Campus Data Packet

for 2008 - 09 Plans



K. B. POLK

School Number 194

The information in this packert is based on data from the 2007-08 school year.



2008-09 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2 ContentsTable of Contents	3 Notes Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
5 SummarySummary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6 Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7 Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8 Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
9 TeachersTeacher Statistics	
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	
10 TAKS Read (1) TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	11 TAKS Read (2) TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
12 TAKS Read (3) TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	13 TAKS Read (4) TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
14 TAKS Math (1)TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	15 TAKS Math (2) TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
16 TAKS Math (3)TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	17 TAKS Math (4) TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
18 TAKS Write (1)TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	19 TAKS Write (2) TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
20 TAKS Write (3) TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	21 TAKS Write (4) TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
22 TAKS Science (1)TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	23 TAKS Science (2) TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
24 TAKS Science (3)TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	25 TAKS Science (4) TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
IOWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS (AND RELATED TESTS)	
26 Compliance SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	27 ITBS Read (1) ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %
28 ITBS Read (2)ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %	29 ITBS Math (1) ITBS Mathematics Total: % At or Above the 40th %
30 ITBS Math (2) ITBS Mathematics Total: # At or Above the 80th %	31 Logramos Read (1) Logramos Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %
32 Logramos Read (2) Logramos Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %	
MEASURES OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
33 WMLS Woodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	

°The TEA will release passing standards for the 2008 TAKS-Modified test in late summer. When they are available, an addendum to the Campus Data Packet with reports for TAKS-M will be available on MyData Portal.

Student results for the 2008 TELPAS will be sent by the TEA in September. An addendum with these results will be posted on MyData Portal by October.

‡ SCHOOLS WITH MAGNET PROGRAMS: Enrollment, attendance, and teacher statistics are not computed seperately for magnets, academies, and vanguards. Statistics computed for the home campus include all students at the home campus and the magnet program.

2007-08 SCHOOL SUMMARY ‡

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT‡

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2007-08. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2006-07 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2006-07 and 2007-08.

ATTENDANCE;

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawl transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled on the first day of the second six-weeks grading period and on the last day of testing.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS‡

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

TAKS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2009, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

SCE Compliance and ITBS / ITED / Logramos

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading results for all three years are for the Language Total subtest; all other grades' results reflect Reading Total performance. (The Reading Total summary is not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergarteners in 2008.) All Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The number tested includes kindergarteners.
- Numbers tested in READING for 2006 and 2007 may not match those reported in campus data packets published in the last two years. Previous totals did not consistently include students in Kindergarten, though documentation included with the reports indicated otherwise.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
EC	2
PK	66
KN	78
1	69
2	59
3	62
4	98
5	106
ALL	540

STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stu	dents	Teachers				
Ethilotty	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
African American	187	34.6	16	38.1			
American Indian	0	0.0	*	*			
Asian	9	1.7	*	*			
Hispanic	331	61.3	12	28.6			
White	13	2.4	13	31.0			
Other	**	**	1	2.4			

^{*}Included in category "Other."

STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percent
Economically disadvantaged students	482	89.3
Limited English proficient students	177	32.8
Special education students	28	5.2

^{**}All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

			African A	American American Indian Asian		ian	Hisp	anic	Wh	nite		
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2006	41	12	29.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	29	70.7	0	0.0
PK	2007	64	27	42.2	0	0.0	1	1.6	35	54.7	1	1.6
	2008	66	29	43.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	37	56.1	0	0.0
	2006	71	21	29.6	0	0.0	1	1.4	48	67.6	1	1.4
KN	2007	66	20	30.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	46	69.7	0	0.0
	2008	78	26	33.3	0	0.0	1	1.3	50	64.1	1	1.3
	2006	59	21	35.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	38	64.4	0	0.0
1	2007	65	18	27.7	0	0.0	1	1.5	46	70.8	0	0.0
	2008	69	23	33.3	0	0.0	1	1.4	45	65.2	0	0.0
	2006	59	21	35.6	0	0.0	1	1.7	37	62.7	0	0.0
2	2007	70	31	44.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	38	54.3	1	1.4
	2008	59	14	23.7	0	0.0	1	1.7	43	72.9	1	1.7
	2006	63	29	46.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	34	54.0	0	0.0
3	2007	67	25	37.3	0	0.0	1	1.5	40	59.7	1	1.5
	2008	62	28	45.2	0	0.0	2	3.2	32	51.6	0	0.0
	2006	101	48	47.5	0	0.0	2	2.0	48	47.5	3	3.0
4	2007	85	32	37.6	0	0.0	1	1.2	49	57.6	3	3.5
	2008	98	27	27.6	0	0.0	2	2.0	65	66.3	4	4.1
	2006	123	45	36.6	0	0.0	1	0.8	68	55.3	9	7.3
5	2007	125	56	44.8	0	0.0	4	3.2	59	47.2	6	4.8
	2008	106	40	37.7	0	0.0	2	1.9	57	53.8	7	6.6
	2006	527	198	37.6	0	0.0	5	0.9	311	59.0	13	2.5
EC-5	2007	549	209	38.1	0	0.0	8	1.5	320	58.3	12	2.2
	2008	540	187	34.6	0	0.0	9	1.7	331	61.3	13	2.4

				mically antaged	Ц	ĒP	Special E	Education	T	AG	New (to Dallas ISD)		Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2006	41	39	95.1	18	43.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	40	97.6	2.4
PK	2007	64	59	92.2	23	35.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	64	100.0	0.0
	2008	66	63	95.5	26	39.4	3	4.5	0	0.0	65	98.5	1.5
	2006	71	67	94.4	28	39.4	1	1.4	6	8.5	29	40.8	4.2
KN	2007	66	62	93.9	30	45.5	2	3.0	5	7.6	20	30.3	7.6
	2008	78	70	89.7	35	44.9	3	3.8	4	5.1	15	19.2	3.8
	2006	59	56	94.9	24	40.7	7	11.9	11	18.6	4	6.8	5.1
1	2007	65	61	93.8	31	47.7	2	3.1	8	12.3	8	12.3	3.1
	2008	69	65	94.2	35	50.7	2	2.9	8	11.6	5	7.2	7.2
	2006	59	53	89.8	26	44.1	4	6.8	12	20.3	2	3.4	0.0
2	2007	70	65	92.9	23	32.9	9	12.9	11	15.7	6	8.6	2.9
	2008	59	57	96.6	29	49.2	1	1.7	9	15.3	4	6.8	0.0
	2006	63	57	90.5	28	44.4	2	3.2	20	31.7	10	15.9	1.6
3	2007	67	57	85.1	28	41.8	6	9.0	17	25.4	4	6.0	3.0
	2008	62	55	88.7	19	30.6	8	12.9	14	22.6	4	6.5	0.0
	2006	101	84	83.2	15	14.9	5	5.0	48	47.5	12	11.9	1.0
4	2007	85	70	82.4	18	21.2	4	4.7	22	25.9	5	5.9	3.5
	2008	98	83	84.7	18	18.4	4	4.1	17	17.3	10	10.2	0.0
	2006	123	99	80.5	5	4.1	5	4.1	62	50.4	8	6.5	2.4
5	2007	125	86	68.8	14	11.2	8	6.4	49	39.2	5	4.0	0.8
	2008	106	87	82.1	15	14.2	5	4.7	23	21.7	6	5.7	3.8
	2006	527	464	88.0	144	27.3	34	6.5	159	30.2	111	21.1	3.0
EC-5	2007	549	467	85.1	167	30.4	38	6.9	112	20.4	114	20.8	3.6
	2008	540	482	89.3	177	32.8	28	5.2	75	13.9	111	20.6	2.4

Grade	Year	Averag Member	e Daily rship (N)	А	verage Daily	Attendance		Yea	rly Transaction	ns		uously ed (N)	Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (N)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus	Dallas ISD
	2006	20.6	3,987.7	19.6	94.8	3,815.3	95.7	45	100.0	100.0	36	7,228	100.0	100.0
PK	2007	26.1	4,117.2	24.4	93.6	3,933.6	95.5	67	100.0	100.0	40	7,436	100.0	100.0
	2008	30.8	4,133.2	29.4	95.3	3,942.7	95.4	80	100.0	100.0	56	7,427	100.0	100.0
	2006	66.7	13,725.9	63.4	95.0	13,178.1	96.0	16	24.0	25.7	57	11,822	85.4	86.1
KN	2007	67.0	13,945.0	64.8	96.7	13,385.6	96.0	9	13.4	24.3	63	12,035	94.1	86.3
	2008	80.0	13,568.1	77.0	96.3	13,004.4	95.8	6	7.5	23.5	75	11,618	93.8	85.6
	2006	61.3	14,145.9	59.2	96.6	13,632.7	96.4	14	22.8	24.8	56	12,164	91.3	86.0
1	2007	65.6	14,353.8	63.2	96.5	13,851.4	96.5	12	18.3	23.2	61	12,437	93.1	86.6
	2008	65.1	14,626.9	62.9	96.5	14,102.7	96.4	18	27.6	21.2	59	12,704	90.6	86.9
	2006	58.4	13,536.9	56.8	97.3	13,115.8	96.9	8	13.7	22.9	54	11,853	92.5	87.6
2	2007	67.6	13,403.8	65.6	96.9	12,978.9	96.8	15	22.2	21.7	63	11,729	93.2	87.5
	2008	59.9	13,708.6	58.2	97.1	13,269.8	96.8	9	15.0	19.7	55	12,043	91.8	87.9
	2006	60.8	12,948.9	58.9	97.0	12,577.2	97.1	12	19.7	22.8	55	11,376	90.5	87.9
3	2007	66.9	12,998.4	65.3	97.6	12,633.3	97.2	9	13.4	21.1	62	11,445	92.6	88.0
	2008	64.3	12,806.9	62.2	96.8	12,425.5	97.0	9	14.0	19.1	52	11,408	80.8	89.1
	2006	99.1	12,021.0	96.8	97.8	11,684.2	97.2	9	9.1	22.3	94	10,587	94.9	88.1
4	2007	85.8	12,104.9	83.5	97.3	11,768.3	97.2	11	12.8	19.7	80	10,683	93.2	88.3
	2008	98.7	12,329.8	95.6	96.9	11,960.3	97.0	7	7.1	19.7	92	10,924	93.2	88.6
	2006	123.6	12,618.4	120.5	97.5	12,262.2	97.2	7	5.7	22.3	118	11,130	95.4	88.2
5	2007	125.1	11,757.0	122.3	97.8	11,426.8	97.2	9	7.2	20.9	121	10,362	96.7	88.1
	2008	106.7	11,874.2	103.5	96.9	11,539.6	97.2	7	6.6	18.8	102	10,608	95.6	89.3
	2006	499.6	83,530.4	483.4	96.8	80,779.5	96.7	116	23.2	34.4	475	76,525	95.1	91.6
EC-5	2007	510.3	83,122.6	494.6	96.9	80,387.4	96.7	134	26.3	33.3	493	76,416	96.6	91.9
	2008	508.0	83,438.7	491.1	96.7	80,606.0	96.6	137	27.0	31.9	492	76,970	96.9	92.2

Teachers Teacher Statistics ‡

DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS

Ethnicity	Number	Percent			
African American	16	38.1			
Hispanic	12	28.6			
White	13	31.0			
Other	1	2.4			

Gender	Number	Percent
Female	38	90.5
Male	4	9.5

TOTAL	42

AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES

2006	5.6
2007	5.2
2008	3.2

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		76.9	90.9	82.7		88.9	86.7		85.7	84.6	85.2	87.7	61
3	2007		88.0	81.1	82.7	*	76.0	76.3		81.3	87.1	84.1	84.6	63
	2008		88.9	93.8	90.7	50.0	89.5	87.2		88.0	94.6	91.9	86.2	62
	2006		66.7	60.0	63.4	*	55.6	50.0		70.0	61.5	65.2	69.5	46
4	2007		66.7	66.7	64.3		44.4	53.3		69.0	63.2	66.7	69.7	48
	2008	*	81.5	81.4	80.3	*	41.7	55.6		77.4	87.5	81.7	72.1	93
	2006		40.7	61.1	52.5	*	*	46.3		57.6	46.7	52.4	76.9	63
5	2007		63.6	65.6	63.6	*	46.2	56.8		62.5	67.7	65.5	78.3	55
	2008	100.0	84.2	92.5	87.5	*	69.2	73.0		89.4	91.2	90.0	82.9	100
	2006		60.6	71.3	65.8	*	71.4	58.3	_	71.6	63.4	67.6	78.2	170
3-5	2007		73.5	71.9	71.0	83.3	61.7	64.4		71.8	74.1	72.9	77.6	166
	2008	90.9	84.8	88.2	85.7	70.0	70.5	73.8		84.7	91.0	87.5	80.3	255

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2006	0	71	94	152	5	49	108	0	88	82	170	32,875
2007	0	68	96	138	6	47	90	0	85	81	166	31,814
2008	11	92	144	210	10	44	103	0	144	111	255	31,840

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2009 AEIS reading/ELA minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		6	3	9		3	4		5	4	9	1,380	61
3	2007		3	7	9	*	6	9		6	4	10	1,675	63
	2008		3	2	5	3	2	5		3	2	5	1,443	62
	2006		6	10	15	*	8	12		6	10	16	3,190	46
4	2007		7	9	15		5	7		9	7	16	3,189	48
	2008	*	5	11	15	*	7	12		12	5	17	2,996	93
	2006		16	14	28	*	*	29		14	16	30	2,584	63
5	2007		8	11	16	*	7	16		9	10	19	2,258	55
	2008	0	6	4	10	*	4	10		7	3	10	1,827	100
	2006	·	28	27	52	*	14	45		25	30	55	7,154	170
3-5	2007		18	27	40	1	18	32		24	21	45	7,122	166
	2008	1	14	17	30	3	13	27		22	10	32	6,266	255

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2006	0	71	94	152	5	49	108	0	88	82	170	32,875
2007	0	68	96	138	6	47	90	0	85	81	166	31,814
2008	11	92	144	210	10	44	103	0	144	111	255	31,840

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2006	80.5	87.6	77.9	79.1
3	2007	78.9	85.5	72.0	80.0
	2008	83.5	85.0	85.5	78.6
	2006	75.4	66.0	65.8	62.2
4	2007	74.2	75.0	68.8	66.5
	2008	83.1	80.8	81.3	79.9
	2006	64.1	65.1	64.7	60.2
5	2007	69.4	75.5	73.6	68.3
	2008	83.3	86.8	83.5	79.6
	2006	73.0	73.4	69.7	67.5
3-5	2007	74.4	79.1	71.6	72.2
	2008	83.3	84.2	83.2	79.5

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		15.4	45.5	26.9		37.0	33.3		34.3	26.9	31.1	28.0	61
3	2007		32.0	21.6	19.2	*	16.0	13.2		21.9	29.0	25.4	21.3	63
	2008		18.5	31.3	25.9	16.7	36.8	20.5		28.0	24.3	25.8	21.5	62
	2006		11.1	8.0	9.8	*	0.0	4.2		15.0	3.8	8.7	10.0	46
4	2007		4.8	3.7	2.4		0.0	0.0		3.4	5.3	4.2	16.6	48
7	2008	*	18.5	11.9	13.2	*	0.0	0.0		18.9	15.0	17.2	14.4	93
	2006		0.0	0.0	0.0	*	*	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	10.8	63
5	2007		4.5	9.4	11.4	*	0.0	2.7		8.3	9.7	9.1	13.1	55
	2008	57.1	23.7	32.1	28.8	*	15.4	8.1		30.3	29.4	30.0	16.5	100
	2006		8.5	18.1	11.8	*	20.4	10.2		17.0	9.8	13.5	16.4	170
3-5	2007		14.7	12.5	11.6	16.7	8.5	6.7		11.8	16.0	13.9	17.1	166
	2008	54.5	20.7	23.6	22.4	10.0	20.5	10.7		25.7	22.5	24.3	17.4	255

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2006	0	71	94	152	5	49	108	0	88	82	170	32,875
2007	0	68	96	138	6	47	90	0	85	81	166	31,814
2008	11	92	144	210	10	44	103	0	144	111	255	31,840

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		72.0	85.3	76.9		84.6	79.3		80.0	80.0	80.0	74.9	60
3	2007		64.0	73.0	66.0	*	68.0	65.8		65.6	74.2	69.8	70.3	63
	2008		69.2	93.5	80.8	100.0	94.4	78.9		84.0	80.0	81.7	76.6	60
	2006		75.0	56.0	64.1	*	50.0	52.2		88.9	46.2	63.6	74.0	44
4	2007		57.1	88.9	71.4		77.8	53.3		82.8	63.2	75.0	75.7	48
	2008	*	66.7	84.5	77.3	*	83.3	51.9		82.7	75.0	79.3	77.9	92
	2006		25.9	71.4	53.4	*	*	50.0		63.6	40.0	52.4	82.2	63
5	2007		76.2	81.3	79.1	*	61.5	70.3		88.0	72.4	79.6	82.9	54
	2008	100.0	76.3	96.4	87.8	*	85.7	73.7		90.9	86.1	89.2	84.0	102
	2006		54.4	72.3	64.4	*	66.7	58.5	_	75.6	54.3	65.3	77.1	167
3-5	2007		65.7	80.2	71.7	83.3	68.1	65.6		77.9	70.9	74.5	76.2	165
	2008	90.9	71.4	91.0	82.3	90.0	88.6	69.9		86.7	80.2	83.9	79.5	254

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2006	0	68	94	149	4	48	106	0	86	81	167	33,063
2007	0	67	96	138	6	47	90	0	86	79	165	32,298
2008	11	91	144	209	10	44	103	0	143	111	254	32,696

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2008-09 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 58% are shaded.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		7	5	12		4	6		7	5	12	2,820	60
3	2007		9	10	18	*	8	13		11	8	19	3,303	63
	2008		8	2	10	0	1	8		4	7	11	2,542	60
	2006		4	11	14	*	9	11		2	14	16	2,765	44
4	2007		9	3	12		2	7		5	7	12	2,604	48
	2008	*	9	9	17	*	2	13		9	10	19	2,436	92
	2006		20	10	27	*	*	27		12	18	30	1,997	63
5	2007		5	6	9	*	5	11		3	8	11	1,785	54
	2008	0	9	2	10	*	2	10		6	5	11	1,730	102
	2006		31	26	53	*	16	44		21	37	58	7,582	167
3-5	2007		23	19	39	1	15	31		19	23	42	7,692	165
	2008	1	26	13	37	1	5	31		19	22	41	6,708	254

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2006	0	68	94	149	4	48	106	0	86	81	167	33,063
2007	0	67	96	138	6	47	90	0	86	79	165	32,298
2008	11	91	144	209	10	44	103	0	143	111	254	32,696

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2006	80.8	83.1	83.9	82.5	82.9	63.5
3	2007	75.9	75.4	75.7	74.1	79.0	60.7
	2008	82.0	85.8	86.7	81.9	82.9	62.5
	2006	79.1	77.9	62.5	58.3	69.9	63.1
4	2007	79.4	83.0	78.1	74.0	71.9	75.8
	2008	82.5	80.1	74.1	78.1	79.3	75.7
	2006	65.4	52.4	68.5	61.0	71.0	59.5
5	2007	77.3	70.6	82.0	71.4	75.0	71.1
	2008	82.2	80.7	86.4	78.9	84.3	82.8
	2006	74.6	70.1	72.4	68.0	75.0	61.9
3-5	2007	77.3	76.1	78.5	73.2	75.6	68.5
	2008	82.3	81.7	82.0	79.3	82.2	75.4

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		20.0	32.4	23.1		34.6	31.0		22.9	32.0	26.7	22.3	60
3	2007		12.0	21.6	18.9	*	28.0	18.4		25.0	12.9	19.0	19.2	63
	2008		15.4	29.0	21.2	16.7	27.8	13.2		20.0	22.9	21.7	26.3	60
	2006		6.3	4.0	7.7	*	5.6	4.3		11.1	3.8	6.8	22.1	44
4	2007		4.8	33.3	19.0		22.2	13.3		24.1	15.8	20.8	22.6	48
-	2008	*	18.5	27.6	29.3	*	0.0	0.0		26.9	30.0	28.3	23.8	92
	2006		0.0	5.7	3.4	*	*	1.9		6.1	0.0	3.2	29.9	63
5	2007		14.3	25.0	25.6	*	15.4	13.5		20.0	24.1	22.2	29.3	54
	2008	85.7	26.3	40.0	35.4	*	21.4	15.8		39.4	33.3	37.3	31.9	102
	2006		8.8	14.9	11.4	*	20.8	10.4		14.0	11.1	12.6	24.8	167
3-5	2007		10.4	26.0	21.0	33.3	23.4	15.6		23.3	17.7	20.6	23.6	165
	2008	81.8	20.9	32.6	29.7	30.0	18.2	10.7		31.5	28.8	30.3	27.3	254

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2006	0	68	94	149	4	48	106	0	86	81	167	33,063
2007	0	67	96	138	6	47	90	0	86	79	165	32,298
2008	11	91	144	209	10	44	103	0	143	111	254	32,696

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		94.1	70.8	82.1	*	64.7	68.2		94.7	70.8	81.4	84.2	43
4	2007		77.3	88.9	83.7		77.8	80.0		80.0	89.5	83.7	86.5	49
	2008	*	92.6	91.4	90.7	*	91.7	81.5		92.3	90.0	91.3	87.4	92

					NUMI	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2006	0	17	24	39	3	17	22	0	19	24	43	10,311
2007	0	22	27	43	0	9	15	0	30	19	49	10,408
2008	4	27	58	75	2	12	27	0	52	40	92	10,658

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2009 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		1	7	7	*	6	7		1	7	8	1,631	43
4	2007		5	3	7		2	3		6	2	8	1,408	49
	2008	*	2	5	7	*	1	5		4	4	8	1,348	92

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2006	0	17	24	39	3	17	22	0	19	24	43	10,311
2007	0	22	27	43	0	9	15	0	30	19	49	10,408
2008	4	27	58	75	2	12	27	0	52	40	92	10,658

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2006	1.9	84.9	66.9	80.8	90.1
4	2007	2.1	71.9	68.9	84.9	83.9
	2008	2.4	85.1	85.6	87.2	86.3

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	10.9	43
4	2007		4.5	14.8	9.3		0.0	0.0		6.7	15.8	10.2	18.2	49
	2008	*	37.0	27.6	29.3	*	0.0	3.7		28.8	40.0	33.7	21.4	92

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2006	0	17	24	39	3	17	22	0	19	24	43	10,311
2007	0	22	27	43	0	9	15	0	30	19	49	10,408
2008	4	27	58	75	2	12	27	0	52	40	92	10,658

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		11.1	22.9	19.0	*	*	14.8		21.9	13.3	17.5	62.1	63
5	2007		47.6	50.0	46.5	*	23.1	32.4		56.0	44.8	50.0	62.3	54
	2008	100.0	54.1	69.8	60.8	*	46.2	35.1		68.2	60.0	65.3	71.9	101

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 5				
2006	0	27	35	58	1	5	54	0	32	30	63	11,045
2007	0	21	32	43	3	13	37	0	25	29	54	10,296
2008	7	37	53	79	2	13	37	0	66	35	101	10,686

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 50 percent, the 2009 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		24	27	47	*	*	46		25	26	52	4,185	63
5	2007		11	16	23	*	10	25		11	16	27	3,881	54
	2008	0	17	16	31	*	7	24		21	14	35	3,005	101

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 5				
2006	0	27	35	58	1	5	54	0	32	30	63	11,045
2007	0	21	32	43	3	13	37	0	25	29	54	10,296
2008	7	37	53	79	2	13	37	0	66	35	101	10,686

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Life Sciences	Physical Sciences	Earth Sciences
	2006	70.3	67.9	61.2	44.8
5	2007	74.4	75.5	74.1	57.4
	2008	85.3	86.6	80.0	62.9

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		0.0	0.0	0.0	*	*	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	14.4	63
5	2007		0.0	3.1	2.3	*	0.0	0.0		4.0	0.0	1.9	18.0	54
	2008	57.1	21.6	15.1	20.3	*	7.7	8.1		27.3	8.6	20.8	24.8	101

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 5				
2006	0	27	35	58	1	5	54	0	32	30	63	11,045
2007	0	21	32	43	3	13	37	0	25	29	54	10,296
2008	7	37	53	79	2	13	37	0	66	35	101	10,686

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the IOWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS

			READING T	OTAL (**LA	NGUAGE TO	OTAL)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	94.6	90.0	69.9	40
K**	2007	*	44.4	41.9	46.9	31
	2008	53.8	41.9	45.5	57.6	44
	2006	83.3	67.6	70.0	54.1	40
1	2007	60.0	68.0	65.7	51.4	35
	2008	42.9	56.0	53.1	50.2	32
	2006	52.4	70.6	63.6	49.2	55
2	2007	40.0	86.7	61.5	44.5	65
	2008	42.1	71.4	54.5	50.2	33
	2006	59.3	69.1	66.3	51.4	95
1-2	2007	44.4	78.2	63.0	47.6	100
	2008	42.3	61.5	53.8	50.2	65

			MA	THEMATIC	S TOTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	75.7	75.0	68.7	40
K	2007	62.5	28.1	45.3	44.3	64
	2008	47.7	25.8	38.7	44.9	75
	2006	85.7	76.5	78.0	60.7	41
1	2007	60.0	48.0	51.4	48.8	35
	2008	35.1	42.9	38.5	55.5	65
	2006	69.6	85.3	78.9	63.1	57
2	2007	66.7	86.7	75.8	55.6	66
	2008	53.5	66.7	56.9	57.5	58
	2006	72.7	79.0	77.5	63.9	138
K-2	2007	64.1	54.0	58.8	49.1	165
	2008	46.0	40.5	43.9	52.7	198

	2006	30	105	135	27,596	
Number Tested	2007	49	82	131	24,696	
Tostoa	2008	39	70	109	21,817	

	2006	33	105	138	28,201	
Number Tested	2007	78	87	165	34,441	
100104	2008	124	74	198	41,070	

Numbers tested in READING for 2006 and 2007 may not match those reported in campus data packets published in the last two years. Previous totals did not consistently include students in Kindergarten, though documentation included with the reports indicated otherwise.

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	94.7	84.2	88.9	*	*	*		95.5	83.3	90.0	69.9	40
K**	2007		57.9	16.7	44.4	*	*	*		43.8	40.0	41.9	46.9	31
	2008	*	44.0	41.2	48.6	*	*	53.8		44.0	47.4	45.5	57.6	44
	2006		70.0	70.0	67.6	*	*	83.3		73.7	66.7	70.0	54.1	40
1	2007		72.2	56.3	63.6	*	*	60.0		52.6	81.3	65.7	51.4	35
	2008		52.6	58.3	51.7	*	*	42.9		64.7	40.0	53.1	50.2	32
	2006		85.7	48.5	61.2		45.5	52.4		47.8	75.0	63.6	49.2	55
2	2007	*	60.0	61.8	60.0	14.3	55.0	40.0		65.5	58.3	61.5	44.5	65
	2008	*	64.3	41.2	53.1	*	*	42.1		42.1	71.4	54.5	50.2	33
	2006		78.0	56.6	64.0	*	51.9	59.3		59.5	71.7	66.3	51.4	95
1-2	2007	*	64.6	60.0	61.3	22.2	52.0	44.4		60.4	65.4	63.0	47.6	100
	2008	*	57.6	48.3	52.5	*	44.4	42.3		52.8	55.2	53.8	50.2	65

	2006	1	60	72	122	7	29	30	0	64	71	135	27,596
Number Tested	2007	1	67	62	120	11	26	49	0	64	67	131	24,696
Tostoa	2008	2	58	46	98	4	12	39	0	61	48	109	21,817

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	3	5	8	*	*	*		4	5	9	1,856	40
K**	2007		0	0	0	*	*	*		0	0	0	1,245	31
	2008	*	3	2	5	*	*	1		2	4	6	1,687	44
	2006		7	6	13	*	*	0		9	4	13	1,786	40
1	2007		6	5	11	*	*	1		4	7	11	1,458	35
	2008		1	3	4	*	*	1		2	2	4	1,555	32
	2006		7	4	8		1	1		2	9	11	1,340	55
2	2007	*	7	4	10	0	2	2		4	7	11	896	65
	2008	*	2	1	4	*	*	0		1	3	4	1,065	33
	2006		14	10	21	*	1	1		11	13	24	3,126	95
1-2	2007	*	13	9	21	0	2	3		8	14	22	2,355	100
	2008	*	3	4	8	*	0	1		3	5	8	2,620	65

	2006	1	60	72	122	7	29	30	0	64	71	135	27,596
Number Tested	2007	1	67	62	120	11	26	49	0	64	67	131	24,696
Toolog	2008	2	58	46	98	4	12	39	0	61	48	109	21,817

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	68.4	78.9	75.0	*	*	*		72.7	77.8	75.0	68.7	40
K	2007		27.8	52.2	48.3	*	63.3	62.5		51.4	37.9	45.3	44.3	64
	2008	*	24.0	43.8	40.3	*	52.9	47.7		37.2	40.6	38.7	44.9	75
	2006		75.0	81.0	76.3	66.7	83.3	85.7		78.9	77.3	78.0	60.7	41
1	2007		50.0	50.0	51.5	*	*	60.0		47.4	56.3	51.4	48.8	35
	2008		30.0	43.2	36.1	*	38.2	35.1		38.2	38.7	38.5	55.5	65
	2006		81.0	77.1	76.5	*	66.7	69.6		80.0	78.1	78.9	63.1	57
2	2007	*	64.5	85.3	73.8	37.5	85.0	66.7		72.4	78.4	75.8	55.6	66
	2008	*	57.1	54.8	57.9	*	53.6	53.5		59.4	53.8	56.9	57.5	58
	2006	*	75.0	78.7	76.0	50.0	68.8	72.7		77.3	77.8	77.5	63.9	138
K-2	2007	*	50.7	63.5	59.1	25.0	70.9	64.1		57.8	59.8	58.8	49.1	165
	2008	*	33.9	47.0	44.3	*	47.9	46.0		44.0	43.8	43.9	52.7	198

Number Tested	2006	1	60	75	125	10	32	33	0	66	72	138	28,201
	2007	1	67	96	154	12	55	78	0	83	82	165	34,441
	2008	2	59	134	185	4	96	124	0	109	89	198	41,070

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	2	6	7	*	*	*		4	5	9	2,491	40
К	2007		2	4	6	*	3	3		5	1	6	2,583	64
	2008	*	2	2	4	*	2	3		4	2	6	2,788	75
	2006		5	12	16	1	3	3		9	8	17	2,111	41
1	2007		4	5	8	*	*	1		5	4	9	1,769	35
	2008		1	4	5	*	2	2		3	2	5	2,800	65
	2006		6	12	16	*	7	7		7	12	19	2,575	57
2	2007	*	4	10	13	0	6	7		7	8	15	2,098	66
	2008	*	1	8	9	*	5	6		6	3	9	2,912	58
	2006	*	13	30	39	2	10	10		20	25	45	7,177	138
K-2	2007	*	10	19	27	0	10	11		17	13	30	6,450	165
	2008	*	4	14	18	*	9	11		13	7	20	8,500	198

Number Tested	2006	1	60	75	125	10	32	33	0	66	72	138	28,201
	2007	1	67	96	154	12	55	78	0	83	82	165	34,441
	2008	2	59	134	185	4	96	124	0	109	89	198	41,070

Logramos Read (1)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006			87.5	87.1	*	88.9	95.0		85.0	91.7	87.5	85.2	32
K	2007			90.9	90.9		89.3	89.3		88.9	93.3	90.9	84.7	33
	2008			93.3	96.6		93.3	93.3		94.1	92.3	93.3	87.0	30
	2006			100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	82.5	17
1	2007			93.3	92.9		96.2	96.2		86.7	100.0	93.3	84.5	30
	2008			78.1	77.4		75.9	75.9		75.0	81.3	78.1	88.0	32
	2006												87.2	0
2	2007			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	89.9	4
	2008			88.9	88.5		88.0	88.0		85.7	92.3	88.9	93.4	27
	2006			91.8	91.7	*	93.2	97.3		88.5	95.7	91.8	84.6	49
K-2	2007	·		91.0	90.8	*	91.2	91.2		88.6	93.8	91.0	85.6	67
	2008			86.5	87.2		85.7	85.7		85.1	88.1	86.5	89.3	89

Number Tested	2006	0	0	49	48	3	44	37	0	26	23	49	10,804
	2007	0	0	67	65	1	57	57	0	35	32	67	14,889
	2008	0	0	89	86	0	84	84	0	47	42	89	18,257

Logramos Read (2) Logramos: READING TOTAL

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006			14	13	*	12	9		9	5	14	2,064	32
K	2007			8	8		5	5		5	3	8	2,779	33
	2008			16	16		16	16		8	8	16	3,280	30
	2006			12	12	*	12	12		5	7	12	1,391	17
1	2007			17	15		15	15		10	7	17	1,782	30
1 20 20 20	2008			9	9		9	9		4	5	9	2,412	32
	2006												838	0
2	2007			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	1,196	4
	2008			15	15		13	13		7	8	15	2,596	27
	2006			26	25	*	24	21		14	12	26	4,293	49
K-2	2007			27	25	*	21	21		16	11	27	5,757	67
	2008			40	40		38	38		19	21	40	8,288	89
•	0000	0	0	40	40		4.4	0.7	0	00	00	40	40.004	

Number Tested	2006	0	0	49	48	3	44	37	0	26	23	49	10,804
	2007	0	0	67	65	1	57	57	0	35	32	67	14,889
	2008	0	0	89	86	0	84	84	0	47	42	89	18,257

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1	in 2007	Level 2	in 2007	Level 3	in 2007	Levels 1-	3 in 2007
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2008						
2	0	-	1	100.0	0	-	1	100.0
3	0	-	0	-	4	75.0	4	75.0
4	5	20.0	1	100.0	6	16.7	12	25.0
5	1	100.0	2	100.0	0	-	3	100.0
ALL	6	33.3	4	100.0	10	40.0	20	50.0