0	378
7	2007		10.3	8.2	10.4	0.0	3.3	4.7	*	7.4	12.7	9.9	12.7	363
	2008		11.2	16.7	11.6	6.3	6.3	6.5		10.4	14.4	12.5	19.1	384
	2006	*	12.2	7.8	10.6	0.0	0.0	3.4		6.8	16.2	11.7	21.8	427
8	2007	*	19.7	23.4	19.4	*	13.2	11.8	*	20.5	20.5	20.5	26.0	356
	2008		26.3	37.1	25.9	10.0	13.6	18.3	*	21.4	35.7	28.5	37.6	344
	2006	*	8.8	9.4	8.2	0.0	0.0	2.5		5.5	12.4	8.9	20.4	805
6-8	2007	*	21.4	24.9	21.8	6.7	11.9	10.2	*	17.8	26.5	22.3	25.9	1,088
	2008	*	21.1	28.2	21.9	8.1	8.7	11.7	*	17.4	28.6	23.0	29.9	1,016

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2006	4	667	128	680	26	28	447	0	401	404	805	28,147
2007	5	849	233	967	15	101	618	4	518	570	1,088	27,843
2008	3	766	245	880	37	69	591	4	506	510	1,016	28,091

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												77.2	0
6	2007	*	44.7	61.9	49.1	10.0	47.1	28.5		45.6	53.0	49.6	70.0	373
	2008	*	60.9	68.8	64.6	30.0	25.0	43.2	*	59.1	68.6	63.6	73.8	286
	2006	*	29.0	47.6	31.1	0.0	0.0	14.0		29.6	34.2	31.8	57.4	380
7	2007		51.7	58.6	54.1	*	46.4	38.2	*	43.0	64.3	53.0	65.9	364
	2008		54.4	62.2	55.8	27.8	42.4	38.8		54.3	58.2	56.3	64.5	387
	2006	*	31.7	42.4	33.9	20.0	18.8	19.2		28.0	38.7	33.6	50.2	432
8	2007	*	31.5	43.8	33.3	*	39.5	20.0	*	37.7	31.0	34.0	56.7	359
	2008		56.0	71.2	59.1	10.0	60.0	50.6	*	53.3	64.5	58.9	72.8	341
	2006	*	30.5	45.0	32.6	11.1	10.7	16.8		28.8	36.7	32.8	61.5	812
6-8	2007	*	42.6	55.8	45.7	10.0	44.0	28.5	*	42.2	48.8	45.6	64.3	1,096
	2008	*	56.7	66.8	59.4	23.7	43.5	44.5	*	55.4	63.1	59.3	70.2	1,014

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2006	4	673	129	688	27	28	453	0	403	409	812	28,493
2007	5	859	231	974	20	100	624	4	526	570	1,096	28,025
2008	4	764	244	879	38	69	586	4	504	510	1,014	28,064

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2008-09 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 58% are shaded.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												2,140	0
6	2007	*	151	37	175	9	18	123		93	95	188	3,012	373
	2008	*	79	25	90	7	12	75	*	61	43	104	2,460	286
	2006	*	220	33	230	12	12	184		138	121	259	4,115	380
7	2007		142	29	146	*	15	131	*	110	61	171	2,976	364
	2008		131	37	150	13	19	134		85	84	169	3,462	387
	2006	*	248	38	234	12	13	193		149	138	287	4,704	432
8	2007	*	200	36	208	*	23	192	*	101	136	237	4,022	359
	2008		121	19	117	9	8	116	*	79	61	140	2,429	341
	2006	*	468	71	464	24	25	377		287	259	546	10,959	812
6-8	2007	*	493	102	529	18	56	446	*	304	292	596	10,010	1,096
	2008	*	331	81	357	29	39	325	*	225	188	413	8,351	1,014

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2006	4	673	129	688	27	28	453	0	403	409	812	28,493
2007	5	859	231	974	20	100	624	4	526	570	1,096	28,025
2008	4	764	244	879	38	69	586	4	504	510	1,014	28,064

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2006						
6	2007	61.1	56.2	69.2	53.8	65.7	63.4
	2008	69.7	67.4	69.1	62.6	73.3	69.8
	2006	50.4	44.7	53.8	38.3	46.1	58.5
7	2007	62.9	55.5	57.1	49.2	61.5	64.9
	2008	62.9	57.1	63.1	38.9	57.5	62.5
	2006	51.4	52.1	52.7	30.9	51.4	52.4
8	2007	44.3	55.4	54.1	40.9	58.6	57.0
	2008	60.4	61.7	64.3	37.3	66.5	61.8
	2006	50.9	48.6	53.3	34.3	48.9	55.2
6-8	2007	56.2	55.7	60.2	48.1	62.0	61.8
	2008	64.0	61.5	65.2	45.0	64.9	64.3

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												28.6	0
6	2007	*	8.4	17.5	10.2	0.0	2.9	2.3		9.4	12.4	11.0	26.5	373
	2008	*	22.3	33.8	26.8	10.0	0.0	5.3	*	24.2	28.5	26.2	31.0	286
	2006	*	2.3	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.5		3.1	0.5	1.8	6.6	380
7	2007		6.8	4.3	6.9	*	10.7	1.9	*	3.6	9.4	6.3	10.9	364
	2008		2.4	4.1	2.7	0.0	3.0	1.8		2.7	3.0	2.8	11.0	387
	2006	*	0.8	3.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0		1.0	1.8	1.4	7.3	432
8	2007	*	1.7	0.0	1.6	*	0.0	0.0	*	2.5	0.5	1.4	9.0	359
	2008		2.2	4.5	2.8	0.0	5.0	0.9	*	1.2	4.1	2.6	14.1	341
	2006	*	1.5	1.6	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.2		2.0	1.2	1.6	14.1	812
6-8	2007	*	5.6	8.7	6.4	0.0	4.0	1.3	*	5.1	7.4	6.3	15.9	1,096
	2008	*	7.6	13.9	9.7	2.6	2.9	2.2	*	8.5	10.2	9.4	18.7	1,014

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 6-8				
2006	4	673	129	688	27	28	453	0	403	409	812	28,493
2007	5	859	231	974	20	100	624	4	526	570	1,096	28,025
2008	4	764	244	879	38	69	586	4	504	510	1,014	28,064

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	71.1	76.7	72.4	20.0	50.0	62.0		57.6	84.5	71.2	79.4	371
7	2007	*	82.2	84.8	82.1	*	74.1	76.3	*	72.8	92.9	82.5	87.5	354
	2008		82.5	80.4	81.7	41.2	62.5	72.7		75.4	88.1	82.0	83.7	384

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2006	2	301	60	322	10	10	205	0	184	187	371	9,483
2007	1	287	66	313	3	27	207	3	184	170	354	8,582
2008	0	285	97	338	17	32	220	0	183	201	384	9,744

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2009 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	87	14	89	8	5	78		78	29	107	1,957	371
7	2007	*	51	10	56	*	7	49	*	50	12	62	1,075	354
	2008		50	19	62	10	12	60		45	24	69	1,584	384

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2006	2	301	60	322	10	10	205	0	184	187	371	9,483
2007	1	287	66	313	3	27	207	3	184	170	354	8,582
2008	0	285	97	338	17	32	220	0	183	201	384	9,744

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2006	2.3	72.9	65.1	75.0	75.1
7	2007	2.5	82.4	73.1	72.1	74.9
	2008	2.2	73.0	76.3	78.7	74.8

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	21.9	21.7	23.0	0.0	0.0	9.3		13.6	28.9	21.3	23.4	371
7	2007	*	15.3	19.7	16.3	*	11.1	7.7	*	10.3	22.4	16.1	21.8	354
	2008		12.6	10.3	10.4	0.0	6.3	4.1	·	9.3	14.4	12.0	20.4	384

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 7				
2006	2	301	60	322	10	10	205	0	184	187	371	9,483
2007	1	287	66	313	3	27	207	3	184	170	354	8,582
2008	0	285	97	338	17	32	220	0	183	201	384	9,744

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	58.7	53.1	58.2	15.8	12.5	42.9		51.0	64.3	57.9	72.3	423
8	2007	*	63.6	70.5	64.7	*	63.9	56.7	*	62.9	66.5	64.9	78.9	350
	2008		84.0	92.3	85.5	62.5	85.0	81.2	*	80.0	91.4	85.6	86.6	327

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2006	2	356	64	347	19	16	233	0	202	221	423	9,391
2007	2	286	61	303	3	36	233	1	159	191	350	9,206
2008	0	262	65	275	8	20	223	3	165	162	327	8,642

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2009 AEIS social studies minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	147	30	145	16	14	133		99	79	178	2,599	423
8	2007	*	104	18	107	*	13	101	*	59	64	123	1,942	350
	2008		42	5	40	3	3	42	*	33	14	47	1,157	327

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2006	2	356	64	347	19	16	233	0	202	221	423	9,391
2007	2	286	61	303	3	36	233	1	159	191	350	9,206
2008	0	262	65	275	8	20	223	3	165	162	327	8,642

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Issues and Events in U.S. History	Geographic Influences on History	Economic and Social Influences on History	Political Influences on History	Critical-Thinking Skills
	2006	48.2	56.4	64.4	53.1	61.6
8	2007	55.7	56.8	66.1	58.3	65.5
	2008	69.6	61.8	72.3	65.0	74.3

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	3.9	14.1	5.8	0.0	6.3	1.7		5.9	5.4	5.7	15.8	423
8	2007	*	10.8	8.2	9.9	*	5.6	4.7	*	14.5	6.8	10.3	21.1	350
	2008		13.7	20.0	15.6	0.0	15.0	6.3	*	13.9	16.0	15.0	27.5	327

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8					
2006	2	356	64	347	19	16	233	0	202	221	423	9,391	
2007	2	286 61 303 3 36 233 1 159 191 350 9,206											
2008	0	262	65	275	8	20	223	3	165	162	327	8,642	

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	42.1	50.0	44.3	20.0	20.0	25.3		37.7	48.7	43.5	58.4	430
8	2007	*	33.3	39.3	34.4	*	30.6	22.0	*	35.6	33.9	34.7	52.4	352
	2008	·	42.3	57.6	45.7	0.0	40.0	33.9	*	43.1	47.6	45.3	54.6	331

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8				
2006	2	361	66	352	15	15	237	0	204	226	430	9,384
2007	2	288 61 305 3 36 236 1 160 192 352 9,233										
2008	0	265	66	278	8	20	224	3	167	164	331	8,703

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 50 percent, the 2009 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

Grade 8 science passing standard has risen to Panel Recommendation for 2008. In 2007, it was 1 SEM below PR; in 2006, it was 2 SEM below PR.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	209	33	196	12	12	177		127	116	243	3,908	430
8	2007	*	192	37	200	*	25	184	*	103	127	230	4,398	352
	2008	·	153	28	151	8	12	148	*	95	86	181	3,953	331

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8				
2006	2	361	66	352	15	15	237	0	204	226	430	9,384
2007	2	288	61	305	3	36	236	1	160	192	352	9,233
2008	0	265	66	278	8	20	224	3	167	164	331	8,703

Grade 8 science passing standard has risen to Panel Recommendation for 2008. In 2007, it was 1 SEM below PR; in 2006, it was 2 SEM below PR.

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Living Systems and the Environment	Structures and Properties of Matter	Motion, Forces, and Energy	Earth and Space Systems
	2006	56.6	51.1	51.1	49.3	41.2
8	2007	55.5	54.9	47.1	43.3	44.8
	2008	68.2	62.2	58.7	51.1	56.1

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	1.7	1.5	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.4		2.0	1.3	1.6	5.0	430
8	2007	*	2.1	4.9	2.6	*	2.8	0.4	*	3.1	2.1	2.6	6.6	352
	2008		1.5	3.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	1.2	2.4	1.8	11.2	331

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 8					
2006	2	361	66	352	15	15	237	0	204	226	430	9,384	
2007	2	2 288 61 305 3 36 236 1 160 192 352 9,233											
2008	0	265	66	278	8	20	224	3	167	164	331	8,703	

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the IOWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS

				READING T	OTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	10.7	46.6	27.2	39.2	448
8	2007					0
	2008	11.2	46.5	20.5	37.2	376

	2006	242	206	448	9,714	
Number Tested	2007	0	0	0	0	
rested	2008	277	99	376	8,615	

			M	ATHEMATIC	S TOTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	22.4	52.2	36.4	51.4	437
8	2007					0
	2008	24.4	60.4	33.8	46.7	367

	2006	232	205	437	9,661	
Number Tested	2007	0	0	0	0	
rested	2008	271	96	367	8,493	

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	26.9	27.1	24.9	2.1	6.3	10.7		19.7	34.7	27.2	39.2	448
8	2007													0
	2008		17.9	30.7	21.0	0.0	12.5	11.2	*	17.1	24.0	20.5	37.2	376

	2006	2	376	70	370	47	16	242	0	223	225	448	9,714
Number Tested	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
. cotou	2008	0	301	75	305	55	32	277	3	193	183	376	8,615

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	10	1	10	0	0	3		5	7	12	726	448
8	2007													0
	2008		7	1	7	0	0	2	*	2	6	8	508	376

	2006	2	376	70	370	47	16	242	0	223	225	448	9,714
Number Tested	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
. colcu	2008	0	301	75	305	55	32	277	3	193	183	376	8,615

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	36.0	38.0	34.5	8.5	11.8	22.4		23.8	48.4	36.4	51.4	437
8	2007													0
	2008		32.5	38.7	34.1	0.0	25.0	24.4	*	28.1	39.6	33.8	46.7	367

	2006	2	364	71	362	47	17	232	0	214	223	437	9,661
Number Tested	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
100100	2008	0	292	75	299	51	32	271	3	185	182	367	8,493

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	16	7	21	0	0	0		10	13	23	1,181	437
8	2007													0
	2008		8	3	10	0	1	2	*	2	9	11	801	367

	2006	2	364	71	362	47	17	232	0	214	223	437	9,661
Number Tested	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tostou	2008	0	292	75	299	51	32	271	3	185	182	367	8,493

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1	in 2007	Level 2	in 2007	Level 3	in 2007	Levels 1-	3 in 2007
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2008						
6	3	33.3	5	40.0	0	-	8	37.5
7	3	33.3	5	20.0	0	-	8	25.0
8	3	0.0	3	33.3	0	-	6	16.7
ALL	9	22.2	13	30.8	0	-	22	27.3