Campus Data Packet

for 2008 - 09 Plans



NATHAN ADAMS

School Number 233

The information in this packert is based on data from the 2007-08 school year.



2008-09 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2 ContentsTable of Contents	3 Notes Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
5 SummarySummary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6 Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7 Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8 Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
9 Teachers Teacher Statistics	
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	
10 TAKS Read (1) TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	11 TAKS Read (2) TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
12 TAKS Read (3) TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	13 TAKS Read (4) TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
14 TAKS Math (1)TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	15 TAKS Math (2) TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
16 TAKS Math (3)TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	17 TAKS Math (4) TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
18 TAKS Write (1)TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	19 TAKS Write (2) TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
20 TAKS Write (3) TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	21 TAKS Write (4) TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
22 TAKS Science (1)TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	23 TAKS Science (2) TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
24 TAKS Science (3)TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	25 TAKS Science (4) TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
IOWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS (AND RELATED TESTS)	
26 Compliance SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	27 ITBS Read (1) ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %
28 ITBS Read (2)ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %	29 ITBS Math (1) ITBS Mathematics Total: $\%$ At or Above the 40th $\%$
30 ITBS Math (2) ITBS Mathematics Total: # At or Above the 80th %	31 Logramos Read (1) Logramos Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th $\%$
32 Logramos Read (2) Logramos Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %	
MEASURES OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
33 WMLSWoodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	

The TEA will release passing standards for the 2008 TAKS-Modified test in late summer. When they are available, an addendum to the Campus Data Packet with reports for TAKS-M will be available on MyData Portal.

Student results for the 2008 TELPAS will be sent by the TEA in September. An addendum with these results will be posted on MyData Portal by October.

2007-08 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2007-08. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2006-07 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2006-07 and 2007-08.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawl transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled on the first day of the second six-weeks grading period and on the last day of testing.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

TAKS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2009, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

SCE Compliance and ITBS / ITED / Logramos

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading results for all three years are for the Language Total subtest; all other grades' results reflect Reading Total performance. (The Reading Total summary is not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergarteners in 2008.) All Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The number tested includes kindergarteners.
- Numbers tested in READING for 2006 and 2007 may not match those reported in campus data packets published in the last two years. Previous totals did not consistently include students in Kindergarten, though documentation included with the reports indicated otherwise.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment				
PK	45				
KN	86				
1	84				
2	69				
3	53				
4	71				
5	66				
ALL	474				

STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stud	lents	Teachers				
Ethnicity	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
African American	80	16.9	9	25.7			
American Indian	1	0.2	*	*			
Asian	10	2.1	*	*			
Hispanic	337	71.1	6	17.1			
White	46	9.7	18	51.4			
Other	**	**	2	5.7			

^{*}Included in category "Other."

STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percent
Economically disadvantaged students	349	73.6
Limited English proficient students	226	47.7
Special education students	28	5.9

^{**}All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

			African American		America	ın Indian	Asian		Hispanic		White	
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2006	22	8	36.4	0	0.0	3	13.6	10	45.5	1	4.5
PK	2007	39	5	12.8	0	0.0	2	5.1	28	71.8	4	10.3
	2008	45	11	24.4	0	0.0	1	2.2	29	64.4	4	8.9
	2006	94	26	27.7	0	0.0	8	8.5	37	39.4	23	24.5
KN	2007	84	15	17.9	0	0.0	2	2.4	53	63.1	14	16.7
	2008	86	11	12.8	0	0.0	1	1.2	69	80.2	5	5.8
	2006	93	30	32.3	0	0.0	7	7.5	36	38.7	20	21.5
1	2007	74	12	16.2	0	0.0	1	1.4	51	68.9	10	13.5
	2008	84	10	11.9	0	0.0	1	1.2	65	77.4	8	9.5
	2006	76	20	26.3	0	0.0	4	5.3	31	40.8	21	27.6
2	2007	74	11	14.9	1	1.4	4	5.4	47	63.5	11	14.9
	2008	69	11	15.9	0	0.0	1	1.4	51	73.9	6	8.7
	2006	73	27	37.0	0	0.0	4	5.5	23	31.5	19	26.0
3	2007	61	8	13.1	2	3.3	1	1.6	38	62.3	12	19.7
	2008	53	10	18.9	0	0.0	3	5.7	37	69.8	3	5.7
	2006	78	22	28.2	0	0.0	10	12.8	32	41.0	14	17.9
4	2007	77	14	18.2	0	0.0	2	2.6	49	63.6	12	15.6
	2008	71	16	22.5	1	1.4	1	1.4	42	59.2	11	15.5
	2006	61	17	27.9	0	0.0	6	9.8	19	31.1	19	31.1
5	2007	53	8	15.1	0	0.0	3	5.7	37	69.8	5	9.4
	2008	66	11	16.7	0	0.0	2	3.0	44	66.7	9	13.6
	2006	500	152	30.4	0	0.0	43	8.6	188	37.6	117	23.4
EC-5	2007	462	73	15.8	3	0.6	15	3.2	303	65.6	68	14.7
	2008	474	80	16.9	1	0.2	10	2.1	337	71.1	46	9.7

			Econol Disadva	mically antaged	LE	ĒP	Special E	ducation	T	AG	New (to Dallas ISD)		Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2006	22	21	95.5	7	31.8	1	4.5	0	0.0	22	100.0	0.0
PK	2007	39	38	97.4	24	61.5	3	7.7	0	0.0	39	100.0	0.0
	2008	45	43	95.6	23	51.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	45	100.0	0.0
	2006	94	56	59.6	20	21.3	7	7.4	1	1.1	69	73.4	2.1
KN	2007	84	60	71.4	45	53.6	4	4.8	3	3.6	55	65.5	7.1
	2008	86	66	76.7	53	61.6	3	3.5	4	4.7	42	48.8	4.7
	2006	93	50	53.8	11	11.8	3	3.2	20	21.5	19	20.4	6.5
1	2007	74	54	73.0	43	58.1	3	4.1	4	5.4	7	9.5	9.5
	2008	84	62	73.8	49	58.3	5	6.0	8	9.5	7	8.3	10.7
	2006	76	45	59.2	18	23.7	6	7.9	19	25.0	13	17.1	3.9
2	2007	74	48	64.9	39	52.7	4	5.4	10	13.5	14	18.9	1.4
	2008	69	51	73.9	45	65.2	4	5.8	4	5.8	11	15.9	7.2
	2006	73	38	52.1	4	5.5	5	6.8	14	19.2	12	16.4	1.4
3	2007	61	36	59.0	20	32.8	2	3.3	15	24.6	8	13.1	0.0
	2008	53	35	66.0	29	54.7	2	3.8	13	24.5	4	7.5	7.5
	2006	78	51	65.4	14	17.9	9	11.5	19	24.4	19	24.4	2.6
4	2007	77	48	62.3	22	28.6	5	6.5	10	13.0	9	11.7	0.0
	2008	71	46	64.8	12	16.9	9	12.7	14	19.7	9	12.7	4.2
	2006	61	32	52.5	6	9.8	9	14.8	25	41.0	9	14.8	0.0
5	2007	53	41	77.4	18	34.0	9	17.0	8	15.1	10	18.9	1.9
	2008	66	46	69.7	15	22.7	5	7.6	11	16.7	3	4.5	0.0
	2006	500	293	58.6	80	16.0	43	8.6	98	19.6	163	32.6	3.4
EC-5	2007	462	325	70.3	211	45.7	30	6.5	50	10.8	142	30.7	3.2
	2008	474	349	73.6	226	47.7	28	5.9	54	11.4	121	25.5	5.3

Grade	Year	_	e Daily rship (N)	А	verage Daily	Attendance		Yea	Yearly Transactions			uously ed (N)	Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (N)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus	Dallas ISD
	2006	10.6	3,987.7	10.1	94.6	3,815.3	95.7	28	100.0	100.0	16	7,228	100.0	100.0
PK	2007	20.0	4,117.2	18.9	94.5	3,933.6	95.5	50	100.0	100.0	38	7,436	100.0	100.0
	2008	21.5	4,133.2	20.5	95.1	3,942.7	95.4	50	100.0	100.0	39	7,427	100.0	100.0
	2006	93.6	13,725.9	89.3	95.3	13,178.1	96.0	23	24.6	25.7	76	11,822	81.2	86.1
KN	2007	85.4	13,945.0	82.0	96.1	13,385.6	96.0	10	11.7	24.3	79	12,035	92.5	86.3
	2008	86.3	13,568.1	83.5	96.8	13,004.4	95.8	12	13.9	23.5	78	11,618	90.4	85.6
	2006	95.3	14,145.9	91.3	95.8	13,632.7	96.4	21	22.0	24.8	84	12,164	88.1	86.0
1	2007	75.7	14,353.8	73.0	96.4	13,851.4	96.5	10	13.2	23.2	69	12,437	91.2	86.6
	2008	84.8	14,626.9	82.1	96.9	14,102.7	96.4	12	14.2	21.2	76	12,704	89.7	86.9
	2006	77.7	13,536.9	74.8	96.2	13,115.8	96.9	19	24.4	22.9	67	11,853	86.2	87.6
2	2007	70.6	13,403.8	67.8	96.1	12,978.9	96.8	14	19.8	21.7	63	11,729	89.2	87.5
	2008	68.3	13,708.6	66.7	97.6	13,269.8	96.8	14	20.5	19.7	59	12,043	86.4	87.9
	2006	74.9	12,948.9	72.3	96.5	12,577.2	97.1	18	24.0	22.8	66	11,376	88.1	87.9
3	2007	61.3	12,998.4	60.1	98.0	12,633.3	97.2	11	17.9	21.1	55	11,445	89.7	88.0
	2008	54.6	12,806.9	53.0	97.1	12,425.5	97.0	5	9.2	19.1	51	11,408	93.4	89.1
	2006	78.4	12,021.0	76.0	96.9	11,684.2	97.2	23	29.3	22.3	68	10,587	86.7	88.1
4	2007	75.0	12,104.9	73.1	97.5	11,768.3	97.2	12	16.0	19.7	69	10,683	92.0	88.3
	2008	69.9	12,329.8	67.3	96.4	11,960.3	97.0	10	14.3	19.7	63	10,924	90.2	88.6
	2006	65.6	12,618.4	62.9	95.9	12,262.2	97.2	17	25.9	22.3	57	11,130	86.9	88.2
5	2007	55.0	11,757.0	53.5	97.3	11,426.8	97.2	5	9.1	20.9	50	10,362	90.9	88.1
	2008	67.2	11,874.2	65.5	97.5	11,539.6	97.2	7	10.4	18.8	61	10,608	90.7	89.3
	2006	499.2	83,530.4	479.5	96.0	80,779.5	96.7	149	29.8	34.4	437	76,525	87.5	91.6
EC-5	2007	443.0	83,122.6	428.4	96.7	80,387.4	96.7	112	25.3	33.3	423	76,416	95.5	91.9
	2008	452.5	83,438.7	438.7	96.9	80,606.0	96.6	110	24.3	31.9	427	76,970	94.4	92.2

Teachers Teacher Statistics

DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS

Ethnicity	Number	Percent		
African American	9	25.7		
Hispanic	6	17.1		
White	18	51.4		
Other	2	5.7		

Gender	Number	Percent
Female	32	91.4
Male	3	8.6

	A.=
TOTAL	35
. •	

AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES

2006	7.4
2007	6.5
2008	7.5

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	100.0	92.6	95.5	94.7	*	*	88.9		94.3	97.2	95.8	87.7	71
3	2007	91.7	77.8	77.8	75.7	*	55.6	65.2		81.1	82.6	81.7	84.6	60
	2008	*	100.0	97.1	96.8	*	96.0	96.3		95.8	100.0	97.9	86.2	48
	2006	84.6	71.4	85.7	78.7	*	81.8	76.2		79.5	81.3	80.3	69.5	71
4	2007	90.9	53.8	80.4	73.3	*	63.2	65.7		78.1	77.5	77.8	69.7	72
	2008	100.0	85.7	81.4	84.4	66.7	53.8	61.9		80.5	92.9	85.5	72.1	69
	2006	100.0	81.3	85.7	84.6	*	*	71.4		85.7	96.2	90.7	76.9	54
5	2007	100.0	85.7	76.9	79.3	*	50.0	65.0		80.0	88.2	83.3	78.3	42
	2008	100.0	100.0	95.0	95.3	*	90.9	96.2		92.6	100.0	96.7	82.9	61
	2006	95.9	82.8	89.1	85.6	80.0	84.2	79.2		86.3	91.5	88.8	78.2	196
3-5	2007	93.1	69.0	78.7	75.7	100.0	57.8	65.4		79.8	81.3	80.5	77.6	174
	2008	100.0	94.1	90.6	91.6	75.0	83.7	86.5		88.0	97.7	92.7	80.3	178

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2006	49	64	64	111	10	19	53	0	102	94	196	32,875
2007	29	29	108	111	9	45	78	0	94	80	174	31,814
2008	21	34	117	119	8	49	74	0	92	86	178	31,840

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2009 AEIS reading/ELA minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	0	2	1	2	*	*	2		2	1	3	1,380	71
3	2007	1	2	8	9	*	8	8		7	4	11	1,675	60
	2008	*	0	1	1	*	1	1		1	0	1	1,443	48
	2006	2	6	4	10	*	2	5		8	6	14	3,190	71
4	2007	1	6	9	12	*	7	12		7	9	16	3,189	72
_	2008	0	2	8	7	2	6	8		8	2	10	2,996	69
	2006	0	3	2	4	*	*	4		4	1	5	2,584	54
5	2007	0	1	6	6	*	4	7		5	2	7	2,258	42
	2008	0	0	2	2	*	1	1		2	0	2	1,827	61
	2006	2	11	7	16	2	3	11		14	8	22	7,154	196
3-5	2007	2	9	23	27	0	19	27		19	15	34	7,122	174
	2008	0	2	11	10	2	8	10		11	2	13	6,266	178

					NUMB	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2006	49	64	64	111	10	19	53	0	102	94	196	32,875
2007	29	29	108	111	9	45	78	0	94	80	174	31,814
2008	21	34	117	119	8	49	74	0	92	86	178	31,840

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2006	81.9	87.7	86.4	86.3
3	2007	78.8	84.0	73.9	76.9
	2008	88.9	89.9	90.3	83.9
	2006	79.9	79.0	71.4	76.5
4	2007	83.9	81.6	77.0	71.8
	2008	81.7	82.4	82.0	78.4
	2006	81.8	82.9	82.4	79.5
5	2007	78.6	82.7	75.6	74.2
	2008	82.5	85.7	80.1	79.8
	2006	81.1	83.2	79.9	80.9
3-5	2007	80.8	82.7	75.6	74.1
	2008	83.9	85.5	83.6	80.4

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	55.6	11.1	22.7	28.9	*	*	11.1		22.9	33.3	28.2	28.0	71
3	2007	41.7	11.1	19.4	13.5	*	5.6	4.3		27.0	17.4	23.3	21.3	60
	2008	*	44.4	50.0	41.9	*	40.0	37.0		50.0	41.7	45.8	21.5	48
	2006	7.7	4.8	28.6	14.9	*	27.3	19.0		15.4	18.8	16.9	10.0	71
4	2007	36.4	7.7	21.7	15.6	*	10.5	11.4		21.9	22.5	22.2	16.6	72
4	2008	70.0	28.6	9.3	11.1	50.0	0.0	0.0		24.4	21.4	23.2	14.4	69
	2006	33.3	25.0	0.0	7.7	*	*	0.0		14.3	30.8	22.2	10.8	54
5	2007	50.0	0.0	15.4	13.8	*	0.0	5.0		16.0	29.4	21.4	13.1	42
	2008	50.0	0.0	17.5	18.6	*	18.2	7.7		33.3	11.8	21.3	16.5	61
	2006	34.7	12.5	20.3	18.0	20.0	15.8	11.3		17.6	27.7	22.4	16.4	196
3-5	2007	41.4	6.9	19.4	14.4	0.0	6.7	7.7		22.3	22.5	22.4	17.1	174
	2008	52.4	23.5	23.9	21.8	37.5	24.5	16.2		33.7	23.3	28.7	17.4	178

					NUMB	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2006	49	64	64	111	10	19	53	0	102	94	196	32,875
2007	29	29	108	111	9	45	78	0	94	80	174	31,814
2008	21	34	117	119	8	49	74	0	92	86	178	31,840

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	94.4	88.9	95.5	86.8	*	*	81.8		91.9	94.1	93.0	74.9	71
3	2007	92.3	75.0	71.4	68.6	*	50.0	56.5		74.3	83.3	78.0	70.3	59
	2008	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	76.6	49
	2006	92.3	81.0	90.0	85.4	83.3	83.3	82.6		92.7	84.4	89.0	74.0	73
4	2007	90.9	100.0	87.0	88.9	*	78.9	82.9		93.8	87.5	90.3	75.7	72
	2008	100.0	76.9	88.4	84.1	*	76.9	71.4		85.0	92.9	88.2	77.9	68
	2006	100.0	93.3	86.7	91.7	*	*	81.8		92.0	96.3	94.2	82.2	52
5	2007	*	100.0	92.6	93.5	*	75.0	90.5		96.0	93.8	95.1	82.9	41
	2008	100.0	100.0	92.7	93.3	*	91.7	88.9	*	92.9	97.1	95.2	84.0	62
	2006	95.7	87.3	91.0	87.3	90.0	78.9	82.1		92.2	91.4	91.8	77.1	196
3-5	2007	93.1	92.6	83.3	83.8	87.5	66.7	77.2		87.0	87.5	87.2	76.2	172
	2008	100.0	91.2	93.3	91.7	87.5	92.2	88.2	*	91.4	96.5	93.9	79.5	179

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2006	47	63	67	110	10	19	56	0	103	93	196	33,063
2007	29	27	108	111	8	45	79	0	92	80	172	32,298
2008	20	34	119	121	8	51	76	1	93	86	179	32,696

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2008-09 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 58% are shaded.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	1	3	1	5	*	*	4		3	2	5	2,820	71
3	2007	1	2	10	11	*	9	10		9	4	13	3,303	59
	2008	*	0	0	0	*	0	0		0	0	0	2,542	49
	2006	1	4	3	7	1	2	4		3	5	8	2,765	73
4	2007	1	0	6	5	*	4	6		2	5	7	2,604	72
4	2008	0	3	5	7	*	3	6		6	2	8	2,436	68
	2006	0	1	2	2	*	*	2		2	1	3	1,997	52
5	2007	*	0	2	2	*	2	2		1	1	2	1,785	41
	2008	0	0	3	3	*	1	3	*	2	1	3	1,730	62
	2006	2	8	6	14	1	4	10		8	8	16	7,582	196
3-5	2007	2	2	18	18	1	15	18		12	10	22	7,692	172
	2008	0	3	8	10	1	4	9	*	8	3	11	6,708	179

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2006	47	63	67	110	10	19	56	0	103	93	196	33,063
2007	29	27	108	111	8	45	79	0	92	80	172	32,298
2008	20	34	119	121	8	51	76	1	93	86	179	32,696

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2006	87.6	86.9	90.1	88.0	91.9	74.5
3	2007	82.4	84.7	88.1	78.0	85.6	65.7
	2008	92.7	93.5	92.5	89.1	93.9	82.1
	2006	87.0	87.7	82.0	79.9	83.6	74.8
4	2007	87.2	91.1	83.8	81.5	77.1	78.3
	2008	86.9	86.1	77.7	78.9	80.5	77.9
	2006	93.0	84.6	86.0	88.7	83.2	84.9
5	2007	90.2	81.2	89.5	83.3	85.4	82.9
	2008	88.3	83.6	92.9	82.3	88.3	80.6
	2006	88.8	86.6	86.0	85.2	86.5	77.4
3-5	2007	86.3	86.5	86.7	80.7	82.0	75.1
	2008	88.9	87.3	87.0	82.9	86.9	80.0

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	61.1	14.8	54.5	36.8	*	*	13.6		45.9	41.2	43.7	22.3	71
3	2007	46.2	12.5	20.0	17.1	*	5.6	4.3		28.6	25.0	27.1	19.2	59
	2008	*	60.0	60.0	56.3	*	53.8	50.0		64.0	62.5	63.3	26.3	49
	2006	53.8	4.8	36.7	33.3	16.7	33.3	21.7		39.0	28.1	34.2	22.1	73
4	2007	63.6	15.4	37.0	35.6	*	26.3	20.0		50.0	30.0	38.9	22.6	72
	2008	30.0	0.0	16.3	13.6	*	0.0	0.0		20.0	10.7	16.2	23.8	68
	2006	75.0	46.7	40.0	45.8	*	*	27.3		40.0	70.4	55.8	29.9	52
5	2007	*	33.3	48.1	45.2	*	25.0	33.3		52.0	56.3	53.7	29.3	41
	2008	62.5	27.3	48.8	48.9	*	41.7	29.6	*	53.6	44.1	48.4	31.9	62
	2006	63.8	19.0	43.3	37.3	30.0	36.8	19.6		41.7	45.2	43.4	24.8	196
3-5	2007	58.6	18.5	34.3	32.4	25.0	17.8	19.0		42.4	33.8	38.4	23.6	172
	2008	50.0	26.5	40.3	38.0	0.0	37.3	28.9	*	41.9	38.4	40.2	27.3	179

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2006	47	63	67	110	10	19	56	0	103	93	196	33,063
2007	29	27	108	111	8	45	79	0	92	80	172	32,298
2008	20	34	119	121	8	51	76	1	93	86	179	32,696

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	92.9	85.0	92.9	87.5	*	91.7	90.5		92.1	90.9	91.5	84.2	71
4	2007	90.9	90.9	82.6	81.8	*	68.4	77.1		90.3	82.1	85.7	86.5	70
	2008	100.0	85.7	92.7	93.0	*	84.6	90.5		87.2	100.0	92.5	87.4	67

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2006	14	20	28	48	3	12	21	0	38	33	71	10,311
2007	11	11	46	44	1	19	35	0	31	39	70	10,408
2008	10	14	41	43	5	13	21	0	39	28	67	10,658

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2009 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	1	3	2	6	*	1	2		3	3	6	1,631	71
4	2007	1	1	8	8	*	6	8		3	7	10	1,408	70
	2008	0	2	3	3	*	2	2		5	0	5	1,348	67

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4							
2006	14	14 20 28 48 3 12 21 0 38 33 71 10,311													
2007	11	11	46	44	1	19	35	0	31	39	70	10,408			
2008	10	14	41	43	5	13	21	0	39	28	67	10,658			

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2006	2.2	92.6	84.2	88.6	90.3
4	2007	2.2	85.0	83.6	90.7	91.1
	2008	2.4	84.7	88.2	87.7	91.2

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	21.4	5.0	21.4	16.7	*	8.3	9.5		13.2	18.2	15.5	10.9	71
4	2007	54.5	9.1	23.9	22.7	*	0.0	8.6		32.3	25.6	28.6	18.2	70
	2008	60.0	42.9	26.8	30.2	*	7.7	19.0		28.2	46.4	35.8	21.4	67

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2006	14	20	28	48	3	12	21	0	38	33	71	10,311
2007	11	11	46	44	1	19	35	0	31	39	70	10,408
2008	10	14	41	43	5	13	21	0	39	28	67	10,658

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	100.0	60.0	61.5	65.4		*	46.2		76.0	81.5	78.8	62.1	52
5	2007	*	50.0	63.0	62.1	*	62.5	55.0		65.2	70.6	67.5	62.3	40
	2008	100.0	72.7	72.5	71.4	*	54.5	53.8		70.4	82.4	77.0	71.9	61

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2006	18	15	13	26	0	4	13	0	25	27	52	11,045
2007	4	6	27	29	3	8	20	0	23	17	40	10,296
2008	8	11	40	42	1	11	26	0	27	34	61	10,686

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 50 percent, the 2009 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	0	6	5	9		*	7		6	5	11	4,185	52
5	2007	*	3	10	11	*	3	9		8	5	13	3,881	40
	2008	0	3	11	12	*	5	12		8	6	14	3,005	61

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 5				
2006	18	15	13	26	0	4	13	0	25	27	52	11,045
2007	4	6	27	29	3	8	20	0	23	17	40	10,296
2008	8	11	40	42	1	11	26	0	27	34	61	10,686

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Life Sciences	Physical Sciences	Earth Sciences
	2006	87.7	88.0	79.7	72.9
5	2007	79.6	83.3	79.7	73.3
	2008	87.1	88.3	83.2	66.3

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	50.0	20.0	7.7	23.1		*	7.7		32.0	25.9	28.8	14.4	52
5	2007	*	0.0	14.8	10.3	*	0.0	0.0		17.4	17.6	17.5	18.0	40
	2008	37.5	18.2	20.0	19.0	*	0.0	3.8		29.6	17.6	23.0	24.8	61

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2006	18	15	13	26	0	4	13	0	25	27	52	11,045
2007	4	6	27	29	3	8	20	0	23	17	40	10,296
2008	8	11	40	42	1	11	26	0	27	34	61	10,686

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the IOWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS

			READING T	OTAL (**LA	NGUAGE TO	OTAL)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	79.7	79.5	69.9	73
K**	2007	*	62.9	56.4	46.9	39
	2008	50.0	71.0	67.6	57.6	37
	2006	64.3	85.1	81.8	54.1	88
1	2007	69.2	71.4	70.6	51.4	34
	2008	66.7	72.4	71.1	50.2	38
	2006	81.0	92.2	88.9	49.2	72
2	2007	53.7	87.1	68.1	44.5	72
	2008	52.9	77.8	65.7	50.2	35
	2006	74.3	88.0	85.0	51.4	160
1-2	2007	57.4	80.8	68.9	47.6	106
	2008	57.7	74.5	68.5	50.2	73

			MA	THEMATIC	S TOTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	71.0	71.2	68.7	73
K	2007	31.1	56.8	42.7	44.3	82
	2008	18.0	62.9	36.5	44.9	85
	2006	71.4	83.8	81.8	60.7	88
1	2007	31.4	72.7	43.8	48.8	73
	2008	50.0	81.3	61.6	55.5	86
	2006	81.8	88.7	86.7	63.1	75
2	2007	70.7	80.6	75.0	55.6	72
	2008	83.0	85.7	83.8	57.5	68
	2006	77.5	80.6	80.1	63.9	236
K-2	2007	43.1	68.9	53.3	49.1	227
	2008	49.7	75.0	59.0	52.7	239

	2006	39	194	233	27,596	
Number Tested	2007	58	87	145	24,696	
Tostoa	2008	32	78	110	21,817	

	2006	40	196	236	28,201	
Number Tested	2007	137	90	227	34,441	
Toolog	2008	151	88	239	41,070	

Numbers tested in READING for 2006 and 2007 may not match those reported in campus data packets published in the last two years. Previous totals did not consistently include students in Kindergarten, though documentation included with the reports indicated otherwise.

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	100.0	68.0	81.8	76.2	*	*	*		75.0	83.8	79.5	69.9	73
K**	2007	83.3	50.0	27.3	45.0	*	*	*		55.6	57.1	56.4	46.9	39
	2008	*	63.6	65.0	64.0	*	50.0	50.0		68.8	66.7	67.6	57.6	37
	2006	84.2	79.3	81.8	74.5	*	77.8	64.3		74.5	91.9	81.8	54.1	88
1	2007	80.0	75.0	54.5	65.0	*	*	69.2		65.2	81.8	70.6	51.4	34
	2008	88.9	80.0	55.6	69.2	*	*	66.7		62.5	77.3	71.1	50.2	38
	2006	95.0	78.9	89.7	85.4	*	88.2	81.0		90.5	86.7	88.9	49.2	72
2	2007	90.9	90.9	56.5	52.2	*	51.4	53.7		67.5	68.8	68.1	44.5	72
	2008	83.3	90.0	44.4	65.0	*	33.3	52.9		71.4	57.1	65.7	50.2	35
	2006	89.7	79.2	85.5	79.5	85.7	84.6	74.3		81.7	89.6	85.0	51.4	160
1-2	2007	85.7	82.6	56.1	56.1	14.3	56.1	57.4		66.7	72.1	68.9	47.6	106
	2008	86.7	85.0	50.0	67.4	62.5	33.3	57.7		67.6	69.4	68.5	50.2	73

	2006	57	73	84	130	12	29	39	0	129	104	233	27,596
Number Tested	2007	33	37	68	86	10	42	58	0	81	64	145	24,696
Tostoa	2008	20	31	56	71	9	21	32	0	53	57	110	21,817

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	12	8	7	12	*	*	*		14	15	29	1,856	73
K**	2007	7	2	0	2	*	*	*		4	7	11	1,245	39
	2008	*	3	7	7	*	2	2		5	7	12	1,687	37
	2006	12	16	11	17	*	2	3		26	19	45	1,786	88
1	2007	4	2	3	4	*	*	3		7	3	10	1,458	34
	2008	6	5	2	7	*	*	1		5	9	14	1,555	38
	2006	16	13	12	18	*	4	6		25	17	42	1,340	72
2	2007	7	6	4	4	*	3	3		9	10	19	896	72
	2008	2	2	2	1	*	1	1		4	3	7	1,065	35
	2006	28	29	23	35	3	6	9		51	36	87	3,126	160
1-2	2007	11	8	7	8	0	4	6		16	13	29	2,355	106
	2008	8	7	4	8	1	1	2		9	12	21	2,620	73

	2006	57	73	84	130	12	29	39	0	129	104	233	27,596
Number Tested	2007	33	37	68	86	10	42	58	0	81	64	145	24,696
Tostoa	2008	20	31	56	71	9	21	32	0	53	57	110	21,817

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	88.9	52.0	77.3	59.5	*	*	*		80.6	62.2	71.2	68.7	73
К	2007	75.0	57.1	29.6	33.9	*	27.3	31.1		44.4	41.3	42.7	44.3	82
	2008	*	72.7	27.9	32.3	*	17.3	18.0		38.6	34.1	36.5	44.9	85
	2006	94.7	79.3	72.7	72.3	*	77.8	71.4		74.5	91.9	81.8	60.7	88
1	2007	70.0	75.0	30.0	38.9	*	23.3	31.4		47.7	37.9	43.8	48.8	73
	2008	100.0	70.0	54.5	60.9	*	49.0	50.0		60.5	62.5	61.6	55.5	86
	2006	90.5	78.9	87.1	81.8	66.7	88.9	81.8		86.7	86.7	86.7	63.1	75
2	2007	81.8	81.8	71.7	63.0	*	67.6	70.7		72.5	78.1	75.0	55.6	72
	2008	100.0	70.0	84.3	80.0	*	86.7	83.0		86.8	80.0	83.8	57.5	68
	2006	91.4	69.9	79.1	71.4	42.9	83.3	77.5		80.3	79.8	80.1	63.9	236
K-2	2007	75.8	70.3	42.7	44.0	18.2	37.9	43.1		55.0	51.4	53.3	49.1	227
	2008	90.0	71.0	53.0	55.9	41.7	49.3	49.7		60.8	57.1	59.0	52.7	239

Number Tested	2006	58	73	86	133	14	30	40	0	132	104	236	28,201
	2007	33	37	150	159	11	124	137	0	120	107	227	34,441
	2008	20	31	185	179	12	146	151	0	120	119	239	41,070

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	10	7	11	16	*	*	*		14	17	31	2,491	73
K	2007	9	1	4	5	*	3	3		8	8	16	2,583	82
	2008	*	1	7	5	*	1	1		5	6	11	2,788	85
	2006	11	10	12	16	*	4	7		19	19	38	2,111	88
1	2007	5	3	3	4	*	1	2		7	4	11	1,769	73
	2008	4	2	9	11	*	6	7		7	9	16	2,800	86
	2006	13	7	18	20	4	10	11		27	12	39	2,575	75
2	2007	8	5	20	16	*	15	16		18	18	36	2,098	72
	2008	3	5	24	23	*	22	22		18	14	32	2,912	68
	2006	34	24	41	52	5	15	20		60	48	108	7,177	236
K-2	2007	22	9	27	25	1	19	21		33	30	63	6,450	227
	2008	9	8	40	39	1	29	30		30	29	59	8,500	239

Number Tested	2006	58	73	86	133	14	30	40	0	132	104	236	28,201
	2007	33	37	150	159	11	124	137	0	120	107	227	34,441
	2008	20	31	185	179	12	146	151	0	120	119	239	41,070

Logramos Read (1) **Logramos: READING TOTAL**

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006			45.5	44.4		45.5	40.0		33.3	*	45.5	85.2	11
K	2007			93.0	92.3	*	93.0	95.1		88.9	96.0	93.0	84.7	43
	2008			83.3	87.5	*	82.6	81.8		78.6	90.0	83.3	87.0	48
	2006												82.5	0
1	2007			69.2	70.6		69.2	68.4		71.4	66.7	69.2	84.5	39
	2008			87.5	86.8	*	89.1	88.9		81.8	92.3	87.5	88.0	48
	2006												87.2	0
2	2007												89.9	0
	2008			97.0	96.7		97.0	96.7		94.1	100.0	97.0	93.4	33
	2006			45.5	44.4		45.5	40.0		33.3	*	45.5	84.6	11
K-2	2007			81.7	82.2	*	81.7	82.3		79.5	83.7	81.7	85.6	82
	2008			88.4	89.8	*	88.8	88.2		83.6	93.5	88.4	89.3	129
	2006	0	0	11	9	0	11	10	0	6	5	11	10,804	
Number	2007	0	0	82	73	1	82	79	0	39	43	82	14,889	

	2006	0	0	11	9	0	11	10	0	6	5	11	10,804
Number Tested	2007	0	0	82	73	1	82	79	0	39	43	82	14,889
	2008	0	0	129	108	3	125	119	0	67	62	129	18,257

Logramos Read (2) **Logramos: READING TOTAL**

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006			1	1		1	1		1	*	1	2,064	11
K	2007			22	19	*	22	22		9	13	22	2,779	43
	2008			26	22	*	25	25		13	13	26	3,280	48
	2006												1,391	0
1	2007			6	6		6	6		4	2	6	1,782	39
	2008			14	11	*	14	14		6	8	14	2,412	48
	2006												838	0
2	2007												1,196	0
	2008			11	10		11	10		6	5	11	2,596	33
	2006			1	1		1	1		1	*	1	4,293	11
K-2	2007			28	25	*	28	28		13	15	28	5,757	82
	2008			51	43	*	50	49	_	25	26	51	8,288	129
	2006	0	0	11	9	0	11	10	0	6	5	11	10,804	
Number Tested	2007	0	0	82	73	1	82	79	0	39	43	82	14,889	

	2006	0	0	11	9	0	11	10	0	6	5	11	10,804
Number Tested	2007	0	0	82	73	1	82	79	0	39	43	82	14,889
	2008	0	0	129	108	3	125	119	0	67	62	129	18,257

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1	in 2007	Level 2	in 2007	Level 3	in 2007	Levels 1-	3 in 2007
Grade	Number Percentage Tested Improved Both Years in 2008		Number Percentage Tested Improved Both Years in 2008		Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2008	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2008
2	0	-	1	100.0	4	50.0	5	60.0
3	1	100.0	0	-	7	28.6	8	37.5
4	0	-	1	100.0	8	12.5	9	22.2
ALL	1	100.0	2	100.0	19	26.3	22	36.4