Campus Data Packet

for 2008 - 09 Plans



PRESTON HOLLOW

School Number 195

The information in this packert is based on data from the 2007-08 school year.



2008-09 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2 ContentsTable of Contents	3 Notes Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
5 SummarySummary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6 Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7 Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8 Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
9 Teachers Teacher Statistics	
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	
10 TAKS Read (1) TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	11 TAKS Read (2) TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
12 TAKS Read (3) TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	13 TAKS Read (4) TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
14 TAKS Math (1)TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	15 TAKS Math (2) TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
16 TAKS Math (3)TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	17 TAKS Math (4) TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
18 TAKS Write (1) TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	19 TAKS Write (2) TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
20 TAKS Write (3) TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	21 TAKS Write (4) TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
22 TAKS Science (1)TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	23 TAKS Science (2) TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
24 TAKS Science (3)TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	25 TAKS Science (4) TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
26 SPTAKS Read (1) Spanish TAKS Reading: % Meeting Standard	27 SPTAKS Read (2) Spanish TAKS Reading: # Not Meeting Standard
28 SPTAKS Read (3) Spanish TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	29 SPTAKS Read (4) Spanish TAKS Reading: % Commended
IOWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS (AND RELATED TESTS)	
30 Compliance SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	31 ITBS Read (1) ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %
32 ITBS Read (2)ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %	33 ITBS Math (1) ITBS Mathematics Total: % At or Above the 40th %
34 ITBS Math (2) ITBS Mathematics Total: # At or Above the 80th %	35 Logramos Read (1) Logramos Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %
36 Logramos Read (2) Logramos Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %	
MEASURES OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	

37.... WMLS...... Woodcock-Muñoz Language Survey

The TEA will release passing standards for the 2008 TAKS-Modified test in late summer. When they are available, an addendum to the Campus Data Packet with reports for TAKS-M will be available on MyData Portal.

Student results for the 2008 TELPAS will be sent by the TEA in September. An addendum with these results will be posted on MyData Portal by October.

2007-08 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2007-08. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2006-07 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2006-07 and 2007-08.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawl transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled on the first day of the second six-weeks grading period and on the last day of testing.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

TAKS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2009, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

SCE Compliance and ITBS / ITED / Logramos

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading results for all three years are for the Language Total subtest; all other grades' results reflect Reading Total performance. (The Reading Total summary is not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergarteners in 2008.) All Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The number tested includes kindergarteners.
- Numbers tested in READING for 2006 and 2007 may not match those reported in campus data packets published in the last two years. Previous totals did not consistently include students in Kindergarten, though documentation included with the reports indicated otherwise.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
EC	4
PK	62
KN	44
1	55
2	45
3	48
4	35
5	51
ALL	344

STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stud	dents	Teachers				
Ethilotty	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
African American	34	9.9	4	12.5			
American Indian	0	0.0	*	*			
Asian	1	0.3	*	*			
Hispanic	249	72.4	11	34.4			
White	60	17.4	17	53.1			
Other	**	**	0	0.0			

^{*}Included in category "Other."

STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percent
Economically disadvantaged students	268	77.9
Limited English proficient students	183	53.2
Special education students	28	8.1

^{**}All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

			African American		America	ın Indian	Asian		Hisp	anic	White	
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2006	58	8	13.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	48	82.8	2	3.4
PK	2007	62	11	17.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	47	75.8	4	6.5
	2008	62	10	16.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	52	83.9	0	0.0
	2006	87	15	17.2	0	0.0	5	5.7	54	62.1	13	14.9
KN	2007	82	9	11.0	0	0.0	1	1.2	56	68.3	16	19.5
	2008	44	8	18.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	28	63.6	8	18.2
	2006	88	11	12.5	0	0.0	2	2.3	60	68.2	15	17.0
1	2007	68	8	11.8	0	0.0	3	4.4	48	70.6	9	13.2
	2008	55	4	7.3	0	0.0	1	1.8	37	67.3	13	23.6
	2006	94	7	7.4	0	0.0	2	2.1	62	66.0	23	24.5
2	2007	72	6	8.3	0	0.0	2	2.8	51	70.8	13	18.1
	2008	45	1	2.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	39	86.7	5	11.1
	2006	89	9	10.1	0	0.0	2	2.2	60	67.4	18	20.2
3	2007	63	5	7.9	0	0.0	1	1.6	40	63.5	17	27.0
	2008	48	5	10.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	33	68.8	10	20.8
	2006	53	8	15.1	0	0.0	1	1.9	32	60.4	12	22.6
4	2007	71	7	9.9	0	0.0	2	2.8	46	64.8	16	22.5
	2008	35	2	5.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	23	65.7	10	28.6
	2006	85	9	10.6	0	0.0	4	4.7	53	62.4	19	22.4
5	2007	41	7	17.1	0	0.0	1	2.4	25	61.0	8	19.5
	2008	51	3	5.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	34	66.7	14	27.5
	2006	559	69	12.3	0	0.0	16	2.9	370	66.2	104	18.6
EC-5	2007	462	53	11.5	0	0.0	10	2.2	316	68.4	83	18.0
	2008	344	34	9.9	0	0.0	1	0.3	249	72.4	60	17.4

				Economically isadvantaged		ĒΡ	Special E	Education	TAG		New (to Dallas ISD)		Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2006	58	55	94.8	37	63.8	2	3.4	0	0.0	57	98.3	0.0
PK	2007	62	60	96.8	34	54.8	1	1.6	0	0.0	62	100.0	0.0
	2008	62	61	98.4	47	75.8	3	4.8	0	0.0	61	98.4	0.0
	2006	87	71	81.6	40	46.0	5	5.7	6	6.9	69	79.3	2.3
KN	2007	82	61	74.4	42	51.2	4	4.9	7	8.5	53	64.6	2.4
	2008	44	36	81.8	20	45.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	22	50.0	4.5
	2006	88	68	77.3	46	52.3	4	4.5	9	10.2	12	13.6	8.0
1	2007	68	53	77.9	31	45.6	4	5.9	5	7.4	6	8.8	8.8
	2008	55	37	67.3	27	49.1	4	7.3	7	12.7	5	9.1	7.3
	2006	94	72	76.6	51	54.3	6	6.4	13	13.8	15	16.0	3.2
2	2007	72	58	80.6	37	51.4	6	8.3	7	9.7	9	12.5	8.3
	2008	45	38	84.4	30	66.7	4	8.9	2	4.4	7	15.6	13.3
	2006	89	63	70.8	39	43.8	16	18.0	15	16.9	12	13.5	6.7
3	2007	63	45	71.4	29	46.0	3	4.8	11	17.5	5	7.9	4.8
	2008	48	35	72.9	27	56.3	3	6.3	10	20.8	3	6.3	4.2
	2006	53	40	75.5	8	15.1	8	15.1	10	18.9	7	13.2	0.0
4	2007	71	47	66.2	27	38.0	8	11.3	15	21.1	1	1.4	2.8
	2008	35	25	71.4	17	48.6	3	8.6	7	20.0	1	2.9	2.9
	2006	85	60	70.6	23	27.1	10	11.8	21	24.7	12	14.1	16.5
5	2007	41	30	73.2	13	31.7	5	12.2	8	19.5	6	14.6	4.9
	2008	51	32	62.7	15	29.4	5	9.8	14	27.5	2	3.9	0.0
	2006	559	431	77.1	244	43.6	55	9.8	74	13.2	188	33.6	6.1
EC-5	2007	462	357	77.3	213	46.1	34	7.4	53	11.5	144	31.2	4.8
	2008	344	268	77.9	183	53.2	28	8.1	42	12.2	103	29.9	4.9

Grade	Year	Averag Member	e Daily ship (N)	Average Daily Attendance				Yea	rly Transaction	ns	Continuously Enrolled (N)		Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (N)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus	Dallas ISD
	2006	29.4	3,987.7	27.9	94.9	3,815.3	95.7	68	100.0	100.0	51	7,228	100.0	100.0
PK	2007	30.1	4,117.2	28.7	95.1	3,933.6	95.5	75	100.0	100.0	51	7,436	100.0	100.0
	2008	31.1	4,133.2	29.9	96.2	3,942.7	95.4	70	100.0	100.0	59	7,427	100.0	100.0
	2006	84.2	13,725.9	79.8	94.8	13,178.1	96.0	25	29.7	25.7	69	11,822	82.0	86.1
KN	2007	79.8	13,945.0	76.3	95.6	13,385.6	96.0	19	23.8	24.3	69	12,035	86.5	86.3
	2008	44.6	13,568.1	42.8	96.1	13,004.4	95.8	14	31.4	23.5	37	11,618	83.0	85.6
	2006	87.0	14,145.9	84.4	97.0	13,632.7	96.4	16	18.4	24.8	75	12,164	86.2	86.0
1	2007	70.4	14,353.8	68.1	96.7	13,851.4	96.5	17	24.1	23.2	58	12,437	82.3	86.6
	2008	55.1	14,626.9	53.3	96.8	14,102.7	96.4	10	18.2	21.2	50	12,704	90.8	86.9
	2006	92.6	13,536.9	90.2	97.4	13,115.8	96.9	19	20.5	22.9	83	11,853	89.6	87.6
2	2007	73.6	13,403.8	71.9	97.6	12,978.9	96.8	11	14.9	21.7	67	11,729	91.0	87.5
	2008	47.6	13,708.6	46.2	97.0	13,269.8	96.8	10	21.0	19.7	42	12,043	88.2	87.9
	2006	86.6	12,948.9	83.9	97.0	12,577.2	97.1	19	22.0	22.8	79	11,376	91.3	87.9
3	2007	61.7	12,998.4	60.0	97.2	12,633.3	97.2	11	17.8	21.1	54	11,445	87.5	88.0
	2008	47.4	12,806.9	46.7	98.4	12,425.5	97.0	8	16.9	19.1	43	11,408	90.6	89.1
	2006	53.2	12,021.0	51.7	97.3	11,684.2	97.2	7	13.2	22.3	49	10,587	92.1	88.1
4	2007	71.1	12,104.9	69.0	97.0	11,768.3	97.2	11	15.5	19.7	64	10,683	90.0	88.3
	2008	35.8	12,329.8	34.8	97.3	11,960.3	97.0	7	19.6	19.7	31	10,924	86.6	88.6
	2006	81.1	12,618.4	79.9	98.5	12,262.2	97.2	19	23.4	22.3	74	11,130	91.3	88.2
5	2007	41.1	11,757.0	40.0	97.4	11,426.8	97.2	10	24.4	20.9	33	10,362	80.4	88.1
	2008	50.3	11,874.2	49.5	98.3	11,539.6	97.2	4	7.9	18.8	48	10,608	95.4	89.3
	2006	518.0	83,530.4	501.6	96.8	80,779.5	96.7	175	33.8	34.4	483	76,525	93.2	91.6
EC-5	2007	432.7	83,122.6	417.8	96.6	80,387.4	96.7	158	36.5	33.3	398	76,416	92.0	91.9
	2008	315.3	83,438.7	306.3	97.1	80,606.0	96.6	127	40.3	31.9	311	76,970	98.6	92.2

Teachers Teacher Statistics

DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS

Ethnicity	Number	Percent			
African American	4	12.5			
Hispanic	11	34.4			
White	17	53.1			
Other	0	0.0			

Gender	Number	Percent
Female	29	90.6
Male	3	9.4

TOTAL	32

AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES

2006	6.3
2007	5.5
2008	7.2

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	100.0	85.7	84.2	82.1	*	73.9	80.0		92.9	86.1	89.1	87.7	64
3	2007	100.0	*	89.3	90.6	*	81.3	83.3		95.5	92.9	94.0	84.6	50
	2008	100.0	83.3	100.0	91.7	*	*	92.9		100.0	91.7	96.0	86.2	25
	2006	100.0	85.7	75.9	77.1	66.7	*	56.3		88.0	78.3	83.3	69.5	48
4	2007	100.0	83.3	62.5	62.5	*	50.0	55.6		76.5	73.3	75.0	69.7	64
	2008	100.0	*	84.2	85.7	*	75.0	78.6		92.9	88.2	90.3	72.1	31
	2006	100.0	50.0	77.3	72.5	*	50.0	63.9		85.7	76.3	80.8	76.9	73
5	2007	100.0	83.3	71.4	70.8	*	87.5	64.3		73.3	85.7	80.6	78.3	36
	2008	100.0	*	88.0	87.0	*	71.4	80.0		89.5	95.5	92.7	82.9	41
	2006	100.0	72.7	79.3	76.8	81.8	65.9	68.3		88.6	80.4	84.3	78.2	185
3-5	2007	100.0	88.2	73.0	74.0	71.4	67.4	66.1		81.7	83.5	82.7	77.6	150
	2008	100.0	88.9	88.7	87.5	*	77.3	83.7		93.5	92.2	92.8	80.3	97

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2006	47	22	111	125	11	41	82	0	88	97	185	32,875
2007	42	17	89	96	7	46	59	0	71	79	150	31,814
2008	34	9	53	56	5	22	43	0	46	51	97	31,840

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2009 AEIS reading/ELA minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	0	1	6	7	*	6	6		2	5	7	1,380	64
3	2007	0	*	3	3	*	3	3		1	2	3	1,675	50
	2008	0	1	0	1	*	*	1		0	1	1	1,443	25
	2006	0	1	7	8	2	*	7		3	5	8	3,190	48
4	2007	0	1	15	15	*	11	12		8	8	16	3,189	64
	2008	0	*	3	3	*	3	3		1	2	3	2,996	31
	2006	0	4	10	14	*	7	13		5	9	14	2,584	73
5	2007	0	1	6	7	*	1	5		4	3	7	2,258	36
	2008	0	*	3	3	*	2	3		2	1	3	1,827	41
	2006	0	6	23	29	2	14	26		10	19	29	7,154	185
3-5	2007	0	2	24	25	2	15	20		13	13	26	7,122	150
	2008	0	1	6	7	*	5	7		3	4	7	6,266	97

					NUMB	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2006	47	22	111	125	11	41	82	0	88	97	185	32,875
2007	42	17	89	96	7	46	59	0	71	79	150	31,814
2008	34	9	53	56	5	22	43	0	46	51	97	31,840

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2006	84.1	86.8	79.9	82.4
3	2007	85.5	90.9	89.0	83.8
	2008	89.1	90.3	87.3	88.0
	2006	82.9	79.9	77.4	76.5
4	2007	81.0	77.0	79.0	68.4
	2008	85.8	91.1	84.3	81.0
	2006	77.2	79.6	78.8	76.3
5	2007	81.0	86.5	78.5	78.0
	2008	84.2	86.6	82.6	84.4
	2006	81.1	82.2	78.8	78.5
3-5	2007	82.5	83.9	82.2	75.8
	2008	86.0	89.0	84.4	84.2

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	94.1	28.6	23.7	23.1	*	4.3	20.0		46.4	41.7	43.8	28.0	64
3	2007	88.2	*	25.0	25.0	*	12.5	11.1		40.9	50.0	46.0	21.3	50
	2008	60.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	*	*	35.7		53.8	41.7	48.0	21.5	25
	2006	50.0	0.0	3.4	2.9	0.0	*	0.0		12.0	17.4	14.6	10.0	48
4	2007	56.3	0.0	12.5	10.0	*	9.1	11.1		23.5	23.3	23.4	16.6	64
	2008	70.0	*	15.8	9.5	*	0.0	0.0		28.6	35.3	32.3	14.4	31
	2006	61.1	25.0	11.4	13.7	*	0.0	2.8		17.1	34.2	26.0	10.8	73
5	2007	55.6	33.3	14.3	16.7	*	12.5	7.1		40.0	19.0	27.8	13.1	36
	2008	57.1	*	16.0	13.0	*	14.3	13.3		26.3	31.8	29.3	16.5	41
	2006	70.2	18.2	13.5	13.6	18.2	2.4	8.5		25.0	33.0	29.2	16.4	185
3-5	2007	69.0	17.6	16.9	16.7	57.1	10.9	10.2		32.4	31.6	32.0	17.1	150
	2008	61.8	0.0	24.5	16.1	*	13.6	16.3		34.8	35.3	35.1	17.4	97

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2006	47	22	111	125	11	41	82	0	88	97	185	32,875
2007	42	17	89	96	7	46	59	0	71	79	150	31,814
2008	34	9	53	56	5	22	43	0	46	51	97	31,840

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	100.0	57.1	93.8	84.8	*	94.1	87.5		96.2	87.5	91.4	74.9	58
3	2007	94.1	*	71.4	66.7	*	60.9	56.0		76.0	75.0	75.4	70.3	57
	2008	90.0	33.3	83.9	79.4	*	84.0	72.2		86.4	72.0	78.7	76.6	47
	2006	100.0	100.0	93.3	94.4	100.0	*	88.2		96.2	95.7	95.9	74.0	49
4	2007	100.0	*	79.5	76.3	*	66.7	72.0		87.9	82.8	85.5	75.7	62
	2008	100.0	*	95.7	92.0	*	93.8	88.9		94.1	94.4	94.3	77.9	35
	2006	100.0	37.5	81.4	74.0	*	76.9	68.6		82.4	81.6	81.9	82.2	72
5	2007	100.0	83.3	86.4	83.3	*	66.7	78.6		86.7	90.5	88.9	82.9	36
	2008	100.0	*	81.3	80.0	*	64.3	72.7		87.0	88.0	87.5	84.0	48
	2006	100.0	63.6	88.6	83.2	90.9	88.6	78.9		90.7	87.1	88.8	77.1	179
3-5	2007	97.6	68.8	78.1	74.3	100.0	64.2	67.2		83.6	81.7	82.6	76.2	155
	2008	97.1	55.6	86.0	83.1	90.9	81.8	76.3		88.7	83.8	86.2	79.5	130

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2006	47	22	105	119	11	35	76	0	86	93	179	33,063
2007	41	16	96	101	7	53	64	0	73	82	155	32,298
2008	34	9	86	89	11	55	76	0	62	68	130	32,696

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2008-09 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 58% are shaded.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	0	3	2	5	*	1	3		1	4	5	2,820	58
3	2007	1	*	10	13	*	9	11		6	8	14	3,303	57
	2008	1	4	5	7	*	4	10		3	7	10	2,542	47
	2006	0	0	2	2	0	*	2		1	1	2	2,765	49
4	2007	0	*	8	9	*	7	7		4	5	9	2,604	62
	2008	0	*	1	2	*	1	2		1	1	2	2,436	35
	2006	0	5	8	13	*	3	11		6	7	13	1,997	72
5	2007	0	1	3	4	*	3	3		2	2	4	1,785	36
	2008	0	*	6	6	*	5	6		3	3	6	1,730	48
	2006	0	8	12	20	1	4	16		8	12	20	7,582	179
3-5	2007	1	5	21	26	0	19	21		12	15	27	7,692	155
	2008	1	4	12	15	1	10	18		7	11	18	6,708	130

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2006	47	22	105	119	11	35	76	0	86	93	179	33,063
2007	41	16	96	101	7	53	64	0	73	82	155	32,298
2008	34	9	86	89	11	55	76	0	62	68	130	32,696

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2006	89.7	86.5	88.5	85.9	85.3	76.3
3	2007	76.0	78.1	80.7	78.1	85.1	67.8
	2008	81.9	86.5	86.9	79.1	78.7	75.8
	2006	91.3	91.5	84.7	77.2	87.8	77.0
4	2007	89.1	88.9	78.2	78.2	78.6	80.8
	2008	91.9	91.0	87.1	81.0	89.3	86.4
	2006	83.1	73.4	87.5	84.1	79.9	78.1
5	2007	88.9	76.6	81.0	76.6	86.1	74.3
	2008	87.9	81.0	89.6	81.0	88.5	83.9
	2006	87.5	82.6	87.1	82.8	83.8	77.2
3-5	2007	84.2	82.1	79.8	77.8	82.7	74.5
	2008	86.8	85.7	87.9	80.3	85.2	81.6

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	76.5	42.9	34.4	36.4	*	23.5	33.3		61.5	40.6	50.0	22.3	58
3	2007	76.5	*	11.4	12.8	*	8.7	4.0		32.0	28.1	29.8	19.2	57
	2008	70.0	0.0	35.5	29.4	*	32.0	30.6		36.4	40.0	38.3	26.3	47
	2006	66.7	42.9	20.0	22.2	50.0	*	11.8		30.8	39.1	34.7	22.1	49
4	2007	68.8	*	30.8	26.3	*	14.3	28.0		51.5	31.0	41.9	22.6	62
	2008	100.0	*	34.8	32.0	*	25.0	22.2		41.2	61.1	51.4	23.8	35
	2006	61.1	25.0	27.9	26.0	*	15.4	8.6		38.2	39.5	38.9	29.9	72
5	2007	75.0	16.7	4.5	4.2	*	0.0	0.0		33.3	14.3	22.2	29.3	36
	2008	78.6	*	43.8	40.0	*	28.6	36.4		56.5	56.0	56.3	31.9	48
	2006	68.1	36.4	27.6	27.7	54.5	20.0	17.1		43.0	39.8	41.3	24.8	179
3-5	2007	73.2	12.5	17.7	15.8	57.1	9.4	12.5		41.1	25.6	32.9	23.6	155
	2008	82.4	22.2	38.4	33.7	45.5	29.1	30.3		45.2	51.5	48.5	27.3	130

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2006	47	22	105	119	11	35	76	0	86	93	179	33,063
2007	41	16	96	101	7	53	64	0	73	82	155	32,298
2008	34	9	86	89	11	55	76	0	62	68	130	32,696

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	100.0	100.0	93.1	94.4	100.0	*	87.5		96.0	95.8	95.9	84.2	49
4	2007	100.0	100.0	80.5	80.5	*	72.7	75.0		88.2	87.1	87.7	86.5	65
	2008	100.0	*	94.7	95.2	*	91.7	92.3		92.3	100.0	96.8	87.4	31

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2006	12	7	29	36	6	4	16	0	25	24	49	10,311
2007	16	6	41	41	2	22	28	0	34	31	65	10,408
2008	10	2	19	21	2	12	13	0	13	18	31	10,658

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2009 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	0	0	2	2	0	*	2		1	1	2	1,631	49
4	2007	0	0	8	8	*	6	7		4	4	8	1,408	65
	2008	0	*	1	1	*	1	1		1	0	1	1,348	31

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2006	12	7	29	36	6	4	16	0	25	24	49	10,311
2007	16	6	41	41	2	22	28	0	34	31	65	10,408
2008	10	2	19	21	2	12	13	0	13	18	31	10,658

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2006	2.2	91.3	87.0	87.5	91.1
4	2007	2.3	85.8	81.7	91.0	86.0
	2008	2.4	87.9	87.5	89.5	87.9

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	41.7	0.0	10.3	8.3	0.0	*	0.0		8.0	25.0	16.3	10.9	49
4	2007	68.8	0.0	19.5	12.2	*	0.0	7.1		26.5	35.5	30.8	18.2	65
	2008	40.0	*	31.6	23.8	*	8.3	15.4		15.4	44.4	32.3	21.4	31

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2006	12	7	29	36	6	4	16	0	25	24	49	10,311
2007	16	6	41	41	2	22	28	0	34	31	65	10,408
2008	10	2	19	21	2	12	13	0	13	18	31	10,658

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	100.0	42.9	55.8	53.1	*	38.5	41.2		75.8	60.5	67.6	62.1	71
5	2007	100.0	50.0	47.6	50.0	*	50.0	30.8		64.3	57.1	60.0	62.3	35
	2008	92.9	*	74.2	72.4	*	53.8	61.9		90.9	72.0	80.9	71.9	47

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2006	18	7	43	49	3	13	34	0	33	38	71	11,045
2007	8	6	21	24	3	8	13	0	14	21	35	10,296
2008	14	2	31	29	2	13	21	0	22	25	47	10,686

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 50 percent, the 2009 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	0	4	19	23	*	8	20		8	15	23	4,185	71
5	2007	0	3	11	12	*	4	9		5	9	14	3,881	35
	2008	1	*	8	8	*	6	8		2	7	9	3,005	47

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2006	18	7	43	49	3	13	34	0	33	38	71	11,045
2007	8	6	21	24	3	8	13	0	14	21	35	10,296
2008	14	2	31	29	2	13	21	0	22	25	47	10,686

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Life Sciences	Physical Sciences	Earth Sciences
	2006	82.1	81.4	77.0	68.5
5	2007	78.7	80.3	82.2	70.8
	2008	87.2	87.7	89.6	72.8

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	50.0	28.6	11.6	12.2	*	7.7	8.8		30.3	23.7	26.8	14.4	71
5	2007	75.0	16.7	14.3	12.5	*	25.0	15.4		42.9	19.0	28.6	18.0	35
	2008	71.4	*	29.0	27.6	*	15.4	19.0		31.8	48.0	40.4	24.8	47

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5					
2006	18	18 7 43 49 3 13 34 0 33 38 71 11,045											
2007	8												
2008	14	14 2 31 29 2 13 21 0 22 25 47 10,686											

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006			88.9	88.9		88.9	88.9		*	*	88.9	82.2	9
3	2007			77.8	77.8		77.8	77.8		*	*	77.8	82.9	9
	2008			95.5	95.5	*	95.5	95.5		100.0	92.9	95.5	85.4	22
	2006												64.8	0
4	2007												65.9	0
	2008			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	67.0	4
	2006													0
5	2007												75.8	0
	2008			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		*	*	100.0	70.6	6
	2006			88.9	88.9		88.9	88.9		*	*	88.9		9
ALL	2007			77.8	77.8		77.8	77.8		*	*	77.8		9
	2008			93.8	93.8	*	93.8	93.8		100.0	88.9	93.8		32

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN GRAD	ES ALL					
2006	0	0 9 9 0 9 0 4 5 9 —											
2007	0	0 9 9 0 9 0 4 5 9											
2008	0	0 32 32 4 32 32 0 14 18 32											

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2009 AEIS reading/ELA minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006			1	1		1	1		*	*	1	141	9
3	2007			2	2		2	2		*	*	2	219	9
	2008			1	1	*	1	1		0	1	1	239	22
	2006												50	0
4	2007												122	0
	2008			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	215	4
	2006													0
5	2007												22	0
	2008			0	0		0	0		*	*	0	65	6
	2006			1	1		1	1		*	*	1		9
ALL	2007			2	2		2	2		*	*	2		9
	2008			2	2	*	2	2		0	2	2		32

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN GRAD	ES ALL					
2006	0	0	9	9	0	9	9	0	4	5	9		
2007	0	0 9 9 0 9 0 4 5 9											
2008	0	0	32	32	4	32	32	0	14	18	32		

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2006	77.0	69.8	66.7	80.6
3	2007	69.6	79.4	66.7	66.7
	2008	78.2	83.1	82.6	71.0
	2006				
4	2007				
	2008	*	*	*	*
	2006				
5	2007				
	2008	80.8	91.7	79.2	69.2
	2006	77.0	69.8	66.7	80.6
ALL	2007	69.6	79.4	66.7	66.7
	2008	76.4	82.9	80.5	69.6

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006			11.1	11.1		11.1	11.1		*	*	11.1	13.9	9
3	2007			0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		*	*	0.0	14.8	9
	2008			13.6	13.6	*	13.6	13.6		0.0	21.4	13.6	17.2	22
	2006												12.7	0
4	2007												14.2	0
	2008			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	13.5	4
	2006													0
5	2007												17.6	0
	2008			16.7	16.7		16.7	16.7		*	*	16.7	19.9	6
	2006			11.1	11.1		11.1	11.1		*	*	11.1		9
ALL	2007			0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		*	*	0.0		9
	2008			15.6	15.6	*	15.6	15.6		7.1	22.2	15.6		32

					NUMBE	R TESTE	IN ALL G	RADES					
2006	0	0	9	9	0	9	9	0	4	5	9		
2007	0	0 9 9 0 9 0 4 5 9											
2008	0	0	32	32	4	32	32	0	14	18	32		

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the IOWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS

			READING T	OTAL (**LA	NGUAGE TO	OTAL)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	85.4	86.0	69.9	43
K**	2007	*	66.7	64.9	46.9	37
	2008	*	96.0	92.3	57.6	26
	2006	63.6	71.9	69.8	54.1	43
1	2007	14.3	76.0	62.5	51.4	32
	2008	*	83.3	75.9	50.2	29
	2006	52.0	76.9	67.2	49.2	64
2	2007	32.1	86.4	56.0	44.5	50
	2008	50.0	80.0	65.0	50.2	20
	2006	55.6	74.6	68.2	51.4	107
1-2	2007	28.6	80.9	58.5	47.6	82
	2008	46.7	82.4	71.4	50.2	49

			MA	THEMATIC	S TOTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	80.5	81.4	68.7	43
K	2007	*	78.8	78.4	44.3	37
	2008	86.7	83.3	84.4	44.9	45
	2006	100.0	90.3	92.9	60.7	42
1	2007	25.0	69.2	58.8	48.8	34
	2008	75.0	77.8	76.4	55.5	55
	2006	88.0	84.6	85.9	63.1	64
2	2007	67.9	81.8	74.0	55.6	50
	2008	50.0	57.1	52.1	57.5	48
	2006	92.1	84.7	86.6	63.9	149
K-2	2007	60.0	76.5	71.1	49.1	121
	2008	66.2	76.1	70.9	52.7	148

	2006	38	112	150	27,596	
Number Tested	2007	39	80	119	24,696	
Tostoa	2008	16	59	75	21,817	

	2006	38	111	149	28,201	
Number Tested	2007	40	81	121	34,441	
restea	2008	77	71	148	41,070	

Numbers tested in READING for 2006 and 2007 may not match those reported in campus data packets published in the last two years. Previous totals did not consistently include students in Kindergarten, though documentation included with the reports indicated otherwise.

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	81.8	84.6	87.5	85.7	*	*	*		90.9	81.0	86.0	69.9	43
K**	2007	92.9	28.6	53.3	45.0	*	*	*		68.8	61.9	64.9	46.9	37
	2008	100.0	87.5	88.9	88.2	*	*	*		100.0	83.3	92.3	57.6	26
	2006	100.0	63.6	40.0	52.2	*	*	63.6		70.4	68.8	69.8	54.1	43
1	2007	88.9	*	46.7	61.1	*	*	14.3		56.3	68.8	62.5	51.4	32
	2008	92.3	*	58.3	58.3	*	*	*		68.8	84.6	75.9	50.2	29
	2006	100.0	42.9	48.5	50.0	*	41.7	52.0		62.1	71.4	67.2	49.2	64
2	2007	100.0	83.3	32.3	43.2	*	18.8	32.1		62.1	47.6	56.0	44.5	50
	2008	50.0	*	76.9	58.3	*	*	50.0		50.0	80.0	65.0	50.2	20
	2006	100.0	55.6	45.8	50.8	71.4	42.9	55.6		66.1	70.6	68.2	51.4	107
1-2	2007	95.2	72.7	37.0	49.1	16.7	20.0	28.6		60.0	56.8	58.5	47.6	82
	2008	78.9	*	68.0	58.3	50.0	42.9	46.7		61.5	82.6	71.4	50.2	49

	2006	48	31	64	93	8	30	38	0	78	72	150	27,596
Number Tested	2007	35	18	61	75	8	23	39	0	61	58	119	24,696
Tested	2008	27	12	34	41	10	8	16	0	40	35	75	21,817

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	2	7	8	14	*	*	*		9	9	18	1,856	43
K**	2007	13	2	5	6	*	*	*		10	11	21	1,245	37
	2008	8	5	6	11	*	*	*		12	8	20	1,687	26
	2006	12	3	2	6	*	*	3		11	7	18	1,786	43
1	2007	7	*	1	3	*	*	0		8	3	11	1,458	32
	2008	6	*	1	2	*	*	*		6	3	9	1,555	29
	2006	14	0	1	1	*	0	0		5	10	15	1,340	64
2	2007	9	2	1	3	*	0	3		9	4	13	896	50
	2008	2	*	1	1	*	*	0		1	2	3	1,065	20
	2006	26	3	3	7	2	0	3		16	17	33	3,126	107
1-2	2007	16	4	2	6	0	0	3		17	7	24	2,355	82
	2008	8	*	2	3	1	0	0		7	5	12	2,620	49

	2006	48	31	64	93	8	30	38	0	78	72	150	27,596
Number Tested	2007	35	18	61	75	8	23	39	0	61	58	119	24,696
Tested	2008	27	12	34	41	10	8	16	0	40	35	75	21,817

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	90.9	69.2	87.5	78.6	*	*	*		77.3	85.7	81.4	68.7	43
K	2007	92.9	71.4	66.7	70.0	*	*	*		75.0	81.0	78.4	44.3	37
	2008	100.0	62.5	89.3	86.1	*	90.0	86.7		90.5	79.2	84.4	44.9	45
	2006	100.0	100.0	80.0	86.4	*	*	100.0		96.2	87.5	92.9	60.7	42
1	2007	100.0	57.1	33.3	35.0	*	*	25.0		64.7	52.9	58.8	48.8	34
	2008	92.3	*	70.3	73.0	*	74.1	75.0		71.4	81.5	76.4	55.5	55
	2006	100.0	57.1	81.8	78.6	*	79.2	88.0		86.2	85.7	85.9	63.1	64
2	2007	91.7	100.0	61.3	70.3	*	81.3	67.9		69.0	81.0	74.0	55.6	50
	2008	66.7	*	48.8	46.2	*	41.9	50.0		48.0	56.5	52.1	57.5	48
	2006	97.9	76.7	82.8	80.4	62.5	83.3	92.1		87.0	86.1	86.6	63.9	149
K-2	2007	94.3	75.0	55.7	61.0	33.3	69.6	60.0		69.4	72.9	71.1	49.1	121
	2008	88.9	69.2	67.0	67.9	50.0	65.4	66.2		68.9	73.0	70.9	52.7	148

	2006	48	30	64	92	8	30	38	0	77	72	149	28,201
Number Tested	2007	35	20	61	77	9	23	40	0	62	59	121	34,441
l ested	2008	27	13	106	112	12	78	77	0	74	74	148	41,070

PRESTON HOLLOW (195)

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	4	6	9	14	*	*	*		9	12	21	2,491	43
K	2007	12	3	8	11	*	*	*		9	15	24	2,583	37
	2008	8	5	20	26	*	14	10		19	14	33	2,788	45
	2006	12	3	5	6	*	*	6		14	7	21	2,111	42
1	2007	3	1	0	0	*	*	0		3	2	5	1,769	34
	2008	4	*	15	16	*	13	13		11	10	21	2,800	55
	2006	15	1	3	4	*	3	3		8	12	20	2,575	64
2	2007	7	1	10	11	*	5	8		10	9	19	2,098	50
	2008	3	*	5	5	*	2	4		3	5	8	2,912	48
	2006	31	10	17	24	4	7	11		31	31	62	7,177	149
K-2	2007	22	5	18	22	1	6	10		22	26	48	6,450	121
	2008	15	6	40	47	3	29	27		33	29	62	8,500	148

	2006	48	30	64	92	8	30	38	0	77	72	149	28,201
Number Tested	2007	35	20	61	77	9	23	40	0	62	59	121	34,441
l ested	2008	27	13	106	112	12	78	77	0	74	74	148	41,070

Logramos Read (1)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006			94.3	94.3		94.1	93.9		88.9	100.0	94.3	85.2	35
K	2007			73.7	72.2		73.0	75.0		61.9	88.2	73.7	84.7	38
	2008			100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	87.0	19
	2006			80.5	80.5	*	79.5	79.5		66.7	88.5	80.5	82.5	41
1	2007			90.3	90.0		88.5	88.5		84.2	100.0	90.3	84.5	31
	2008			96.0	95.8	*	95.8	95.7		100.0	92.9	96.0	88.0	25
	2006			82.1	82.1	*	85.2	84.0		82.4	81.8	82.1	87.2	28
2	2007			100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	89.9	19
	2008			96.4	96.3		96.3	100.0		93.3	100.0	96.4	93.4	28
	2006			85.6	85.6	*	86.0	85.6		80.0	90.7	85.6	84.6	104
K-2	2007			85.2	84.7	*	84.1	85.2		77.1	95.0	85.2	85.6	88
	2008			97.2	97.1	*	97.1	98.4		97.0	97.4	97.2	89.3	72
	2006	0	0	104	104	3	100	97	0	50	54	104	10,804	

	2006	0	0	104	104	3	100	97	0	50	54	104	10,804
Number Tested	2007	0	0	88	85	2	82	81	0	48	40	88	14,889
Tested	2008	0	0	72	70	1	70	61	0	33	39	72	18,257

Logramos Read (2)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
К	2006			20	20		20	19		11	9	20	2,064	35
	2007			8	7		7	7		4	4	8	2,779	38
	2008			16	16		16	14		5	11	16	3,280	19
1	2006			11	11	*	11	11		3	8	11	1,391	41
	2007			8	8		7	7		3	5	8	1,782	31
	2008			14	14	*	13	13		6	8	14	2,412	25
2	2006			15	15	*	15	14		11	4	15	838	28
	2007			11	11	*	11	11		4	7	11	1,196	19
	2008			22	21		21	19		11	11	22	2,596	28
K-2	2006			46	46	*	46	44		25	21	46	4,293	104
	2007			27	26	*	25	25		11	16	27	5,757	88
	2008			52	51	*	50	46		22	30	52	8,288	72

Number Tested	2006	0	0	104	104	3	100	97	0	50	54	104	10,804
	2007	0	0	88	85	2	82	81	0	48	40	88	14,889
	2008	0	0	72	70	1	70	61	0	33	39	72	18,257

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1	in 2007	Level 2	in 2007	Level 3	in 2007	Levels 1-3 in 2007		
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2008							
2	1	100.0	1	100.0	4	75.0	6	83.3	
3	2	50.0	5	60.0	12	33.3	19	42.1	
4	1	100.0	2	50.0	6	83.3	9	77.8	
5	1	100.0	2	100.0	0	-	3	100.0	
ALL	5	80.0	10	70.0	22	54.5	37	62.2	