# Campus Data Packet

for 2008 - 09 Plans



# **GEORGE PEABODY**

School Number 190

The information in this packert is based on data from the 2007-08 school year.



2008-09 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2	. Contents	Table of Contents	3 Notes	Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STU	DENTS AND STAF	<u>'F</u>		
5	. Summary	Summary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6 Enrollment (1)	Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7	. Enrollment (2)	. Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8 Attendance	. Student Attendance Statistics
10	. Teachers	Teacher Statistics		
TEXA	AS ASSESSMENT	OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS		
11	. TAKS Read (1)	. TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	12 TAKS Read (2)	TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
13	. TAKS Read (3)	. TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	14 TAKS Read (4)	TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
15	. TAKS Math (1)	TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	16 TAKS Math (2)	. TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
17	. TAKS Math (3)	TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	18 TAKS Math (4)	. TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
19	. TAKS Write (1)	TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	20 TAKS Write (2)	. TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
21	. TAKS Write (3)	TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	22 TAKS Write (4)	. TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
23	. TAKS Science (1)	TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	24 TAKS Science (2)	. TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
25	. TAKS Science (3)	TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	26 TAKS Science (4)	. TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
IOW/	A TESTS OF BASI	C SKILLS (AND RELATED TESTS)		
27	. Compliance	SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	28 ITBS Read (1)	. ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %
29	. ITBS Read (2)	ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %	30 ITBS Math (1)	. ITBS Mathematics Total: % At or Above the 40th %
31	. ITBS Math (2)	ITBS Mathematics Total: # At or Above the 80th %	32 Logramos Read (1)	Logramos Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th $\%$
33	. Logramos Read (2)	. Logramos Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %		
<u>MEA</u>	SURES OF ENGLI	SH PROFICIENCY		
34	. WMLS	Woodcock-Muñoz Language Survey		

The TEA will release passing standards for the 2008 TAKS-Modified test in late summer. When they are available, an addendum to the Campus Data Packet with reports for TAKS-M will be available on MyData Portal.

Student results for the 2008 TELPAS will be sent by the TEA in September. An addendum with these results will be posted on MyData Portal by October.

#### 2007-08 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

#### **ENROLLMENT**

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2007-08. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2006-07 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2006-07 and 2007-08.

#### **ATTENDANCE**

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawl transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled on the first day of the second six-weeks grading period and on the last day of testing.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

#### **TEACHERS**

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

# **TAKS**

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2009, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

# SCE Compliance and ITBS / ITED / Logramos

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading results for all three years are for the Language Total subtest; all other grades' results reflect Reading Total performance. (The Reading Total summary is not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergarteners in 2008.) All Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The number tested includes kindergarteners.
- Numbers tested in READING for 2006 and 2007 may not match those reported in campus data packets published in the last two years. Previous totals did not consistently include students in Kindergarten, though documentation included with the reports indicated otherwise.

# STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
PK	39
KN	63
1	77
2	78
3	71
4	65
5	79
6	68
ALL	540

#### STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stud	dents	Teachers			
Etimolty	Number Percent		Number	Percent		
African American	7	1.3	5	14.3		
American Indian	3	0.6	*	*		
Asian	0	0.0	*	*		
Hispanic	526	97.4	10	28.6		
White	4	0.7	18	51.4		
Other	**	**	2	5.7		

<sup>\*</sup>Included in category "Other."

#### STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percent
Economically disadvantaged students	507	93.9
Limited English proficient students	190	35.2
Special education students	60	11.1

<sup>\*\*</sup>All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

			African A	American	America	ın Indian	As	ian	Hisp	anic	Wh	nite
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2006	44	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	44	100.0	0	0.0
PK	2007	42	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	42	100.0	0	0.0
	2008	39	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	39	100.0	0	0.0
	2006	92	1	1.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	91	98.9	0	0.0
KN	2007	72	1	1.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	71	98.6	0	0.0
	2008	63	0	0.0	1	1.6	0	0.0	62	98.4	0	0.0
	2006	107	3	2.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	101	94.4	3	2.8
1	2007	82	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	80	97.6	2	2.4
	2008	77	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	77	100.0	0	0.0
	2006	93	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	91	97.8	2	2.2
2	2007	84	3	3.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	77	91.7	4	4.8
	2008	78	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	76	97.4	2	2.6
	2006	105	2	1.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	103	98.1	0	0.0
3	2007	72	0	0.0	1	1.4	0	0.0	70	97.2	1	1.4
	2008	71	3	4.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	67	94.4	1	1.4
	2006	88	2	2.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	85	96.6	1	1.1
4	2007	81	2	2.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	79	97.5	0	0.0
	2008	65	0	0.0	1	1.5	0	0.0	63	96.9	1	1.5
	2006	86	3	3.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	83	96.5	0	0.0
5	2007	68	1	1.5	1	1.5	0	0.0	65	95.6	1	1.5
	2008	79	3	3.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	76	96.2	0	0.0
	2006	90	2	2.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	85	94.4	3	3.3
6	2007	66	1	1.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	65	98.5	0	0.0
	2008	68	1	1.5	1	1.5	0	0.0	66	97.1	0	0.0
	2006	705	13	1.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	683	96.9	9	1.3
EC-6	2007	567	8	1.4	2	0.4	0	0.0	549	96.8	8	1.4
	2008	540	7	1.3	3	0.6	0	0.0	526	97.4	4	0.7

			Econor Disadva	mically antaged	LE	ĒΡ	Special E	Education	T	AG	New (to D	Dallas ISD)	Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2006	44	43	97.7	31	70.5	1	2.3	0	0.0	44	100.0	0.0
PK	2007	42	40	95.2	26	61.9	2	4.8	0	0.0	42	100.0	0.0
	2008	39	38	97.4	18	46.2	2	5.1	0	0.0	39	100.0	0.0
	2006	92	90	97.8	37	40.2	4	4.3	8	8.7	48	52.2	0.0
KN	2007	72	71	98.6	43	59.7	2	2.8	4	5.6	34	47.2	0.0
	2008	63	61	96.8	41	65.1	5	7.9	6	9.5	19	30.2	0.0
	2006	107	100	93.5	55	51.4	14	13.1	13	12.1	6	5.6	14.0
1	2007	82	79	96.3	35	42.7	5	6.1	9	11.0	6	7.3	8.5
	2008	77	73	94.8	42	54.5	3	3.9	12	15.6	5	6.5	11.7
	2006	93	91	97.8	49	52.7	10	10.8	15	16.1	5	5.4	6.5
2	2007	84	71	84.5	40	47.6	11	13.1	15	17.9	4	4.8	10.7
	2008	78	75	96.2	34	43.6	7	9.0	8	10.3	4	5.1	14.1
	2006	105	98	93.3	36	34.3	7	6.7	20	19.0	7	6.7	4.8
3	2007	72	69	95.8	25	34.7	8	11.1	15	20.8	1	1.4	5.6
	2008	71	64	90.1	28	39.4	12	16.9	14	19.7	1	1.4	5.6
	2006	88	81	92.0	26	29.5	13	14.8	7	8.0	11	12.5	1.1
4	2007	81	76	93.8	9	11.1	8	9.9	12	14.8	5	6.2	7.4
	2008	65	61	93.8	6	9.2	8	12.3	16	24.6	2	3.1	1.5
	2006	86	81	94.2	6	7.0	9	10.5	17	19.8	7	8.1	2.3
5	2007	68	60	88.2	11	16.2	7	10.3	8	11.8	5	7.4	2.9
	2008	79	73	92.4	13	16.5	15	19.0	9	11.4	2	2.5	0.0
	2006	90	84	93.3	8	8.9	12	13.3	18	20.0	5	5.6	3.3
6	2007	66	61	92.4	5	7.6	7	10.6	18	27.3	2	3.0	1.5
	2008	68	62	91.2	8	11.8	8	11.8	7	10.3	5	7.4	4.4
	2006	705	668	94.8	248	35.2	70	9.9	98	13.9	133	18.9	4.5
EC-6	2007	567	527	92.9	194	34.2	50	8.8	81	14.3	99	17.5	5.1
	2008	540	507	93.9	190	35.2	60	11.1	72	13.3	77	14.3	5.2

Grade	Year	Averag Member	ge Daily rship (N)	A	verage Daily	Attendance		Yea	rly Transactio	ns		nuously led (N)	Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (N)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus	Dallas ISD
	2006	21.7	3,987.7	20.6	94.7	3,815.3	95.7	46	100.0	100.0	39	7,228	100.0	100.0
PK	2007	20.5	4,117.2	19.6	95.9	3,933.6	95.5	49	100.0	100.0	40	7,436	100.0	100.0
	2008	19.5	4,133.2	18.6	95.4	3,942.7	95.4	44	100.0	100.0	35	7,427	100.0	100.0
	2006	90.3	13,725.9	86.8	96.1	13,178.1	96.0	14	15.5	25.7	85	11,822	94.1	86.1
KN	2007	69.3	13,945.0	66.8	96.3	13,385.6	96.0	14	20.2	24.3	63	12,035	90.9	86.3
	2008	65.5	13,568.1	63.0	96.3	13,004.4	95.8	8	12.2	23.5	58	11,618	88.6	85.6
	2006	103.4	14,145.9	99.5	96.2	13,632.7	96.4	16	15.5	24.8	94	12,164	90.9	86.0
1	2007	80.5	14,353.8	77.6	96.5	13,851.4	96.5	13	16.2	23.2	76	12,437	94.4	86.6
	2008	79.9	14,626.9	76.9	96.2	14,102.7	96.4	11	13.8	21.2	68	12,704	85.1	86.9
	2006	93.7	13,536.9	90.3	96.4	13,115.8	96.9	12	12.8	22.9	84	11,853	89.6	87.6
2	2007	82.9	13,403.8	80.5	97.2	12,978.9	96.8	8	9.7	21.7	78	11,729	94.1	87.5
	2008	78.4	13,708.6	76.2	97.3	13,269.8	96.8	13	16.6	19.7	73	12,043	93.2	87.9
	2006	103.0	12,948.9	99.7	96.8	12,577.2	97.1	17	16.5	22.8	96	11,376	93.2	87.9
3	2007	70.2	12,998.4	67.9	96.8	12,633.3	97.2	14	20.0	21.1	62	11,445	88.4	88.0
	2008	73.1	12,806.9	71.1	97.3	12,425.5	97.0	5	6.8	19.1	67	11,408	91.7	89.1
	2006	86.8	12,021.0	84.3	97.1	11,684.2	97.2	15	17.3	22.3	79	10,587	91.0	88.1
4	2007	80.4	12,104.9	78.2	97.2	11,768.3	97.2	14	17.4	19.7	73	10,683	90.8	88.3
	2008	65.2	12,329.8	63.0	96.6	11,960.3	97.0	5	7.7	19.7	60	10,924	92.0	88.6
	2006	88.2	12,618.4	85.5	96.9	12,262.2	97.2	7	7.9	22.3	84	11,130	95.2	88.2
5	2007	67.6	11,757.0	65.7	97.2	11,426.8	97.2	16	23.7	20.9	62	10,362	91.7	88.1
	2008	79.9	11,874.2	77.6	97.1	11,539.6	97.2	10	12.5	18.8	74	10,608	92.6	89.3
	2006	92.7	10,821.1	89.5	96.6	10,478.8	96.8	15	16.2	23.6	81	9,467	87.4	87.5
6	2007	69.5	11,264.8	67.6	97.2	10,864.3	96.4	7	10.1	21.9	62	9,788	89.2	86.9
	2008	70.2	10,450.4	68.4	97.5	10,060.0	96.3	9	12.8	22.0	64	9,055	91.2	86.6

Grade	Year	Average Daily Membership (N)		Average Daily Attendance			Yearly Transactions			Continuously Enrolled (N)		Stability Rate (%)		
		Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (N)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus	Dallas ISD
	2006	680.0	94,351.5	656.4	96.5	91,258.3	96.7	142	20.9	33.1	642	85,992	94.4	91.1
EC-6	2007	540.8	94,387.4	523.9	96.9	91,251.6	96.7	135	25.0	31.9	516	86,204	95.4	91.3
	2008	531.6	93,889.2	514.9	96.9	90,666.0	96.6	105	19.8	30.8	499	86,025	93.9	91.6

Teachers Teacher Statistics

# **DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS**

Ethnicity	Number	Percent
African American	5	14.3
Hispanic	10	28.6
White	18	51.4
Other	2	5.7

Gender	Number	Percent		
Female	28	80.0		
Male	7	20.0		

	A.=
TOTAL	35
. •	

# **AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES**

2006	5.5
2007	4.7
2008	1.8

# PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		*	90.8	92.4	*	94.1	90.9		92.0	89.8	90.9	87.7	99
3	2007	*		85.9	84.4	*	87.5	79.1		76.5	93.9	85.1	84.6	67
	2008	*	*	93.3	96.4	*	90.9	92.9		91.9	96.2	93.7	86.2	63
	2006	*	*	82.9	82.1	*	63.2	62.1		92.0	79.2	83.6	69.5	73
4	2007			86.3	86.6		*	68.4		88.6	84.2	86.3	69.7	73
	2008	*		83.3	82.7	*	*	57.1		82.1	85.7	83.9	72.1	56
	2006		*	90.5	90.3	*	*	79.3		93.0	88.2	89.7	76.9	78
5	2007	*	*	94.5	94.3	*	*	86.4		89.3	100.0	94.9	78.3	59
	2008		*	96.8	96.6		100.0	90.0		96.7	97.1	96.9	82.9	64
	2006	*	*	98.8	98.7	100.0	*	97.1		100.0	97.6	98.8	89.4	85
6	2007		*	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	87.2	61
	2008			100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	87.8	59
	2006	*	100.0	91.0	91.3	78.6	84.5	83.9		94.4	88.4	91.0	80.7	335
3-6	2007	*	*	91.3	90.8	75.0	80.0	83.0		88.5	93.8	91.2	79.9	260
	2008	*	*	93.6	94.0	85.7	93.8	88.9		92.9	94.8	93.8	82.0	242

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-6				
2006	3	6	324	310	14	58	137	0	161	173	335	42,100
2007	4	2	252	240	8	35	106	0	131	129	260	41,727
2008	2	3	235	218	7	32	99	0	126	116	242	41,175

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2009 AEIS reading/ELA minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

# **NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		*	9	7	*	2	4		4	5	9	1,380	99
3	2007	*		9	10	*	3	9		8	2	10	1,675	67
	2008	*	*	4	2	*	2	3		3	1	4	1,443	63
	2006	*	*	12	12	*	7	11		2	10	12	3,190	73
4	2007			10	9		*	6		4	6	10	3,189	73
	2008	*		9	9	*	*	6		5	4	9	2,996	56
	2006		*	7	7	*	*	6		3	4	8	2,584	78
5	2007	*	*	3	3	*	*	3		3	0	3	2,258	59
	2008		*	2	2		0	2		1	1	2	1,827	64
	2006	*	*	1	1	0	*	1		0	1	1	982	85
6	2007		*	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	1,269	61
	2008			0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	1,140	59
	2006	*	0	29	27	3	9	22		9	20	30	8,136	335
3-6	2007	*	*	22	22	2	7	18		15	8	23	8,391	260
	2008	*	*	15	13	1	2	11		9	6	15	7,406	242

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-6				
2006	3	6	324	310	14	58	137	0	161	173	335	42,100
2007	4	2	252	240	8	35	106	0	131	129	260	41,727
2008	2	3	235	218	7	32	99	0	126	116	242	41,175

# **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2006	79.5	83.1	80.8	83.2
3	2007	80.5	83.8	80.6	77.4
	2008	84.7	85.7	88.4	80.8
	2006	80.1	75.9	78.3	72.5
4	2007	83.7	78.9	76.3	75.2
	2008	86.5	81.7	81.1	79.6
	2006	78.9	81.7	84.8	77.7
5	2007	78.4	86.7	82.6	80.3
	2008	85.0	87.1	82.0	83.3
	2006	87.9	80.6	84.6	80.9
6	2007	89.3	91.4	83.6	84.2
	2008	92.6	89.0	89.6	89.0
	2006	81.6	80.6	82.1	79.0
3-6	2007	83.0	84.9	80.6	79.1
	2008	87.1	86.0	85.3	83.2

# PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		*	23.5	25.0	*	17.6	18.2		24.0	22.4	23.2	28.0	99
3	2007	*		17.2	12.5	*	8.3	11.6		11.8	21.2	16.4	21.3	67
	2008	*	*	21.7	21.8	*	9.1	14.3		24.3	19.2	22.2	21.5	63
	2006	*	*	10.0	9.0	*	0.0	3.4		8.0	10.4	9.6	10.0	73
4	2007			16.4	14.9		*	5.3		20.0	13.2	16.4	16.6	73
	2008	*		24.1	21.2	*	*	7.1		25.0	21.4	23.2	14.4	56
	2006		*	16.2	15.3	*	*	3.4		16.3	14.7	15.4	10.8	78
5	2007	*	*	14.5	7.5	*	*	4.5		10.7	16.1	13.6	13.1	59
	2008		*	28.6	27.6		0.0	5.0		40.0	17.6	28.1	16.5	64
	2006	*	*	31.7	32.9	33.3	*	11.4		32.6	33.3	32.9	28.7	85
6	2007		*	55.0	53.6	*	*	27.3		52.9	55.6	54.1	37.4	61
	2008			62.1	62.3	*	*	56.5		48.4	75.0	61.0	33.8	59
	2006	*	16.7	21.0	21.3	21.4	12.1	10.2		21.7	20.2	20.9	19.1	335
3-6	2007	*	*	25.4	21.7	0.0	5.7	12.3		24.4	24.8	24.6	21.9	260
	2008	*	*	34.0	33.0	0.0	9.4	21.2		34.1	32.8	33.5	21.1	242

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-6				
2006	3	6	324	310	14	58	137	0	161	173	335	42,100
2007	4	2	252	240	8	35	106	0	131	129	260	41,727
2008	2	3	235	218	7	32	99	0	126	116	242	41,175

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

# PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		*	85.7	87.0	*	75.8	74.4		86.3	85.4	85.9	74.9	99
3	2007	*		96.9	96.8	*	95.8	95.2		100.0	93.9	97.0	70.3	66
	2008	*	*	100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	76.6	59
	2006	*	*	85.5	85.1	*	65.0	69.0		92.6	82.2	86.1	74.0	72
4	2007			93.2	94.2	*	*	90.0		88.2	97.5	93.2	75.7	74
	2008	*		90.7	90.4	*	*	78.6		89.3	92.9	91.1	77.9	56
	2006		*	90.3	91.4	*	*	82.1		95.2	84.8	90.7	82.2	75
5	2007	*	*	91.2	92.7	*	50.0	80.0	*	87.1	96.7	91.8	82.9	61
	2008		*	95.2	94.8		100.0	85.0		93.3	97.1	95.3	84.0	64
	2006	*	*	93.8	93.6	*	*	87.9		95.1	92.9	94.0	77.2	83
6	2007		*	89.8	89.1	*	*	71.4		90.9	88.9	90.0	70.0	60
	2008			96.6	96.4	*	*	92.0		96.9	96.4	96.7	73.8	60
	2006	*	100.0	88.7	89.3	72.7	72.9	78.2		91.9	86.3	89.1	77.1	329
3-6	2007	*	*	92.9	93.4	63.6	84.6	86.1	*	91.6	94.6	93.1	74.7	261
	2008	*	*	95.7	95.4	83.3	96.8	91.8		95.2	96.5	95.8	78.2	239

					NUMB	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-6				
2006	3	6	319	307	11	59	133	0	161	168	329	42,448
2007	2	2	254	242	11	39	108	1	131	130	261	42,324
2008	2	3	232	217	6	31	98	0	125	114	239	42,082

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2008-09 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 58% are shaded.

# **NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		*	14	12	*	8	11		7	7	14	2,820	99
3	2007	*		2	2	*	1	2		0	2	2	3,303	66
	2008	*	*	0	0	*	0	0		0	0	0	2,542	59
	2006	*	*	10	10	*	7	9		2	8	10	2,765	72
4	2007			5	4	*	*	2		4	1	5	2,604	74
	2008	*		5	5	*	*	3		3	2	5	2,436	56
	2006		*	7	6	*	*	5		2	5	7	1,997	75
5	2007	*	*	5	4	*	4	5	*	4	1	5	1,785	61
	2008		*	3	3		0	3		2	1	3	1,730	64
	2006	*	*	5	5	*	*	4		2	3	5	2,140	83
6	2007		*	6	6	*	*	6		3	3	6	3,012	60
	2008			2	2	*	*	2		1	1	2	2,460	60
	2006	*	0	36	33	3	16	29		13	23	36	9,722	329
3-6	2007	*	*	18	16	4	6	15	*	11	7	18	10,704	261
	2008	*	*	10	10	1	1	8		6	4	10	9,168	239

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-6				
2006	3	6	319	307	11	59	133	0	161	168	329	42,448
2007	2	2	254	242	11	39	108	1	131	130	261	42,324
2008	2	3	232	217	6	31	98	0	125	114	239	42,082

# **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2006	86.3	84.8	88.7	84.8	89.4	62.1
3	2007	86.2	89.1	89.6	87.6	88.3	77.8
	2008	94.9	95.8	96.6	94.6	97.5	80.1
	2006	86.4	89.3	85.0	77.1	80.6	75.7
4	2007	86.2	83.8	85.8	80.2	76.4	81.3
	2008	88.8	86.2	89.0	88.4	84.4	78.3
	2006	85.9	80.6	84.8	85.9	89.0	78.8
5	2007	87.0	80.3	88.8	82.7	80.3	82.6
	2008	86.4	83.9	90.8	83.3	76.2	86.1
	2006	78.0	82.1	89.2	73.3	80.5	87.0
6	2007	82.7	76.7	86.9	79.7	80.3	84.8
	2008	91.3	91.3	91.4	85.7	86.7	87.6
	2006	84.1	84.1	87.1	80.5	85.1	75.2
3-6	2007	85.6	82.7	87.7	82.5	81.2	81.5
	2008	90.3	89.2	92.0	87.9	86.0	83.2

GEORGE PEABODY (190)

# PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		*	29.6	29.3	*	12.1	14.0		31.4	27.1	29.3	22.3	99
3	2007	*		37.5	36.5	*	25.0	19.0		45.5	30.3	37.9	19.2	66
	2008	*	*	67.9	69.2	*	75.0	64.1		65.7	70.8	67.8	26.3	59
	2006	*	*	31.9	32.8	*	15.0	17.2		33.3	33.3	33.3	22.1	72
4	2007			23.0	21.7	*	*	0.0		38.2	10.0	23.0	22.6	74
	2008	*		42.6	42.3	*	*	28.6		46.4	39.3	42.9	23.8	56
	2006		*	47.2	42.9	*	*	17.9		50.0	39.4	45.3	29.9	75
5	2007	*	*	40.4	40.0	*	0.0	16.0	*	32.3	50.0	41.0	29.3	61
	2008		*	46.0	46.6		14.3	10.0		60.0	35.3	46.9	31.9	64
	2006	*	*	41.3	39.7	*	*	24.2		39.0	42.9	41.0	28.6	83
6	2007		*	42.4	40.0	*	*	23.8		39.4	44.4	41.7	26.5	60
	2008			67.8	67.3	*	*	60.0		65.6	67.9	66.7	31.0	60
	2006	*	16.7	37.0	35.8	9.1	16.9	18.0		38.5	35.1	36.8	25.7	329
3-6	2007	*	*	35.0	33.9	9.1	15.4	15.7	*	38.9	31.5	35.2	24.3	261
	2008	*	*	56.0	56.2	66.7	54.8	46.9		60.0	51.8	56.1	28.1	239

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-6				
2006	3	6	319	307	11	59	133	0	161	168	329	42,448
2007	2	2	254	242	11	39	108	1	131	130	261	42,324
2008	2	3	232	217	6	31	98	0	125	114	239	42,082

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

# PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*		95.5	95.3		94.1	96.2		96.0	95.5	95.7	84.2	69
4	2007			98.6	98.5		*	94.7		97.1	100.0	98.6	86.5	72
	2008	*		100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	87.4	55

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2006	1	0	67	64	0	17	26	0	25	44	69	10,311
2007	0	0	72	66	0	5	19	0	34	38	72	10,408
2008	1	0	53	51	1	2	14	0	27	28	55	10,658

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2009 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

# **NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*		3	3		1	1		1	2	3	1,631	69
4	2007			1	1		*	1		1	0	1	1,408	72
	2008	*		0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	1,348	55

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2006	1	0	67	64	0	17	26	0	25	44	69	10,311
2007	0	0	72	66	0	5	19	0	34	38	72	10,408
2008	1	0	53	51	1	2	14	0	27	28	55	10,658

# **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions <sup>1</sup>	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2006	2.4	94.2	85.3	90.6	90.6
4	2007	2.3	89.2	86.6	94.8	90.5
	2008	2.5	85.5	90.0	92.0	88.2

# PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*		31.3	31.3		11.8	11.5		24.0	38.6	33.3	10.9	69
4	2007			26.4	27.3		*	10.5		32.4	21.1	26.4	18.2	72
	2008	*		37.7	35.3	*	*	35.7		44.4	32.1	38.2	21.4	55

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2006	1	0	67	64	0	17	26	0	25	44	69	10,311
2007	0	0	72	66	0	5	19	0	34	38	72	10,408
2008	1	0	53	51	1	2	14	0	27	28	55	10,658

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

# PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		*	91.7	92.9	*	*	82.1		93.0	90.6	92.0	62.1	75
5	2007	*	*	92.7	92.5		*	86.4		89.7	96.6	93.1	62.3	58
	2008	·	*	93.4	92.9		100.0	89.5		96.7	90.6	93.5	71.9	62

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2006	0	3	72	70	2	2	28	0	43	32	75	11,045
2007	1	1	55	53	0	5	22	0	29	29	58	10,296
2008	0	1	61	56	0	7	19	0	30	32	62	10,686

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 50 percent, the 2009 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

# **NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		*	6	5	*	*	5		3	3	6	4,185	75
5	2007	*	*	4	4		*	3		3	1	4	3,881	58
	2008		*	4	4		0	2		1	3	4	3,005	62

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 5				
2006	0	3	72	70	2	2	28	0	43	32	75	11,045
2007	1	1	55	53	0	5	22	0	29	29	58	10,296
2008	0	1	61	56	0	7	19	0	30	32	62	10,686

# **AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE**

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Life Sciences	Physical Sciences	Earth Sciences
	2006	90.2	88.7	87.1	82.5
5	2007	88.7	90.4	88.3	87.2
	2008	91.3	93.2	90.0	79.7

# PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		*	40.3	35.7	*	*	14.3		46.5	28.1	38.7	14.4	75
5	2007	*	*	49.1	45.3		*	18.2		44.8	51.7	48.3	18.0	58
	2008	·	*	42.6	46.4		42.9	21.1		50.0	37.5	43.5	24.8	62

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 5				
2006	0	3	72	70	2	2	28	0	43	32	75	11,045
2007	1	1	55	53	0	5	22	0	29	29	58	10,296
2008	0	1	61	56	0	7	19	0	30	32	62	10,686

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

# PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the IOWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS

			READING T	OTAL (**LA	NGUAGE TO	OTAL)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	91.7	84.2	87.1	69.9	93
K**	2007	20.0	60.0	48.6	46.9	35
	2008	100.0	90.9	92.9	57.6	28
	2006	53.6	73.3	62.4	54.1	101
1	2007	28.6	69.4	58.0	51.4	50
	2008	55.6	50.0	51.3	50.2	39
	2006	27.5	56.8	39.8	49.2	88
2	2007	20.0	57.7	32.1	44.5	81
	2008	40.0	73.7	53.1	50.2	49
	2006	41.1	65.9	51.9	51.4	189
1-2	2007	21.7	64.5	42.0	47.6	131
	2008	43.6	59.2	52.3	50.2	88

			MA	THEMATIC	S TOTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	86.1	78.9	81.7	68.7	93
K	2007	71.1	84.0	75.7	44.3	70
	2008	92.5	78.3	87.3	44.9	63
	2006	49.1	75.6	60.8	60.7	102
1	2007	23.1	52.6	45.1	48.8	51
	2008	87.0	62.5	76.9	55.5	78
	2006	72.5	66.7	70.0	63.1	90
2	2007	63.6	84.6	70.4	55.6	81
	2008	65.5	75.0	67.9	57.5	78
	2006	66.7	74.5	70.5	63.9	285
K-2	2007	61.9	70.8	65.8	49.1	202
	2008	79.9	70.7	76.7	52.7	219

	2006	143	139	282	27,596	
Number Tested	2007	79	87	166	24,696	
Tostoa	2008	45	71	116	21,817	

	2006	144	141	285	28,201	
Number Tested	2007	113	89	202	34,441	
Toolog	2008	144	75	219	41,070	

Numbers tested in READING for 2006 and 2007 may not match those reported in campus data packets published in the last two years. Previous totals did not consistently include students in Kindergarten, though documentation included with the reports indicated otherwise.

# PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		*	87.0	86.8	*	91.9	91.7		90.2	84.6	87.1	69.9	93
K**	2007		*	50.0	48.5		*	20.0		37.5	57.9	48.6	46.9	35
	2008			92.6	92.6		85.7	100.0		86.7	100.0	92.9	57.6	28
	2006	*	*	62.1	62.8	27.3	52.9	53.6		62.9	61.5	62.4	54.1	101
1	2007	*		57.1	62.2	*	*	28.6		56.5	59.3	58.0	51.4	50
	2008			51.3	54.3		*	55.6		33.3	66.7	51.3	50.2	39
	2006	*		38.4	40.0	33.3	26.5	27.5		39.5	40.0	39.8	49.2	88
2	2007	*	*	31.1	30.4	20.0	13.2	20.0		40.4	20.6	32.1	44.5	81
	2008	*		51.1	50.0	50.0	0.0	40.0		60.9	46.2	53.1	50.2	49
	2006	*	*	50.8	52.0	29.4	40.0	41.1		53.3	50.0	51.9	51.4	189
1-2	2007	*	*	41.5	43.0	35.7	12.2	21.7		45.7	37.7	42.0	47.6	131
	2008	*		51.2	51.9	50.0	18.2	43.6		48.8	55.3	52.3	50.2	88

	2006	5	4	273	270	21	137	143	0	146	136	282	27,596
Number Tested	2007	5	4	157	147	14	46	79	0	86	80	166	24,696
Toolog	2008	2	0	113	108	6	18	45	0	56	60	116	21,817

# NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		*	46	45	*	16	15		18	29	47	1,856	93
K**	2007		*	8	7		*	1		1	7	8	1,245	35
	2008			12	12		3	3		5	7	12	1,687	28
	2006	*	*	11	10	0	2	2		5	7	12	1,786	101
1	2007	*		6	7	*	*	1		3	4	7	1,458	50
	2008			8	7		*	2		1	7	8	1,555	39
	2006	*		5	6	0	1	1		5	2	7	1,340	88
2	2007	*	*	3	1	0	0	0		3	0	3	896	81
	2008	*		3	3	1	0	1		4	0	4	1,065	49
	2006	*	*	16	16	0	3	3		10	9	19	3,126	189
1-2	2007	*	*	9	8	2	0	1		6	4	10	2,355	131
	2008	*		11	10	1	1	3		5	7	12	2,620	88

	2006	5	4	273	270	21	137	143	0	146	136	282	27,596
Number Tested	2007	5	4	157	147	14	46	79	0	86	80	166	24,696
Toolog	2008	2	0	113	108	6	18	45	0	56	60	116	21,817

# PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		*	81.5	81.3	*	86.5	86.1		80.5	82.7	81.7	68.7	93
K	2007		*	75.4	75.4	*	68.3	71.1		67.7	82.1	75.7	44.3	70
	2008			87.1	86.9	*	88.1	92.5		83.3	92.6	87.3	44.9	63
	2006	*	*	60.4	61.1	15.4	52.9	49.1		62.5	57.9	60.8	60.7	102
1	2007	*		44.9	50.0	*	*	23.1		43.5	46.4	45.1	48.8	51
	2008			76.9	78.1	*	86.4	87.0		70.3	82.9	76.9	55.5	78
	2006	*		69.3	71.3	50.0	70.0	72.5		68.2	71.7	70.0	63.1	90
2	2007	*	*	71.6	69.6	60.0	65.8	63.6		72.3	67.6	70.4	55.6	81
	2008	*		68.4	68.0	57.1	76.5	65.5		68.6	67.4	67.9	57.5	78
	2006	*	*	70.3	71.1	34.8	68.1	66.7		69.1	72.1	70.5	63.9	285
K-2	2007	50.0	*	66.1	66.8	68.8	65.4	61.9		64.4	67.3	65.8	49.1	202
	2008	*		76.9	77.0	73.3	84.2	79.9		74.1	79.3	76.7	52.7	219

Number Tested	2006	5	4	276	273	23	138	144	0	149	136	285	28,201
	2007	6	4	192	184	16	81	113	0	101	101	202	34,441
	2008	2	0	216	209	15	120	144	0	108	111	219	41,070

# NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		*	51	51	*	22	21		19	33	52	2,491	93
К	2007		*	30	30	*	20	22		9	21	30	2,583	70
	2008			48	46	*	32	32		25	23	48	2,788	63
	2006	*	*	14	14	0	5	4		9	5	14	2,111	102
1	2007	*		2	2	*	*	0		1	1	2	1,769	51
	2008			26	24	*	16	18		8	18	26	2,800	78
	2006	*		21	21	1	11	11		12	10	22	2,575	90
2	2007	*	*	16	15	1	6	8		11	6	17	2,098	81
	2008	*		14	14	1	6	8		7	7	14	2,912	78
	2006	*	*	86	86	3	38	36		40	48	88	7,177	285
K-2	2007	0	*	48	47	3	26	30		21	28	49	6,450	202
	2008	*		88	84	6	54	58		40	48	88	8,500	219

Number Tested	2006	5	4	276	273	23	138	144	0	149	136	285	28,201
	2007	6	4	192	184	16	81	113	0	101	101	202	34,441
	2008	2	0	216	209	15	120	144	0	108	111	219	41,070

Logramos Read (1)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

# PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												85.2	0
К	2007			94.4	94.4	*	94.4	94.3		93.8	95.0	94.4	84.7	36
	2008			94.3	94.1	*	94.3	94.1		90.5	100.0	94.3	87.0	35
	2006												82.5	0
1	2007			93.5	93.5	*	93.5	93.5		84.6	100.0	93.5	84.5	31
	2008			97.4	97.3	*	97.4	100.0		100.0	95.0	97.4	88.0	38
	2006												87.2	0
2	2007			*	*		*	*			*	*	89.9	1
	2008			100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	93.4	29
	2006												84.6	0
K-2	2007			94.1	94.1	*	94.1	94.0		89.7	97.4	94.1	85.6	68
	2008			97.1	97.0	87.5	97.0	98.0		96.1	98.0	97.1	89.3	102

	2006	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10,804
Number Tested	2007	0	0	68	68	3	68	67	0	29	39	68	14,889
Tested	2008	0	0	102	100	8	101	98	0	51	51	102	18,257

Logramos Read (2)

Logramos: READING TOTAL

# NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006												2,064	0
K	2007			31	31	*	31	31		14	17	31	2,779	36
	2008			31	30	*	31	31		18	13	31	3,280	35
	2006												1,391	0
1	2007			12	12	*	12	12		5	7	12	1,782	31
	2008			24	24	*	24	24		9	15	24	2,412	38
	2006												838	0
2	2007			*	*		*	*			*	*	1,196	1
	2008			16	16	*	15	15		7	9	16	2,596	29
	2006												4,293	0
K-2	2007			44	44	*	44	44		19	25	44	5,757	68
	2008			71	70	6	70	70		34	37	71	8,288	102
	2006	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10,804	

	2006	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10,804
Number Tested	2007	0	0	68	68	3	68	67	0	29	39	68	14,889
Tested	2008	0	0	102	100	8	101	98	0	51	51	102	18,257

# PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1	in 2007	Level 2	in 2007	Level 3	in 2007	Levels 1-3 in 2007		
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2008							
2	0	-	0	-	4	25.0	4	25.0	
3	0	-	4	75.0	7	28.6	11	45.5	
4	0	-	0	-	3	33.3	3	33.3	
5	3	0.0	1	0.0	0	-	4	0.0	
6	5	20.0	0	-	0	-	5	20.0	
ALL	8	12.5	5	60.0	14	28.6	27	29.6	