Campus Data Packet

for 2008 - 09 Plans



B. F. DARRELL

School Number 132

The information in this packert is based on data from the 2007-08 school year.



2008-09 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2 ContentsTable of Contents	3 Notes Notes and Data Descriptions for Individual Reports
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
5 SummarySummary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6 Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7 Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8 Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
9 Teachers Teacher Statistics	
TEXAS ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	
10 TAKS Read (1) TAKS Reading: Percentage Meeting Standard	11 TAKS Read (2) TAKS Reading: Number Not Meeting Standard
12 TAKS Read (3) TAKS Reading: Performance by Objective	13 TAKS Read (4) TAKS Reading: Percentage Commended
14 TAKS Math (1)TAKS Mathematics: % Meeting Standard	15 TAKS Math (2) TAKS Mathematics: # Not Meeting Standard
16 TAKS Math (3)TAKS Mathematics: % by Objective	17 TAKS Math (4) TAKS Mathematics: % Commended
18 TAKS Write (1)TAKS Writing: Percentage Meeting Standard	19 TAKS Write (2) TAKS Writing: Number Not Meeting Standard
20 TAKS Write (3) TAKS Writing: Performance by Objective	21 TAKS Write (4) TAKS Writing: Percentage Commended
22 TAKS Science (1)TAKS Science: Percentage Meeting Standard	23 TAKS Science (2) TAKS Science: Number Not Meeting Standard
24 TAKS Science (3)TAKS Science: Performance by Objective	25 TAKS Science (4) TAKS Science: Percentage Commended
OWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS (AND RELATED TESTS)	
26 Compliance SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	27 ITBS Read (1) ITBS Reading Total: % At or Above the 40th %
28 ITBS Read (2)ITBS Reading Total: # At or Above the 80th %	29 ITBS Math (1) ITBS Mathematics Total: % At or Above the 40th %
30 ITBS Math (2) ITBS Mathematics Total: # At or Above the 80th %	
MEASURES OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
31 WMLSWoodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	

°The TEA will release passing standards for the 2008 TAKS-Modified test in late summer. When they are available, an addendum to the Campus Data Packet with reports for TAKS-M will be available on MyData Portal.

Student results for the 2008 TELPAS will be sent by the TEA in September. An addendum with these results will be posted on MyData Portal by October.

‡ SCHOOLS WITH MAGNET PROGRAMS: Enrollment, attendance, and teacher statistics are not computed seperately for magnets, academies, and vanguards. Statistics computed for the home campus include all students at the home campus and the magnet program.

2007-08 SCHOOL SUMMARY ‡

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- More detailed information is available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT‡

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2007-08. They are counted as new if they were not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2006-07 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2006-07 and 2007-08.

ATTENDANCE;

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawl transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled on the first day of the second six-weeks grading period and on the last day of testing.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS‡

• Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

TAKS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AEIS or AYP minimum requirement for 2009, whichever is higher for the subject test.
- READING and MATHEMATICS statistics for SSI grades (3, 5, and 8) are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

SCE Compliance and ITBS / ITED / Logramos

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading results for all three years are for the Language Total subtest; all other grades' results reflect Reading Total performance. (The Reading Total summary is not available with use of the level 5R form administered to kindergarteners in 2008.) All Logramos reading summaries are for Reading Total. The number tested includes kindergarteners.
- Numbers tested in READING for 2006 and 2007 may not match those reported in campus data packets published in the last two years. Previous totals did not consistently include students in Kindergarten, though documentation included with the reports indicated otherwise.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
PK	38
KN	42
1	41
2	51
3	49
4	49
5	57
ALL	327

STUDENT AND TEACHER ETHNICITY

Ethnicity	Stud	lents	Teachers				
Ethnicity	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
African American	280	85.6	25	92.6			
American Indian	1	0.3	*	*			
Asian	1	0.3	*	*			
Hispanic	41	12.5	0	0.0			
White	4	1.2	2	7.4			
Other	**	**	0	0.0			

^{*}Included in category "Other."

STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Student Group	Number	Percent
Economically disadvantaged students	298	91.1
Limited English proficient students	10	3.1
Special education students	24	7.3

^{**}All students are classified in one of the five racial/ethnic groups.

			African A	American	America	ın Indian	As	ian	Hisp	anic	Wh	nite
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2006	62	60	96.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	3.2	0	0.0
PK	2007	43	37	86.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	14.0	0	0.0
	2008	38	37	97.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.6	0	0.0
	2006	56	46	82.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	10	17.9	0	0.0
KN	2007	40	35	87.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	10.0	1	2.5
	2008	42	39	92.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	7.1	0	0.0
	2006	61	52	85.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	14.8	0	0.0
1	2007	49	40	81.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	18.4	0	0.0
	2008	41	37	90.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	7.3	1	2.4
	2006	40	34	85.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	12.5	1	2.5
2	2007	58	46	79.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	19.0	1	1.7
	2008	51	46	90.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	9.8	0	0.0
	2006	52	43	82.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	11.5	3	5.8
3	2007	45	40	88.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	11.1	0	0.0
	2008	49	41	83.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	12.2	2	4.1
	2006	40	32	80.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	17.5	1	2.5
4	2007	55	43	78.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	20.0	1	1.8
	2008	49	39	79.6	1	2.0	1	2.0	8	16.3	0	0.0
	2006	47	40	85.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	14.9	0	0.0
5	2007	59	40	67.8	0	0.0	1	1.7	18	30.5	0	0.0
	2008	57	41	71.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	15	26.3	1	1.8
	2006	359	308	85.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	46	12.8	5	1.4
EC-5	2007	349	281	80.5	0	0.0	1	0.3	64	18.3	3	0.9
	2008	327	280	85.6	1	0.3	1	0.3	41	12.5	4	1.2

				mically antaged	LE	ĒP	Special E	Education	T	AG	New (to Dallas ISD)		Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Rate (%)
	2006	62	62	100.0	1	1.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	62	100.0	0.0
PK	2007	43	43	100.0	4	9.3	1	2.3	0	0.0	42	97.7	2.3
	2008	38	37	97.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	38	100.0	0.0
	2006	56	53	94.6	3	5.4	1	1.8	3	5.4	20	35.7	0.0
KN	2007	40	39	97.5	2	5.0	1	2.5	1	2.5	13	32.5	0.0
	2008	42	40	95.2	0	0.0	3	7.1	2	4.8	14	33.3	0.0
	2006	61	55	90.2	3	4.9	7	11.5	5	8.2	17	27.9	4.9
1	2007	49	48	98.0	5	10.2	3	6.1	1	2.0	4	8.2	2.0
	2008	41	38	92.7	0	0.0	6	14.6	1	2.4	4	9.8	4.9
	2006	40	40	100.0	1	2.5	5	12.5	7	17.5	9	22.5	2.5
2	2007	58	55	94.8	7	12.1	6	10.3	6	10.3	3	5.2	3.4
	2008	51	48	94.1	1	2.0	4	7.8	2	3.9	6	11.8	2.0
	2006	52	48	92.3	4	7.7	5	9.6	6	11.5	12	23.1	1.9
3	2007	45	44	97.8	2	4.4	7	15.6	6	13.3	5	11.1	2.2
	2008	49	44	89.8	1	2.0	3	6.1	4	8.2	4	8.2	2.0
	2006	40	36	90.0	2	5.0	8	20.0	6	15.0	11	27.5	0.0
4	2007	55	49	89.1	6	10.9	10	18.2	7	12.7	3	5.5	0.0
	2008	49	41	83.7	4	8.2	4	8.2	15	30.6	4	8.2	2.0
	2006	47	45	95.7	2	4.3	13	27.7	6	12.8	8	17.0	2.1
5	2007	59	56	94.9	5	8.5	9	15.3	20	33.9	4	6.8	5.1
	2008	57	50	87.7	4	7.0	4	7.0	21	36.8	3	5.3	1.8
	2006	359	339	94.4	16	4.5	40	11.1	33	9.2	140	39.0	1.7
EC-5	2007	349	334	95.7	31	8.9	37	10.6	41	11.7	74	21.2	2.3
	2008	327	298	91.1	10	3.1	24	7.3	45	13.8	73	22.3	1.8

Grade	Year	Averag Member	•	А	verage Daily	Attendance		Yea	rly Transaction	ns		uously ed (N)	Stability Rate (%)	
		Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (N)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus (N)	Campus (%)	Dallas ISD (%)	Campus	Dallas ISD	Campus	Dallas ISD
	2006	30.5	3,987.7	28.7	94.1	3,815.3	95.7	76	100.0	100.0	56	7,228	100.0	100.0
PK	2007	21.0	4,117.2	20.4	96.8	3,933.6	95.5	56	100.0	100.0	38	7,436	100.0	100.0
	2008	19.5	4,133.2	18.6	95.2	3,942.7	95.4	55	100.0	100.0	34	7,427	100.0	100.0
	2006	55.6	13,725.9	54.0	97.0	13,178.1	96.0	14	25.2	25.7	50	11,822	89.9	86.1
KN	2007	39.1	13,945.0	37.6	96.3	13,385.6	96.0	14	35.8	24.3	30	12,035	76.8	86.3
	2008	43.0	13,568.1	41.8	97.2	13,004.4	95.8	11	25.6	23.5	38	11,618	88.3	85.6
	2006	58.1	14,145.9	56.4	97.1	13,632.7	96.4	17	29.3	24.8	52	12,164	89.5	86.0
1	2007	48.4	14,353.8	46.9	96.8	13,851.4	96.5	9	18.6	23.2	41	12,437	84.7	86.6
	2008	41.4	14,626.9	39.9	96.5	14,102.7	96.4	8	19.3	21.2	38	12,704	91.9	86.9
	2006	38.1	13,536.9	36.9	97.0	13,115.8	96.9	14	36.8	22.9	32	11,853	84.1	87.6
2	2007	58.9	13,403.8	56.6	96.2	12,978.9	96.8	8	13.6	21.7	52	11,729	88.4	87.5
	2008	47.6	13,708.6	46.5	97.8	13,269.8	96.8	11	23.1	19.7	43	12,043	90.4	87.9
	2006	49.3	12,948.9	47.2	95.9	12,577.2	97.1	9	18.3	22.8	45	11,376	91.4	87.9
3	2007	41.6	12,998.4	40.2	96.7	12,633.3	97.2	15	36.1	21.1	35	11,445	84.1	88.0
	2008	47.2	12,806.9	45.6	96.7	12,425.5	97.0	13	27.6	19.1	40	11,408	84.8	89.1
	2006	39.2	12,021.0	38.4	97.9	11,684.2	97.2	8	20.4	22.3	34	10,587	86.7	88.1
4	2007	51.2	12,104.9	50.2	98.0	11,768.3	97.2	15	29.3	19.7	44	10,683	85.9	88.3
	2008	49.2	12,329.8	47.9	97.3	11,960.3	97.0	13	26.4	19.7	43	10,924	87.4	88.6
	2006	47.6	12,618.4	45.8	96.1	12,262.2	97.2	10	21.0	22.3	42	11,130	88.2	88.2
5	2007	57.6	11,757.0	56.1	97.3	11,426.8	97.2	17	29.5	20.9	50	10,362	86.7	88.1
	2008	57.1	11,874.2	55.9	97.9	11,539.6	97.2	7	12.3	18.8	51	10,608	89.4	89.3
	2006	319.1	83,530.4	308.0	96.5	80,779.5	96.7	150	47.0	34.4	311	76,525	97.5	91.6
EC-5	2007	317.9	83,122.6	308.0	96.9	80,387.4	96.7	134	42.2	33.3	290	76,416	91.2	91.9
	2008	304.9	83,438.7	296.2	97.1	80,606.0	96.6	118	38.7	31.9	287	76,970	94.1	92.2

Teachers Teacher Statistics ‡

DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS

Ethnicity	Number	Percent			
African American	25	92.6			
Hispanic	0	0.0			
White	2	7.4			
Other	0	0.0			

Gender	Number	Percent
Female	24	88.9
Male	3	11.1

TOTAL	27
IOTAL	21

AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES

2006	8.2
2007	7.7
2008	3.7

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	87.5	*	90.2		*	75.0		83.3	93.3	89.6	87.7	48
3	2007		85.3	*	86.5	*	*	87.5		80.8	100.0	86.8	84.6	38
	2008	*	92.3	*	85.4	*	*	82.6		85.0	88.0	86.7	86.2	45
	2006	*	88.0	100.0	92.6		*	75.0		86.7	94.1	90.6	69.5	32
4	2007	*	61.3	*	65.6	*	*	50.0		53.3	71.4	63.9	69.7	36
	2008		77.8	100.0	81.6	*	*	75.0		78.6	88.9	82.6	72.1	46
	2006		87.1	*	90.9		*	63.6		90.9	88.0	88.9	76.9	36
5	2007		75.0	*	75.0		*	53.8		66.7	78.6	73.1	78.3	26
	2008	*	94.9	100.0	95.7		*	94.4		96.0	96.6	96.3	82.9	54
	2006	*	87.5	100.0	91.1		*	70.4		86.4	91.7	89.7	78.2	116
3-5	2007	*	74.2	80.0	76.3	*	*	65.9		69.8	80.9	75.0	77.6	100
	2008	*	88.6	92.6	88.1	*	100.0	84.9		86.3	91.7	89.0	80.3	145

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2006	4	96	15	101	0	5	27	0	44	72	116	32,875
2007	1	89	10	93	2	5	41	0	53	47	100	31,814
2008	2	114	27	126	2	9	53	0	73	72	145	31,840

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2009 AEIS reading/ELA minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	5	*	4		*	2		3	2	5	1,380	48
3	2007		5	*	5	*	*	2		5	0	5	1,675	38
	2008	*	3	*	6	*	*	4		3	3	6	1,443	45
	2006	*	3	0	2		*	2		2	1	3	3,190	32
4	2007	*	12	*	11	*	*	6		7	6	13	3,189	36
	2008		8	0	7	*	*	3		6	2	8	2,996	46
	2006		4	*	3		*	4		1	3	4	2,584	36
5	2007		6	*	6		*	6		4	3	7	2,258	26
	2008	*	2	0	2		*	1		1	1	2	1,827	54
	2006	*	12	0	9		*	8		6	6	12	7,154	116
3-5	2007	*	23	2	22	*	*	14		16	9	25	7,122	100
	2008	*	13	2	15	*	0	8		10	6	16	6,266	145

					NUMB	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2006	4	96	15	101	0	5	27	0	44	72	116	32,875
2007	1	89	10	93	2	5	41	0	53	47	100	31,814
2008	2	114	27	126	2	9	53	0	73	72	145	31,840

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Applying Knowledge of Literary Elements	Using Strategies to Analyze	Applying Critical- Thinking Skills
	2006	80.1	86.9	78.5	78.6
3	2007	78.4	78.9	70.6	71.4
	2008	78.4	81.6	80.0	75.6
	2006	84.2	73.4	81.3	78.4
4	2007	76.9	71.5	73.4	65.3
	2008	85.8	78.5	82.0	79.8
	2006	79.9	84.0	82.6	77.6
5	2007	75.7	78.8	73.1	71.6
	2008	87.0	90.3	86.1	84.3
	2006	81.2	82.3	80.5	78.3
3-5	2007	77.2	76.3	72.3	69.2
	2008	84.0	83.9	82.9	80.2

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	15.0	*	14.6		*	0.0		11.1	20.0	16.7	28.0	48
3	2007		11.8	*	13.5	*	*	6.3		15.4	8.3	13.2	21.3	38
	2008	*	20.5	*	17.1	*	*	8.7		15.0	24.0	20.0	21.5	45
	2006	*	4.0	16.7	11.1		*	0.0		20.0	0.0	9.4	10.0	32
4	2007	*	16.1	*	12.5	*	*	0.0		20.0	9.5	13.9	16.6	36
	2008		13.9	37.5	15.8	*	*	16.7		14.3	27.8	19.6	14.4	46
	2006		25.8	*	21.2		*	9.1		9.1	28.0	22.2	10.8	36
5	2007		8.3	*	8.3		*	7.7		8.3	7.1	7.7	13.1	26
	2008	*	25.6	35.7	25.5		*	11.1		32.0	24.1	27.8	16.5	54
	2006	*	15.6	6.7	15.8		*	3.7		13.6	18.1	16.4	16.4	116
3-5	2007	*	12.4	10.0	11.8	*	*	4.9		15.1	8.5	12.0	17.1	100
	2008	*	20.2	33.3	19.8	*	33.3	11.3		20.5	25.0	22.8	17.4	145

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2006	4	96	15	101	0	5	27	0	44	72	116	32,875
2007	1	89	10	93	2	5	41	0	53	47	100	31,814
2008	2	114	27	126	2	9	53	0	73	72	145	31,840

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	56.4	*	53.8		*	12.5		52.9	60.0	57.4	74.9	47
3	2007		70.6	*	71.1	*	*	62.5		69.2	76.9	71.8	70.3	39
	2008	*	87.5	*	86.0	*	*	78.3		85.0	81.5	83.0	76.6	47
	2006	*	88.0	83.3	85.2		*	62.5		80.0	94.1	87.5	74.0	32
4	2007	*	83.9	*	81.3	*	*	66.7		86.7	81.0	83.3	75.7	36
	2008		78.4	100.0	79.5	*	*	69.2		82.1	84.2	83.0	77.9	47
	2006		89.7	*	90.6		*	80.0		90.0	91.7	91.2	82.2	34
5	2007		76.2	*	76.2		*	63.6		80.0	76.9	78.3	82.9	23
	2008	*	94.7	100.0	95.7		*	88.9		95.8	96.6	96.2	84.0	53
	2006	*	75.3	73.3	74.5		*	53.8		71.4	78.9	76.1	77.1	113
3-5	2007	*	76.7	81.8	75.8	*	*	64.1		76.5	78.7	77.6	76.2	98
	2008	*	87.0	88.9	87.5	*	100.0	79.6		87.5	88.0	87.8	79.5	147

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2006	4	93	15	98	0	5	26	0	42	71	113	33,063
2007	1	86	11	91	2	5	39	0	51	47	98	32,298
2008	3	115	27	128	3	9	54	0	72	75	147	32,696

Cells containing passing percentages below the 2008-09 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) mathematics target of 58% are shaded.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	17	*	18		*	7		8	12	20	2,820	47
3	2007		10	*	11	*	*	6		8	3	11	3,303	39
	2008	*	5	*	6	*	*	5		3	5	8	2,542	47
	2006	*	3	1	4		*	3		3	1	4	2,765	32
4	2007	*	5	*	6	*	*	4		2	4	6	2,604	36
-	2008		8	0	8	*	*	4		5	3	8	2,436	47
	2006		3	*	3		*	2		1	2	3	1,997	34
5	2007		5	*	5		*	4		2	3	5	1,785	23
	2008	*	2	0	2		*	2		1	1	2	1,730	53
	2006	*	23	4	25		*	12		12	15	27	7,582	113
3-5	2007	*	20	2	22	*	*	14		12	10	22	7,692	98
	2008	*	15	3	16	*	0	11		9	9	18	6,708	147

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2006	4	93	15	98	0	5	26	0	42	71	113	33,063
2007	1	86	11	91	2	5	39	0	51	47	98	32,298
2008	3	115	27	128	3	9	54	0	72	75	147	32,696

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJE	CTIVE		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	Concepts and Uses of Measurement	Probability and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2006	70.6	70.2	75.9	65.6	76.1	57.4
3	2007	72.3	80.3	79.1	70.9	80.8	58.3
	2008	81.3	84.4	85.8	77.3	87.2	65.2
	2006	83.8	89.3	88.5	81.3	83.6	69.5
4	2007	83.3	81.7	83.8	73.1	72.2	73.6
	2008	85.9	86.6	81.2	82.3	80.9	77.7
	2006	84.2	86.1	85.7	83.2	83.1	78.3
5	2007	79.8	70.2	85.1	72.0	83.7	71.7
	2008	88.0	86.8	89.5	86.3	94.8	86.8
	2006	78.5	80.4	82.4	75.3	80.3	67.1
3-5	2007	78.1	78.5	82.2	72.0	78.3	67.1
	2008	85.2	86.0	85.7	82.1	87.9	77.0

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	12.8	*	12.8		*	0.0		5.9	16.7	12.8	22.3	47
3	2007		11.8	*	13.2	*	*	6.3		15.4	7.7	12.8	19.2	39
	2008	*	25.0	*	20.9	*	*	8.7		20.0	25.9	23.4	26.3	47
	2006	*	20.0	33.3	25.9		*	12.5		33.3	11.8	21.9	22.1	32
4	2007	*	19.4	*	21.9	*	*	8.3		20.0	23.8	22.2	22.6	36
	2008		21.6	75.0	35.9	*	*	38.5		28.6	42.1	34.0	23.8	47
	2006		44.8	*	50.0		*	20.0		50.0	50.0	50.0	29.9	34
5	2007		19.0	*	19.0		*	9.1		20.0	15.4	17.4	29.3	23
	2008	*	42.1	92.9	52.2		*	33.3		58.3	51.7	54.7	31.9	53
	2006	*	24.7	40.0	28.6		*	11.5		26.2	26.8	26.5	24.8	113
3-5	2007	*	16.3	18.2	17.6	*	*	7.7		17.6	17.0	17.3	23.6	98
	2008	*	29.6	74.1	36.7	*	88.9	24.1		36.1	40.0	38.1	27.3	147

					NUMBE	ER TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2006	4	93	15	98	0	5	26	0	42	71	113	33,063
2007	1	86	11	91	2	5	39	0	51	47	98	32,298
2008	3	115	27	128	3	9	54	0	72	75	147	32,696

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	96.0	83.3	92.6		*	87.5		86.7	100.0	93.8	84.2	32
4	2007	*	96.7	*	96.9	*	*	92.3		93.8	100.0	97.3	86.5	37
	2008		94.3	100.0	97.4	*	*	100.0		92.9	100.0	95.6	87.4	45

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2006	1	25	6	27	0	1	8	0	15	17	32	10,311
2007	1	30	5	32	1	2	13	0	16	20	37	10,408
2008	0	35	8	38	1	4	12	0	28	17	45	10,658

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 70 percent, the 2009 AEIS writing minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	1	1	2		*	1		2	0	2	1,631	32
4	2007	*	1	*	1	*	*	1		1	0	1	1,408	37
	2008		2	0	1	*	*	0		2	0	2	1,348	45

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2006	1	25	6	27	0	1	8	0	15	17	32	10,311
2007	1	30	5	32	1	2	13	0	16	20	37	10,408
2008	0	35	8	38	1	4	12	0	28	17	45	10,658

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Appropriate Organization of Ideas	Correct and Effective Sentence Construction	Standard Usage and Appropriate Word Choice	Proofreading for Punctuation, Capitalization, and Spelling
	2006	2.2	89.8	85.9	91.4	91.4
4	2007	2.2	85.1	88.2	90.9	92.9
	2008	2.4	82.8	89.7	89.2	93.3

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	16.0	33.3	22.2		*	12.5		20.0	17.6	18.8	10.9	32
4	2007	*	16.7	*	21.9	*	*	7.7		12.5	25.0	18.9	18.2	37
	2008	·	20.0	62.5	26.3	*	*	16.7		25.0	35.3	28.9	21.4	45

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 4				
2006	1	25	6	27	0	1	8	0	15	17	32	10,311
2007	1	30	5	32	1	2	13	0	16	20	37	10,408
2008	0	35	8	38	1	4	12	0	28	17	45	10,658

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		65.5	*	65.6		*	40.0		50.0	70.8	64.7	62.1	34
5	2007		60.0	*	70.0	*	*	60.0		70.0	58.3	63.6	62.3	22
	2008	*	89.2	92.9	88.9		*	88.9		95.7	86.2	90.4	71.9	52

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GRA	ADE 5				
2006	0	29	5	32	0	1	10	0	10	24	34	11,045
2007	0	20	2	20	1	1	10	0	10	12	22	10,296
2008	1	37	14	45	0	4	18	0	23	29	52	10,686

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 50 percent, the 2009 AEIS science minimum for an Academically Acceptable rating.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		10	*	11		*	6		5	7	12	4,185	34
5	2007		8	*	6	*	*	4		3	5	8	3,881	22
	2008	*	4	1	5		*	2		1	4	5	3,005	52

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2006	0	29	5	32	0	1	10	0	10	24	34	11,045
2007	0	20	2	20	1	1	10	0	10	12	22	10,296
2008	1	37	14	45	0	4	18	0	23	29	52	10,686

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

			OBJE	CTIVE	
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Life Sciences	Physical Sciences	Earth Sciences
	2006	82.6	86.9	78.4	67.6
5	2007	80.4	78.3	82.3	61.6
	2008	92.3	90.0	89.5	72.0

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		13.8	*	18.8		*	0.0		30.0	12.5	17.6	14.4	34
5	2007		15.0	*	15.0	*	*	0.0		20.0	8.3	13.6	18.0	22
	2008	*	37.8	50.0	37.8		*	27.8		56.5	27.6	40.4	24.8	52

					NUM	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2006	0	29	5	32	0	1	10	0	10	24	34	11,045
2007	0	20	2	20	1	1	10	0	10	12	22	10,296
2008	1	37	14	45	0	4	18	0	23	29	52	10,686

Shaded cells indicate percentages at or above 30 percent, the Gold Performance Acknowledgment Standard for 2009.

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the IOWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS

			READING T	OTAL (**LA	NGUAGE TO	OTAL)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	83.0	83.6	69.9	55
K**	2007	*	75.0	70.6	46.9	34
	2008		80.0	80.0	57.6	40
	2006	57.1	81.3	78.2	54.1	55
1	2007	76.9	76.5	76.6	51.4	47
	2008	*	90.3	87.9	50.2	33
	2006	*	66.7	61.8	49.2	34
2	2007	21.1	75.0	54.9	44.5	51
	2008	47.6	85.7	69.4	50.2	49
	2006	45.5	75.6	71.9	51.4	89
1-2	2007	43.8	75.8	65.3	47.6	98
	2008	47.8	88.1	76.8	50.2	82

			MA	THEMATIC	S TOTAL	
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006	*	79.2	80.0	68.7	55
K	2007	*	75.8	74.3	44.3	35
	2008		89.7	89.7	44.9	39
	2006	85.7	87.2	87.0	60.7	54
1	2007	76.9	73.5	74.5	48.8	47
	2008	*	87.1	87.9	55.5	33
	2006	*	71.0	66.7	63.1	36
2	2007	42.1	71.9	60.8	55.6	51
	2008	61.9	78.6	71.4	57.5	49
	2006	71.4	80.2	79.3	63.9	145
K-2	2007	55.9	73.7	69.2	49.1	133
	2008	65.2	85.7	81.8	52.7	121

	2006	13	131	144	27,596	
Number Tested	2007	34	98	132	24,696	
100100	2008	23	99	122	21,817	

	2006	14	131	145	28,201	
Number Tested	2007	34	99	133	34,441	
Toolog	2008	23	98	121	41,070	

Numbers tested in READING for 2006 and 2007 may not match those reported in campus data packets published in the last two years. Previous totals did not consistently include students in Kindergarten, though documentation included with the reports indicated otherwise.

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		82.6	88.9	86.5	*	*	*		83.3	83.9	83.6	69.9	55
K**	2007		76.7	*	69.7		*	*		64.7	76.5	70.6	46.9	34
	2008		81.1	*	78.9	*				81.3	79.2	80.0	57.6	40
	2006		81.3	57.1	78.0	*	*	57.1		61.5	93.1	78.2	54.1	55
1	2007		76.3	77.8	77.8	*	*	76.9		70.0	81.5	76.6	51.4	47
	2008	*	93.5	*	87.1	*		*		81.8	90.9	87.9	50.2	33
	2006	*	69.0	*	61.8	*	*	*		55.0	71.4	61.8	49.2	34
2	2007	*	59.5	37.5	56.3		33.3	21.1		36.4	69.0	54.9	44.5	51
	2008		70.5	*	67.4	*	*	47.6		63.6	74.1	69.4	50.2	49
	2006	*	76.6	45.5	71.4	*	*	45.5		58.7	86.0	71.9	51.4	89
1-2	2007	*	67.5	58.8	66.7	*	63.6	43.8		52.4	75.0	65.3	47.6	98
	2008	*	80.0	50.0	75.3	*	*	47.8		69.7	81.6	76.8	50.2	82

	2006	1	123	20	136	5	6	13	0	70	74	144	27,596
Number Tested	2007	1	110	21	126	1	13	34	0	59	73	132	24,696
Tostoa	2008	1	112	9	115	5	1	23	0	49	73	122	21,817

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		15	1	16	*	*	*		6	10	16	1,856	55
K**	2007		15	*	15		*	*		8	8	16	1,245	34
	2008		20	*	19	*				8	13	21	1,687	40
	2006		33	4	34	*	*	4		11	26	37	1,786	55
1	2007		19	5	23	*	*	7		10	14	24	1,458	47
	2008	*	20	*	18	*		*		8	12	20	1,555	33
	2006	*	2	*	2	*	*	*		2	0	2	1,340	34
2	2007	*	2	1	3		1	2		1	2	3	896	51
	2008		5	*	6	*	*	1		2	4	6	1,065	49
	2006	*	35	4	36	*	*	4		13	26	39	3,126	89
1-2	2007	*	21	6	26	*	5	9		11	16	27	2,355	98
	2008	*	25	1	24	*	*	2		10	16	26	2,620	82

	2006	1	123	20	136	5	6	13	0	70	74	144	27,596
Number Tested	2007	1	110	21	126	1	13	34	0	59	73	132	24,696
103104	2008	1	112	9	115	5	1	23	0	49	73	122	21,817

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		78.3	88.9	82.7	*	*	*		75.0	83.9	80.0	68.7	55
K	2007		77.4	*	73.5		*	*		72.2	76.5	74.3	44.3	35
	2008		88.9	*	89.2	*				81.3	95.7	89.7	44.9	39
	2006		87.2	85.7	87.8	*	*	85.7		76.0	96.6	87.0	60.7	54
1	2007		71.1	88.9	77.8	*	*	76.9		70.0	77.8	74.5	48.8	47
	2008	*	87.1	*	87.1	*		*		90.9	86.4	87.9	55.5	33
	2006	*	71.0	*	66.7	*	*	*		68.2	64.3	66.7	63.1	36
2	2007	*	57.1	75.0	62.5		66.7	42.1		40.9	75.9	60.8	55.6	51
	2008		72.7	*	73.9	*	*	61.9		63.6	77.8	71.4	57.5	49
	2006	*	79.8	75.0	80.3	33.3	100.0	71.4		73.2	85.1	79.3	63.9	145
K-2	2007	*	67.6	76.2	70.9	*	76.9	55.9		60.0	76.7	69.2	49.1	133
	2008	*	82.0	77.8	82.5	*	*	65.2		75.5	86.1	81.8	52.7	121

Number Tested	2006	1	124	20	137	6	6	14	0	71	74	145	28,201
	2007	1	111	21	127	1	13	34	0	60	73	133	34,441
	2008	1	111	9	114	5	1	23	0	49	72	121	41,070

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dallas ISD	Number Tested (All Students)
	2006		20	0	20	*	*	*		4	16	20	2,491	55
K	2007		12	*	13		*	*		8	5	13	2,583	35
	2008		23	*	23	*				10	15	25	2,788	39
	2006		27	4	27	*	*	4		12	19	31	2,111	54
1	2007		19	7	26	*	*	8		11	15	26	1,769	47
	2008	*	22	*	22	*		*		8	16	24	2,800	33
	2006	*	5	*	5	*	*	*		5	0	5	2,575	36
2	2007	*	8	0	8		0	0		3	5	8	2,098	51
	2008		14	*	17	*	*	6		6	11	17	2,912	49
	2006	*	52	4	52	0	2	4		21	35	56	7,177	145
K-2	2007	*	39	8	47	*	5	8		22	25	47	6,450	133
	2008	*	59	6	62	*	*	7		24	42	66	8,500	121

Number Tested	2006	1	124	20	137	6	6	14	0	71	74	145	28,201
	2007	1	111	21	127	1	13	34	0	60	73	133	34,441
	2008	1	111	9	114	5	1	23	0	49	72	121	41,070

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1 in 2007		Level 2	in 2007	Level 3	in 2007	Levels 1-3 in 2007		
Grade	Number Percentage Tested Improved Both Years in 2008		Number Tested Both Years	Tested Improved		Percentage Improved in 2008	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2008	
3	0	-	0	-	1	100.0	1	100.0	
4	0	-	0	-	1	0.0	1	0.0	
ALL	0	-	0	-	2	50.0	2	50.0	