Campus Data Packet

for 2008 - 09 Plans



CLINTON P. RUSSELL

School Number 205

The information in this packert is based on data from the 2007-08 school year.



2008-09 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2 Contents	sTable of Contents	3 Notes Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STUDENTS	AND STAFF	
5 Summar	ySummary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6 Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7 Enrollme	ent (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8 Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
9 Teacher	sTeacher Statistics	
TEXAS ASS	SESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	
10 TAKS R	ead (1) TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	11 TAKS Read (2) TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
12 TAKS R	ead (3) TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	13 TAKS Read (4) TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
14 TAKS M	ath (1)TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	15 TAKS Math (2) TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
16 TAKS M	ath (3)TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	17 TAKS Math (4)TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
18 TAKS W	/rite (1)TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	19 TAKS Write (2) TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
20 TAKS W	rite (3)TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	21 TAKS Write (4) TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
22 TAKS So	cience (1)TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	23 TAKS Science (2) TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
24 TAKS So	cience (3)TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	25 TAKS Science (4) TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
MEASURES	OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
26 WMLS	Woodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	

°The TEA will release passing standards for the 2008 TAKS-Modified test in late summer. When they are available, an addendum to the Campus Data Packet with reports for TAKS-M will be available on MyData Portal.

Student results for the 2008 TELPAS will be sent by the TEA in September. An addendum with these results will be posted on MyData Portal by October.

2007-08 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2007-08. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2006-07 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2006-07 and 2007-08.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawl transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled on the first day of the second six-weeks grading period and on the last day of testing.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

TAKS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2009, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
4	112
5	100
ALL	212

STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stud	lents	Teachers				
Ethnicity	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
African American	25	11.8	6	46.2			
American Indian	0	0.0	*	*			
Asian	1	0.5	*	*			
Hispanic	184	86.8	1	7.7			
White	2	0.9	5	38.5			
Other	**	**	1	7.7			

^{*}Included in category "Other."

STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percent
Economically disadvantaged students	208	98.1
Limited English proficient students	57	26.9
Special education students	14	6.6

^{**}All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

		African American		American Indian		Asian		Hispanic		White		
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	N %		%	N	%	N	%
	2006	113	21	18.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	92	81.4	0	0.0
4	2007	100	18	18.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	82	82.0	0	0.0
	2008	112	11	9.8	0	0.0	1	0.9	98	87.5	2	1.8
	2006	131	38	29.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	93	71.0	0	0.0
5	2007	113	24	21.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	89	78.8	0	0.0
	2008	100	14	14.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	86	86.0	0	0.0
	2006	366	89	24.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	277	75.7	0	0.0
4-6	2007	213	42	19.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	171	80.3	0	0.0
	2008	212	25	11.8	0	0.0	1	0.5	184	86.8	2	0.9

		Economically Disadvantaged		LEP		Special Education		TAG		New (to Dallas ISD)		Retention		
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)	
	2006	113	111	98.2	15	13.3	12	10.6	0	0.0	4	3.5	0.0	
4	2007	100	98	98.0	21	21.0	4	4.0	14	14.0	3	3.0	0.0	
	2008	112	110	98.2	31	27.7	6	5.4	17	15.2	11	9.8	0.0	
	2006	131	124	94.7	16	12.2	6	4.6	31	23.7	6	4.6	3.8	
5	2007	113	109	96.5	16	14.2	6	5.3	22	19.5	7	6.2	1.8	
	2008	100	98	98.0	26	26.0	8	8.0	15	15.0	12	12.0	1.0	
	2006	366	355	97.0	50	13.7	26	7.1	60	16.4	16	4.4	1.4	
4-6	2007	213	207	97.2	37	17.4	10	4.7	36	16.9	10	4.7	0.9	
	2008	212	208	98.1	57	26.9	14	6.6	32	15.1	23	10.8	0.5	

Grade	Year	Average Daily Membership (N)		Average Daily Attendance				Yearly Transactions			Continuously Enrolled (N)		Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (N)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus	Dallas ISD
	2006	111.5	12,021.0	109.5	98.2	11,684.2	97.2	17	15.2	22.3	100	10,587	89.7	88.1
4	2007	98.3	12,104.9	96.6	98.3	11,768.3	97.2	16	16.3	19.7	91	10,683	92.6	88.3
	2008	112.0	12,329.8	110.2	98.4	11,960.3	97.0	21	18.8	19.7	98	10,924	87.5	88.6
	2006	130.8	12,618.4	128.7	98.4	12,262.2	97.2	21	16.1	22.3	121	11,130	92.5	88.2
5	2007	110.8	11,757.0	109.3	98.7	11,426.8	97.2	19	17.2	20.9	103	10,362	93.0	88.1
	2008	100.8	11,874.2	99.6	98.8	11,539.6	97.2	21	20.8	18.8	91	10,608	90.2	89.3
	2006	124.9	10,821.1	122.2	97.8	10,478.8	96.8	14	11.2	23.6	114	9,467	91.2	87.5
6	2007		11,264.8			10,864.3	96.4			21.9		9,788		86.9
	2008		10,450.4			10,060.0	96.3			22.0		9,055		86.6
	2006	367.3	35,460.5	360.4	98.1	34,425.2	97.1	52	14.2	22.7	335	31,184	91.2	87.9
4-6	2007	209.1	35,126.6	205.9	98.5	34,059.4	97.0	35	16.7	20.8	194	30,833	92.8	87.8
	2008	212.8	34,654.4	209.8	98.6	33,560.0	96.8	42	19.7	20.1	189	30,587	88.8	88.3

Teachers Teacher Statistics

DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS

Ethnicity	Number	Percent			
African American	6	46.2			
Hispanic	1	7.7			
White	5	38.5			
Other	1	7.7			

Gender	Number	Percent
Female	8	61.5
Male	5	38.5

TOTAL	13

AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES

2006	7.5
2007	6.6
2008	5.0

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		70.6	90.5	86.9	*	50.0	74.3	*	84.9	89.6	87.1	69.5	101
4	2007		82.4	76.7	77.5	*	46.2	57.6		78.0	77.6	77.8	69.7	90
	2008	*	88.9	80.2	81.6	*	60.0	66.7		71.7	89.5	81.6	72.1	103
	2006		68.6	92.9	85.7	*	85.7	75.9	*	83.8	88.2	85.7	76.9	119
5	2007		100.0	100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	78.3	104
	2008		100.0	87.8	90.1	*	81.8	81.8		91.5	88.0	89.7	82.9	97
	2006		100.0	98.7	99.0	*	*	98.0	*	97.9	100.0	99.0	89.4	105
6	2007												87.2	0
	2008												87.8	0
	2006		80.0	93.9	90.4	*	68.4	83.6	*	88.2	92.9	90.5	78.1	325
4-6	2007		92.3	89.0	89.4	*	70.8	80.6	*	90.6	88.8	89.7	78.2	194
	2008	*	95.8	83.8	85.6	66.7	70.2	74.4		81.7	88.8	85.5	80.6	200

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 4-6				
2006	0	80	245	314	5	19	140	3	169	156	325	30,873
2007	0	39	155	189	2	24	72	1	96	98	194	30,831
2008	2	24	173	194	6	47	86	0	93	107	200	30,736

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2009 AEIS reading/ELA minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		5	8	13	*	4	9	*	8	5	13	3,190	101
4	2007		3	17	20	*	7	14		9	11	20	3,189	90
	2008	*	1	18	19	*	10	14		13	6	19	2,996	103
	2006		11	6	16	*	1	13	*	11	6	17	2,584	119
5	2007		0	0	0	*	0	0	*	0	0	0	2,258	104
	2008		0	10	9	*	4	8		4	6	10	1,827	97
	2006		0	1	1	*	*	1	*	1	0	1	982	105
6	2007												1,269	0
	2008												1,140	0
	2006		16	15	30	*	6	23	*	20	11	31	6,756	325
4-6	2007		3	17	20	*	7	14	*	9	11	20	6,716	194
	2008	*	1	28	28	2	14	22		17	12	29	5,963	200

					NUMB	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 4-6				
2006	0	80	245	314	5	19	140	3	169	156	325	30,873
2007	0	39	155	189	2	24	72	1	96	98	194	30,831
2008	2	24	173	194	6	47	86	0	93	107	200	30,736

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2006	78.1	78.6	79.1	73.0
4	2007	81.5	80.6	75.6	76.0
	2008	83.0	78.0	83.1	79.3
	2006	79.2	79.3	79.6	73.4
5	2007	82.5	87.3	84.3	85.4
	2008	79.4	82.7	81.3	81.2
	2006	92.0	88.6	86.8	79.0
6	2007				
	2008				
	2006	83.0	82.1	81.8	75.1
4-6	2007	82.1	84.1	80.2	81.0
	2008	81.3	80.3	82.2	80.2

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		0.0	6.0	5.1	*	0.0	2.9	*	1.9	8.3	5.0	10.0	101
4	2007		47.1	21.9	25.8	*	0.0	9.1		19.5	32.7	26.7	16.6	90
	2008	*	11.1	6.6	7.8	*	0.0	2.4		8.7	7.0	7.8	14.4	103
	2006		17.1	14.3	13.4	*	0.0	3.7	*	13.2	17.6	15.1	10.8	119
5	2007		27.3	22.0	22.0	*	9.1	10.3	*	20.0	26.5	23.1	13.1	104
-	2008		40.0	15.9	19.8	*	0.0	11.4		12.8	26.0	19.6	16.5	97
	2006		42.9	50.6	48.5	*	*	29.4	*	43.8	52.6	48.6	28.7	105
6	2007												37.4	0
	2008												33.8	0
	2006		22.5	22.9	22.3	*	5.3	12.9	*	18.3	27.6	22.8	15.8	325
4-6	2007		35.9	21.9	23.8	*	4.2	9.7	*	19.8	29.6	24.7	22.2	194
	2008	*	29.2	11.0	13.4	0.0	0.0	7.0		10.8	15.9	13.5	21.0	200

					NUMB	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 4-6				
2006	0	80	245	314	5	19	140	3	169	156	325	30,873
2007	0	39	155	189	2	24	72	1	96	98	194	30,831
2008	2	24	173	194	6	47	86	0	93	107	200	30,736

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		77.8	95.2	92.0	*	87.5	83.3	*	92.5	91.8	92.2	74.0	102
4	2007		88.2	86.3	86.5	*	69.2	75.8		90.5	83.3	86.7	75.7	90
	2008	*	100.0	87.9	89.4	*	80.0	79.1		85.1	93.0	89.4	77.9	104
	2006		83.3	89.3	86.7	*	57.1	77.8	*	89.9	84.3	87.5	82.2	120
5	2007		72.7	94.0	89.1	*	81.8	76.2	*	86.0	93.8	89.5	82.9	105
	2008		85.7	91.0	91.0	*	72.7	81.8		87.0	89.8	88.4	84.0	95
	2006		88.9	97.4	95.1		*	90.0	*	97.9	93.0	95.2	77.2	104
6	2007												70.0	0
	2008												73.8	0
	2006		84.0	93.9	91.1	*	78.9	83.6	*	92.9	89.8	91.4	77.9	326
4-6	2007		79.5	90.4	87.9	*	75.0	76.0	*	87.9	88.5	88.2	76.3	195
	2008	*	91.7	89.3	90.2	83.3	76.6	80.5		86.0	91.5	88.9	78.8	199

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 4-6				
2006	0	81	245	315	4	19	140	3	169	157	326	31,229
2007	0	39	156	190	2	24	75	1	99	96	195	31,199
2008	2	24	169	193	6	47	87	0	93	106	199	31,212

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2008-09 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 58% are shaded.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		4	4	8	*	1	6	*	4	4	8	2,765	102
4	2007		2	10	12	*	4	8		4	8	12	2,604	90
	2008	*	0	11	11	*	5	9		7	4	11	2,436	104
	2006		6	9	15	*	3	12	*	7	8	15	1,997	120
5	2007		6	5	11	*	2	10	*	8	3	11	1,785	105
	2008		2	7	8	*	6	8		6	5	11	1,730	95
	2006		3	2	5		*	5	*	1	4	5	2,140	104
6	2007												3,012	0
	2008												2,460	0
	2006		13	15	28	*	4	23	*	12	16	28	6,902	326
4-6	2007		8	15	23	*	6	18	*	12	11	23	7,401	195
	2008	*	2	18	19	1	11	17		13	9	22	6,626	199

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 4-6				
2006	0	81	245	315	4	19	140	3	169	157	326	31,229
2007	0	39	156	190	2	24	75	1	99	96	195	31,199
2008	2	24	169	193	6	47	87	0	93	106	199	31,212

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2006	88.2	84.9	82.4	84.3	87.3	74.4
4	2007	88.9	85.6	83.0	75.0	75.8	73.1
	2008	88.4	86.0	92.1	83.2	80.8	75.6
	2006	82.8	79.6	83.8	81.5	84.4	79.3
5	2007	78.7	77.3	87.9	79.9	83.8	80.1
	2008	81.3	78.5	86.9	77.9	85.3	81.4
	2006	86.9	84.2	89.3	75.4	88.6	87.7
6	2007						
	2008						
	2006	85.8	82.7	85.1	80.4	86.6	80.4
4-6	2007	83.4	81.1	85.6	77.6	80.1	76.9
	2008	85.0	82.4	89.7	80.7	82.9	78.4

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		16.7	36.9	33.0	*	12.5	19.4	*	35.8	30.6	33.3	22.1	102
4	2007		47.1	27.4	30.3	*	0.0	12.1		28.6	33.3	31.1	22.6	90
	2008	*	60.0	39.6	40.4	*	32.0	27.9		44.7	36.8	40.4	23.8	104
	2006		22.2	41.7	35.4	*	0.0	9.3	*	37.7	33.3	35.8	29.9	120
5	2007		13.6	28.9	24.8	*	9.1	19.0	*	26.3	25.0	25.7	29.3	105
	2008		35.7	37.2	38.2	*	22.7	27.3		32.6	38.8	35.8	31.9	95
	2006		14.8	70.1	55.9		*	36.0	*	59.6	52.6	55.8	28.6	104
6	2007												26.5	0
	2008												31.0	0
	2006		18.5	49.0	41.3	*	10.5	21.4	*	43.2	39.5	41.4	26.9	326
4-6	2007		28.2	28.2	27.4	*	4.2	16.0	*	27.3	29.2	28.2	26.1	195
	2008	*	45.8	38.5	39.4	16.7	27.7	27.6		38.7	37.7	38.2	28.8	199

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 4-6				
2006	0	81	245	315	4	19	140	3	169	157	326	31,229
2007	0	39	156	190	2	24	75	1	99	96	195	31,199
2008	2	24	169	193	6	47	87	0	93	106	199	31,212

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		76.5	91.6	89.8	*	87.5	76.5	*	84.9	93.6	89.0	84.2	100
4	2007		82.4	91.2	89.3		66.7	75.0		87.2	91.3	89.4	86.5	85
	2008	*	90.0	91.3	91.4	*	73.1	81.4		85.1	96.6	91.5	87.4	106

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2006	0	17	83	98	2	8	34	1	53	47	100	10,311
2007	0	17	68	84	0	12	32	0	39	46	85	10,408
2008	2	10	92	105	2	26	43	0	47	58	106	10,658

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2009 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		4	7	10	*	1	8	*	8	3	11	1,631	100
4	2007		3	6	9		4	8		5	4	9	1,408	85
	2008	*	1	8	9	*	7	8		7	2	9	1,348	106

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2006	0	17	83	98	2	8	34	1	53	47	100	10,311
2007	0	17	68	84	0	12	32	0	39	46	85	10,408
2008	2	10	92	105	2	26	43	0	47	58	106	10,658

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2006	2.1	88.5	79.8	77.8	89.1
4	2007	2.4	82.1	81.8	86.2	85.9
	2008	2.2	87.0	91.9	88.3	90.0

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		11.8	15.7	15.3	*	0.0	2.9	*	13.2	17.0	15.0	10.9	100
4	2007		35.3	26.5	27.4		0.0	9.4		23.1	32.6	28.2	18.2	85
	2008	*	20.0	20.7	20.0	*	0.0	7.0		23.4	17.2	19.8	21.4	106

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2006	0	17	83	98	2	8	34	1	53	47	100	10,311
2007	0	17	68	84	0	12	32	0	39	46	85	10,408
2008	2	10	92	105	2	26	43	0	47	58	106	10,658

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		55.9	81.9	72.7	*	28.6	53.8	*	76.1	72.0	74.4	62.1	117
5	2007		77.3	81.7	81.0	*	45.5	64.1	*	80.4	81.3	80.8	62.3	104
	2008		80.0	74.7	75.3	*	52.4	62.8		75.6	74.0	74.7	71.9	95

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 5				
2006	0	34	83	110	1	7	52	1	67	50	117	11,045
2007	0	22	82	100	1	11	39	1	56	48	104	10,296
2008	0	15	79	89	4	21	43	0	45	50	95	10,686

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 50 percent, the 2009 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		15	15	30	*	5	24	*	16	14	30	4,185	117
5	2007		5	15	19	*	6	14	*	11	9	20	3,881	104
	2008		3	20	22	*	10	16		11	13	24	3,005	95

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2006	0	34	83	110	1	7	52	1	67	50	117	11,045
2007	0	22	82	100	1	11	39	1	56	48	104	10,296
2008	0	15	79	89	4	21	43	0	45	50	95	10,686

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

		OBJECTIVE									
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Life Sciences	Physical Sciences	Earth Sciences						
	2006	83.4	82.1	79.7	72.5						
5	2007	84.1	86.6	86.6	77.9						
	2008	85.0	87.1	84.7	73.2						

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		17.6	28.9	26.4	*	0.0	7.7	*	29.9	20.0	25.6	14.4	117
5	2007		31.8	34.1	33.0	*	36.4	28.2	*	41.1	25.0	33.7	18.0	104
	2008	·	53.3	26.6	30.3	*	4.8	16.3		33.3	28.0	30.5	24.8	95

	NUMBER TESTED IN GRADE 5												
2006	0	34	83	110	1	7	52	1	67	50	117	11,045	
2007	0	22	82	100	1	11	39	1	56	48	104	10,296	
2008	0	15	79	89	4	21	43	0	45	50	95	10,686	

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1	in 2007	Level 2	in 2007	Level 3	in 2007	Levels 1-3 in 2007		
Grade	Number Percentage Tested Improved Both Years in 2008		Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2008	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2008	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2008	
4	2	50.0	2	0.0	17	23.5	21	23.8	
5	0	-	3	0.0	0	-	3	0.0	
ALL	2	50.0	5	0.0	17	23.5	24	20.8	