Published July 25, 2012

Dallas Independent School District

Data Packet for 2012-13 Planning

Evaluation and Accountability Data Analysis, Reporting, and Research Services

> http://mydata.dallasisd.org OIR@dallasisd.org

School Number 166

EDWIN J. KIEST

2012-13 Data Packet: Standard Issue

Table of Contents

2. Contents Table of Contents	3. Notes Notes and Data Descriptions
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
5. Summary Summary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6. Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7. Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8. Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
9. Teachers Teacher Statistics	
<u>STAAR</u>	
10. 3-8 Reading STAAR 3-8 Reading	13. 3-8 Writing STAAR 3-8 Writing
15. 3-8 Math STAAR 3-8 Mathematics	18. 3-8 Science STAAR 3-8 Science
20. Spanish Reading Spanish STAAR 3-8 Reading	23. Spanish Writing Spanish STAAR 3-8 Writing
25. Spanish Math Spanish STAAR 3-8 Mathematics	28. Spanish Science Spanish STAAR 3-8 Science
ITBS & LOGRAMOS	
30. Compliance SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	31. ITBS Read ITBS Reading
33. ITBS Math ITBS Mathematics	37. LOG Read Logramos Reading
ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
39. WMLS Woodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	40. TELPAS Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System

2011-12 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- Additional statistics are available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- Race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethnicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes. Students reporting their ethnicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those who qualify for free or reduced-price lunch through the federal government's program.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

Notes

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- For 2010-11 forward, race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethnicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes; students reporting their ethnicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category. For prior years, race/ethnicity is based on the previous categories.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2011-12. They are counted as new if not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2010-11 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2010-11 and 2011-12.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS

- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Teacher absences counted towards the average DO NOT include vacation days (coded as VAC in personnel database).
- Retention rate for a school year is computed with numerator "# of teachers assigned to the school both that year and the year prior" and denominator "# of teachers assigned to the school for the prior year." That is, the retention rate is the percentage of the prior year's teachers who continued at the school.

STAAR, STAAR L, and STAAR M

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- STAAR statistics are based on results from the standard STAAR only. STAAR L and STAAR M statistics are computed separately, following the TEA's reporting practice.
- Exclusive for 2012: Until standards are released in Spring 2013, the "Percentage Satisfactory" report is replaced by "Percentage Meeting Equivalent TAKS Standard" for reading and mathematics. (Equivalent standards not produced by TEA for other STAAR subjects.) The "Number not Satisfactory" report is replaced by "Average Number of Items Correct."
- Statistics by reporting category are averages for all students (across student groups and genders).
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then average the resulting percentages across all students. For short answers on EOC reading tests, an "average rating" is presented; STAAR EOC short answers are rated on a scale of 0 to 3. For written compositions on writing tests, "average score points" is presented. STAAR compositions are rated twice on a scale of 0 to 4. The two ratings are summed for a range of possible score points from 0 to 8.
- STAAR M reports not currently available. At time of publication, insufficient information was available from the TEA to produce meaningful statistics for reporting.

SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading statistics are based on the Reading Profile Total (a combination of the Vocabulary, Word Analysis, and Listening subtests) for all years available. All other grades' ITBS reading statistics, and Logramos reading statistics for all grades, are based on Reading Total results. (Reading Total results are not available with use of the ITBS level 5R form administered in kindergarten.) "Number tested" statistics include kindergarten.
- Kindergarten ITBS mathematics statistics are based on the Mathematics subtest. All other grades' statistics are based on Mathematics Total results. (Mathematics Total summaries are not available with use of the ITBS level 5R form administered in kindergarten.) "Number tested" statistics include kindergarten.
- Mathematics Total results used for data packets are combinations of the Mathematics Concepts, Problems, and Computation subtest results. For schools who did not administer Mathematics Concepts or Mathematics Problems to their students, Mathematics Computation statistics are provided separately.

TELPAS

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2011 to 2012, the TEA did not report the 2011 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2012, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2011.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment						
PK	105						
KN	109						
1	103						
2	107						
3	101						
4	110						
5	118						
ALL	753						

STUDENT AND TEACHER RACE/ETHNICITY

Ethnicity/Race	Stud	dents	Teac	chers
Etimicity/Race	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Black/African American	77	10.2	9	20.5
American Indian/Alaska Native	2	0.3	*	*
Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	6	0.8	*	*
Hispanic	633	84.1	23	52.3
White	31	4.1	10	22.7
Multiple	3	0.4	1	2.3
Other* (teachers only)	_	_	1	2.3
Not reported (students only)	0	0.0		_

*For teachers, "Other" category includes American Indican/Alaska Native and Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander.

SELECT STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Group	Number	Percent
At-Risk	574	76.2
Economically disadvantaged	697	92.6
Limited English proficient (LEP)	453	60.2
Special education	38	5.0
Talented and Gifted (TAG)	59	7.8

Enrollment (1)

			African	American	America	an Indian	As	ian	Hisp	anic	Wł	nite	Multiple	category
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%
	2010	93	8	8.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	81	87.1	4	4.3	—	—
PK	2011	95	9	9.5	0	0.0	1	1.1	82	86.3	3	3.2	0	0.0
	2012	105	12	11.4	1	1.0	1	1.0	87	82.9	4	3.8	0	0.0
	2010	94	15	16.0	0	0.0	3	3.2	71	75.5	5	5.3	—	—
KN	2011	104	15	14.4	1	1.0	0	0.0	85	81.7	1	1.0	2	1.9
	2012	109	7	6.4	0	0.0	1	0.9	93	85.3	8	7.3	0	0.0
	2010	90	12	13.3	0	0.0	1	1.1	71	78.9	6	6.7	—	—
1	2011	98	10	10.2	1	1.0	2	2.0	78	79.6	7	7.1	0	0.0
	2012	103	12	11.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	87	84.5	1	1.0	2	1.9
	2010	108	9	8.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	97	89.8	2	1.9	—	—
2	2011	101	10	9.9	0	0.0	1	1.0	84	83.2	6	5.9	0	0.0
	2012	107	12	11.2	1	0.9	2	1.9	86	80.4	6	5.6	0	0.0
	2010	114	10	8.8	0	0.0	1	0.9	97	85.1	6	5.3	—	—
3	2011	106	6	5.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	95	89.6	5	4.7	0	0.0
	2012	101	9	8.9	0	0.0	1	1.0	88	87.1	3	3.0	0	0.0
	2010	92	7	7.6	0	0.0	1	1.1	82	89.1	2	2.2	—	—
4	2011	111	12	10.8	0	0.0	1	0.9	92	82.9	5	4.5	1	0.9
	2012	110	8	7.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	96	87.3	6	5.5	0	0.0
	2010	86	10	11.6	0	0.0	2	2.3	73	84.9	1	1.2	—	—
5	2011	102	10	9.8	0	0.0	1	1.0	88	86.3	2	2.0	0	0.0
	2012	118	17	14.4	0	0.0	1	0.8	96	81.4	3	2.5	1	0.8
	2010	677	71	10.5	0	0.0	8	1.2	572	84.5	26	3.8	—	—
PK-5	2011	717	72	10.0	2	0.3	6	0.8	604	84.2	29	4.0	3	0.4
	2012	753	77	10.2	2	0.3	6	0.8	633	84.1	31	4.1	3	0.4

Enrollment (2)

			Econo Disadva	mically antaged	LE	ĒP	Special E	Education	At I	Rlsk	TÆ	AG	New (to	District)	Ger	nder	Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	% Male	% Female	Rate (%)
	2010	93	83	89.2	53	57.0	1	1.1	55	59.1	0	0.0	93	100.0	51.6	48.4	0.0
PK	2011	95	79	83.2	59	62.1	0	0.0	51	53.7	0	0.0	90	94.7	50.5	49.5	6.3
	2012	105	97	92.4	70	66.7	1	1.0	71	67.6	0	0.0	105	100.0	38.1	61.9	0.0
	2010	94	82	87.2	55	58.5	4	4.3	65	69.1	3	3.2	31	33.0	42.6	57.4	0.0
KN	2011	104	92	88.5	67	64.4	2	1.9	87	83.7	5	4.8	38	36.5	47.1	52.9	1.9
	2012	109	102	93.6	61	56.0	1	0.9	69	63.3	4	3.7	41	37.6	57.8	42.2	0.0
	2010	90	83	92.2	52	57.8	6	6.7	69	76.7	4	4.4	6	6.7	56.7	43.3	2.2
1	2011	98	90	91.8	60	61.2	4	4.1	69	70.4	8	8.2	8	8.2	42.9	57.1	4.1
	2012	103	94	91.3	69	67.0	4	3.9	73	70.9	7	6.8	8	7.8	50.5	49.5	1.9
	2010	108	99	91.7	59	54.6	4	3.7	83	76.9	12	11.1	11	10.2	59.3	40.7	0.9
2	2011	101	98	97.0	63	62.4	10	9.9	85	84.2	10	9.9	7	6.9	60.4	39.6	3.0
	2012	107	95	88.8	58	54.2	7	6.5	86	80.4	9	8.4	8	7.5	42.1	57.9	0.9
	2010	114	104	91.2	73	64.0	8	7.0	94	82.5	9	7.9	7	6.1	47.4	52.6	5.3
3	2011	106	98	92.5	61	57.5	5	4.7	82	77.4	14	13.2	8	7.5	55.7	44.3	1.9
	2012	101	97	96.0	59	58.4	10	9.9	87	86.1	12	11.9	5	5.0	59.4	40.6	1.0
	2010	92	82	89.1	59	64.1	9	9.8	67	72.8	10	10.9	5	5.4	50.0	50.0	0.0
4	2011	111	104	93.7	73	65.8	9	8.1	88	79.3	12	10.8	7	6.3	50.5	49.5	0.0
	2012	110	100	90.9	59	53.6	7	6.4	87	79.1	14	12.7	13	11.8	54.5	45.5	0.0
	2010	86	80	93.0	36	41.9	6	7.0	51	59.3	16	18.6	3	3.5	52.3	47.7	2.3
5	2011	102	95	93.1	59	57.8	9	8.8	78	76.5	10	9.8	7	6.9	51.0	49.0	1.0
	2012	118	112	94.9	77	65.3	8	6.8	101	85.6	13	11.0	7	5.9	50.0	50.0	5.1
	2010	677	613	90.5	387	57.2	38	5.6	484	71.5	54	8.0	156	23.0	51.4	48.6	1.6
PK-5	2011	717	656	91.5	442	61.6	39	5.4	540	75.3	59	8.2	165	23.0	51.2	48.8	2.5
	2012	753	697	92.6	453	60.2	38	5.0	574	76.2	59	7.8	187	24.8	50.3	49.7	1.3

Attendance

			ige Daily bership		Average D	aily Attendanc	e	Ye	arly Transa	ctions		nuously rolled	Stabili	ity Rate
Grade	Year	Ν	District	Ν	%	District N	District %	Ν	%	District %	Ν	District	%	District
	2010	90	13,234	87	96.5	12,616	95.3	19	21.0	21.5	84	11,233	92.8	84.9
KN	2011	102	13,211	99	96.5	12,645	95.7	21	20.6	21.4	92	11,602	90.0	87.8
	2012	108	13,633	105	96.9	13,086	96.0	22	20.4	20.1	98	11,731	90.7	86.0
	2010	89	13,848	86	96.5	13,286	95.9	9	10.1	20.0	82	11,978	91.7	86.5
1	2011	96	13,458	94	97.2	12,967	96.4	10	10.4	19.0	92	12,047	95.5	89.5
	2012	106	14,026	103	97.4	13,533	96.5	9	8.5	18.6	100	12,292	94.1	87.6
	2010	107	13,441	104	97.2	12,947	96.3	13	12.2	18.9	98	11,794	91.8	87.7
2	2011	99	13,013	96	97.7	12,593	96.8	16	16.2	17.1	91	11,853	92.3	91.1
	2012	106	13,338	103	97.5	12,932	97.0	17	16.1	17.0	95	11,883	89.9	89.1
	2010	113	13,291	110	97.2	12,854	96.7	14	12.4	17.6	107	11,815	94.5	88.9
3	2011	103	12,619	100	97.6	12,254	97.1	15	14.6	16.6	98	11,604	95.2	92.0
	2012	101	12,874	99	98.1	12,508	97.2	11	10.9	15.6	98	11,592	96.9	90.0
	2010	93	12,300	90	97.6	11,900	96.8	6	6.5	17.2	88	10,987	95.0	89.3
4	2011	108	12,506	106	97.8	12,150	97.1	11	10.2	15.7	103	11,544	95.2	92.3
	2012	109	12,493	107	98.1	12,139	97.2	10	9.1	16.7	108	11,218	98.6	89.8
	2010	85	11,688	83	97.0	11,310	96.8	6	7.0	17.0	81	10,453	95.1	89.4
5	2011	98	11,742	95	97.6	11,419	97.2	4	4.1	8.2	94	10,892	96.2	92.8
	2012	117	12,562	115	97.7	12,220	97.3	5	4.3	5.4	111	11,364	94.6	90.5
	2010	578	77,802	560	97.0	74,914	96.3	67	11.6	18.8	540	68,260	93.5	87.7
KN-5	2011	606	76,549	590	97.4	74,028	96.7	77	12.7	16.5	570	69,542	94.1	90.8
	2012	648	78,926	633	97.6	76,419	96.8	74	11.4	15.7	610	70,080	94.1	88.8

Teachers

Teachers: 44

DISTRIBUTIONS

Ethnicity/Race	Number	Percentage			
African American	9	20.5			
Hispanic	23	52.3			
White	10	22.7			
Multiple	1	2.3			
Other	1	2.3			

Gender	Number	Percentage			
Female	31	70.5			
Male	13	29.5			

ATTENDANCE / RETENTION

	Average Absences	Retention Rate
2009-10	6.1	—
2010-11	5.4	90.0
2011-12	6.5	85.4

YEARS EXPERIENCE

Years	Number	Percentage			
Beginning (0)	5	11.4			
1	0	0.0			
2	4	9.1			
3	3	6.8			
4	1	2.3 9.1			
5	4				
1-3	7	15.9			
More than 3	32	72.7			
1 - 5	12	27.3			
6 - 10	11	25.0			
11 - 20	4	9.1			
More than 20	12	27.3			

State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): READING

STAAR Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	100.0	79.6	82.1	*	85.7	74.4		78.4	82.6	80.0	84.0	60
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	66.7	83.3	75.9	71.4	*	75.0	58.8		76.3	75.9	76.1	76.8	67
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	80.0	69.4	73.0	57.1	64.3	65.2		65.0	86.7	74.3	80.3	70
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012	76.9	85.2	75.0	75.4	37.5	73.9	66.7		73.0	81.7	76.6	80.3	197

PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	13	27	152	175	16	69	123	0	115	82	197	22,169

State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): READING

STAAR Read (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	27	22	23	*	24	20		21	24	22	23	60
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	27	24	27	26	*	26	23		26	27	26	26	67
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	27	26	26	21	25	25		25	30	27	29	70
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012	26	26	25	25	17	25	23		24	27	25	26	197

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	13	27	152	175	16	69	123	0	115	82	197	22,169

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY REPORTING CATEGORY

		RE	PORTING CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Understanding Across Genres (GR 3-5) 1. Understanding/Analysis Across Genres (GR 6-8)	2. Understanding/Analysis of Literary Texts	3. Understanding/Analysis of Informational Texts
	2010			
3	2011			
	2012	62.8	52.0	57.4
	2010			
4	2011			
	2012	61.8	60.8	57.6
	2010			
5	2011			
	2012	58.9	56.2	60.1
	2010			
3-5	2011			
	2012	61.0	56.5	58.4

State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): WRITING

STAAR Writing (2)

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	25	19	24	23	*	24	21		24	24	24	24	68

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	6	7	54	57	5	20	35	0	39	29	68	7,036

			REPORTING CATEGO	RY	
Grade	Year	1. Composition ¹ GR 4: Personal Narrative GR 7: Expository	1. Composition ¹ GR 4: Expository GR 7: Personal Narrative	2. Revision	3. Editing
	2010				
4	2011				
	2012	3.7	3.4	63.7	57.0

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

¹Average score points (range 0-8). A STAAR composition is rated twice on a scale of 1 to 4, and the ratings are summed to determine score points for the composition. Score point scale: 0=Nonscorable; 2=Very Limited; 3=between Very Limited and Basic; 4=Basic; 5=betw een Basic and Satisfactory; 6=Satisfactory; 7=betw een Satisfactory and Accomplished; 8=Accomplished

STAAR Math (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	100.0	76.9	79.2	50.0	89.2	72.9		75.0	82.1	77.6	77.0	76
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	66.7	57.1	81.1	76.7	*	73.2	70.4		78.6	79.2	78.8	79.5	104
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	66.7	65.3	63.9	14.3	58.9	59.3		64.4	68.4	66.4	76.2	116
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012	69.2	71.4	74.0	72.2	27.8	70.5	66.5		72.4	75.2	73.6	77.6	296

PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	13	28	250	270	18	166	221	0	163	133	296	35,367

State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): MATHEMATICS

STAAR Math (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	31	28	28	17	31	26		27	28	28	27	76
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	26	24	30	28	*	27	26		28	30	29	28	104
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	28	27	27	17	25	25		26	29	27	30	116

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

STAAR Math (4)

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

				REPORTING CATEGORY		
Grade	Year	1. Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	2. Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	3. Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	4. Measurement	5. Probability and Statistics
	2010					
3	2011					
	2012	58.6	59.2	68.6	59.9	50.7
	2010					
4	2011					
	2012	60.3	59.9	65.8	55.2	58.3
	2010					
5	2011					
	2012	56.0	55.2	55.8	47.5	57.4
	2010					
3-5	2011					
	2012	58.2	57.9	62.6	53.4	56.0

STAAR Science (2)

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	25	27	26	21	27	26		27	27	27	30	71

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	4	16	49	65	8	29	46	0	40	31	71	10,697

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING	CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Matter and Energy	2. Force, Motion, and Energy	3. Earth and Space	4. Organisms and Environments
	2010				
5	2011				
	2012	69.4	68.2	56.8	55.4

State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (Spanish): READING

STAAR (SP) Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012			88.9	88.6	*	88.9	88.9		76.5	100.0	88.9	88.7	36
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			85.0	83.8		85.0	85.0		84.2	85.7	85.0	84.2	40
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			59.6	60.9	*	58.7	58.7		36.8	75.0	59.6	77.4	47
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012			76.4	76.3	*	76.2	76.2		65.5	85.3	76.4	84.3	123

PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

					NUMBE		d in grai	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	0	123	118	3	122	122	0	55	68	123	14,101

State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (Spanish): READING

STAAR (SP) Read (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012			22	22	*	22	22		18	26	22	23	36
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			27	27		27	27		28	27	27	26	40
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			25	25	*	25	25		19	29	25	28	47
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012			25	25	*	25	25		22	27	25	25	123

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	0	123	118	3	122	122	0	55	68	123	14,101

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

		RE	PORTING CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Understanding Across Genres (GR 3-5) 1. Understanding/Analysis Across Genres (GR 6-8)	2. Understanding/Analysis of Literary Texts	3. Understanding/Analysis of Informational Texts
	2010			
3	2011			
	2012	63.0	57.1	51.9
	2010			
4	2011			
	2012	55.8	65.4	62.8
	2010			
5	2011			
	2012	48.7	57.6	52.7
	2010			
3-5	2011			
	2012	55.2	60.0	55.8

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			27	27		27	27		26	27	27	26	39

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	0	39	37	0	39	39	0	19	20	39	4,902

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING CATEGO	RY	
Grade	Year	1. Composition ¹ GR 4: Personal Narrative GR 7: Expository	1. Composition ¹ GR 4: Expository GR 7: Personal Narrative	2. Revision	3. Editing
	2010				
4	2011				
	2012	3.7	3.4	63.7	57.0

¹Average score points (range 0-8). A STAAR composition is rated twice on a scale of 1 to 4. The ratings are summed to determine score points for the composition.

STAAR (SP) Math (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012			65.0	63.2	*	65.0	65.0		33.3	78.6	65.0	66.4	20
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			*	*		*	*		*	*	*	50.0	4
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			*	*	*	*	*			*	*	33.3	1
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012			64.0	62.5	*	64.0	64.0		50.0	70.6	64.0	54.8	25

PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

					NUMBE	R TESTE	d in grai	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	0	25	24	2	25	25	0	8	17	25	259

State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (Spanish): MATHEMATICS

STAAR (SP) Math (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012			25	24	*	25	25		19	28	25	22	20
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			*	*		*	*		*	*	*	21	4
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			*	*	*	*	*			*	*	20	1
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012			24	23	*	24	24		20	26	24	22	25

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-5													
2010												0			
2011												0			
2012	0	0	25	24	2	25	25	0	8	17	25	259			

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

				REPORTING CATEGORY		
Grade	Year	1. Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	2. Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	3. Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	4. Measurement	5. Probability and Statistics
	2010					
3	2011					
	2012	55.3	54.4	60.0	53.8	43.3
	2010					
4	2011					
	2012	*	*	*	*	*
	2010					
5	2011					
	2012	*	*	*	*	*
	2010					
3-5	2011					
	2012	54.1	54.2	53.9	52.5	38.6

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			27	27	*	26	26		24	28	27	27	47

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADE 5													
2010												0			
2011												0			
2012	0	0	47	46	1	46	46	0	19	28	47	1,164			

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING	CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Matter and Energy	2. Force, Motion, and Energy	3. Earth and Space	4. Organisms and Environments
	2010				
5	2011				
	2012	67.3	69.8	58.5	52.1

State Compensatory Education (SCE) Compliance for At-Risk Students

Compliance

		RE		L (**READI	NG PROFILE	TOTAL)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	52.9	68.0	61.9	61.2	42
K**	2011	29.6	57.1	39.0	63.3	41
	2012	55.6	50.0	51.0	62.2	49
	2010	17.4	80.0	46.5	55.2	43
1	2011	38.9	50.0	45.5	58.1	44
	2012	46.2	48.3	48.8	55.4	41
	2010	23.3	80.0	46.0	48.6	50
2	2011	27.3	92.3	45.7	50.6	46
	2012	29.0	70.0	45.1	49.8	51
	2010					0
5	2011	9.5	63.6	28.1	36.2	64
	2012	27.8	61.5	36.7	37.2	49
	2010	20.8	80.0	46.2	51.9	93
1-5	2011	21.5	63.9	38.3	47.2	154
	2012	31.3	58.1	43.3	46.3	141

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the ITBS

		Γ	MATHEMATI	CS TOTAL	(**MATHEM	ATICS)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	35.4	79.3	48.9	51.2	94
K**	2011	32.2	66.7	37.3	56.8	102
	2012	37.7	53.7	43.6	55.1	110
	2010	40.3	80.0	49.4	48.8	87
1	2011	47.1	59.3	50.5	53.1	95
	2012	25.7	56.7	35.0	50.7	103
	2010	44.6	83.3	53.3	54.6	107
2	2011	28.2	62.5	33.7	56.8	101
	2012	44.2	61.9	47.7	57.9	107
	2010					0
5	2011	49.4	54.5	50.5	44.8	101
	2012	22.8	55.6	26.1	43.8	88
	2010	40.5	80.8	50.7	51.5	288
K-5	2011	38.6	60.0	42.9	53.3	399
	2012	32.8	56.4	38.7	52.2	408
	2010	215	73	288	40,163	
Number Tested	2011	319	80	399	51,019	
					1	

	2010	70	65	135	20,252	
Number Tested	2011	120	75	195	29,740	
resteu	2012	89	102	190	30,807	

2012

308

101

408

51,521

ITBS: READING TOTAL (**READING PROFILE TOTAL)

ITBS Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	57.1	70.0	64.5	*	50.0	52.9		55.6	66.7	61.9	61.2	42
K**	2011	*	40.0	40.9	44.1	*	*	29.6		47.4	31.8	39.0	63.3	41
	2012	42.9	14.3	58.8	46.5		66.7	55.6		41.4	65.0	51.0	62.2	49
	2010	*	58.3	42.3	43.6	*	20.0	17.4		40.0	61.5	46.5	55.2	43
1	2011	16.7	40.0	50.0	43.2	*	50.0	38.9		33.3	53.8	45.5	58.1	44
	2012		25.0	59.3	45.5	*	50.0	46.2		35.0	61.9	48.8	55.4	41
	2010	*	22.2	53.8	44.2	*	*	23.3		44.4	50.0	46.0	48.6	50
2	2011	33.3	55.6	46.7	45.5	16.7	33.3	27.3		39.4	61.5	45.7	50.6	46
	2012	50.0	54.5	40.6	46.3	*	33.3	29.0		50.0	41.9	45.1	49.8	51
	2010	33.3	42.9	49.2	43.9	0.0	33.3	20.8		42.4	55.6	46.2	51.9	93
1-2	2011	25.0	47.4	48.2	44.4	33.3	41.2	31.4		37.3	56.4	45.6	54.4	90
	2012	50.0	39.1	49.2	45.9	*	42.9	34.1		42.5	50.0	46.7	52.7	92
	2010													0
5	2011	*	22.2	30.0	27.6	0.0	16.0	9.5		24.3	34.6	28.1	36.2	64
	2012	*	38.5	32.3	39.5	*	23.1	27.8		25.9	50.0	36.7	37.2	49
	2010	33.3	42.9	49.2	43.9	0.0	33.3	20.8		42.4	55.6	46.2	51.9	93
1-5	2011	20.0	39.3	39.6	37.4	16.7	26.2	21.5		31.8	47.7	38.3	47.2	154
	2012	66.7	38.9	43.3	43.6	16.7	33.3	31.3		35.8	50.0	43.3	46.3	141
														•
	2010	6	21	65	82	6	15	53	0	66	27	93	13,990	

	2010	6	21	65	82	6	15	53	0	66	27	93	13,990
Number Tested	2011	15	28	106	139	18	42	93	0	88	65	154	22,979
	2012	9	36	90	117	6	27	80	0	67	74	141	23,868

ITBS: READING TOTAL (**READING PROFILE TOTAL)

ITBS Read (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	3	5	6	*	1	1		1	7	8	1,717	42
K**	2011	*	1	2	4	*	*	1		0	4	4	2,106	41
	2012	2	0	12	10		3	3		7	8	15	2,027	49
	2010	*	3	2	5	*	1	2		3	3	6	1,729	43
1	2011	0	1	4	6	*	1	2		0	6	6	1,963	44
	2012		2	5	4	*	1	2		1	6	7	1,963	41
	2010	*	0	7	5	*	*	0		6	1	7	954	50
2	2011	1	1	1	3	0	0	1		1	2	3	1,018	46
	2012	1	2	3	7	*	1	2		1	6	7	1,017	51
	2010	1	3	9	10	0	1	2		9	4	13	2,683	93
1-2	2011	1	2	5	9	0	1	3		1	8	9	2,981	90
	2012	1	4	8	11	*	2	4		2	12	14	2,981	92
	2010													0
5	2011	*	0	3	3	0	0	0		1	2	3	701	64
	2012	*	0	1	3	*	0	1		2	1	3	822	49
	2010	1	3	9	10	0	1	2		9	4	13	2,683	93
1-5	2011	1	2	8	12	0	1	3		2	10	12	3,692	154
	2012	3	4	9	14	1	2	5		4	13	17	3,803	141
	2010	6	21	65	82	6	15	53	0	66	27	93	13,990	
Number Tested	2011	15	28	106	139	18	42	93	0	88	65	154	22,979	

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

EDWIN J. KIEST (166)

Tested

23,868

ITBS Math (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	85.7	41.7	48.1	*	32.7	35.4		55.0	44.4	48.9	51.2	94
K**	2011	*	53.3	33.7	39.8	*	29.2	32.2		32.7	41.5	37.3	56.8	102
	2012	37.5	28.6	44.7	41.6		34.4	37.7		39.7	48.9	43.6	55.1	110
	2010	*	58.3	47.8	48.1	0.0	44.2	40.3		46.9	52.6	49.4	48.8	87
1	2011	57.1	60.0	48.0	49.4	*	44.1	47.1		51.2	50.0	50.5	53.1	95
	2012	*	50.0	34.1	34.0	*	23.2	25.7		28.8	41.2	35.0	50.7	103
	2010	*	66.7	53.1	53.1	*	44.1	44.6		54.7	51.2	53.3	54.6	107
2	2011	16.7	50.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	31.1	28.2		25.4	47.4	33.7	56.8	101
	2012	33.3	58.3	46.5	44.7	*	51.7	44.2		62.2	37.1	47.7	57.9	107
	2010	50.0	71.4	48.1	50.0	14.3	40.4	40.5		52.3	48.9	50.7	51.5	288
K-2	2011	42.9	54.3	38.0	40.5	11.8	34.6	35.0		34.6	46.2	40.3	55.6	298
	2012	33.3	48.4	41.8	40.1	25.0	35.6	36.2		42.5	41.9	42.2	54.5	320
	2010													0
5	2011	*	44.4	52.9	50.5	20.0	60.0	49.4		48.0	54.0	50.5	44.8	101
	2012	*	25.0	26.0	24.4	0.0	22.7	22.8		32.6	19.0	26.1	43.8	88
	2010	50.0	71.4	48.1	50.0	14.3	40.4	40.5		52.3	48.9	50.7	51.5	288
K-5	2011	35.3	52.3	41.9	43.0	14.8	40.8	38.6		37.9	48.2	42.9	53.3	399
	2012	35.3	43.6	38.3	36.7	14.3	32.3	32.8		40.3	37.1	38.7	52.2	408

	2010	12	35	237	260	14	166	215	0	153	135	288	40,163
Number Tested	2011	17	44	329	374	27	245	319	0	203	195	399	51,019
Testeu	2012	17	39	345	371	14	254	308	0	206	202	408	51,521

ITBS: MATHEMATICS TOTAL (MATHEMATICS)**

ITBS Math (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	2	6	7	*	2	2		2	6	8	2,358	94
K**	2011	*	1	3	5	*	1	2		2	3	5	2,815	102
	2012	1	0	7	6		2	3		2	6	8	2,472	110
	2010	*	3	8	13	0	5	5		8	5	13	2,266	87
1	2011	1	2	12	14	*	10	11		6	10	16	2,716	95
	2012	*	0	6	5	*	3	4		3	3	6	2,473	103
	2010	*	1	17	16	*	10	10		13	5	18	2,484	107
2	2011	0	1	13	14	0	9	10		9	5	14	2,746	101
	2012	0	1	12	9	*	10	11		9	4	13	2,843	107
	2010	2	6	31	36	0	17	17		23	16	39	7,108	288
K-2	2011	2	4	28	33	0	20	23		17	18	35	8,277	298
	2012	1	1	25	20	0	15	18		14	13	27	7,788	320
	2010													0
5	2011	*	0	6	5	0	4	4		2	4	6	1,395	101
	2012	*	0	3	3	0	3	3		1	2	3	1,426	88
	2010	2	6	31	36	0	17	17		23	16	39	7,108	288
K-5	2011	2	4	34	38	0	24	27		19	22	41	9,696	399
	2012	1	1	28	23	0	18	21		15	15	30	9,214	408
	2010	12	35	237	260	14	166	215	0	153	135	288	40.163	

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

	2010	12	35	237	260	14	166	215	0	153	135	288	40,163
Number Tested	2011	17	44	329	374	27	245	319	0	203	195	399	51,019
Tested	2012	17	39	345	371	14	254	308	0	206	202	408	51,521

ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

ITBS Math (3)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	50.0	63.8	60.0	*	69.2	56.1		57.1	64.9	60.5	63.5	86
1	2011	57.1	70.0	71.1	71.6	*	71.7	71.0		70.7	70.9	70.8	66.7	96
	2012	*	50.0	58.6	57.0	*	58.8	57.5		53.8	60.0	56.9	62.5	102
	2010	*	70.0	53.1	50.5	*	46.7	45.8		58.5	48.8	54.6	61.4	108
2	2011	0.0	44.4	39.0	36.5	0.0	37.3	32.9		31.7	44.7	36.7	62.0	98
	2012	16.7	54.5	55.4	51.1	*	67.9	58.5		67.4	45.0	54.4	63.6	103
	2010	50.0	59.1	57.6	54.7	22.2	57.1	50.3		57.9	56.3	57.2	62.5	194
1-2	2011	30.8	57.9	54.4	53.3	8.3	54.6	50.3		47.5	60.2	53.6	64.4	194
	2012	14.3	52.2	57.1	54.1	*	62.9	58.1		60.0	51.8	55.6	63.0	205
	2010													0
5	2011	*	44.4	60.9	56.8	60.0	61.7	59.5		50.0	66.0	57.4	48.6	101
	2012	*	30.8	30.4	30.4	0.0	31.5	30.5		28.6	35.2	31.8	45.9	110
	2010	50.0	59.1	57.6	54.7	22.2	57.1	50.3		57.9	56.3	57.2	62.5	194
1-5	2011	31.3	53.6	56.7	54.5	31.8	57.0	53.5		48.3	62.2	54.9	59.7	295
	2012	20.0	44.4	47.7	45.6	40.0	51.3	47.6		48.3	46.3	47.3	57.8	315
	2010	6	22	165	179	9	112	149	0	114	80	194	26,937	

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

	2010	6	22	165	179	9	112	149	0	114	80	194	26,937
Number Tested	2011	16	28	245	279	22	179	230	0	151	143	295	38,176
resteu	2012	10	36	262	285	10	197	250	0	151	164	315	38,650

ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

ITBS Math (4)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	1	22	24	*	18	18		17	8	25	4,129	86
1	2011	0	2	17	16	*	15	15		11	8	19	4,427	96
	2012	*	1	11	11	*	7	9		7	5	12	4,060	102
	2010	*	3	22	24	*	11	17		13	12	25	3,776	108
2	2011	0	2	14	16	0	12	14		7	9	16	3,810	98
	2012	1	0	19	17	*	18	19		12	10	22	3,820	103
	2010	2	4	44	48	1	29	35		30	20	50	7,905	194
1-2	2011	0	4	31	32	1	27	29		18	17	35	8,237	194
	2012	1	1	30	28	*	25	28		19	15	34	7,880	205
	2010													0
5	2011	*	1	12	12	2	9	11		5	8	13	1,416	101
	2012	*	1	2	4	0	3	4		0	5	5	1,169	110
	2010	2	4	44	48	1	29	35		30	20	50	7,905	194
1-5	2011	0	5	43	44	3	36	40		23	25	48	9,660	295
	2012	2	2	32	32	1	28	32		19	20	39	9,049	315
														_
	2010	6	22	165	179	9	112	149	0	114	80	194	26,937	
Number														

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

	2010	6	22	165	179	9	112	149	0	114	80	194	26,937
Number Tested	2011	16	28	245	279	22	179	230	0	151	143	295	38,176
Tested	2012	10	36	262	285	10	197	250	0	151	164	315	38,650

Logramos: READING TOTAL

LOG Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010			93.9	93.6	*	93.8	95.7		100.0	89.3	93.9	89.3	49
К	2011			93.4	94.9		93.3	93.3		93.3	93.5	93.4	90.9	61
	2012	*		93.3	94.8		94.5	95.0		94.1	92.6	93.4	89.9	61
	2010			81.4	80.5	*	81.0	81.4		84.2	79.2	81.4	89.1	43
1	2011	*		98.0	96.2	*	96.2	98.0		95.7	96.7	96.2	89.4	53
	2012	*		75.4	75.4	*	77.0	77.0		75.0	76.7	75.8	89.2	62
	2010			93.0	92.7	*	94.4	92.5		89.3	96.6	93.0	93.8	57
2	2011			96.2	96.2	*	98.0	98.0		92.6	100.0	96.2	94.9	52
	2012			96.2	96.0	*	96.1	96.2		100.0	93.3	96.2	93.3	53
	2010			89.9	89.5	*	90.3	90.1		91.2	88.9	89.9	90.7	149
K-2	2011	*		95.7	95.7	*	95.7	96.3		93.8	96.5	95.2	91.7	166
	2012	*		87.9	88.2	*	88.6	89.0		88.8	87.4	88.1	90.8	176
	2010													0
5	2011			97.2	97.2		97.1	97.2		100.0	95.7	97.2	93.5	36
	2012			78.5	77.8	*	78.1	78.1		61.3	94.1	78.5	91.4	65
	2010			89.9	89.5	*	90.3	90.1		91.2	88.9	89.9	90.7	149
K-5	2011	*		96.0	96.0	*	95.9	96.4		94.6	96.3	95.5	91.9	202
	2012	*		85.3	85.3	37.5	85.7	86.1		81.7	89.3	85.5	90.9	241
	2010	0	0	149	143	5	144	142	0	68	81	149	19,041	
Number Tested	2011	1	0	200	199	3	197	196	0	93	109	202	21,141	

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

EDWIN J. KIEST (166)

21,150

Logramos: READING TOTAL

LOG Read (2)

NUMBER A	OR ABOVE	THE 80th	PERCENTILE
----------	----------	----------	------------

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010			26	25	*	25	25		11	15	26	3,523	49
к	2011			39	38		38	38		15	24	39	3,964	61
	2012	*		39	37		34	39		20	19	39	3,679	61
	2010			19	19	*	19	19		11	8	19	2,529	43
1	2011	*		25	24	*	25	24		11	14	25	2,459	53
	2012	*		22	22	*	22	22		9	13	22	2,437	62
	2010			24	22	*	22	22		12	12	24	3,040	57
2	2011			26	26	*	25	25		11	15	26	3,227	52
	2012			29	26	*	27	28		14	15	29	2,934	53
	2010			69	66	*	66	66		34	35	69	9,092	149
K-2	2011	*		90	88	*	88	87		37	53	90	9,650	166
	2012	*		90	85	*	83	89		43	47	90	9,050	176
	2010													0
5	2011			17	17		16	17		7	10	17	856	36
	2012			16	15	*	15	15		4	12	16	912	65
	2010			69	66	*	66	66		34	35	69	9,092	149
K-5	2011	*		107	105	*	104	104		44	63	107	10,519	202
	2012	*		106	100	1	98	104		47	59	106	9,962	241
														•
	2010	0	0	149	143	5	144	142	0	68	81	149	19.041	

	2010	0	0	149	143	5	144	142	0	68	81	149	19,041
Number Tested	2011	1	0	200	199	3	197	196	0	93	109	202	21,141
Toolog	2012	2	0	238	232	8	231	237	0	120	121	241	21,150

	Level 1 in 2011		Level 2	in 2011	Level 3	in 2011	Levels 1-3 in 2011		
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2012							
5	0	-	1	100.0	0	-	1	100.0	
ALL	0	-	1	100.0	0	-	1	100.0	

Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System

TELPAS

PERFORMANCE IN 2012

PROGRESSION FROM 2011 TO 2012

Grade (2010-11)	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Composite		Number Rated Both Years			2011	Level	
(N Rated)	2012 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N (%) Progressed	2012 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High
	Beginning	22	36.1	28	45.9	44	72.1	45	73.8	45	73.8		Beginning	-			
KN	Intermediate	32	52.5	25	41.0	13	21.3	10	16.4	10	16.4	-	Intermediate	-		-	
(61)	Advanced	0	0.0	2	3.3	2	3.3	3	4.9	3	4.9	-	Advanced	-	-	-	-
	Advanced High	7	11.5	6	9.8	2	3.3	3	4.9	3	4.9		Advanced High	-	-	-	-
	Beginning	1	1.4	5	7.1	12	17.1	7	10.0	7	10.0		Beginning	7			
1	Intermediate	33	47.1	16	22.9	30	42.9	18	25.7	18	25.7	68	Intermediate	17		1	
(70)	Advanced	29	41.4	39	55.7	26	37.1	31	44.3	31	44.3	60 (88.2%)	Advanced	26	4	C	D
	Advanced High	7	10.0	10	14.3	2	2.9	14	20.0	14	20.0		Advanced High	8	3	2	2
	Beginning	9	15.3	21	35.6	18	30.5	3	5.1	3	5.1		Beginning	2			
2	Intermediate	26	44.1	13	22.0	17	28.8	15	25.4	16	27.1	57	Intermediate	9		6	
(59)	Advanced	14	23.7	16	27.1	14	23.7	24	40.7	26	44.1	43 (75.4%)	Advanced	10	10	6	6
	Advanced High	10	16.9	9	15.3	10	16.9	17	28.8	14	23.7		Advanced High	2	4	8	3
	Beginning	4	6.7	4	6.7	12	20.0	7	11.7	7	11.7		Beginning		-	7	
3	Intermediate	14	23.3	16	26.7	21	35.0	14	23.3	14	23.3	59	Intermediate	1		12	
(60)	Advanced	25	41.7	24	40.0	20	33.3	8	13.3	8	13.3	32 (54.2%)	Advanced	0	0	8	3
	Advanced High	17	28.3	16	26.7	7	11.7	31	51.7	31	51.7		Advanced High	0	3	2	8
	Beginning	2	3.3	2	3.3	6	10.0	1	1.7	1	1.7		Beginning				
4	Intermediate	9	15.0	15	25.0	13	21.7	11	18.3	11	18.3	58	Intermediate	3		7	
(60)	Advanced	18	30.0	16	26.7	30	50.0	16	26.7	16	26.7	37 (63.8%)	Advanced	0	3	1	3
	Advanced High	31	51.7	27	45.0	11	18.3	32	53.3	32	53.3		Advanced High	0	2	2	9

PERFORMANCE IN 2012

PROGRESSION FROM 2011 TO 2012

Grade (2010-11)	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Com	posite
(N Rated)	2012 Level	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%
	Beginning	0	0.0	1	1.3	2	2.6	2	2.6	2	2.6
5	Intermediate	5	6.5	5	6.5	15	19.5	12	15.6	12	15.6
(77)	Advanced	18	23.4	24	31.2	33	42.9	30	39.0	30	39.0
	Advanced High	54	70.1	47	61.0	27	35.1	33	42.9	33	42.9
	Beginning	38	9.8	61	15.8	94	24.3	65	16.8	65	16.8
ALL	Intermediate	119	30.7	90	23.3	109	28.2	80	20.7	81	20.9
(387)	Advanced	104	26.9	121	31.3	125	32.3	112	28.9	114	29.5
	Advanced High	126	32.6	115	29.7	59	15.2	130	33.6	127	32.8

Number Rated Both Years		2011 Level								
N (%) Progressed	2012 Level	Beg	Int	Adv Adv High						
	Beginning		2	2 12 22						
76	Intermediate	0								
40 (52.6%)	Advanced	0	8							
	Advanced High	0	1	3	1					
	Beginning		1	9						
318	Intermediate	30		38						
212 (66.7%)	Advanced	36	25	49						
	Advanced High	10	13	9	8					

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2011 to 2012.