2012-13 Classroom Effectiveness Index Report for SAMPLE TEACHER (99999)

For information on Classroom Effectiveness Indices, visit the CEI Information page on MyData Portal at http://mydata.dallasisd.org/MENU/CEI.jsp. An introductory video and FAQs are available, among other resources. The page contains guidelines and forms for submitting adjustment requests for 2012-13 CEIs. The deadline for submitting an adjustment request for 2012-13 CEIs is October 25, 2013. Investigations are conducted in the order requests are received and can take several weeks to complete.

GLOSSARY/LEGEND

TERM	DESCRIPTION
CEI	Classroom Effectiveness Index. See SECTION CEI, COURSE CEI, or DIVISION CEI for information about each type of CEI.
CONTINUOUSLY ENROLLED (Œ)	A continuously-enrolled student was enrolled at the campus and present during the ADA period for a minimum number of days in the school year (beginning of term through administration date of course-relevant assessment). Absences, late enrollment, with drawals, or transfers to another campus results in days counted against this minimum number of days.
Course Cei	The average faimess-adjusted relative gain score standardized within grade and test (e.g., grade five STAAR reading) for a course. ACP CEIs are standardized within test only (e.g., Semester 1 Pre-Calculus ACP). Scores are standardized to a mean of 50 and standard deviation of 10. A course CEI is based on relative gain scores from all students enrolled in a teacher's course.
DIVISION CEI	The average faim ess-adjusted relative gain score standardized within division: language arts/reading, mathematics, social studies, science, computer science, and foreign language. A <i>division CEI</i> is based on relative gain scores from <i>all</i> students enrolled in <i>any</i> of the teacher's courses <i>in the division</i> .
ELIGIBILITY FOR INCLUSION ("CEI-ELIGIBLE")	To be <i>eligible for inclusion</i> in any CEI, a student must have appropriate test combinations from current and prior years <i>and</i> must have been continuously enrolled. (For appropriate test combinations see http://mydata.dallasisd.org/NENU/CEI.jsp) Other criteria are assessment-specific. For <u>STAAR 3-8, TAKS, nom-referenced, and middle school ACP CEIs</u> , a student cannot have more than a set number of absences (EA or UA) <i>and</i> cannot have been retained in either of the two previous years. For high school ACP CEIs, a student cannot have more than a set number of absences (EA or UA). Block-scheduling at high schools is accounted for in the calculation of absences; students absent from a "double-length" class period are assigned two absences. <i>Students with names printed in bold italics</i> were <i>not</i> eligible for indusion in the CEI but were either in the teacher's course for a sufficient number of days during the term or placed on the CEI roster by the teacher during the roster verification process on MyData Portal.
FAIRNESS- ADJUSTED RELATIVE GAIN SCORE	Also "Relative Gain Score." A measure of the degree to which a student exceeded or fell below the average score when his performance was compared to that of similar district students. The scores are <i>relative</i> because they are based on comparisons, not arbitrary or external score criteria; they are <i>fairness-adjusted</i> because the comparisons take place only among similar students (see <i>SIMILAR STUDENTS</i>), not the entire student population. Relative gain scores are standardized to a mean of 50 and standard deviation of 10. The bar graphs highlight gain scores that are one and two standard deviations from average. Scores within one standard deviation are considered typical for a group.
NEIGHBORHOOD VARIABLES	
NORM-REFERENCED ASSESSMENTS	An ITBS or Logramos subtest, such as mathematics computation, mathematics total, reading total, language, reading words, etc. Specific subtests applicable for each grade are available at http://mydata.dallasisd.org/MENU/CEI.jsp under "CEI-eligibility Criteria." All K-2 scores are from norm-referenced assessments.
PERCENTILE	When a list of numbers is ordered from smallest to largest, a value's <i>percentile</i> reports the percentage of other values that are lower than the one of interest. The CEI percentile reports the percentage of teachers whose CEI was lower than or equal to the CEI of interest. Percentiles are reported for each section, course, and division CEI. For example, a course percentile of 73% means the teacher's course CEI was higher than 73% of all other CEIs computed for the same course.
PRIOR-YEAR SCORE ABB REVIATIONS	
SECTI ON CEI	The average faim ess-adjusted relative gain score standardized within grade and test (e.g., grade five STAAR reading) for a section. ACP CEIs are standardized within test only (e.g., Semester 1 Pre-Calculus ACP). Scores are standardized to a mean of 50 and standard deviation of 10. A section CEI is based on relative gain scores from all students enrolled in a teacher's section.
"SIMILAR STUDENTS"	Relative gain scores are based on comparisons made within groups of similar students. Similar students share the following: they were administered the same current year test, had the same demographic characteristics, and had the same scores on the same prior-year tests. The demographic characteristics controlled for in the SE/CEI model are gender, LEP status, socioeconomic status as determined by receipt of free or reduced-price lunches, TAG status, SPED status, and three neighborhood characteristics. (See NEI GHBOR HOOD VARIABLES.)

Longitudinal CEI Report for SAMPLE TEACHER (99999)



LANGUAGE ARTS/READING Division

SAMPLE TEACHER (99999) SAMPLE SCHOOL (999)			Section: 51	Course: 1030 READING 7			Term: YEAR Grade: 7 Assessment: STAAR 3-8 READING				
Relative			Items correct on			Characteristics of student's comparison group:					
ID	Name	gain score	this assessment	GR	Demographics		eighborhood variables		مسلط 011-12 scores†		
1	STUDENT NAME	44.9	27 was BELOW avg for grp:	7	M, Iow SES, LEP	\$3	8/hh; 3% w/deg; 19% blw PL	30 (Gr 6 STA	AR R); 28 (Gr 6 STAAR MA)		
2	STUDENT NAME	60.9	47 was ABOVE avg for grp:	7	F, low SES, not LEP,	TAG \$2	7/hh; 6% w/deg; 34% blw PL	39 (Gr 6 STA	ARR); 47 (Gr 6 STAAR MA)		
3	STUDENT NAME	54.9	44 was average for grp:	7	F, low SES, not LEP,	TAG \$4	0/hh; 2% w/deg; 22% blw PL	41 (Gr 6 STA	AR R); 40 (Gr 6 STAAR MA)		
4	STUDENT NAME	44.0	31 was BELOW avg for grp:	7	F, low SES, not LEP,	TAG \$4	0/hh; 2% w/deg; 22% blw PL	32 (Gr 6 STA	AAR R); 26 (Gr 6 STAAR MA)		
5	STUDENT NAME	42.5	25 was BELOW avg for grp:	7	F, low SES, not LEP	\$3	4/hh; 4% w/deg; 27% blw PL	27 (Gr 6 ST	AR R); 20 (Gr 6 STAAR MA)		
6	STUDENT NAME	54.9	34 was average for grp:	7	F, low SES, not LEP	\$3	7/hh; 5% w/deg; 28% blw PL	27 (Gr 6 STA	AAR R); 36 (Gr 6 STAAR MA)		
7	STUDENT NAME	53.4	24 was average for grp:	7	M, Iow SES, LEP	\$2	7/hh; 6% w/deg; 34% blw PL	18 (Gr 6 STA	AAR R), 25 (Gr 6 STAAR MA)		
8	STUDENT NAME	47.0	25 was average for grp:	7	F, low SES, not LEP	\$4	8/hh; 2% w/deg; 17% blw PL	23 (Gr 6 STA	AR R): 19 Gr 6 STAAR MA)		
9	STUDENT NAME	< 37.2	17 was BELOW avg for grp:	7	M, Iow SES, not LEP	\$4	0/hh; 10% w/deg; 29% blw PL		This section reports the		
10	STUDENT NAME	57.6	28 was ABOVE avg for grp:	7	M, Iow SES, not LEP	\$3	8/hh; 3% w/deg; 19% blw PL	20 (Gr 6 STA	characteristics of each		
11	STUDENT NAME	57.0	35 was ABOVE avg for grp:	7	M, Iow SES, LEP, TA	G \$3	0/hh; 1% w/deg; 28% blw PL	31 (Gr 6 STA	student's comparison		
12	STUDENT NAME	64.1	36 was ABOVE avg for grp:	7	M, Iow SES, LEP	\$3	1/hh; 4% w/deg; 32% blw PL	28 (Gr 6 STA	group ("similar students		
13	STUDENT NAME	62.3	33 was ABOVE avg for grp:	7	F, low SES, LEP	\$3	7/hh; 5% w/deg; 28% blw PL	23 (Gr 6 STA	Detailed information ab student characteristics		
14	STUDENT NAME	60.3	29 was ABOVE avg for grp:	7	F, low SES, LEP	\$4	8/hh; 2% w/deg; 17% blw PL	21 (Gr 6 STA	be found in the Glossar		
15	STUDENT NAME	did not have scores	from assessments in both cu	rrent a	nd previous years that	were appropria	te measures for this course ar	nd term.	Legend on page 1.		
16	STUDENT NAME	did not have scores	from assessments in both cu	rrent a	nd previous years that	were appropria	te measures for this course ar	nd term.			
17	STUDENT NAME	did not have sco	Commente in heath or		years that	were annronria	te measures for this course ar	nd term			
18	STUDENT NAME	Z dia not nave sca	udents' raw scores on t sessment are compare		years tha		which the course and se	ction			
			milar students."	u oniy			ed. (Information about				
				_			Writing CEIs are listed	on	A course percentile of		
					L	separate pag	ges, where available.)		indicates that the		
e student	s did not meet all of the cr	iteria for						and the set	standardized reading		
	ative gain score. As a rest						A section perce 76 indicates th		gain score for this		
	ded in the calculation of th						standardized S		teacher's course (all		
er's CEI.	The criterion that was not	met is					3-8 reading ga		sections) was higher		
next to e	each student's name. The	students					section was high		than 93% of all course		
n the rost	ter because they were in t	he					that of 76% of		CEIs based on the gra		
auto ato a a	s for a sufficient number of	f days					seven reading		7 STAAR 3-8 reading		
ers class	n (year or semester).						in the district.	36010113	test.		
	0 /										
	,							_			
g the tern		difications made a	lurina		Assessment: STA	AR READING	CEI (and percentile) for S	Section 51:	56.8 (76%ile)		
g the tern Roster	rs reflect teacher's mo oster Verification (Ma		luring		Assessment: ST/	AAR READING	CEI (and percentile) for S		56.8 (76%ile) 62.8 (93%ile)		

NOTES: The average relative gain score in any comparison group is 50 with a standard deviation (SD) of 10. Relative gain scores from one to two SDs above or below average are highlighted with the characters > and <. Relative gain scores more than two SDs from average (<30 or >70) are highlighted with the characters << and >>. A student who scored higher than average *in his comparison group of similar district students* has a relative gain score higher than 50. Relative gain scores between 45 and 55 (shaded gray) are considered average or "typical." All students in a comparison group have the characteriscils listed in the student's row. *Students whose names are in bold italics were not used to compute the CEI*. Reasons for ineligibility include not having a suitable combination of test scores from last year and this year, not being continuously enrolled at the campus, having excessive absences (more than 10 in a semester term, more than 17 in a year term), or being retained in either of the two previous years. *For high school ACP CEIs, retention history is not an eligibility criterion*. (For more information on CEI eligibility criteria, see http://mydata.dallasisd.org/MENU/CEI.isp ***CEIs are computed only if at least eight (8) students have relative gain scores. *See Glossary for descriptions of neighborhood variables, a key for score abbreviations, and information about counting absences at high schools with block schedules.**

2 STUDENT NAME 603 > 47 was ABOVE wg for gp: 7 F, low SES, not LEP, TAG \$27/hit; 6% wideg; 34% bw PL 39 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 47 (Gr 6 STAAR 3 STUDENT NAME 44 was average for gp: 7 F, low SES, not LEP, TAG \$40/hit; 2% wideg; 2% bw PL 24 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 47 (Gr 6 STAAR 4 STUDENT NAME 42.5 25 was BELOW and for gp: 7 F, low SES, not LEP \$32/hit; 4% wideg; 2% bw PL 27 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 26 (Gr 6 STAAR 6 STUDENT NAME 40.0 24 was average for gp: 7 F, low SES, not LEP \$32/hit; 4% wideg; 2% bw PL 27 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 26 (Gr 6 STAAR 7 STUDENT NAME 50.4 24 was average for gp: 7 M, low SES, not LEP \$32/hit; 5% wideg; 1% bw PL 22 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 16 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 26 (Gr 6 STAAR R);	SAMPL	LE TEACHER (99999)		Course: 1030 RE	rse: 1030 READING 7 Term: YEA			R	Grade: 7	
ID Name gain score this assessment GR Demographics Neighborhood variablest Group's 2011-12 scorest 1 STUDENT NAME 44.9 27 was BELOW avg for gpt: 7 N, low SES, LP Stabht; 3% wide; 19% bit PL 30 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 20 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 47 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 40 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 50 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 20 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 19 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 20 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 19 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 20 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 19 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 1	SAMPL	LE SCHOOL (999)		Section: 51			Assessment	: STAAR	3-8 READIN	G
ID Name gain score this assessment GR Demographics Neighborhood variablest Group's 2011-12 scorest 1 STUDENT NAME 449 27 was BELOW avg for gpr. 7 M. low SES, Inol LEP, TAG Stimulation of the stars and the stars a			Relative	Items correct on			Characteri	stics of s	tudent's com	parison group:
2 STUDENT NAME 60.3 54 Yes absolve or gp: 7 F, low SES, not LEP, TAG S27/hit: 5% wideg, 2% bw PL 38 (Gr 6 STAAR R); a (Gr 6 S	ID	Name	gain score	this assessment	GR	Demographics				
3 STUDENT NAME 44 wis average for gp: 7 F, low SES, not LEP, TAG \$40ht; 28, wideg; 22%, blw PL 24 (G & STAAR R; 20 (G & STAAR 4 STUDENT NAME 44 wis average for gp: 7 F, low SES, not LEP, TAG \$40ht; 28, wideg; 22%, blw PL 32 (G & STAAR R; 20 (G & STAAR 5 STUDENT NAME 44.0 34 was average for gp: 7 F, low SES, not LEP \$37ht; 50k wideg; 22%, blw PL 32 (G & STAAR R; 20 (G & STAAR 7 STUDENT NAME 54.0 34 was average for gp: 7 F, low SES, not LEP \$37ht; 50k wideg; 22%, blw PL 22 (G & STAAR R; 20 (G & STAAR 9 STUDENT NAME 53.4 24 was average for gp: 7 M, low SES, not LEP \$30ht; 28 wideg; 29%, blw PL 20 (G & STAAR R; 19 (G & STAAR 10 STUDENT NAME 57.0 28 was ABOVE arg for gp: 7 M, low SES, not LEP \$30ht; 18 wideg; 29%, blw PL 20 (G & STAAR R; 19 (G & STAAR 11 STUDENT NAME 57.0 28 was ABOVE arg for gp: 7 M, low SES, not LEP \$30ht; 18 wideg; 29%, blw PL 20 (G & STAAR R; 19 (G & STAAR 21 (G & STAAR R; 19 (G & STAAR R; 20	1	STUDENT NAME	44.9	27 was BELOW avg for grp:	7	M, Iow SES, LEP	\$38/I	nh; 3% w/d	eg; 19% blw PL	30 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 28 (Gr 6 STAAR MA)
4 STUDENT NAME 44.0 31 was BELOW ang for gp: 7 7 F, low SES, not LEP, TAG \$40hh; 2% wideg; 22% biv PL 22 (G & G STAAR R; 28 (G & G STAAR R; 19	2	STUDENT NAME	60.9	47 was ABOVE avg for grp:	7	F, low SES, not LEP, T	AG \$27/I	nh; 6% w/d	eg; 34% blw PL	39 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 47 (Gr 6 STAAR MA)
5 STUDENT NAME 42.5 25 was BELOW and to grap: 7 F, low SES, not LEP Statuht, 4% wideg; 27% bW PL 27 (Gr B STAAR R); 20 (Gr B	3	STUDENT NAME	54.9	44 was average for grp:	7	F, low SES, not LEP, T	AG \$40/I	nh; 2% w/d	eg; 22% blw PL	41 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 40 (Gr 6 STAAR MA)
6 STUDENT NAME 54.9 34 was average for grp: 7 F, low SES, not LEP \$37/ht; 5% wideg; 28% bW PL 27 (Gr 6 STAAR R; 36 (Gr 6 STAAR 7 STUDENT NAME 53.4 24 was average for grp: 7 F, low SES, LEP \$27/ht; 6% wideg; 28% bW PL 13 (Gr 6 STAAR R; 19; Gr 6 STAAR R; 10; Gr 6 STA	4	STUDENT NAME	44.0	31 was BELOW avg for grp:	7	F, low SES, not LEP, T	AG \$40/I	nh; 2% w/d	eg; 22% blw PL	32 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 26 (Gr 6 STAAR MA)
7 STUDENT NAME 24 was average for gp: 7 M, low SES, LEP \$27/ht; 6% wideg: 3% bW PL 18 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 25 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 25 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 25 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 19 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 27		STUDENT NAME	42.5	25 was BELOW avg for grp:	7	F, low SES, not LEP	\$34/I	nh; 4% w/d	eg; 27% blw PL	27 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 20 (Gr 6 STAAR MA)
8 STUDENT NAME 47.0 25 was average for gp: 7 F, low SES, not LEP \$40hh; 2% widej; 17% biw PL 23 Gr 6 STAAR R); 19 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 27 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 27 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 29 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 20 (Gr 6	6	STUDENT NAME	54.9	34 was average for grp:	7	F, low SES, not LEP	\$37/1	nh; 5% w/d	eg; 28% blw PL	27 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 36 (Gr 6 STAAR MA)
9 STUDENT NAME 37.2 17 was BELOW avg for gp: 7 M. Iow SES, not LEP \$40hh; 10% wideg; 29% biv PL 20 (Gr 6 STAR R); 15 (Gr 6 STAR R); 16 (Gr 6 STAR R); 20 (Gr 6 ST	7	STUDENT NAME	53.4	24 was average for grp:	7	M, Iow SES, LEP	\$27/1	nh; 6% w/d	eg; 34% blw PL	18 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 25 (Gr 6 STAAR MA)
10 STUDENT NAME 57.0 28 was ABOVE arg for gp: 7 M, low SES, not LEP \$38/hit; 3% wideg; 19% blv PL 20 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 18 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 11 STUDENT NAME 64.1 57.0 35 was ABOVE arg for gp: 7 M, low SES, LEP \$31/hit; 4% wideg; 28% blv PL 20 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 18 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 20 (Gr 6 STAAR R);	8	STUDENT NAME	47.0	25 was average for grp:	7	F, low SES, not LEP	\$48/1	nh; 2% w/d	eg; 17% blw PL	23 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 19 (Gr 6 STAAR MA)
11 STUDENT NAME 57.0 35 was ABOVE avg for grp: 7 M, low SES, LEP, TAG S30/ht; 1% wideg: 28% blw PL 31 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 27 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 12 STUDENT NAME 62.3 > 33 was ABOVE avg for grp: 7 M, low SES, LEP S31/ht; 4% wideg: 28% blw PL 28 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 29 (Gr 6 STAAR R);	9	STUDENT NAME	< 3 7.2	17 was BELOW avg for grp:	7	M, Iow SES, not LEP	\$40/1	nh; 10% w/d	eg; 29% blw PL	20 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 15 (Gr 6 STAAR MA)
12 STUDENT NAME 64.1 > 36 was ABOVE avg for gp: 7 M, low SES, LEP S31/ht; 4% wddg; 32% biw PL 28 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 29 (Gr 6 STAAR 13 STUDENT NAME 60.3 > 29 was ABOVE avg for gp: 7 F, low SES, LEP \$34/ht; 4% wddg; 32% biw PL 23 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 20 (Gr 6 STAAR 14 STUDENT NAME 60.3 > 29 was ABOVE avg for gp: 7 F, low SES, LEP \$42/ht; 2% wddg; 17% biw PL 21 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 20 (Gr 6 STAAR 15 STUDENT NAME did not hove scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term. 17 STUDENT NAME did not hove scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term. 18 STUDENT NAME did not hove scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term. 19 STUDENT NAME did not hove scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term. 18 STUDENT NAME did not hove scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term. 19 Student ID 1: This student's gain score on STAAR 3-8 student iD 6: This student's gain score on STAAR 3-8 reading was compared to other seventh-grade students	10	STUDENT NAME	57.6	28 was ABOVE avg for grp:	7	M, Iow SES, not LEP	\$38/	nh; 3% w/d	eg; 19% blw PL	20 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 18 (Gr 6 STAAR MA)
13 STUDENT NAME 62.3 > 33 was ABOVE avg for grp: 7 F, low SES, LEP \$37/h; 5% wideg: 28% blw PL 22 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 32 (Gr 6 STAAR 14 STUDENT NAME did not have scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term. 15 STUDENT NAME did not have scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term. 16 STUDENT NAME did not have scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term. 17 STUDENT NAME did not have scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term. 18 STUDENT NAME did not have scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term. 18 STUDENT NAME did not have scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term. 19 Student ID 1: This student's gain score on STAAR 3-8 reading was compared to other seventh-grade students Student iD 6: This student's comparison group inved in neighborhoods where the median household income Student SO (A and SS), of adults over 25 had college Student iD 14: This student's comparison group inved is neighborhoods where the median household income Nousehold income am	11	STUDENT NAME	57.0	35 was ABOVE avg for grp:	7	M, Iow SES, LEP, TAG	\$30/1	nh; 1% w/d	eg; 28% blw PL	31 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 27 (Gr 6 STAAR MA)
14 STUDENT NAME Student ID 29 was ABOVE avg for grg: 7 F, low SES, LEP \$48/hf; 2% wdeg; 17% bW PL 21 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 20 (Gr 6 STAAR 15 STUDENT NAME did not have scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term. 16 STUDENT NAME did not have scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term. 17 STUDENT NAME did not have scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term. 18 STUDENT NAME did not have scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term. 18 STUDENT NAME did not have scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term. 18 STUDENT NAME did not have scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term. 19 Student ID 1: This student's gain score on STAAR 3-8 reading was compared to other seventh-grade students who were male, low SES, and limited in their English or files current on grade 5 STAAR 3-8 reading and 28 reading was compared to other seventh-grade students over 25 had college degrees, and 19% lived below the poverty level. Comparison	12	STUDENT NAME	64.1	36 was ABOVE avg for grp:	7	M, Iow SES, LEP	\$31/	nh; 4% w/d	eg; 32% blw PL	28 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 29 (Gr 6 STAAR MA)
15STUDENT NAME did not have scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term.16STUDENT NAME did not have scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term.17STUDENT NAME did not have scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term.18STUDENT NAME did not have scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term.18STUDENT NAME did not have scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term.19Student ID 1: This student's gain score on STAAR 3-8 reading was compared to other seventh-grade students who were male, low SES, and limited in their English proficiency. Members of this student's comparison group lived in neighborhoods where the median household nocre among households was \$38,000, 3% of adults over 25 had college degrees, and 19% lived below the poverty level. Comparison group members answered 30 items correct on grade 6 STAAR 3-8 reading and 28 items correct on grade 6 STAAR 3-8 mathematics. This student answered 34 items correct on grade 7 STAAR 3-8 reading, which was BELOW average for the group. As a reading, which was BELOW average for the group. As a reading, which was BELOW average for the group. As a reading, which was BELOW average for the group. As a result, her relative gain score (54.9) is very close to 50 and is in red.Student iD 4: This score and term.10Student iD 4: This student's comparison group lived in neighborhoods where the median household income and is grav.Student iD 4: This stud		STUDENT NAME	62.3	33 was ABOVE avg for grp:	7	F, low SES, LEP	\$37/	nh; 5% w/d	eg; 28% blw PL	23 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 32 (Gr 6 STAAR MA)
16 STUDENT NAME did not have scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term. 17 STUDENT NAME did not have scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term. 18 STUDENT NAME did not have scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term. 18 STUDENT NAME did not have scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term. Student ID 1: This student's gain score on STAAR 3-8 Student ID 6: This student's comparison group ived in neighborhoods where the median household income was compared to other seventh-grade student's own owere female, low SES, and limited in their English proficient. Members of this student's comparison group lived in neighborhoods where the median household income was \$33,000, 3% of adults over 25 had college degrees, and 19% lived below the poverty level. Comparison group members answered 30 items correct on grade 6 STAAR 3-8 reading and 28 items correct on grade 6 STAAR 3-8 reading and 28 items correct on grade 6 STAAR 3-8 reading and 20 items correct on grade 6 STAAR 3-8 reading and 20 items correct on grade 7 STAAR 3-8 reading and 20 items correct on grade 7 STAAR 3-8 reading and 20 items correct on grade 7 STAAR 3-8 reading and 20 items correct on grade 7 STAAR 3-8 reading and 20 items correct on grade 7 STAAR 3-8 reading and 20 items correct on grade 7 STAAR 3-8 reading and 20 items correct on grade 7 STAAR 3-8 reading, which was AVERAGE for the group. As a result, his relative gain score (60.3) is above			60.3	29 was ABOVE avg for grp:	7	F, low SES, LEP	\$48/I	nh; 2% w/d	eg; 17% blw PL	21 (Gr 6 STAAR R); 20 (Gr 6 STAAR MA)
17 STUDENT NAME did not have scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term. 18 STUDENT NAME did not have scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term. Student ID 1: This student's gain score on STAAR 3-8 reading was compared to other seventh-grade students who were male, low SES, and limited in their English proficiency. Members of this student's comparison group ineighborhoods where the median household income among households was \$38,000, 3% of adults over 25 had college degrees, and 19% lived below the poverty level. Comparison group members answered 30 tiems correct on grade 6 STAAR 3-8 reading and 28 items correct on grade 6 STAAR 3-8 reading and 28 items correct on grade 6 STAAR 3-8 reading and 28 reading, which was BELOW average for the group. As a result, his relative gain score (44.9) was below 50 and is in red. Student ID 6: This student's gain score on STAAR 3-8 reading was compared to other seventh-grade students comparison group inved in neighborhoods where the median household income was \$37,000, 5% of adults over 25 had college degrees, and 28% lived below the poverty level. Comparison group members answered 27 items correct on grade 6 STAAR 3-8 reading and 28 items correct on grade 6 STAAR 3-8 reading and 20 items correct on grade 7 STAAR 3-8 reading and 20 items correct on grade 7 STAAR 3-8 reading, which was AVERAGE for the group. As a result, her relative gain score (54.9) is very close to 50 and is in grad. Student answered for the group. As a result, her relative gain score (60.3) is above 50 and is in grad. Rosters reflect teacher's modifications made during Mage durement Mage durement Mage			did not have scores	from assessments in both cu	rrent a	ind previous years that v	vere appropriate	measures f	or this course and	term.
18STUDENT NAMEdid not have scores from assessments in both current and previous years that were appropriate measures for this course and term.Student ID 1: This student's gain score on STAAR 3-8 reading was compared to other seventh-grade students who were male, low SES, and limited in their English proficiency. Members of this student's comparison group lived in neighborhoods where the median household income among households was \$38,000, 3% of adults over 25 had college degrees, and 19% lived below the poverty level. Comparison group members answered 30 terms correct on grade 6 STAAR 3-8 mathematics. This student answered 27 items correct on grade 6 STAAR 3-8 reading, which was BELOW average for the group. As a result, his relative gain score (44.9) was below 50 and is in red.Student ID 6: This student's gain score on STAAR 3-8 reading was compared to other seventh-grade students who were female, low SES, and English proficient. Members of this student's comparison group lived in neighborhoods where the median household income among households was \$38,000, 3% of adults over 25 had college degrees, and 28% lived below the poverty level. Comparison group members answered 27 items correct on grade 6 STAAR 3-8 reading, which was BELOW average for the group. As a result, his relative gain score (44.9) was below 50 and is in red.Student ID 4: This student's gain score on STAAR scomparison group inved in neighborhoods where the median household income was \$37,000, 5% of adults over 25 had college degrees, and 28% lived below the poverty level. Comparison group members answered 27 items correct on grade 6 STAAR 3-8 reading, which was AVERAGE for the group. As a reading, which was AVERAGE for the group. As a reading, which was AVERAGE for the group. As a reading, which was AVERAGE for the gro										
Student ID 1: This student's gain score on STAAR 3-8 reading was compared to other seventh-grade students who were male, low SES, and limited in their English proficiency. Members of this student's comparison group lived in neighborhoods where the median household income among households was \$38,000, 3% of adults over 25 had college degrees, and 19% lived below the poverty level. Comparison group members answered 30 items correct on grade 6 STAAR 3-8 reading and 28 items correct on grade 6 STAAR 3-8 mathematics. This student answered 27 items correct on grade 6 STAAR 3-8 mathematics. This student answered 27 items correct on grade 7 STAAR 3-8 reading, which was BELOW average for the group. As a result, his relative gain score (44.9) was below 50 and is in red.Student ID 1: This student's comparison group free the median household income was \$37,000, 5% of adults over 25 had college degrees, and 28% lived below the poverty level. Comparison group members answered 30 areading, which was BELOW average for the group. As a result, his relative gain score (44.9) was below 50 and is in red.Student in Diftications made during to the seventh-grade students the student and is gray.Student and priority priorities to the seventh-grade students who were female, low SES, and limited in their Er proficienct. Members of this student's comparison group lived in neighborhoods where the median household income was \$37,000, 5% of adults over 25 had college degrees, and 28 times correct on grade 6 STAAR 3-8 reading and 36 terms correct on grade 7 STAAR 3-8 reading, which was AVERAGE for the group. As a reading, which was AVERAGE for the group. As a reading										
reading was compared to other seventh-grade students who were male, low SES, and limited in their English proficiency. Members of this student's comparison group lived in neighborhoods where the median household income among households was \$38,000, 3% of adults over 25 had college degrees, and 19% lived below the poverty level. Comparison group members answered 30 items correct on grade 6 STAAR 3-8 reading and 28 items correct on grade 6 STAAR 3-8 reading and 28 items correct on grade 6 STAAR 3-8 mathematics. This student answered 27 items correct on grade 6 STAAR 3-8 mathematics. This student answered 27 items correct on grade 7 STAAR 3-8 reading, which was BELOW average for the group. As a result, his relative gain score (44.9) was below 50 and is in red. Rosters reflect teacher's modifications made during										
Rosters reflect teacher's modifications made during	reading was who were n proficiency. lived in neig income amd over 25 had poverty leve items corre- items corre- student ans 3-8 reading As a result,	s compared to other sever nale, low SES, and limited . Members of this student's ghborhoods where the mer ong households was \$38,0 d college degrees, and 199 el. Comparison group men of on grade 6 STAAR 3-8 of on grade 6 STAAR 3-8 swered 27 items correct or g, which was BELOW aver his relative gain score (44	hth-grade students in their English comparison group dian household 000, 3% of adults % lived below the hbers answered 30 reading and 28 mathematics. This o grade 7 STAAR age for the group.	reading was comp who were female, Members of this st neighborhoods wh was \$37,000, 5% of degrees, and 28% Comparison group on grade 6 STAAF grade 6 STAAR 3- answered 34 items reading, which was result, her relative	ared low S uden ere th of adu lived men 3-8 8 ma 8 ma s corr s AVE	to other seventh-gra SES, and English pro- t's comparison grou ne median househo ults over 25 had coll below the poverty of bers answered 27 reading and 36 item thematics. This stud ect on grade 7 STA ERAGE for the grou	ade students oficient. up lived in Id income lege level. items correct ns correct on dent AR 3-8 up. As a close to 50	read who prof grou 2% live men STA STA item was rela	ding was comp o were female, ficiency. Memb up lived in neig schold income of adults over d below the po mbers answere AR 3-8 readir AR 3-8 mathe as correct on g a ABOVE avera- tive gain score	bared to other seventh-grade students low SES, and limited in their English bers of this student's comparison ghborhoods where the median a among households was \$48,000, 25 had college degrees, and 17% overty level. Comparison group ed 21 items correct on grade 6 and and 20 items correct on grade 6 ematics. This student answered 29 grade 7 STAAR 3-8 reading, which age for the group. As a result, her e (60.3) is above 50 and is in green.
CEI Roster Verification (May 6-June 4, 2013).				during		7.0000000000000000000000000000000000000				

NOTES: The average relative gain score in any comparison group is 50 with a standard deviation (SD) of 10. Relative gain scores from one to two SDs above or below average are highlighted with the characters > and <. Relative gain scores more than two SDs from average (<30 or >70) are highlighted with the characters << and >>. A student who scored higher than average *in his comparison group of similar district students* has a relative gain score higher than 50. Relative gain scores between 45 and 55 (shaded gray) are considered average or "typical." All students in a comparison group have the characteristics listed in the student's row. *Students whose names are in bold italics were not used to compute the CEI*. Reasons for ineligibility include not having a suitable combination of test scores from last year and this year, not being continuously enrolled at the campus, having excessive absences (more than 10 in a semester term, more than 17 in a year term), or being retained in either of the two previous years. *For high school ACP CEIs, retention history is not an eligibility criterion*. (For more information on CEI eligibility criteria, see http://mydata.dallasisd.org/MENU/CEI.jsp) ***CEIs are computed only if at least eight (8) students have relative gain scores. *See Glossary for descriptions of neighborhood variables.**

	SAMPLE TEACHER (99999) SAMPLE SCHOOL (999)		Course: 2351 ECO Section: 09	NOMICS	Term: SEMESTER 1 Assessment: ECONOMICS	Grades: ALL	
	ID	Name	Relative gain score	Items correct on this assessment	Demographics	Characteristics of student's co Neighborhood variable	
1	2597538	STUDENT NAME	49.2	37 was average for grp:	F, not low SES, not LE	P \$33/hh; 5% w/deg; 22% blw P	L 57.40 (ACP all); 36 (TAKS SC)
2	2597274	STUDENT NAME	58.0	42 was ABOVE avg for grp:	F, low SES, not LEP	\$44/hh; 5% w/deg; 16% blw P	L 56.37 (ACP all); 32 (TAKS SC)
3	2034611	STUDENT NAME	< 38.5	34 was BELOW avg for grp:	M, Iow SES, not LEP	\$30/hh; 25% w/deg; 37% blw P	L 62.01 (ACP all); 49 (TAKS SC)
4	2595505	STUDENT NAME	42.2	38 was BELOW avg for grp:	M, Iow SES, not LEP	\$39/hh; 8% w/deg; 23% blw P	L 63.96 (ACP all); 49 (TAKS SC)
5	4002210	STUDENT NAME	< 39.1	35 was BELOW avg for grp:	M, Iow SES, not LEP	\$32/hh; 17% w/deg; 25% blw P	L 69.25 (ACP all); 42 (TAKS SC)
6	2581005	STUDENT NAME	46.3	39 was average for grp:	F, low SES, not LEP	\$43/hh; 8% w/deg; 23% blw P	L 65.25 (ACP all); 43 (TAKS SC)
7	2556896	STUDENT NAME	42.8	34 was BELOW avg for grp:	F, low SES, not LEP, T	AG \$22/hh; 19% w/deg; 60% blw P	L 55.68 (ACP all); 41 (TAKS SC)
8	2578048	STUDENT NAME	55.7	39 was ABOVE avg for grp:	M, Iow SES, not LEP	\$29/hh; 2% w/deg; 40% blw P	L 42.19 (ACP all); 42 (TAKS SC)
9	2599221	STUDENT NAME	55.6	42 was ABOVE avg for grp:	M, not low SES, not LE	P \$99/hh; 63% w/deg; 3% blw P	L 47.70 (ACP all); 45 (TAKS SC)
10	3071517	STUDENT NAME	69.3	38 was ABOVE avg for grp:	F, not low SES, LEP	\$50/hh; 22% w/deg; 20% blw P	L 42.60 (ACP all); 27 (TAKS SC)
11	2563491	STUDENT NAME	< 34.3	30 was BELOW avg for grp:	F, low SES, not LEP	\$43/hh; 8% w/deg; 23% blw P	L 61.36 (ACP all); 46 (TAKS SC)
12	2605106	STUDENT NAME	43.5	28 was BELOW avg for grp:	M, Iow SES, not LEP	\$32/hh; 17% w/deg; 25% blw P	L 44.21 (ACP all); 32 (TAKS SC)
13	2576641	STUDENT NAME	46.8	34 was average for grp:	F, not low SES, not LE	P \$73/hh; 16% w/deg; 5% blw P	L 52.46 (ACP all); 35 (TAKS SC)
14	2958509	STUDENT NAME	43.8	38 was BELOW avg for grp:	M, Iow SES, not LEP	\$33/hh; 5% w/deg; 22% blw P	L 60.89 (ACP all); 48 (TAKS SC)
15	3091921	STUDENT NAME	52.6	46 was average for grp:	M, Iow SES, not LEP	\$37/hh; 4% w/deg; 23% blw P	L 67.27 (ACP all); 47 (TAKS SC)
16	2597455	STUDENT NAME	54.9	39 was average for grp:	F, low SES, not LEP	\$43/hh; 0% w/deg; Previous	s year STAAR, TAKS, and standardized
17	4075334	STUDENT NAME	did not have score:	s from assessments in both curre	nt and previous years that w	compari STAAR ensure t	bres are used to determine a student's son group for ACP and high school EOC CEIs. Scores are standardized to that they are comparable across grades. cores are standardized to a mean of 50 of 10.)

Teacher did not submit modifications to CEI rosters during CEI Roster Verification (May 6-June 4, 2013).

Assessment: ECONOMICS CEI (and percentile) for Section 09: 48.0 (49%ile)

CEI (and percentile) for Course 2351: 42.2 (14%ile)

NOTES: The average relative gain score in any comparison group is 50 with a standard deviation (SD) of 10. Relative gain scores from one to two SDs above or below average are highlighted with the characters > and <. Relative gain scores more than two SDs from average (<30 or >70) are highlighted with the characters << and >>. A student who scored higher than average *in his comparison group of similar district students* has a relative gain score higher than 50. Relative gain scores between 45 and 55 (shaded gray) are considered average or "typical." All students in a comparison group have the characteristics listed in the student's row. *Students whose names are in bold italics were not used to compute the CEI*. Reasons for ineligibility include not having a suitable combination of test scores from last year and this year, not being continuously enrolled at the campus, having excessive absences (more than 10 in a semester term, more than 17 in a year term), or being retained in either of the two previous years. *For high school ACP CEIs, retention history is not an eligibility criterion*. (For more information on CEI eligibility criteria, see http://mydata.dallasisd.org/MENU/CEI.jsp) ***CEIs are computed only if at least eight (8) students have relative gain scores. *See Glossary for descriptions of neighborhood variables.**